Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative

May 2025



Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative Projects

(ANES 2024) American National Election Studies - 2024

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries

(CARE Military) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022 - Military

(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022

(CCS) Community College Survey

(CVFS-SCAN) Chitwan Valley Family Study - Study on Cognition and Aging in Nepal

(FFCWS) Future of Families and Child Wellbeing Study

(Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan)

(Healthy Brain Project) Healthy Brain Project

(Hospitals Sharing Data) Hospitals Sharing Patient Data

(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews

(HRS 2024) Health and Retirement Study 2024

(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screening

(LHMS 2023 Fall) Life History Mail Study Fall 2023

(LHMS 2023 Spring) Life History Mail Study Spring 2023

(LHMS 2025 Spring) Life History Mail Study Spring 2025

(MTF Base Year 2022_27) Monitoring the Future Base Year 2022-2027

(MTF Early Panel Pilot) Monitoring the Future: A Cohort-Sequential Panel Study of Drug Use, Ages 19-65 -

Administrative Supplement #1 (8/10th Grade Panel)

(MTF Panel 2022-27) Monitoring the Future Panel 2022-2027

(NDWS) National Dementia Workforce Study

(NYCHVS) New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey

(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Study of Income Dynamics

(PSID 2025 OCU) PSID 2025 Online Contact Update

(PSID CDS23 Phase 2) PSID Childhood Development Supplement 2023 Phase 2

(PSID25) Panel Study of Income Dynamics Core 2025

(SAFEGUARD) SAFEGUARD

(SCA Web 2025) SCA Web 2025

(SCIP 2024) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project

(SRS 2021) Social Relations 2023

(STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1)) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(WaISS) U-M Wallenberg Institute Student Survey

(TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562)) TSME25 Blaise 5 version and system testing (423562)

(TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248)) TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248)

(TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227)) TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227)

(TSME25 MSMS Performance (425267)) TSME25 Mixed-mode systems dev support - Reliability & Performance (425267)

(TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198)) TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198)

(TSME25 QC Systems (483249)) TSME25 QC Systems (483249)

(TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910)) TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910)

(TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)) TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)

(TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466)) TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466)

(TSME25 Translation Tool (483424)) TSME25 Translation Tool (483424)

Sponsored Projects Dashboard

Project	Type	Phase	Project Lead	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
ANES 2024	Sponsored	Closing	Andrew L Hupp	•		•	•	
BFY	Sponsored	Implementing	Piotr Dworak	•	•	•	•	
BHM Library Project	Sponsored	Closing	Karin Schneider	•	0		•	
CARE Military	Sponsored	Closing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•	•		•	
CARE SALTOS MTEC	Sponsored	Closing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson				•	
CCS	Sponsored	Planning	Jeffrey Albrecht Jr				•	
CVFS-SCAN	Sponsored	Implementing	Maureen Joan O'Brien	•		•	•	
FFCWS	Sponsored	Initiation	Rebecca Gatward		B	•	•	
Health and Well Being in SE MI	Sponsored	Closing	Barbara Lohr Ward	•	•	•	•	•
Healthy Brain Project	Spansared	Clasing	Barbara Lohr Ward	•	•		•	
Hospitals Sharing Data	Sponsored	Implementing	Erin McSpadden	•	•	•	•	
HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	•	•	•	•	•
HRS 2024	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	•		•	•	0
HRS2022-Screening	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	•	0	0	•	
LHMS 2023 Fall	Sponsored	Implementing	Gary Hein	•	•	•	•	
LHMS 2023 Spring	Sponsored	Implementing	Gary Hein	•	•	•	•	
LHMS 2025 Spring	Sponsored	Implementing	Gary Hein					
MTF Base Year 2022_27	Sponsored	Implementing	Rebecca Gatward	•	•	•	•	
MTF Early Panel Pllot	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•		•	•	
MTF Panel 2022-27	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•	•		•	
NDWS	Sponsored	Implementing	Plotr Dworak	•	•	•	•	
NYCHVS	Sponsored	Planning	Maureen Joan O'Brien			-	•	
PR-PSID	Sponsored	Implementing	Camila Kendall	•	0		•	0
PSID 2025 OCU	Sponsored	Closing	Camilla Kendall	•	•	•	•	
PSID CDS23 Phase 2	Sponsored	Implementing	Camilla Kendall	•	•	•	•	
PSID25	Sponsored	Implementing	Rachel Anne Orlowski	•	•	•	•	
SAFEGUARD	Sponsored	Planning	Daniel Tomlin		B	•	•	
SCA Web 2025	Sponsored	Initiation	William Keating	•			•	
SCIP 2024	Sponsored	Closing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•	•		•	
SRS 2021	Sponsored	Closing	Barbara Lohr Ward	•	•	•	•	
STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1)	Sponsored	Implementing	Meredith A House	•	•	•	•	•
Walss	Sponsored	Planning	Jeffrey Albrecht Jr	-				

Project Name	(ANES 2024) American National Election Studies - 2024 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	
Budget	Direct Budget : 4,892,421.00	Total Budget: 7,526,240.00
Principal	Nicholas A. Valentino (University of Michigan)	
Investigator/Clients	Shanto Iyengar (Stanford University)	
	D. Sunshine Hillygus (Duke University)	
Funding Agency	National Science Foundation (NSF)	
IRB	HUM#: HUM00226016	Period of Approval: Study is exempt
Project Team	Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp	
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers	
	Production Manager: Theresa Camelo	
	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson	
	Production Manager 1: Margaret Lavanger	
	Production Manager 2: Lisa Van Havermaet	
Proposal #	no data	
Description	The mission of the American National Election Studies (ANES) is to inform explanary providing data that support rich hypothesis testing, maximize methodological exceand promote comparisons across people, contexts, and time. The ANES serves the researchers with a view of the political world through the eyes of ordinary citizens.	llence, measure many variables,
	SRO will be conducting the 2024 data collection.	
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 01/2025	
Data Col Period		
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest Sta	art:
	Pretest End: Recruitment St	art:
	Staffing Complete: GIT Sta	art: 07/09/2024
	SS Train Start: 07/10/2024 SS Train E	nd:
	DC Start: 08/01/2024 DC E	nd : 03/31/2025
Other Project Team Members	Erin McSpadden - Project Manager for the methods pilot Sharon Parker - Production Manager for the methods pilot Raphael Nishimura - Sampling (pilot and production) Paul Burton - Sampling and Reporting Makenna Harrison - Sampling and Reporting Grace DesJardins - Project Support Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak programming + Tech Lead Karl Dinkelmann - Blaise oversight + Tech Lead James Rodgers - MSMS + Tech Lead Ashwin Dey - WebTrak Pam Swanson - MSMS set-up programming Sarah Broumand - PQT and QC set-up	
Other Project Name	Peter Sparks - Blaise programming Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support	
Other Project Name	Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support	
Sample Mgmt System	Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS	
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool	Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS Blaise 5; Other (PAPI)	erviews)
Sample Mgmt System	Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS	erviews)

Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Oth	Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (Spouse/partner)					
Administration	SRO Group						
Payment Type		Check, post (\$25/\$40 Escalation to \$100; \$150 for in-person); Cash, prepaid (\$5/\$10 Pre-election visible cash); Cash, post (Pre/Post-Election token amount)					
Payment Method		Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (MSMS via RPay); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS via RPay); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS via					
Report Period	May, 2025 (ANES 2024)			Closing			
Risk Level	On Track						
Monthly Updates	The 2024 data collection has ended. In-person: -PRE: 1,042 interviews (966 in-person, 68 phone, 8 mixed + 0 partials) -POST: 90% reinterview goal (n=938) -Actual: 925 (89%); 372 In-person, 220 Telephone, 332 Video + 1 partial Web - Fresh -PRE: 2,063 interviews (2,022 + 41 partials) -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=1,754) -Actual: 1,769 (86%) (1,721 + 48 partials) Web - Panel -PRE: 2,171 interviews (2,158 + 13 partials) -POST: 90% reinterview goal (n=1,954) -Actual: 2,070 (95%) (2,040 + 30 partials) Web - GSS -PRE: 987 interviews (978 + 9 partials) -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=839) -Actual: 807 (82%) (807 + 26 partials) Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=208) -Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occupation, industry, and most important problems (MIP).						
	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ	, ,	,	(methodology) report.			
Special Issues	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ	cupation, industry, and most i	,	(methodology) report.			
Special Issues Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ	cupation, industry, and most i	,	(methodology) report. 7,262,753.51			
·	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the	cupation, industry, and most i study consists of weighting, t + indirect):	,	•			
·	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct	cupation, industry, and most i study consists of weighting, t + indirect):	,	7,262,753.51			
·	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, t + indirect): E\$AC):	,	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59			
·	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): ninus- E\$AC):	clean-up, and the technical	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00			
·	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project stare *Note that CPS.	clean-up, and the technical	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun.			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project stare *Note that CPS.	clean-up, and the technical	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, to the study consists of weighting, and most in study consists of weighting, and the study consists of weighting consists o	clean-up, and the technical	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, t + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth:	clean-up, and the technical ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: Is Actual): There was	clean-up, and the technical ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, t + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: There was the NORC	clean-up, and the technical ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat contract.	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41 sed tot he IDC we can charge on			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minut Reason for Variance:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: There was the NORC Units at Complete	ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat contract.	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41 sed tot he IDC we can charge on			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minut Reason for Variance:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: There was the NORC Units at Complete	ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat contract.	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41 sed tot he IDC we can charge on			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minut Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: There was the NORC Units at Complete 1,200/938	ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat contract.	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41 red tot he IDC we can charge on HPI 10.5/6.0			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mod Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minut Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current Actual:	cupation, industry, and most in study consists of weighting, if + indirect): E\$AC): Project state *Note that CPS. Inth: There was the NORC Units at Complete 1,200/938	ff transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 a credit of \$47,858.11 relat contract.	7,262,753.51 7,465,580.59 7,526,240.00 60,659.41 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 56,680.98 91,989.39 -35,308.41 red tot he IDC we can charge on HPI 10.5/6.0			

Project Name	(BFY) Baby's First Years (On Track)						
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1						
Project Type	Sponsored Projects						
Budget	Direct Budget: 6,590,315.34	Indirect Budget: 2,109,819.29	Total Budget: 8,700,134.63				
Principal	Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California -	Irvine)					
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Colu	mbia University)					
	Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisc	consin)					
Funding Agency	National Institute of Child Health and Huma	n Development (NICHD)					
IRB	HUM#: HUM00137963		Period of Approval:				
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak						
	Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer						
	Production Manager: Veronica Connors-B	urge					
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Char	doul					
	Production Manager 1: Margaret Lavanger						
	Production Manager 2:						
Proposal #	no data						
Description	University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years a longitudinal randomized control trial study.						

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- · Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- · Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- · Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019 Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021

Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period	10/2017 - 12/2020	
Data Col Period	04/2018 - 12/2020	
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2017	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018
	Staffing Complete: 02/07/2018	GIT Start: 03/19/2018
	SS Train Start: 03/20/2018	SS Train End: 03/22/2018
	DC Start: 05/07/2018	DC End: 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members Stephanie Chardoul (SPA)

Piotr Dworak (Lead)
Tony Romanowski (PM)
Daric Thorne (PM/SSA)
Barb Homburg (PM)
Peggy Lavanger (PM)
Jim McClure (DCS)
Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)

Dave Dybicki (Blaise)
Colette Keyser (Blaise)
Tricia Blanchard (MSMS)
Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project Name HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Sample Mgmt System	MSMS
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone
DE Software	N/A
QC Recording Tool	Other (to be specified)
Incentive	Yes, R
Administration	SRO Group
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (50)
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period	May, 2025 (BFY)	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	
Monthly Undates	DEV Ago E 9:	

Monthly Updates BFY Age 5- 8:

BFY has awarded SRO continuing work throughout Age 8 (August 2027).

On February 13 we have sent the last batch of respondents recruited for the Age 6 Lab visits conducted by the PI research team on-site in LA, MN, NE, and NY.

However, our work supporting A6 lab data collection will continue through July 2025. Pls want to maximize A6 lab response rate and will continue Lab visits through early August 2025. To support that effort, we are helping the research team with the hard to reach Rs which will include some travel and re-contacting cases which completed the lab visit.

We are also following up with cases which reach Age 6 + 4 months and have completed their lab visit.

Project Staffing:

We are working to augment the team. With one bilingual iwer becoming a production manager, one iwer still working but on the side of a full-time job, and one recently expressing a need to pause work on BFY, we start feeling short-staffed.

As such, BFY is recruiting for on-staffer bilingual interviewers which would be quick to on-board. The scope of the work for new interviewers would include follow up calls and no data collection. As such, we think we can add to the team at a limited cost.

4-6 iwers in total

NE: 1 OS (1 NH resigned) MN: 0 local (1 resigned)

NY: 2 OS but 1 of those may pause (was 3, -1 promoted to PM)

NOLA: 1 (working full time and re-located to Florida)

Locators: 2 (are also placing follow up calls as they can to aid the work)

TLs: 1

Technical system: Working as expected.

Special Issues		
Cost as of Jun 01, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	8,001,524.60
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	8,661,224.68

	Total Budget:				8,700,134.63	
	Variance (Total Budget m	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):				
	Reason for Variance:	ар	plied on this pr		ay be due to how IDCs are ero-out overrun after making or the project.	
Projections as of Jun 01, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mor	nth:			51,466.63	
	Actual Dollars Used:	Actual Dollars Used:				
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):				15,797.40	
	Reason for Variance:	ful ea ar ind	nding and IDCs ach month. This ad it is much ea	s. The total amount of IDCs ensures that the total ID asier than dividing them up a IDC the CRS automatical		
Measures		Units at Con	plete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	n/a	n/a		n/a	
	Goal at Completion:	n/a	n/a		n/a	
	Current Actual:	n/a	n/a		n/a	
	Estimate at Complete:	n/a	n/a		n/a	
	Variance:					

Project Name	(BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries (On Track)				
Project Mode	Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 126,712.00	Indirect Budget: 70,959.00	Total Budget: 197,671.00		
Principal	Deborah Robinson (ISR)				
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Karin Schneider				
	Budget Analyst:				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G k	(irgis			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	implementation of up to two pilot sur on scale development throughout th working dataset (with weights to acc will be approximately 24 months in c	rst year by working with you to design the saveys and the larger national survey of librarie pilot phase and provide statistical support ount for the stratified sample design). In total luration, starting in February of 2023, with daproximately 12 months, starting in late 2023	es. We will provide consultation to finalize the scales and provide a al, the SRO period of performance at a collections for the pilots and		
SRO Project Period	02/2023 - 02/2025				
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 09/2024				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest	Start:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment	Start:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:		
	DC Start:	DC	End: 02/28/2025		
Other Project Team Member	rs				
Other Project Name	Developing a Model of Black History	Month Programming in Public Libraries			
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				
Hardware	NA				
DE Software	NA				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Not used				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	NA				
Payment Method	NA				
Report Period	May, 2025 (BHM Library Project)		Closing		
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	talked with Xinyan, PIs financial adm books. The shortfall (SRO's overrun May 2025 and settled at the Parent in the closing process prior to the rei there is not much, if anything, SRO of the Parent Inactivated. (These were Carl will coordinate with Xinyan as to	ement from IMLS (due to a court ruling), the ninistrator. She will review with the PI on fund, previously approved by PI) was covered where Project Grant level. Carl also confirmed that netatement and because SRO did not have needs to do. The Sub Project Grants and She subsequently reinstated, but there will be not by where she is in the close process. He note budget reallocation which would only show a	ding the shortfall on the MPathway nen they processed the FSR in at the project grant was well along any additional funding obligations nort Codes were Inactivated when o other SRO charges).		

Special Issues		See above on project closing. The project was reinstated with the sponsor acknowledging a Court order to do such. The actual results of this reinstatement was to move the (parent) project grant end date to 07/31/2025 from 04/08/2025.				
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	ct + indirect):			213,173.49	
	Est Cost at Completion	(E\$AC):			213,173.49	
	Total Budget:				197,671.00	
	Variance (Total Budget	minus- E\$AC):			-15,502.49	
	Reason for Variance:		ct's data collection pe		ersaw the mailing, and the d, extending the project	
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo	Dollars Projected for Month: 0.0				
	Actual Dollars Used:				0.01	
	Variance (Projected min	Variance (Projected minus Actual):				
	Reason for Variance:		ran the May 2025 Ac 2025.	tuals. There was o	only \$.01 difference from	
Measures		Units at Comp	ete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:	1575	27%			
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(CARE Military Military (On Tr		ment, Research and Educatio	on (CARE) Consortium 2022 -			
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2				
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	cts					
Budget	Direct Budget: 1	,338,017.70	Indirect Budget: 347,885.00	Total Budget: 1,685,902.70			
Principal	Dr. Steven Brogli	o (U of M Kinesiology)					
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Micheal McCi	rea /Dr. Pasquina (Medica	College of Wisconsin/Uniformed Se	ervices Un)			
	Dr. Thomas McA	Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University School of Medicine)					
Funding Agency	NCAA and DoD						
IRB	HUM#: 0020269	1		Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open			
Project Team	Project Lead: Do	onnalee Ann Grey-Farquh	arson	·			
	Budget Analyst	David Kellermeyer					
	Production Man	ager: Barbara Aghababia	n-Homburg				
	Senior Project A	Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wa	rd				
	Production Man	ager 1: Hongyu Johnson					
	Production Man	ager 2: Keith Liebetreu					
Proposal #	no data						
Description	physical and psyc		raduation to assess health and well- able researchers to study the intermosure.				
	This project has an overall SRO involvement period of 13 months, beginning March 2022, with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months starting mid-March 2022. SRO provides consultation, respondent locating activities and data collection for respondents in the uniformed services sample.						
	complete a study and contact resp conduct approxin follow-up intervie The estimate tota	assessment once over the ordents by phone to prome takely 1,425 telephone into the ws on the web.		respond to invitations to complete udes \$1,131,747.00 direct and			
SRO Project Period	02/2022 - 03/202	3					
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 08/202	3					
Security Plan	NA						
Milestones	Pre Production	Start:	Pretes	t Start:			
	Pretes	st End:	Recruitment	t Start:			
	Staffing Con			Start:			
	SS Train		SS Trai				
		Start:		a. C End:			
Other Project Team Members		arquharson, Barb Hombu		an, Keith Liebetreu, David Ackuaku,			
Other Project Name	CARE-CSI Militar	•					
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)						
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)						
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell]						
DE Software	N/A						
QC Recording Tool	N/A						
Incentive	Yes, R						
Administration	Other (Kinesiolo	gy)					
Payment Type	Check, post (\$15						
		,					
Payment Method	Other (Kinesiolo	,					

Report Period May, 2025 (CARE Military) Closing **Risk Level** On Track **Monthly Updates** Due to a QuesGen system issue production activities were paused on April 16, 2025. On May 8th Steve Broglio informed SRO that due to unresolvable system issues, data collection would not resume. Prior to the stop directive, in an effort to encourage interviewers to continue with the project, a retention bonus had been negotiated for the field team and will be included in their May 30, 2025 paycheck. The bonus is to be the equivalent of 2 weeks of lost wages during the two weeks immediately preceding the hold status. The Researcher has also expressed that CARE would like to pay both field and regular staff a performance bonus and conversations around that is ongoing. We have only had a few completions since the shutdown. At the end of May, we had 22521 military (DCP2 + DCP3) cases and 4395 military cases completed. In Eglin group, we had 204 samples and 21 cases completed. **Special Issues** Cost as of May 31, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 1,684,473.95 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,684,473.95 Total Budget: 1,685,902.70 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 1,428.75 We do not have new funding currently to support the military work. Reason for Variance: However, military work resumed in April 2024 with civilian funds. **Note: In April 2025, we allocated \$33,857.46 to the Military project with the Civilian funds. This brings our cumulative spending on the military project to \$617,727.92 from April 2024 to May 2025. The current spending on Military is reflected the underrun in Civilian funds. Projections as of May 31, **Dollars Projected for Month:** 0.00 2025 Actual Dollars Used: 52,516.66 Variance (Projected minus Actual): -52,516.66 Reason for Variance: Since we are using Civilian funds there are no projections for this account. Measures **Units at Complete** RRHPI **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: Current Actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name	(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion 2022 (On Track)	n Assessment, Research and Ed	ucation (CARE) Consortium		
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web	Total of Modes: 2			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 3,718,978.00	Indirect Budget: 966,936.00	Total Budget: 4,685,914.00		
Principal	Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)				
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of W	/isconsin)			
	Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University	School of Medicine)			
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM# : 00202691		Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open		
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquh	narson			
	Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer				
	Production Manager: Barbara Aghababia	an-Homburg			
	Senior Project Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wa	ard			
	Production Manager 1: Hongyu Johnson				
	Production Manager 2: Keith Liebetreu				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	In 2014, the U.S. Department of Defense (established and funded the Concussion Asscience, clinical care and public policy rela Military Service Academy (MSA) cadets ar MSA cadets/midshipmen and NCAA stude NCAA sports, and military training and oth on over 5,000 concussed cadets/midshipm public-private study is designed to answer structure and function, and factors predicti CARE/SALTOS Integrated (CSI) Study phimpact exposure and concussion/mild trau service members. The data collected in thi	ssessment, Research and Education (Conted to concussion and repetitive head and collegiate student-athletes. Since the ent-athletes from 30 participating collegier recreational activities. In addition, then and athletes – the largest concussion key knowledge gaps around clinical argoutcomes in MSA members and NC ase investigates the nature and causes matic brain injury (mTBI) in former NC is phase will build on that collected in pacating activities and data collection for	CARE) Consortium to inform impact exposure (HIE) in U.S. en, CARE has enrolled >50,000 iate institutions, representing 26 he CARE study has captured data on database of its kind. This nd neurobiological recovery, brain CAA student-athletes. This is of long-term effects of head AA student-athletes and military revious phases		
	Concussion Assessment, Research and E unique past-CARE study participants. Pa points over the five-year project period. The and well-being outcomes and a number of intermediate and cumulative effects of condecentralized field interviewers will locate adata collection questionnaire. SRO will coninvitations to complete follow-up interviews	rticipants will complete the same set of the project follows collegiate athletes por physical and psychological measures cussion and repetitive head impact expand contact respondents by phone to product telephone interviews with particips on the web.	study assessments at two time ost-graduation to assess health to enable researchers to study the cosure. Specifically, SRO prompt them to access the online ants who fail to respond to		
	This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of approximately 44 months over two waves. Wave 1 SRO involvement will begin in December 2021 with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months, beginning approximately May 2022. Wave 2 SRO involvement will begin in November 2023 with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months starting in May 2024.				
	Currently, the total cost for the overall scope of work (based on the currently committed funding from all sources) is estimated at \$4,685,914. This includes \$3,718,978 direct and \$966,936 indirect costs, using the NCAA's published indirect cost rate of 26% (which is being used for all funders). As additional sources of funding are identified and those resources committed to SRO survey data collection activities, or inversely if a funder withdraws or reduces their level of funding to the project, the scope of work (e.g., the number of interviews to be collected) will increase or decrease respectively.				
	The estimate of funding contributed by the includes \$1,807,689 direct costs and \$470 proposed period of support is September 1	,000 indirect costs budgeted at the 269			
SRO Project Period	10/2021 - 08/2026				
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 02/2026				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest S	Start:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	Start:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	Start:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:		

DC End:

DC Start:

Other Project Team Members	Donnalee Grey-Farquharson David Ackuaku, David Kelle	n, Barb Homburg. rmeyer	,			
Other Project Name	CARE CSI, CARE SALTOS	,				
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)					
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)					
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone					
DE Software	N/A					
QC Recording Tool	N/A					
Incentive	Yes, R					
Administration	UM Group (Kinesiology)					
Payment Type	Check, post (\$150.00)					
Payment Method	Check through other system	n (UM)				
Report Period	May, 2025 (CARE SALTOS	MTEC)				Closing
Risk Level	On Track					
Monthly Updates	informed SRO that due to up in an effort to encourage into	nresolvable syste erviewers to conti	m issues, da inue with the	ta collection v project, a ret	would not resention bonus	 On May 8th Steve Broglio sume. Prior to the stop directive had been negotiated for the weeks immediately preceding
	A final performance wage w Production - The Civilian da	·	· ·		are being dis	scussed.
			ed with 6348	completes.		
Special Issues			ed with 6348	completes.		
•	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):	ed with 6348	completes.		4,099,326.5
•	Est Cost at Completion (E.	+ indirect):	ed with 6348	completes.		4,313,113.0
•	Est Cost at Completion (E. Total Budget:	+ indirect): \$AC):	ed with 6348	completes.		4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0
Special Issues Cost as of May 31, 2025	Est Cost at Completion (E.	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC):				4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0
•	Est Cost at Completion (E. Total Budget:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC):	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military proje	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi at to \$617,72' ling on Milital	nd regular sta llocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Ap	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0
Cost as of May 31, 2025 Projections as of May 31,	Est Cost at Completion (E. Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC):	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projec current spend	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi at to \$617,72' ling on Milital	nd regular sta llocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Ap	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 val of field work projections from aff projections after September 857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The
Cost as of May 31, 2025 Projections as of May 31,	Est Cost at Completion (E. Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC):	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projec current spend	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi at to \$617,72' ling on Milital	nd regular sta llocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Ap	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 val of field work projections from aff projections after September 857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The d the underrun amount in
•	Est Cost at Completion (E. Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projec current spend	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi at to \$617,72' ling on Milital	nd regular sta llocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Ap	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 8,857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The determination the desired spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The desired spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025.
Cost as of May 31, 2025 Projections as of May 31,	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mix Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72 ling on Militar	llocated \$33, s brings our 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The d the underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mile Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Montactual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds A retention b until June. Th	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72' ling on Militar	llocated \$33, s brings our 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 val of field work projections from aff projections after September 857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The definition that the underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1 27,594.0 rojected for May but will not hit
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mile Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Montactual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds A retention b until June. Th	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72' ling on Militar	Illocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 8,857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The dithe underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1 27,594.0 rojected for May but will not hit oved forward.
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mix Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Montactual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds A retention b until June. Th	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72' ling on Militar	Illocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 8,857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The dithe underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1 27,594.0 rojected for May but will not hit oved forward.
Cost as of May 31, 2025 Projections as of May 31, 2025	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mile Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Montactual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance: Current Goal:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds A retention b until June. Th	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72' ling on Militar	Illocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 8,857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The dithe underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1 27,594.0 rojected for May but will not hit oved forward.
Cost as of May 31, 2025 Projections as of May 31,	Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mix Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mont Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): th:	The large und June 2025 ar 2025. **Note: In Ma with the Civili military projecurrent spend Civilian funds A retention b until June. Th	derrun is due id beyond, ar y 2025, we a an funds. Thi to \$617,72' ling on Militar	Illocated \$33, s brings our of 7.92 from Apry is reflected	4,313,113.0 4,685,914.0 372,801.0 372,801.0 ral of field work projections from aff projections after September 8,857.46 to the Military project cumulative spending on the ril 2024 to May 2025. The dithe underrun amount in 87,370.1 59,776.1 27,594.0 rojected for May but will not hit oved forward.

Project Name	(CCS) Community College Survey (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget : 560,774.00	Indirect Budget: 84,115.00	Total Budget: 644,889.00
Principal	Hana Lahr (Teachers College, Columbia Ui	niversity)	
Investigator/Clients	Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Colum	nbia University)	
	Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania)		
Funding Agency	Ascendium Education Group		
IRB	HUM#: 00237400		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou		
	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson		
	Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The CCS seeks to understand factors that i	influence first year community college stu	dents in their program choice
Description	We will survey a selection of students enter follow up with them in the second semester recruit 4 community colleges to participate.	ring a community college for the first time (Spring 2024) and their third semester (I	in the fall of 2023 and then
SRO Project Period	01/2023 - 03/2025		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 11/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	<u>.</u>
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	t:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	t:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	! :
	DC Start:	DC End	! :
Other Project Team Members	Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer		
Other Project Name	How Community College Students Choose	Programs of Study	
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)		
Hardware	Desktop		
DE Software	NA .		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$5); Cash, post (\$40); Other	r (Visa electronic gift cards, Amazon gift	rodes)
Payment Method	Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office Teachers Coll)	, , ,	,
Report Period	May, 2025 (CCS)		Planning
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	-Nicole worked on the monthly cost report. -Jeffrey and proposals worked with the CCS project for a fall recontact effort to update of		
Special Issues	-None to report at this time		
Cost as of Jun 10, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		855,229.0

	Total Budget:			644,889.00		
	Variance (Total Budget mi	ariance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):				
	Reason for Variance:	contribu -The cu with only effort. F	 -The true variance after accounting for the funds that Step contributed to CCS is \$217.12 underrun. -The current projected effort is based on the current budg with only projections for Nicole and Kelly without the fall reffort. Funds are now in process, so that the overall budgincreased to allow for the fall recontact. 			
Projections as of Jun 10, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	h:		731.11		
	Actual Dollars Used:	ual Dollars Used:				
	Variance (Projected minus	ected minus Actual):				
	Reason for Variance:	-The R&	RD fee was lower than projected.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(CVFS-SCAN) Chitwa	ın Valley Family	Study - Study on Cogn	nition and A	ging in Nepal (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 368,868.	00	Indirect Budget: 206,571.	.00	Total Budget: 575,439.00
Principal	Dirgha Ghimire (Survey F	Research Center)			
Investigator/Clients	Carlos Mendes de Leon	Georgetown Unive	rsity School of Medicine)		
	Emily Briceno-ABreu, Co	-PI (Michigan Medi	cine)		
Funding Agency	NIH				
IRB	HUM#:				Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Maureen	Joan O'Brien			
	Budget Analyst: Ryan N	leice			
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Char	doul		
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	Environmental Research over 2 waves of interview	– Nepal – ISERN - ⁄ing.		ogramming an	itue for Social and and support for data collection sample management system
	programming and testing				
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 05/2027				
Data Col Period					
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 0			Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End: 1	0/31/2024	Reci	ruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:	12/26/2024
	SS Train Start: 0			SS Train End:	
Other Project Team Members	Technical Lead: Jennie V Programmer Analyst-Blai Programmer Analyst-Sur Programmer Analyst-Wel Database Administrator: Data Manager Specialist: Help Desk: Emmanuel El	Villiams, TSG ise: Peter Sparks veytrak Int: Marsha blog: Ashwin Dey, Lishwu Ke, TSG : Jennie Williams, N	TSG	DC End:	
Other Project Name	HCAP Nepal,				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Project spec	ific system (Weblo	g, QC system)		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop				
DE Software	Blaise 4.8 BIA				
QC Recording Tool	Other (TBD)				
Incentive	Not used				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	N/A				
Payment Method	N/A				
Report Period	May, 2025 (CVFS-SCAN)			Implementing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	Drainet Hadeton				
morning opacios	Project Updates:				

completed Inf interviews, for an overall 57% RR thus far. Part 2 has been finalized and signed off on, and will launch when WBD launches. The Health Assessment has been fully tested and signed off in English, and a few translations remain.

WBD will be tracked via Survey123 and WebLog. Blood tubes will be scanned at the R's home and linked to Surveytrak via a QR code on the View/Edit tab. Scanning the QR code opends a 'survey' in Survey123, and the Iwer scans barcodes from the tubes into the 'survey' on Survey123. A Phlebotomist completes the blood draw. Blood tubes are then walked to a 'mobile unit' (truck) waiting outside the home and the tubes are centrifuged and scanned again into Survey123. The tubes are transported to a lab at ISER-N where the blood is transferred to new tubes and centrifuged again. At this point, tubes are scanned into WebLog. Tubes are then shipped to the BSL at SRC in the US, where tubes will be again scanned into WebLog. WebLog development is almost complete. Both SRO and ISER-N are testing.

There may be a pause in data collection due to an NIH funding review. If so, SRO will complete all remaining Data Mgt and WebLog work by the end of June until funding issues can be resolved.

The data collection end date has not been set, nor the Wave 2 dates. The PI continues to say he needs to discuss dates with the other PIs. These dates are critical to determine a more accurate close is accurate and the PI is aware. The Nepal team has had a difficult time procuring blood tubes.

Special Issues	Blaise programming delays,	Blaise programming delays, Pretest delays,				
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				388,826.28	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	(\$AC):			560,046.28	
	Total Budget:				575,439.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	inus- E\$AC):			15,392.72	
	Reason for Variance:	WO			yet been finalized. We are once final, will likely eliminate	
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	nth:			23,512.21	
	Actual Dollars Used:				27,993.12	
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			-4,480.91	
	Reason for Variance:			ng hours were needed to a data entry program th		
Measures		Units at Con	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(FFCWS) Future of Families and	Child Wellbeing Study (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephore	ne Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,907,209.00	Indirect Budget: 1,628,048.00	Total Budget: 4,535,257.00
Principal	Kathryn Edin (Princeton University)		
Investigator/Clients	Jane Waldfogel (Columbia University)		
	Anna Haskins (University of Notre Dar	ne)	
Funding Agency	Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Instit	ute of Child Health and Human Developme	ent (NICHD)
IRB	HUM# : HUM00255752		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward		
	Budget Analyst: Joseph Zylka		
	Production Manager: Veronica Conne	ors-Burge	
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kr	uger-Ndiaye	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
	over 200,000) between 1998 and 2000. This sampling strategy resulted in the i Mothers were interviewed shortly after interviews have been conducted when data are representative of births in larg. The FFCWS consists of a core survey Additionally, collaborative project contrand children. Beginning with the baseline interviews four questions of great interest to reseat. What are the conditions and capabil 2. What is the nature of the relationship 3. How do children born into these fam 4. How do policies and environmental of the relationship in the strategy of the relationship and capabil 2. What is the nature of the relationship 3. How do children born into these fam 4. How do policies and environmental of the relationship in the strategy of the relationship and the relationship in the strategy of the relationship and the relationship is the relationship and the relationship and the relationship is the relationship and the relationship and the relationship is the relationship and the relationship are relationship and the rel	with mothers, fathers, primary caregivers, a bute questions to the surveys and collect n in 1998-2000, the core study was originally archers and policy makers: ties of unmarried parents, especially father is between unmarried parents? ilies fare? and	oversampled by a ratio of 3 to 1. nic, and low-income families. ospital or by phone. Follow-up 9, 15, and 22. When weighted, the and the child (now a young adult). new data on a subset of parents or designed to primarily address rs?
SRO Project Period	10/2024 - 06/2029		
Data Col Period	01/2026 - 12/2026		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest St	tart:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment St	tart:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT St	tart:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train E	End:
	DC Start:	DC E	End:
Other Project Team Members	Stephanie Chardoul - UM Principal Inv Vanessa Clarke Project Assistant Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Systems and F Jude Perillo Blaise programmer Jim Rodgers MSMS Lead Pam Swanson MSMS programmer Bill Loker Financial/Business Analyst Ian Ogden Technical Project Manager Jennie Williams Data Manager (75%) Ed Green Data Manager (25%) Wen Chang Statistician (lead DMSS ta David Bolt Help Desk	rogramming Lead	
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS; Other (RCLS - loading cases in	st)	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5	,	
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
at Necorality 1001	1973		

Incentive	Yes, R				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (TBD)				
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS); Oth	ner (Venmo, Pay	pal - via Concourse and T	ango (Business Office))	
Report Period	May, 2025 (FFCWS)			Initiation	
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	May 2025 - RG and Lisa Holland have reviewed the PCG (Primary Caregiver) and YA (Young Adult) surveys and passed feedback to Princeton (a methodological review was included in our proposal) Jude (Blaise Programmer) has begun programming a contact info. form - a link will be sent in a Newsletter to the Young Adults. We hope to receive some current contact details via the form Newsletter will be mailed to the YA on 7/31 by MSG (DataForce). They will also be running batch updates on the YA and PCG addresses and will append emails and phone numbers (where found) for cases with missing details Pls have reviewed and approved the proposed contact protocol for non responders along with the suggested incentive plan Princeton agree and are prepared to pay indirect costs on the incentives. (Funding for the incentives was not included in the original proposal as requested by them) Work has begun on MSMS design and set-up for the initial part of the project (locating and recording communications) We have agreed to run two experiments during data collection, to test the following; 1. Positioning of the data linkage consent request in the survey 2. Alternative modes of inviting those with lower literacy levels to participate Fathers will not be included in the sample for year 27 (except those nominated as the primary caregiver (PCG) in year 22). In the RFP we were asked to provide costs to include mothers and fathers. Apparently this was an error. However, Pls are keen that we attempt to interview young adults and PCGs who did not participate in year 22. The additional funds they hope to obtain from NSF will fund this work.				
	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pr However, PIs are keen that we attempt to	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error.	
Special Issues	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pr However, PIs are keen that we attempt to	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error.	
Special Issues Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pr However, PIs are keen that we attempt to	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error.	
·	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to property of the However, PIs are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The	
·	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to produce the However, PIs are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The	
·	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to proper However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	ovide costs to inc interview young	clude mothers and fathers. adults and PCGs who did	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91	
·	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to produce the However, PIs are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget:	Currently projethe costs associed. I have lead to an app	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected parent overrun, based on e	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to the fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will	
·	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to produce the However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	Currently projethe costs associed. I have lead to an app	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected parent overrun, based on e	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to the fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however,	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to produce the However, PIs are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance:	Currently projethe costs associed. I have lead to an app	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected parent overrun, based on e	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds.	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to predominate the However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month:	Currently projethe costs associed. I have lead to an app	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected parent overrun, based on e	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pre However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used:	Currently projethe costs associated to an apprinceton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the costs of the control of the costs of the	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to ne fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17,	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pre However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance:	Currently projethe costs associated to an apprinceton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing the not yet added the projected a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the costs of the control of the costs of the	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94 7,621.00 projected but by team members	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pre However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance:	Currently projected to an app Princeton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing through a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the cover th	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94 7,621.00 projected but by team members as was slower to get going than	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to predome However, PIs are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance:	Currently projected to an app Princeton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing through a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the cover th	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94 7,621.00 projected but by team members as was slower to get going than	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to pre However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance: Units a Current Goal:	Currently projected to an app Princeton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing through a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the cover th	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94 7,621.00 projected but by team members as was slower to get going than	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	year 22). In the RFP we were asked to predome However, Pls are keen that we attempt to additional funds they hope to obtain from Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance: Units a Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	Currently projected to an app Princeton has	ecting a small underrun - tociated with interviewing through a plan to cover the costs of a plan to cover the cover th	Apparently this was an error. not participate in year 22. The 106,619.64 4,348,291.91 4,535,257.00 186,965.09 his is about the equivalent to be fathers, which is now out of ed costs for incentives - this will existing funds, however, using other sources of funds. 51,541.94 43,920.94 7,621.00 projected but by team members as was slower to get going than	

Project Name	(Health and Well Be Wellbeing in Southe		roit Aging and Memory on Track)	/ Project (for	merly Health and
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,409,0	55.00	Indirect Budget: 1,349,0	72.00	Total Budget: 3,758,127.0
Principal	Kristine Ajrouch (Life Co	ourse Development	Program, SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Toni Antonucchi (Life Co	ourse Development	Program, SRC)		
	Laura Zahodne (Life Co	urse Development F	Program, SRC)		
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#: HUM00154638				Period of Approval: 1/17/2025 -1/16/2026
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara	Lohr Ward			
	Budget Analyst: Christ	ine Evanchek			
	Production Manager: \	/eronica Connors-B	urge		
	Senior Project Adviso	r: Nicole G Kirgis			
	Production Manager 1	: Taghreid Lovell			
	Production Manager 2	: lan Ogden			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	and 330 interviews with selected based on an in (content from the Social measurements. Social F	Social Relations sal -person household: Relations interview Relations responden	ed Arab Americans aged 6 mple members aged 65 or occeening. The interview will, a 60 minute cognitive interview will only complete the counterviews will be conducte	older. The Arab Il consist of a 60 erview and a ser gnitive interview	American sample will be of minute core interview ries of physical or An informant interview will
SRO Project Period	05/2019 - 03/2023				
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 03/2024				
Security Plan	No				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	12/01/2022		Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:		Red	cruitment Start: (02/01/2023
	Staffing Complete:	04/10/2023		GIT Start: (05/16/2023
	SS Train Start:	05/18/2023		SS Train End: (05/25/2023
	DC Start:	05/30/2023		DC End: (03/15/2025
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronic Raphael Nishimura, Joh	ca Connors-Burge, N n Gawlas, Valyn Da	Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, A	shwin Dey, Kelly	y Liesko, Peter Sparks,
Other Project Name	Detroit Aging and Memo	ory Project (formerly	Health and Wellbeing in So	outheast Michiga	an)
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	; Paper and Pencil			
DE Software	Other (Weblog)				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Check, post (\$25 Inform (end game for panel)); (R (end game for panel)); Cancentive)	sh, post (\$25 In	formant, \$100 R, \$200 R
Payment Method	Check through STrak R Cash Fund from ISR Bu		ewer payment of cash (reim	bursed/reconcil	ed via Tenrox); Imprest
Report Period	May, 2025 (Health and	Well Being in SE			Closing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	data at the request of th team conducted quality reconciliation and sortin worked on final reports	e research team, ar checks on data befo g, supplies disposal or training, quality c	d continue preparation of a	II data deliverab 'N team continu forms from unu eport, as well as	

We are still anticipating an underrun on D-AMP and Healthy Brain. While we have added hours for weighting staff, we know the weights are going to prove to be difficult given that the study design changed several times during production, and there is scant documentation on the panel cases. The underrun stems from the convenience sample, and the late release of more recent panel cases. Both came in at HPIs that were far lower than anticipated.

Special Issues					
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):			3,702,005.24
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):			3,747,748.48
	Total Budget:				3,758,127.00
	Variance (Total Budget i	minus- E\$AC):			10,378.52
	Reason for Variance:		far lower the sample was HPI. The D HPI for the logging reco	an anticipated, leading to the budgeted at 7.5 hours per 0-AMP CS 2020 panel came historic panel. We do anticipated). We are adjust anticipated). We are adjust	or the D-AMP CS panel came in the underrun. The convenience household, but came in at 4 in at around 6.8 HPI versus 18 ipate a smaller underrun. The ger than anticipated (and more ling projections as we work
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo	onth:			26,237.28
	Actual Dollars Used:				21,612.78
	Variance (Projected min	us Actual):			4,624.50
	Reason for Variance:			ly variance is due to the del well as other staff member	
Measures		Units at	Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	200/400/200 design			9.0 new/ 9.0 panel
	Goal at Completion:	200/400/200	design		
	Current Actual:	255/419/308		39% scrnr; 55% main	8.3 new/18 panel
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				
Other Measures		rmant. We are ru	inning at 4.0	HPI (main plus informant) fo	ne convenience sample was 6.5 or the convenience sample. The

Project Name	(Healthy Brain Proje	ct) Healthy Brai	n Project (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes:		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 985,452	.00	Indirect Budget: 551,854.	00 Total Budget: 1,537,306.00
Principal	Kristine Ajrouch (Resear	rch Center for Grou	p Dynamics, ISR)	
Investigator/Clients	Toni Antonucchi (Life Co	ourse Development	Program, SRC)	
	Laura Zahodne (Life Co	urse Development I	Program, SRC)	
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: HUM00199306			Period of Approval: 8/8/2024 - 8/7/2025
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara l	_ohr Ward		
	Budget Analyst: Christi	ine Evanchek		
	Production Manager: \	/eronica Connors-B	urge	
	Senior Project Advisor	r: Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1.	: Taghreid Lovell		
	Production Manager 2.	: lan Ogden		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	women aged 65+ from the who have participated in The proposed study is in	he Śocial Relations the Detroit Aging a response to PAR-	Study (SRS) (HUM0018745 and Memory Project (D-AMP) 19-070 and will test links bet	ween sociocultural experiences, brain
	blood-based AD biomarl obtains high-quality ADF	ker data in the Detro RD phenotypes and as panel participar	pit-Aging and Memory Project genetic data on those aged	shed by obtaining structural MRI and ct (D-AMP). This funded parent study 65+ from a representative sample of 600 Relations Study (SRS), to compare to non-
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 05/2025			
Data Col Period	07/2024 - 05/2025			
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:			Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:		Reci	ruitment Start:
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:			SS Train End:
	DC Start:			DC End:
•	In addition: Tim Prand, M Goedert, Megan Hromco	·	uqua Smith, Jeff Smith, Ashv	vin Dey, Kelly Lieske, Valyn Dall, Andria
Other Project Name	O Tool			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8			
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone			
DE Software	Other (Weblog)			
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia			
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	SRO Group	. 0400 0 5		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$25 Inform (end game for panel))	ant, \$100 R, \$200 I	≺ (end game for panel)); Cas	h, post (\$25 Informant, \$100 R, \$200 R
Payment Method	Check through STrak RI	Pay System; Intervi	ewer payment of cash (reimb	oursed/reconciled via Tenrox)
Report Period	May, 2025 (Healthy Brai	n Project)		Closing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	D-AMP project overall, in	ncluding data QC, r		ay consisted of close out activities on the kets, clean up of study supplies, and work n in earnest until June.

We are projecting an underrun on Healthy Brain. The HPI for the convenience sample came in at 4.0 versus 7.5 budgeted. In addition, the D-AMP CS 2020 panel (the new panel) came in at 6.8 HPI vs. 18 for the historic (and highly resistant) panel.

Special Issues					
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):			1,431,031.3
	Est Cost at Completion (Es	\$AC):			1,512,054.2
	Total Budget:				1,537,306.0
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):			25,251.7
	Reason for Variance:		convenience sa the D-AMP CS for the historic (s 7.5 budgeted. In addition, l) came in at 6.8 HPI vs. 18
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:			78,041.2
	Actual Dollars Used:				56,647.8
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			21,393.4
	Reason for Variance:		delayed and wil		staff members charged less to work on other projects, o
Measures		Units at Co	mplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				
Other Measures	The Healthy Brain Project do AMP. Those completes will f D-AMP for production progr	eed into the Hea		,	nelp increase completes on Door Healthy Brain. Please see

Project Name	(Hospitals Sharing Data) Hospitals Sharing Patie	nt Data (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	
Budget	Direct Budget: 130,484.00 Indirect Budg	get: 73,070.00 Total Budget: 203,554.00
Principal	Kayte Spector-Bagdady (UM Medical School)	
Investigator/Clients		
Funding Agency	NIH	
IRB	HUM#: HUM00251017	Period of Approval: IRB Exempt
Project Team	Project Lead: Erin McSpadden	
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher	
	Production Manager: Lisa J Carn	
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye	
	Production Manager 1:	
	Production Manager 2:	
Proposal #	no data	
	hospital chief information officers and data administrators fr United States. The goal is to collect surveys from 50% of s survey administered via Qualtrics. Targeted representatives a QR code to complete a web survey along with a \$50 toke complete the survey will receive a reminder letter with a par return the paper survey using a self-addressed stamped en any targeted representative who still has not completed the SSL will have the ability to complete CATI interviewers with updating and filling in any missing contact information from WebSMS. The web survey will launch in Februrary 2025 w collection will end during the month of June 2025. This res IRB.	sampled hospitals. The survey is a 15-minute web is at each hospital will be mailed an invitation letter with en of appreciation. Targeted individuals who do not ipper copy of the survey with the option to complete it annuelope. the SSL will be completing reminder calls to e survey after the reminder protocol. Interviewers in the nany targeted contact reached by phone, as well as the sample. SSL sample will be managed using with the SSL effort launching in March 2025. Data
SRO Project Period	12/2024 - 09/2025	
Data Col Period	02/2025 - 06/2025	
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 02/01/2025	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 01/24/2025
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:
	SS Train Start: 03/06/2025	SS Train End : 03/06/2025
	DC Start: 02/25/2025	DC End: 06/30/2025
Other Project Team Memb	ers	
Other Project Name		
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS	
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)	
Hardware	Desktop; Paper and Pencil; Other (SSL Phones)	
DE Software	Other (Qualtrics)	
QC Recording Tool	N/A	
Incentive	Yes, INF	
Administration	UM Group (Payments handled by PI's research staff)	
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$50)	
Payment Method	Other (Cash payments from HSIP handled by PI's research	h staff)
Report Period	May, 2025 (Hospitals Sharing Data)	Implementing
Report Period	May, 2025 (Hospitals Sharing Data) On Track	Implementing

	allow some additional time for participants to complete the survey if they missed previous reminders or voicemails due to summer out of the office time. The study completed an additional reminder mailing with a NMI token (pen) mailed on 6/5/2025. SSL will spend the remainder of the month completing reminder calls and letting targeted respondents at hospitals know that we will be ending data collection soon. To date there have been 183 completed survey responses and 28 partial responses. The original goal was for 316 responses (50%) response rate. We are now aiming for 200 completed survey responses (around 32% response rate).						
Special Issues	Using previous projected bu mailing.	udget underrun on a	additional S	SL reminder calling he	ours and an addition	nal reminder	
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):				135,483.91	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	\$AC):				202,455.07	
	Total Budget:					203,554.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):					
	Reason for Variance: Project is running just about at cost. The previous us to complete an additional reminder mailing along wind reminder calling hours						
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	5					37,425.56	
	Actual Dollars Used:				37,640.10		
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):					-214.54	
	Reason for Variance:	p		ng close to budget. Anth "hit" costs for this			
Measures		Units at Cor	mplete	RR		HPI	
	Current Goal:	200		32%	N/A		
	Goal at Completion:	316		50%	N/A		
	Current Actual:	183		29%			
	Estimate at Complete:	200		32%			
	Variance:						
Other Measures							

Project Name	(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Healt	h and Retirement Study 2022 Ma	in Interviews (On Track)		
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 13,982,815.00	Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00	Total Budget: 19,016,630.00		
Principal	David Weir (ISR-SRC)				
nvestigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM# : HUM000611128		Period of Approval: 6/7/2023-6/6/2024		
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou				
	Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer				
	Production Manager: Andrea Sims				
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis				
	Production Manager 1: Jennifer C Arrieta				
	Production Manager 2: Theresa Camelo				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is The study includes a representative sample waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to series of physical measures and bio-marke a self-administered questionnaire. Additionarequested.	of people aged 50 years and older in t 55 are screened in to the study to maint rs are collected with half of all living res	he U.Ś Every six years (three ain a representative sample. A pondents each wave as well as		
SRO Project Period	01/2021 - 07/2025				
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 07/2025				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 01/01/2021 Pretest Start: 11/01/2021				
	Pretest End: 11/23/2021	Pretest End: 11/23/2021 Recruitment Start: 08/01/2021			
	Staffing Complete: 01/15/2022	GIT Sta	art: 02/21/2021		
	SS Train Start: 02/23/2022	SS Train Er	nd: 03/03/2022		
	DC Start: 03/07/2022	DC Er	nd: 07/26/2025		
Other Project Team Members	Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Gary Heir Buageila (Project Manager), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project (Project Manager), Kristen Cross (Project Assistant), Edwina Yang (Project Assistant) Tech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, J Swanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie V	n (Project Manager), Erin McSpadden (I (Project Assistant), Paul Burton (Stats/ Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assis assistant), Cindy Huang (Budget Analys) im Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha Skor	Project Manager), Daniah Sampling), Vanessa Clarke tant), Anthony Romanowski t), Andria Goedert (Project man, Ashwin Dey, Pam		
	Empie, Kelly Chatain, Brianna Sabol				
	Coding Lead: Carolyn Vieira-Martinez				
Other Project Name	HRS 2022 Main Iws				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5; SAQ				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil				
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); Extern	al vendor (DataForce)			
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$80 (Panel)); Check, post	(\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SSA)); Cash, post (\$2	20 (SAQ), \$100 (Baselines))		
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System; Check	through other system (Rpay system sea Tenrox) (Rpay system set up for MSM			

Report Period	May, 2025 (HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Implementing					
Risk Level	On Track					
Monthly Updates	1. The project team has been working on baseline production monitoring, sample management, logging, weekly mailings and scanning(SSA and SAQ), payment and letter request processing, and implementing the baseline endgame protocols. 2. Regular data manager tasks to support production activities and continued technical support related to DCA performance issues and a Blaise DIM upload bug affecting web non-response interviewers in MSMS. 3. Interviewers exceeded expectations for hours and baseline interviews during the month. 4. Field Strategies: -Priority sample: To date, 12,943 cases have been flagged priority of which 3,589 (28%) completed interviews. -Endgame sample: To date, 11,545 cases were mailed the endgame letter of which 1,510 (13%) completed interviews. -Web baseline: 619 cases were mailed the web invite. To date, 37 (6%) completed the web survey. *The "measures" table reflects Panel and Baseline combined as of 6/14/2025. Breakdown of Panel and Baseline counts and rates in Other Measures Field.					
Special Issues	shortfall to projecting 47 int have sustained a lower HP endgame protocol has help	We estimate completing 4,547 EGenX iws (47 above the 4500 goal). We went from projecting a 350 interviews shortfall to projecting 47 interviews over the goal. The sampling projections were made using a 28 HPI, but we have sustained a lower HPI with the endgame design, which limits the number of attempts. Similarly, the screener endgame protocol has helped sustain a low HPS, and the final release of the ~16,000 sample lines in January 2025, helped provide the conditions for higher production.				
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 18,090,27				
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 18,090,272					
	Total Budget: 19,016,630.0					
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 926,357.3					
	Reason for Variance: This budget is for the Panel sample but the month milestones include baseline iws. The New Cohort HRS 2022 Screening MPR.					
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month: -81.60					
	Actual Dollars Used: 376.8					
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): -458.4					
	Reason for Variance:	Minimal Va	ariance			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	20,879	51%	12.8		
	Goal at Completion:	22,215	44%	8.3		
	Current Actual:	20,942	51%	12.7		
	Estimate at Complete:	20,912	42%	9.8		
	Variance:	1,303	2%	1.5		
Other Measures	-MOC: Goal: 2,000 iws; Find -2019 EGenX baselines: Go	reener: Goal: 4,003 iws; Curre al: 2,047 iws (36.4% RR). End al: 468 iws, Final: 497 iws (74 % (original goal 74%), Final: 1	date 11/15/2024 4.4% RR). End date 5/29/2	024		

Project Name	(HRS 2024) Health and Reti	irement Study 2024 (Some Concerns)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Total of Mode	es: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget : 15,740,049.00	Indirect Budget: 5,666,419.00	Total Budget: 21,406,468.00
Principal	David Weir (ISR-SRC)		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#: HUM000611128		Period of Approval: 6/7/2023-6/6/2024
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou		
	Budget Analyst: David Kellerme	eyer	
	Production Manager: Andrea S	ims	
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole	G Kirgis	
	Production Manager 1: Derek [Dubuque	
	Production Manager 2: Jennife	r C Arrieta	
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The study includes a representativaves) a new cohort of people a series of physical measures and	ly (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted tive sample of people aged 50 years and older in aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to main bio-markers are collected with half of all living researched. Additionally, permission to link to Social Securion.	the U.Ś Every six years (three ntain a representative sample. A spondents each wave as well as
SRO Project Period	05/2023 - 12/2025		
Data Col Period	05/2024 - 08/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 05/15/202	23 Pretest S	tart: 01/29/2024
	Pretest End: 02/18/202	24 Recruitment S	tart: 12/19/2023
	Staffing Complete: 03/15/202	24 GIT S	tart: 04/22/2024
	SS Train Start: 04/23/2024		End: 04/29/2024
	DC Start: 05/13/202	24 DC I	End: 08/30/2025
Other Project Team Members	McSpadden (Project Manager), I (Stats/Sampling), Vanessa Clark Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assistant), Andria Shimoura Goe Tech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Je	r), Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Gary F Daniah Buageila (Project Manager), Janet McBric te (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Manatt Assistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Manatedert (Project Assistant), Kirsten LoDuca (Project Manatedert (Project Assistant), Kirsten LoDuca (Project Smith, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha Skon, Jennie Williams, Rose Zybdel, Stephanie Windabol, Kelly Lieske, Asia Paige	de (Project Assistant), Paul Burtor nager), Chelsea Graham (Project ager), Edwina Yang (Project Assistant) oman, Ashwin Dey, Pam
Other Project Name	HRS 2024 Panel		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5; SAQ		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper a	and Pencil	
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application	on); External vendor (DataForce)	
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$100 (Interview) (SAQ), \$100/\$150 Endgame))); Check, post (\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SSA), \$100/\$15	50 Endgame); Cash, post (\$20
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay Syst Cash Fund from ISR Business C	tem; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/red office	conciled via Tenrox); Imprest
Report Period	May, 2025 (HRS 2024)		Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	-HRS 2024 activities continued v	with cost projections, payment processing, letter r	equest processing, endgame

mailings, coding, and logging activities.

- -The PIs reviewed the cost estimate for extending the field period, along with information on the viability of the sample/level of effort remaining, and decided to extend data collection until the end of August.
- -Five interviewers moved from HRS 2022 to HRS 2024.
- -Interviewers exceeded hours projections every week of May except for the last week. This is most likely due to the holiday weekend. Interviewers were above the interview goal every week in May. HPI was slightly higher than projected for three weeks and lower than projected for two weeks. In addition, 53 web self interviews were completed during the month.
 - Current Field Strategies:
- 1. Prioritization based on Influence Measure (IM)
- 2. Mode switch to TEL for all pref mode FTF cases

 2. Mode switch to TEL for eFTF cases that have reached 6+ attempts
- 3. End game offer implemented on 4/14/25. HRS PIs assigned eligibility flag for the web sweep protocol to 2963 Rs from 2034 HHs. Households in which at least 1 R had that reach 12+ attempts are randomized at 50% fraction into either 1) a Web Iw offer, or 2) field interviewer offer increased incentive endgame protocol. All groups were split 50-50 and offered either \$100 or \$150 to compete the interview. All flagging was done at the household level.

Measures in table below are as of 6/14/25 (week 57).

Special Issues						
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):			17,89	97,679.22
	Est Cost at Completion (I	E\$AC):			20,56	64,444.21
	Total Budget:				21,40	06,468.00
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):			84	12,023.79
	Reason for Variance:				CRS to reflect the May 28 d 4 field period to August 30t	
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mod	Dollars Projected for Month: 1,280,3				
	Actual Dollars Used:		1,187,883.10			7,883.16
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):	92,431.1			2,431.10
	Reason for Variance:	C	thers (Data	aForce) and interviewe such as fewer hours th	lary categories for Services r bonuses, as well as a few an projected charged by	
Measures		Units at Cor	mplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	13,480		59.1%	10.0	
	Goal at Completion:	15,802		70%*	9.3	
	Current Actual:	13,851		60.8%	10.0	
	Estimate at Complete:	14,838**		66%**	10.4**	
	Variance:	964		4%	-1.1	
Other Measures	*Budgeted goal RR: 70% RI **Based on ending data co		25			

Project Mode	(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 202 Primary: Face to Face Secondar	y: Telephone Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	y. relephone rotal of wodes. 5	
Budget	Direct Budget: 21,264,149.00	Indirect Budget: 7,655,093.00	Total Budget: 28,919,242.00
Principal	David Weir (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Helen Levy (SRC)		
	Ken Langa (SRC)		
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou		
	Budget Analyst: Richard Warren K	rause	
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G K	ürgis	
	Production Manager 1: Andrew L H	Чирр	
	Production Manager 2: Theresa Ca	amelo	
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The study includes a representative waves) a new cohort of US residents 2004, the early baby boomers were cohort was added as well as a minor	IRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducte sample of US residents aged 50 years and ole aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to screened in and completed a baseline interviently oversample of both early and mid-baby both group 1 of the early generation x cohort will be	lder. Every six years (three o maintain representativeness. Ir ew. In 2010, the mid baby boome oomers. In 2016, the late baby
SRO Project Period	02/2021 - 08/2025		
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 07/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest S	tart:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment St	tart:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	tart:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train E	End:
	DC Start: 04/19/2022	DC E	End:
Other Project Team Memb Other Project Name	ers		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other ((Blaise)	Case Management App (CMA))	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and	Pencil	
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 web instrument); N/A		
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia; N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post; Cash, prepaid (\$2); Ca	sh, post	
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System; Cash Fund from ISR Business Office	Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/rede	conciled via Tenrox); Imprest
Report Period	May, 2025 (HRS2022-Screening)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Screening continues at a steady pac 01/05/25 - 06/14/25 Hours projected: 23,528 Hours worked: 24,830 (106%)	e. We have been largely above projections in	2025.
	Screening goal: 6,639 Screening actual: 8,823 in-person (1	33%) + 1,152 web	

Page 28 of 88

				cases have completed a s completed in-person. Flagging of		
Special Issues						
Cost as of Jun 13, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):		33,901,507.99		
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		34,881,299.89		
	Total Budget:			28,919,242.00		
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		-5,962,057.89		
	Reason for Variance: Projections have been entered through July 2025 (projection of we would meet the baseline production goal).					
Projections as of Jun 13, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo	nth:		793,029.98		
	Actual Dollars Used:		793,100.31			
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): -70.33					
	Reason for Variance:	Variance I	argely due to more hours	and travel than budgeted.		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	7,017/3,645 HHs	73%	3.0		
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:	8,334/3,890	53.9%	2.87		
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(LHMS 2023 Fall) Life History Mail S	tudy Fall 2023 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 371,587.00	Indirect Budget: 133,772.00	Total Budget: 505,359.00
Principal	David Weir (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Jaqui Smith (SRC)		
Funding Agency	NIH		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00106904		Period of Approval: 9/29/2023-9/28/2024
Project Team	Project Lead: Gary Hein		
	Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao		
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou		
	Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2: Ruth B Philippou		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The HRS Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) is collect retrospective life histories of HRS parallel events, residential location, and education of understand how individuals' pasts shape the A paper questionnaire will be mailed to a sar approximately 2,485 completed surveys are respondents have been designated to receive reminders by phone to complete the attempt to complete the 60-minute interview return a completed questionnaire.	articipants to address multidisciplinary ne over the entire life course. Information like eir health and economic situations today ample of approximately 4,601 HRS Resp e expected (54% response rate). For the ve a reminder by postcard. The remaini e questionnaire. When a respondent is r	ped for information about the this allows researchers to the condents. From this sample, the reminder protocol, 272 and 4,329 respondents will eached by phone, SRO will
SRO Project Period	09/2023 - 04/2024		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 02/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 09/01/2023	Pretest Sta	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	rt:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train En	d:
	DC Start: 10/05/2023		d : 02/29/2024
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name	LHMS Fall		
Sample Mgmt System	SMS		
Data Col Tool	SAQ; Other (Blaise SMS)		
Hardware	Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Penci	İ	
DE Software	Other (Weblog)		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$25)		
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System		
Report Period	May, 2025 (LHMS 2023 Fall)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		ı -
	- *:*:		

Monthly Updates	Finance is billing all LHMS	Finance is billing all LHMS activity to the to Spring shortcode. Fall shortcode being kept open for voided checks.				
Special Issues	N/A					
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):			262,548.92	
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):			262,548.92	
	Total Budget:				505,359.00	
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):			242,810.08	
	Reason for Variance:				meet original projections and lower resulting in lower costs across the	
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month: 0.00					
	Actual Dollars Used: 3.17					
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): -3.17					
	Reason for Variance: Small transfer					
Measures		Units at 0	Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	2063		54%	N/A	
	Goal at Completion:	2063		54%	N/A	
	Current Actual:	671		17%	N/A	
	Estimate at Complete:	671		17%	N/A	
	Variance:	1392		37%	N/A	
Other Measures	N/A	'				

Project Name	(LHMS 2023 Spring) Life History Ma	ail Study Spring 2023 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 293,540.00	Indirect Budget: 164,382.00	Total Budget: 457,922.00
Principal	David Weir (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Jaqui Smith (SRC)		
Funding Agency	NIH		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00106904		Period of Approval: 11/4/2022-11/3/2023
Project Team	Project Lead: Gary Hein		
	Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao		
	Production Manager: William Keating		
	Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leisson	ı	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2: William Keating		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The HRS Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) collect retrospective life histories of HRS pevents, residential location, and education understand how individuals' pasts shape the A paper questionnaire will be mailed to a sapproximately 1,242 completed surveys at respondents have been designated to recreceive reminders by phone to complete the	articipants to address multidisciplinary nover the entire life course. Information lineir health and economic situations toda ample of approximately 2,288 HRS Rese expected (54% response rate). For the live a reminder by postcard. The remain	eed for information about ke this allows researchers to y. pondents. From this sample, e reminder protocol, 495 ing 1,793 respondents will
	attempt to complete the 60-minute intervier return a completed questionnaire.		
SRO Project Period	04/2023 - 12/2023		
Data Col Period	06/2023 - 09/2023		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 04/01/2023	Pretest Sta	nrt:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	nrt:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start: 07/11/2023	SS Train E	nd: 07/11/2023
	DC Start: 06/20/2023	DC Ei	nd: 09/26/2023
Other Project Team Members	Gary Hein: Project Lead Cindy Tsao: Budget Analyst Vanessa Clarke: Project Assistant Carolyn Viera Martinez: Coding Lead		
Other Project Name	LHMS Spring		
Sample Mgmt System	SMS		
Data Col Tool	SAQ; Other (Blaise SMS)		
Hardware	Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pen		
DE Software	Other (Weblog)		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$25)		
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System		
	2 ay oyololii		
Report Period	May, 2025 (LHMS 2023 Spring)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		

	1 - Monthly budget projection meeting with financial analyst2 - LHMS update meeting with HRS staff3 - Coding by SRO Staff					
Special Issues	Finance wants to bill curre	nt/future fall activities to	he spring shortcode			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):		238,900.68		
	Est Cost at Completion (I	E\$AC):		255,207.73		
	Total Budget:			457,922.00		
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		202,714.27		
	Reason for Variance:	resulti have a respor	ng in generally lower costs also been added to the projuse rate was 54% but actua	nan budgeted sample of 2,288, across all resources. Check voids ections. In addition, the budgeted all response rate is much lower as the from past waves of LHMS.		
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month: 2,683.42					
	Actual Dollars Used:					
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): 548.70					
	Reason for Variance:	Staff o	oding hours lower than pro	jected.		
Measures		Units at Comple	te RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	1053	54%	N/A		
	Goal at Completion:	1053	54%	N/A		
	Current Actual:	358	18%	N/A		
	Estimate at Complete:	358	18%	N/A		
	Variance:	695	36%	N/A		
Other Measures	N/A		1			

Project Name	(LHMS 2025 Spring) Life Histo	ory Mail Study Spring 2025 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mail Secondary: Web	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 358,193.00	Indirect Budget: 200,588.00	Total Budget: 558,781.00
Principal	David Weir (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Jacqui Smith (SRC)		
	Brady West (SRC)		
Funding Agency	NIH		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00246463		Period of Approval: 5/28/2025-5/27/2026
Project Team	Project Lead: Gary Hein		
	Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia	Leissou	
	Production Manager 1: Chelsea G	Graham	
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	share significant events that shaped school, and what important events of	irement Study (HRS). The goal of LHMS is to d their lives, reflect on their life history and shapeccurred in their lives. Information like this allowealth and economic situations today.	are where they have lived, went to
	approximately 3600 HRS Responde (44% response rate). For the remini- paper questionnaire, and a reminde the survey over the web. The surve	e and a \$25 check as a token of appreciation of antisection of the sample, approximately 1509 coder protocol, all respondents will receive a renew postcard. Approximately 400 respondents well be programmed in Blaise and managed will (when email address is available), packet we	ompleted surveys are expected ninder mailing that includes the ill be mailed an invitation to take in WSMS. The web reminder
SRO Project Period	03/2025 - 12/2025		
Data Col Period	06/2025 - 09/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 03/01/2025	Pretest S	tart:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	tart:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train I	End:
	DC Start: 06/30/2025	DC I	End : 09/30/2025
Other Project Team Members	Gary Hein: Project Lead Cindy Tsao: Budget Analyst Chelsea Graham: Project Assistant Carolyn Viera Martinez: Coding Lea		
Other Project Name	LHMS Spring		
Sample Mgmt System	Project specific system (WSMS)		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; SAQ		
Hardware	Desktop; Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	Other (Weblog)		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$25)		
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System	1	
-			
Demont Device	Mary 0005 (141140 0005 0 1 1 1		landar d
Report Period	May, 2025 (LHMS 2025 Spring)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		

Monthly Updates

LHMS 2025 Spring Activities for May:

- 1- Created materials and drafted language for IRB application.
 2- Technical development (meetings/programming/testing/reporting) for Pen/Paper SAQ (Weblog/Webtrak) and Web (WSMS, Blaise) modes
 3- Weekly LHMS Web development meeting with HRS tech team
 4- Monthly LHMS update meeting with HRS leadership
 5- Monthly SRO budget meeting
 6- Meetings with Dataforce to discuss mailing/data collection protocols and project related costs

Special Issues						
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):		28,193.54		
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		547,243.80		
	Total Budget:			558,781.00		
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		11,537.20		
	Reason for Variance:	High usage	staff (SSS, SSI) have sligh	itly lower rate than budgeted.		
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo.	Dollars Projected for Month:				
	Actual Dollars Used:			22,164.52		
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):		-15,236.63		
	Reason for Variance:	Technical a forward.	nd development hours not	hitting until June. Hours pushed		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	1658	44%	N/A		
	Goal at Completion:	1658	44%	N/A		
	Goal at Completion: Current Actual:	1658 N/A	44% N/A	N/A N/A		
	·					

Project Name	(MTF Base Year 20	22_27) Monitorin	g the Future Base Year 202	22-2027 (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Class SAQ	Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 6,267,9	988.00	Indirect Budget: 3,510,072.0	Total Budget: 9,778,060.00
Principal	Richard Miech (SRC)			
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency	National Institute on Dr	ug Abuse, one of th	e National Institutes of Health.	
IRB	HUM#: 00217920			Period of Approval: from 7/20/22 No CR
Project Team	Project Lead: Rebecca	a Gatward		
	Budget Analyst: Dear	E Stevens		
	Production Manager:	Margaret Lavanger		
	Senior Project Adviso	or: Shonda R Kruge	r-Ndiaye	
	Production Manager	1:		
	Production Manager 2	2:		
Proposal #	no data			
	Institutes of Health (NIII is based on two interests) and (SRO interviewers) cook however, the option is a (b) panels of high schomembers aged 19-30 as ample members are searly in the year a new targets these panel me spring and in around Jumembers are recruited	H), and conducted beconnected series of noual in-school survivordinate and administrational available for the survivordinate aged 1 graduates aged 1 are invited to participent questionnaires weletter is mailed to mbers and others when a telephone nor from the 12th grade	y the University of Michigan. surveys using nationally represe eys of 8th, 10th, and 12th grade ster the data collection in schools vey to be conducted without the 9-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 hate every other year/asked to comail and web) at five-year intervipanel members. If the newsletter ho have not participated for X year.	rs (~45,000) in 400 schools. Proctors is (the majority are conducted FTF proctor visiting the school). (now primarily surveyed by web). Panel complete a web survey and the older val. The MTF panel study has three parts er is returned (undelivered) locating effort ears. The web panel launches (web) in e invited to participate. The panel ear study.
SRO Project Period	04/2022 - 03/2027			
Data Col Period	04/2022 - 03/2027			
Security Plan	Yes			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pr	etest Start:
	Pretest End:	•	Recruit	ment Start:
	Staffing Complete:	<u>'</u>		GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:	<u>'</u>	ss	Train End:
	DC Start:			DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Ed Green (+Brad Good	lwin) Data Manage k, WebTrak and MT		
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Web SMS			
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)			
Hardware	Laptop; Tablet; [UM ce	IIJ Phone		
DE Software	Other (Qualtrics)			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, Other (Honorariun	n paid to school by	MTF Research staff)	
	ISR Group			
Administration	·			
Administration Payment Type Payment Method	NA Check through other sy			

Report Period	May, 2025 (MTF Base Year 2022 27)	Implementing
·		implementing
Risk Level	On Track	
Monthly Updates	May 2025 The 2025 wave of data collection concluded on 6/3. In total, 24,484 students completed the MTF survey with an overa usual, by grade (8th 86%, 10th 82% and 12th 76%). The number of schools completed this year is 276 which was lowed few factors that affected response, including; some hesitation at the any unwanted attention or repercussions. This year the MTF team tripled some newly designed recruitment.	er than last year (n=286). It seems like there are a he district and school level to participate to avoid

- HPI could be due to a number and mix of a few factors:
 higher number of interviewers than recent years and higher proportion of new to MTF interviewers.
- during our first week of data collection the HPI was very high due to numerous postponed school visits due to the weather one school was completed that week at a HPI of 295 hours. We didn't really begin data collection fully until the following week.

wave with different designs/text. The PI is also keen to increase the team of recruiters so they can make more calls

The HPI (per school) is slightly higher than last year - 23.6 compared to 21.2 last year. The reason for the higher

- Interviewers made more face to face visits to hard to reach schools this year than last.
- One of the reasons for staffing more interviewers this year was to enable us to provide more 'assistants' to the lead interviewer at larger schools. This was particularly important in the 12th grade schools where cards and pencils are distributed at the beginning of the session. In schools that are administering the survey in classes it is important to have the assistants to help introduce the survey and talk about the 'login cards' which are also used to collect contact details for students. We assigned assistants at more schools and a greater number at those schools another factor that could have contributed to a higher HPI.
- Interviewers may have been assigned fewer schools (on average).

to schools and start with a larger pool of approved schools.

I plan to investigate each possible source of the increased HPI and will provide my findings.

We are currently preparing for the FTF school recruitment effort that will begin late July (four interviewers). The MTF full Base Year will begin pre-production for the next wave in late August.

Special Issues		
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	4,257,848.08
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	6,950,351.32
	Total Budget:	9,778,060.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	2,827,708.68

Reason for Variance:

Projections for Funding period 2022-2027 (latest client report has been uploaded to MPR)

Current projections result in an under spend for the five year grant period. The projected costs are based on completion of 325 schools in years 2024 – 2027 (we were projecting costs for 400 schools) and all in-person. Illume charges have been removed from projections from 2024 onwards. A portion of the hours for work involved in transitioning MTF surveys to Qualtrics are being charged to the Illume recharge account.

Primary reason for the under spend and plans for SRO funding: Projections for the five year period are based on current scope of work and the adapted protocol (introduced in 2021). The budget prepared for the proposal was based on the pre-pandemic design. The adapted protocol brings savings in the following categories: interviewer hours, travel, shipping and staff time (specifically hours required for loading and preparing tablets for shipping). For the past few years the number of schools recruited and passed to SRO has been lower than the number used for this budget (n=390).

In response, MTF (SRC) have reduced our funding for the first two years. We have received (from MTF) \$1,843,080 (Y1) and \$1,143,890 (Y2) a total reduction of \$815,581. Going forward, the current plan is to fund Year 3 and 4 based on the budgeted amount - if there is significant underspending MTF will reduce SRO's Year 5 award. SRO Finance group feels that we should continue to report/monitor using the original budgeted amount because this is not an official reduction in budget. We are keeping the client (via Nick Prieur) informed of actual and projected spend through a monthly cost report.

Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:	298,713.34
	Actual Dollars Used:	303,491.35

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

-4,778.01

	.,
	y and non-salary.
Measures	HPI

Project Name			e Future: A Cohort-Sequer ent #1 (8/10th Grade Pane	ntial Panel Study of Drug Use, I) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Web			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget : 184,954.00)	Indirect Budget: 103,575.00	Total Budget: 288,529.00
Principal	Megan Patrick (ISR, SRC)			
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: 00244359			Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ar	nn Grey-Farquha	rson	
	Budget Analyst: Dean E S	Stevens		
	Production Manager:			
	Senior Project Advisor: R	Rebecca Gatward		
	Production Manager 1: Ho	ongyu Johnson		
	Production Manager 2:			
Proposal #	no data			
Description	in 2023 wave baseline recruestimated sample size of 60 calling will be carried out or This budget assumes an over the control of the carried out or the carried out of the carried out of the carried out or the carried out of the carried out o	uited samples. SF 00 cases for two v n the early panel s verall SRO involve	RO will launch the 2024 and 202 wave of data collection. Although cample. ement period of 20 months com	aders who were 8th and 10th graders 5 Web survey data collections with an h originally proposed, no reminder mencing in March 2024 with the data in May 2024 (for Wave 1) and May
	After receiving parental co Students on individual sol Data Collection Invitation email	onsent, students hedule, programn s, 2 weeks apart ceived.	ned in WebSMS	his/her own schedule based on when
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 12/2025			
Data Col Period	04/2024 - 08/2025			
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pret	test Start:
	Pretest End:		Recruitm	ent Start:
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:		SS T	rain End:
	DC Start:			DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Rebecca Gatward (SPA), D Brad Goodwin, Edward Gre		rquharson (Lead), Hueichun Pe	ng, Shaowei Sun, Hongyu Johnson,
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS			
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)			
Hardware	NA			
DE Software	NA			
QC Recording Tool	NA			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	ISR Group (MTF Staff)			

Payment Type	Other (Tango Card)						
Payment Method	Other (Check mailed MTF S	staff)					
Report Period	May, 2025 (MTF Early Pane	May, 2025 (MTF Early Panel Pilot) Implementing					
Risk Level	On Track	On Track					
Monthly Updates	The current wave of 2025 datesting for the MTF research once we receive the parent ostudents have completed the	team before launching the poonsent. We have a total of 3	roduction on time. We send	the survey to the student			
Special Issues							
Cost as of May 31, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct -	- indirect):		184,562.81			
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$	SAC):		284,758.39			
	Total Budget:			288,529.00			
	Variance (Total Budget mil	nus- E\$AC):		3,770.61			
	Reason for Variance:	Staff have n	ot charged full projected hou	rs.			
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	h:		8,903.15			
	Actual Dollars Used:			8,786.72			
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		116.43			
	Reason for Variance:	A total of sm actually hits	nall variances in the projected	d hourly rates and what			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI			
	Current Goal:						
	Goal at Completion:						
	Current Actual:						
	Estimate at Complete:						
	Variance:						

Project Name	(MTF Panel			
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	2,496,935.00	Indirect Budget: 1,398,282.00	Total Budget: 3,895,217.0
Principal	Megan Patrick ((UM-SRC)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: 0021792	20		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquh	arson	
	Budget Analys	t: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Ma	nager: Lloyd Fate Heming	way	
	Senior Project	Advisor: Rebecca Gatwar	d	
	Production Ma	nager 1:		
	Production Ma	nager 2: Hongyu Johnson		
Proposal #	no data			
	Institutes of Heasurveys student MTF Panel conductor web surveys to participants are	alth (NIH), and conducted be is in schools, and MTF Pan ducts data collection betwe Qualtrics. We also use We invited to complete a surve	month. The survey is funded by the NII by the University of Michigan. MTF has el surveys adults across the lifespan. en April and October each year. In 202 bSMS, RLM, LabSMS and Blaise for the by with push-to-web followed by paper	two linked projects, MTF Main 24 MTF moved from DatStat.Illume he project. Each year over 20,000 survey modes. Invitations are
	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We	ent throughout the data colonse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette by the USPS are sent for loc	is in May and runs through August. Se lection window. Both the separately further integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. ts whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use
SPO Project Period	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information.	ent throughout the data columnse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsletted the USPS are sent for loop besite to delivery newsletted.	lection window. Both the separately fur e integrated with the standard MTF act e 10 survey forms that was reduced from ers each year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Feb	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. ts whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use
SRO Project Period	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 date Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20	ent throughout the data columnse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsletter to delivery newsletter newslett	lection window. Both the separately fur e integrated with the standard MTF act e 10 survey forms that was reduced from ers each year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Feb	nded Winter Location calling efformivities. In 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use
Data Col Period	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20	ent throughout the data columnse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsletter to delivery newsletter newslett	lection window. Both the separately fur e integrated with the standard MTF act e 10 survey forms that was reduced from ers each year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Feb	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. ts whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use
Data Col Period Security Plan	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 date Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA	ent throughout the data columnse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslettery the USPS are sent for local ebsite to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter newslett	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the each year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Februle electronically with the functionality of	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. ts whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact
Data Col Period Security Plan	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production	ent throughout the data colonse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslettery the USPS are sent for loopsite to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter newsletter newsletter n	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December.	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact
Data Col Period Security Plan	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production	ent throughout the data colonse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsletted the USPS are sent for local ebsite to delivery newsletted to deliv	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of a seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of a seach year.	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. ts whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact
Data Col Period Security Plan	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Prete Staffing Co	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newslettery the USPS are sent for locability to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter newsletter newsle	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the each year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the pretest Separately Recruitment Separately functional separately functional separately functional separately functional separately functional separately functional separately function for the separately function functio	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start:
Data Col Period Security Plan	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette by the USPS are sent for loc tebsite to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter to start: the set End: the start: the start:	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in Pretest Seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant Seach year in December year in December year in December year in December	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Etart: Etart:
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have ta sare sent study newslette ty the USPS are sent for loc ebsite to delivery newslette 27 26 on Start: est End: omplete: in Start: DC Start:	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant Seach year in December 10 surveys and present the seach year in December 20 surveys and present the seach year. Seach year in December 20 years and years are seach year in December 20 years and years are seach year. Seach year in December 20 years are seach year in December 20 years and years are seach year. Seach year in December 20 years are seach year in December 20 years and years are seach years and years are seach year. Seach year in December 20 years are seach year in December 20 years and years are seach years and years are seach years and years are seach years are seach years are seach years and years are seach years are seach years are seach years and years are seach years are seach years and years are seach years are years are seach years are	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. In 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Etart: Etart: Etart: Etart:
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette ty the USPS are sent for loc tebsite to delivery newslette to delivery newslette to mest End: the start: the	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in Pretest Seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant Seach year in December year in December year in December year in December	nded Winter Location calling efformivities. In 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Etart: Etart: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette ty the USPS are sent for loc tebsite to delivery newslette to delivery newslette to mest End: the start: the	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling efformivities. In 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Etart: Etart: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette ty the USPS are sent for loc tebsite to delivery newslette to delivery newslette to start: test End: tomplete: tin Start: DC Start: ard (SPA), Donnalee Grey-I	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local collection to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter new	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local collection to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter new	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local collection to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter new	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics NA	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local collection to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter new	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichur
Data Col Period	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics NA NA	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the are sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local collection to delivery newsletter newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter new	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effor ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics NA NA NA	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have the sare sent study newsletter to the USPS are sent for local state to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter to delivery newsletter to the state of the same sent for local state. Some start: Some	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: End: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun
Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	reminders are s and Non-Respo During 2024 dat Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent We information. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra D Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics NA NA NA Yes, R	ent throughout the data colorse follow-up calling will be ta collection wave, we have ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newslette ty the USPS are sent for loc tebsite to delivery newslette to delivery newslette to start: test End: tomplete: tin Start: DC Start: ard (SPA), Donnalee Grey-I Sun, Peter Sparks, Ashwin the start of t	lection window. Both the separately fure integrated with the standard MTF act at 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participant ation calling Mid-January through Febral electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December 10 surveys and the seach year in December 20 surveys and the	nded Winter Location calling effort ivities. om 12 from the previous year. Its whose newsletter is returned ruary. We are planning to use collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: End: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun

Report Period	May, 2025 (MTF Panel 20		Implementing				
Risk Level	On Track						
Monthly Updates	We started the 2025 data collection on April 10, 2025. The SRO team continues to meet with the rese weekly to discuss production updates and resolve issues. Training for NR calling is done and NR calling progress.						
		The MTF Regular panel has a total of 18195 (includes 120 cases that have been found after several participation) sample cases. We released 7 replicas in different schedules. As of 5/31/2025, there are completes (RR: 43.59%).					
Special Issues							
Cost as of May 31, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		2,378,894.96			
	Est Cost at Completion (E	(\$AC):		3,961,872.79			
	Total Budget:			3,895,217.00			
	Variance (Total Budget m		-66,655.79				
	Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance: We removed projections for Illume survey charges which reduced the overrup. MTF staff are aware of the overrup.					
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		62,423.60			
	Actual Dollars Used:			55,241.60			
	Variance (Projected minu	7,182.00					
	Reason for Variance:	SurveyTech h	ours were less than projecte	ed.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI			
	Current Goal:						
	Goal at Completion:						
	Current Actual:						
	Estimate at Complete:						
	Variance:						

Project Name	(NDWS) National Dementia W	orkforce Study (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget : 4,327,548.00	Indirect Budget: 2,423,425.00	Total Budget: 6,750,973.00
Principal	Donovan Maust (Michigan Medicine	e)	
Investigator/Clients	Joanne Spetz (University of Californ	nia, San Francisco)	
	James Wagner (University of Michigan	gan - Survey Research Center)	
Funding Agency	NIA		
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle D	oher	
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie	e A Chardoul	
	Production Manager 1: Dedra Car	mpbell	
	Production Manager 2: Lisa S Hol	land	
Proposal #	no data		
Description	persons living with dementia, surve infrastructure is to allow researcher workforce of clinicians and other provided with dementia in the U.S. The Core dementia care workforce in the U.S. Living Staff. In addition to these sur be linked with the surveys in order to conducted through five Cores: 1) Ar Transfer, Masking, Access, and Ett Studies. The overall aims are to: 1) workforce surveys covering the key Study, build a data infrastructure sucritical insights into the professional		e goal of the NDWS data c questions to help build the ng population of persons living elements of the professional Home Care Staff, and Assisted additional data sources that can ys. The project's activities will be ent; 3) Administrative Data d Sharing; and 5) Research ye professional dementia he National Dementia Workforce allows researchers to generate omes for PLWD; and 3) Develop
SRO Project Period	10/2023 - 09/2028		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Security Plan Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest St	art:
		Pretest St Recruitment St	
	Pre Production Start:		art:
	Pre Production Start: Pretest End:	Recruitment St	art: art:
	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete:	Recruitment St GIT St	art: art: ind:
	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start:	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025)	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025)	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025) NA	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025) NA NA	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025) NA NA NA	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025) NA NA NA NA NA	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:
Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, J Jan 2025) NA NA NA NA NA NA	Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E	art: art: nd: nd:

Report Period	May, 2025 (NDWS)			Implementing	
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates		OTSG Data Ops + PDMG to ooks, and other documentati	prepare Wave 1 final datas on). The release will include		
	assistance involves survey s	speccing and testing, reviewing IRB submission. Wave 2 days	ng data collection recruitments at a collection started on Ma	Wave 2 data collection. SRO ent materials, preparing Wave 2 ay 12 with Assisted Living and ent will start in June.	
	* Related to Wave 3: we worked with PIs and vendors to define W3 scope and review W3 vendor budgets. We also submitted W3 SRC/SRO budgets. In June, we are kicking off preparations for W3 (data collection starting in January 2026) which will include assisting with questionnaire design and cognitive testing.				
Special Issues					
Cost as of May 31, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):			1,299,635.68	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	6,494,708.30			
	Total Budget:	6,750,973.00			
	Variance (Total Budget mi	256,264.70			
	Reason for Variance: We are working on reducing underrun by projecting new staff to accommodate additional work expected through end of 2024 NIA fit year (August) and in Year 3 Sep 2025 - Aug 2026.				
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	120,505.15			
	Actual Dollars Used:			100,128.56	
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		20,376.59	
	Reason for Variance:	Underrun is	mostly within DMSS and D	Data Ops.	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(NYCHVS) New York City Housing	and Vacancy Survey (On Trac	ek)		
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 9,926,188.00	Indirect Budget: 3,573,427.00	Total Budget: 13,499,615.00		
Principal	Elyzabeth Gaumer, PI (NYC Housing Pres	servation Dept)			
Investigator/Clients	Daniel Goldstein, Co-PI (NYC Housing Preservation Dept)				
	Caitlin Waickman, Co-PI (NYC Housing P	reservation Dept)			
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien				
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers				
	Production Manager: Theresa Camelo				
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Cha	ardoul			
	Production Manager 1: Saray Gonzalez				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The New York City Housing and Vacancy the NYC housing stock and community-dw York since 1965 and is the longest running State and New York City laws to measure continued need for rent control and rent st apartments and 2.5 million tenants. The 20 available and facilitate a variety of analyse and the context for various public policies	velling population. The NYCHVS has g housing survey in the country. The the net rental vacancy rate and des abilization which covers half of the co 226 NYCHVS will be the 20th survey as on the housing supply, demograph	s been conducted by the City of New survey is mandated by New York cribe the supply, condition, and city's rental housing or about 1 million or cycle. Microdata are publicly		
SRO Project Period	01/2025 - 06/2028				
Data Col Period	02/2026 - 08/2026				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 01/15/2025	Pretes	st Start:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitmen	nt Start: 10/01/2025		
	Staffing Complete: 11/20/2025	Gi	T Start: 01/18/2026		
	SS Train Start: 01/19/2026	SS Tra	nin End: 01/29/2026		
	DC Start: 02/01/2026	I	DC End: 08/31/2026		
Other Project Team Members					
Other Project Name	New York City Housing and Vacancy Surv	ey			
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone				
DE Software	N/A				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Not used				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	N/A				
Payment Method	N/A				
Report Period	May, 2025 (NYCHVS)		Planning		
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	The PIs have proposed adding admnistrat looking at a single data source through the who will do the linkage, and when this data this, when we were not planning on conse	e state of New York. It is not yet known will be requested. Additionally, we	wn how the collection will happen, may need to add a consent form for		

Sampling:
A sampling time has been proposed to the PI group, and they have agreed to it. Extra time was built into the

timeline for QC of the selected buildings and purchased addresses. The sampling team is thinking about different ways to calculate design effects and is making progress.

Recruitment & Hiring:

The mgt team has been meeting with the DCS team to discuss recruitment strategies and timeline. SRO will provide screening criteria to HPD to share with CUNY by the end of this week. Details about when the 'hand off' will occur are still being worked out. We also need to determine who will conduct the language certifications in the 7 formal languages. We are working through the initial language certification which could be very expensive, and are exploring ways to decrease this cost.

Training:

The current training plan is to hold two trainings, back to back at about 4 days each, in NYC. Iwers will travel to the training daily. Part of GIT and some core training sessions will be held via Zoom 'live' sessions to minimize the number of days needed in person, as the PI has concerns about Iwer's ability to attend a multi-day training, in person. We are exploring the use of interactive videos for Home Study with built in Q&A. We are reviewing curricula from previous waves of data collection and preparing a training session overview for the PI team to review. We will identify which sessions would be appropriate for Zoom, and create an agenda. We will also create a 'Course Catalog' of required GIT and Core Sessions in which Iwers can sign up for a time that best fits with their schedule. The team is considering that these sessions will be live, and occur during the 2 weeks leading up to training when time will be very tight.

Laptops will be shipped to HPD prior to Home Study/GIT/Study Specific Core Sessions, for iwer use during these sessions. Iwers will pick up laptops at a pre-training Registration session. Iwers may also pick up their article of clothing and other necessary training materials at this time as well.

We are discussing logos for Iwer materials, recruitment materials, and Iwer swag. Laptop bags and Iwer clothing will be ordered by HPD and have both U-M and HPD logos. Final versions will need to be approved by the U-M Branding Dept.

Blaise:

Blaise programming has been underway since late May. We are starting with English, then the other 6 languages. HPD has secured staff to will test in all 7 languages. CTT testing will begin in late June.

Technical Systems:

MSMS spec and rules writing has not yet started, the Tech Lead is currently in MSMS training. At this point we expect that we should be on track. In the meantime the tech team has started meeting and putting together a tech timeline.

Other:

We are working with the RCT Team, the QC team, DCO, and CCP to determine how interactions with Rs who speak languages other than English and Spanish will occur, and the project is drafting for each type of interaction. RCT has identified strategies for forwarding voicemails via email to lwers who speak the R's needed language. Project Field Leadership will identify an Iwer for each of the 7 formal language who can receive and triage these voicemail emails. We are discussing options for handling calls from R's who speak languages outside the 7, perhaps using Google Translate offline to determine the language spoken, then triaging with a project manager/production manager to identify an Iwer who speaks that language. In addition, the language protocol will include steps for interviewing a R who does not speak one of the 7 formal languages. Some tasks will likely require a professional interpreter/translation service and the team is exploring options for this.

The HPD press release should be going out soon.

Special Issues	to the 7 lang, we will need to be prepare	nguages. QC and RCT follow-up protocols will be dete d to interveiw in any language the R speaks. The PI te in a language that was not listed on their laminated Lan been staffed who spoke the language.	am has stated that
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		31,516.39
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		13,104,224.67
	Total Budget:		13,499,615.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC)):	395,390.33
	Reason for Variance:	Have not entered all non-salary projections yet.	
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:		39,575.07
	Actual Dollars Used:		31,516.39
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		8,058.68
	Reason for Variance:	Staff salary projections are still being refined.	

Measures

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:	10,650	71%	9.0
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:		71%	
Variance:			

Project Name	(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico	Panel Study of Inco	me Dynamics (Some Con	cerns)	
Project Mode	· ,	econdary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 828,581.00	Indire	ct Budget: 464,004.00	Total Budget: 1,292,585.00	
Principal	Narayan Sastry (University	of Michigan)			
Investigator/Clients	Elizabeth Fussel (Brown Un	iversity)			
Funding Agency	NICHD, with supplemental f	unding being sought fro	m NIA		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00197300			Period of Approval: 4/5/2022-3/22/24	
Project Team	Project Lead: Camila Kend	all			
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor: St	ephanie A Chardoul			
	Production Manager 1: Ca	mila Kendall			
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	frame, sample design, questibaseline data collection (in 2 responsive design, panel masspanish instrument for use straining, Pretest and Main Dreports for production and question the research team on the same production and question the research team on the same production and question the same production and questions.	tionnaire and data colle 2023). DMSS will provid aintenance issues, and specifically in PR. SRO ata collection and will tr uality control monitoring using these reports. All of	avel to PR to be on-site for the that will be programmed throu lata will be collected by ETI's in	ta collection (in 2022) and gn and implementation, RO will update the PSID-21 of training materials for Listing se trainings. SRO will define 19th the SurveyTrak system, and	
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 12/2023				
Data Col Period					
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/0	1/2021	Pretest Sta	art: 02/05/2024	
	Pretest End: 03/11/2024 Recruitment Start:		art:		
	Staffing Complete:		GIT Sta	art: 01/30/2024	
	SS Train Start: 01/3	1/2024	SS Train E	nd : 02/02/2024	
	DC Start:		DC E	nd:	
Other Project Team Members	Raphael Nishimura Samp Tech Team: Marsha Skoma Lieske (Programming Suppo	ling n (Tech Lead & STrak I ort), Valyn Dall (Data M , Cheng Zhou (Databas	Programmer), Jude Purillo (Lea anager), Jennie Williams (Data se setup), Lihshwu Ke (Databas	Management Support),	
Other Project Name	Our and Table				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware DE Software	Laptop				
DE Software	N/A				
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	Other (ETI (Puerto Rican S				
Payment Type	Other (Via ETI Systems)	y pnase); Cash, post (V	aries by study phase)		
Payment Method	Other (Via ETI Systems)				
Report Period	May, 2025 (PR-PSID)			Implementing	
Risk Level	Some Concerns				
Monthly Updates			We successfully completed the trial project charges after the tr	e interviewer training per PI aining. In addition to funding, we	

have a Certificate of Confidentiality issue. Our CoC, which is referenced in our original IRB application, is now expired and NIH has paused the process whereby projects not funded by NIH can request a CoC. As of 6/19 we submitted an IRB ame requesting to proceed without a CoC if non-federal funding is secured to allow a launch. SRO is maintaining a list of the steps necessary to launch the project once funding is secured. Interviewer training was successful, with three SRO staff members traveling as trainers and one as tech support. **Special Issues** Threat to Brown funding Same as last month -- overrun and uncertainty around PCP work scope which is new to SRO. 809,153.86 Cost as of Jun 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,472,727.57 1,292,585.00 Total Budget: -180,142.57 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): The main drivers of the total cost overrun remain: Management and Reason for Variance: Post Collection Processing. The Management overrun is due mostly to needing more hours than estimated. The PCP overrun is mostly a function of rate changes (the SS raises and a shift of these hours to later months). The projected cost to complete decreased since the April report. Projections as of Jun 17, **Dollars Projected for Month:** 52,876.16 2025 56,915.70 Actual Dollars Used: -4,039.54 Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance: The monthly overrun is due to DMSS hours that we didn't project and travel-domestic costs that hit in May instead of June. Our Spanish tester's hours were also higher than projected. HPI Measures **Units at Complete** RR **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: **Current Actual:** Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name	(PSID 2025 OCU) PSID 2025 C	Online Contact Update (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Mail	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 52,360.00	Indirect Budget: 29,326.00	Total Budget: 81,686.00
Principal	Katherine McGonagle (PSID)		
Investigator/Clients	Noura Insolera (PSID)		
Funding Agency	NSF		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00062417		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Camila Kendall		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-E	Em	
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie	e A Chardoul	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	and web portal with authentication tinformation via an online survey. Sl will be embedded in a mailing sent t	MS specifications from 2022 and program and hat allows PSID and TAS respondents to conf RO will provide PSID authenticated links so the to the respondents. SRO will also program and enticated link. This project is under the PSID (irm or update their contact at they can merge QR codes tha d send the respondents up to
SRO Project Period	04/2024 - 05/2025		
Data Col Period	07/2024 - 12/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest S	tart:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	tart:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train E	End:
	DC Start: DC End:		End:
Other Project Team Members	Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye PSID Suit Rachel Orlowski PSID Survey Dir Daric Throne MSMS Spec Lead James Rodgers MSMS Lead Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programmer Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up PEdward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Management SLaura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial Ana	Programmer Support	
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	ISR Group (PSID)		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$10); Other (electronic	c, postJP Morgan)	
Payment Method	NA	<u> </u>	
Report Period	May, 2025 (PSID 2025 OCU)		Closing
Risk Level	On Track		<u>_</u>
Monthly Updates		. Final steps will happen in early June (once K	arl returns).

May cost report s	should	be the	e final	report.
-------------------	--------	--------	---------	---------

Special Issues					
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				77,693.28
	Est Cost at Completion (E	:\$AC):			77,693.28
	Total Budget:				81,686.00
	Variance (Total Budget m.	inus- E\$AC):			3,992.72
	Reason for Variance:		nimal work was jections.	on-going. Final actua	als were very close to
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	nth:			1,379.00
	Actual Dollars Used:	1,227.88			
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):				
	Reason for Variance:	Ac	tuals were very	close to projections	
Measures		Units at Com	plete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(PSID CDS23 I	Phase 2) PSID Childl	ood Development Supple	ement 2023	Phase 2 (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed	Total of Modes: 3			
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	cts			
Budget	Direct Budget: 1	,618,383.00	Indirect Budget: 906,295.	00	Total Budget: 2,524,678.0
Principal					
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#: HUM001	66316			Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Ca	amila Kendall			
	Budget Analyst	: Ivanna lavorska-Em			
	Production Man	ager: Sarah Crane			
	Senior Project A	Advisor: Stephanie A Ch	ardoul		
	Production Man	ager 1: Barbara Aghaba	bian-Homburg		
	Production Man	ager 2: Carolyn Vieira-N	lartinez		
Proposal #	no data				
Description	invited to provide respondents in w	a saliva sample during reekly releases. Field int	ged 5+, who completed Phase phase 2. The SSL will assemb erviewers will follow up via pho Il make FTF visits to pick up sa	le kit mailings one, email, and	that will be shipped to I text to encourage
SRO Project Period	06/2024 - 02/202	5			
Data Col Period	09/2024 - 01/202	5			
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production	Start:		Pretest Start:	
	Pretes	st End:	Reci	ruitment Start:	
	Staffing Cor	nplete:		GIT Start:	
	SS Train	Start:		SS Train End:	
	DO	Start:		DC End:	
Other Project Team Members	Field Production SSL Production I SSL Production I	Management Sarah C Management Lead (Asse Management Support (A nent Support Xiomara Smith	rane & Barb Aghababian-Hom mbly & Logging) Carolyn Vie ssembly & Logging) Ian Woo Lorenzo-Guerra, Nahid Sultan:	erra-Martinez ods	
Other Project Name	CDS Saliva Colle	ection			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	NA				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell]	Phone			
DE Software	NA				
QC Recording Tool	N/A				
Incentive	NA				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	NA				
Payment Method	NA				
Report Period	May, 2025 (PSID	CDS23 Phase 2)			Implementing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	IWER outreach e concluded on 6/6		ent follow up mailing was sent	t week of 5/27.	Consent follow up
	creating the man	ifest to QC physical repo	als were higher than projected rt. This report was more comp ogged on an individual level.		

PM team finished WebLog data QC. Conducting final QC of physical consent forms in June.

Final data delivery is scheduled for mid-July.

Special Issues						
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				1,412,186.74	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	(\$AC):			1,427,193.12	
	Total Budget:				2,524,678.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	inus- E\$AC):			1,097,484.88	
	Reason for Variance:	pro to o Sa pho beo	This is the original June cost report - it does not contain updates to projections (added minimal hours for some management and TSG st to close out project in July) Sample size was significantly less than budgeted (1,932 R's invited t phase 2, budgeted for 2,705). IWER hours and non-sal costs have been significantly lower than budgeted, due to smaller sample size, shorter production period, and lighter touch protocol.			
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	Dollars Projected for Month:			19,888.60	
	Actual Dollars Used:		24,641.3			
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):	tual): -4,752.71			
	Reason for Variance:	Mç	t and Data Mg	t actuals were higher th	an projections	
Measures		Units at Com	plete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(PSID25) Panel Study of Income Dyn	amics Core 2025 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 5,003,388.00	Indirect Budget: 2,801,897.00	Total Budget: 7,805,285.00
Principal	Tom Crossley (UM-SRC-PSID)		
Investigator/Clients	Esther Friedman (UM-SRC-PSID)		
	Narayan Sastry (UM-SRC-PSID)		
Funding Agency	NSF, NIA, NICHD		
IRB	HUM#: HUM00062417		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberry		
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Char-	doul	
	Production Manager 1: Maureen Joan O'B	rien	
	Production Manager 2: Daric Thorne		
Proposal #	no data		
	is a longitudinal survey of several thousand every two years. The sample is comprised of (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and approx. 10,000 completed interviews expect changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths employment and pensions; and wealth. The fertility; COVID-19; and money spent on foo composition and financial factors interact with administered via web and telephone, with the telephone. Talk about ancillary studies here. The 2023 waves of CDS and the Transition TAS eligibility is dependent upon completion.	f respondents from the 4,800 original of 2017/2019. The total 2025 sample sized. Most of the information collected is people moving in and out); income some are also questions about housing; ed, healthcare, and school. The main for the each other and how they change over expectation that more surveys will be sinted Adulthood (TAS) will follow PSID of the same are also questions.	amilies as well as new ze will be approx. 11,200, with a about family composition and ources and amounts; ducation; vehicles; health; cus is on how these family er time. The survey will be a completed via web than
SRO Project Period	03/2024 - 09/2026		
Data Col Period	03/2025 - 12/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024	Pretest St	art: 09/16/2024
	Pretest End: 10/06/2024	Recruitment St.	art:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Sta	art:
	SS Train Start: 02/24/2025	SS Train E.	nd: 06/10/2025
	DC Start: 03/10/2025	DC E	nd: 12/31/2025
Other Project Team Members	TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smith, Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly Acker Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Ackerm	erman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri	lo; MSMS Programmers - Pam
	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produ McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor Acharya, & Wen Chang	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Other Project Name	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produc McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produ McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor Acharya, & Wen Chang	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
·	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produc McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Production McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Report Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site)	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produc McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site) Blaise 5	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Production McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Report Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site) Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Produc McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Repor Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site) Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Production McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Report Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site) Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A Camtasia	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Su ting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Production McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Report Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core 20 MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site) Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator, Proxy)	ction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project Stiting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment:	ipport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet

Report Period	May, 2025 (PSID25)				Implementing	
Risk Level	On Track					
Monthly Updates	Summary of April 2025 activities:					
	MSMS - Began identifying Review Wrong Age Name eminute - 1.5 minute long de During that delay period, an happening, and it has result identify suspicious cases, a locking processes more quivery quick-paced release significant remaining templates to be PSID trainings this year. Prinitial splitoffs were release begin catching up pending also completed by a CATI like PSID could always see cases. All remaining samples.	(RWAN). When an elay before Blaise on R could re-enter lited in some suspice and determine if an ickly. MSMS projechedules that large released by end of crocess for new user and in Prod successis splitoff reviews. So launch. Investigation is something like this	n R is kicked data is pack the web ins cious cases hything could ct updated celly focused fune. Begarers largely infully, with fulling the cases wons show so is. Worked were the worked worked were so were the worked were cases worked worked worked were so worked worked were cases worked w	out of the Blaise instruaged up, received by Martument and try again. RWAN investigations do be done within the Blaise courred this month on Spanish Template ran prepping this month oned out, and releasing ture releases growing invere identified as having Sync issues, and received by Martin Receiv	iment for any reason, the ISMS, and then the line We have seen that this is began this month in an ease instrument to trigger 5/5, 5/12, and 5/20. The eleases to the field. Antifor Training 3, the larges I lines was smoothly exen size. An effort was put g completed by Web, bueminds us that an asynce	ere is a 1 is locked. s what is effort to RWAN se were cipate all st of the cuted. forward to at then later project
	Blaise - No major updates processes. In particular QC given more attention. Cons both team were able to mo	on payment issue equential cases w	es, FPS diffe ork was furt	erences, RWAN, GDPF her refined and worked	R cases, and RWAN case	es were
	68-ID - Minor issues and bug fixes were completed this month, finalizing 68-ID work.					
	The team prepared for T3 training scheduled for 6/3 - 6/10. The training will be half-day training and completely remote. There will be 47 Iwers and 3 new TLs. The training will focus on DCA and 68ID, and there will be no Family Listing training. T3 is the last currently scheduled training for PSID2025.					
Consid Insura	Sample Release / Mailing: Release 5 Advance letters were mailed in late May, with completion of WSO for scheduled for the end of June. 2025 CATI splitoff Advance letters were also mailed in May. The mailing protocol is complex, and includes five releases of CATI and WEB sample, unique mailings for remails to non-responders, Web special offers, EG, post cards, split-offs, Web VIN experiments, and two incentive amounts.					
Special Issues	Total Coat to Data (divant	t . indirect).			2	2,974,025.6
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025						',441,111.4
						· · ·
	Total Budget: 7,805,285.00					
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 364,173.					304,173.5
	Reason for Variance: Note: Projection updates are still underway. Reduced iwer data collection effort due to less sample than budgeted and anticipating a higher percentage of web completes than budgeted. Removed costs associated with new hires and in-person training. Projecting interviewers and survey specialists/directors at a higher rat than budgeted. Designing data collection and training differently than budgeted. Pls desire to keep the underrun.					n budgeted aining. higher rate
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mor		3 · · · 3			607,380.0
2025	Actual Dollars Used:					617,225.7
	Variance (Projected minu	ıs Actual):				-9.845.7
	Reason for Variance:				programming, and intervi	-,
Measures		Units at Co		higher than projected.	HPI	
	Current Goal:	J 00				
	Goal at Completion:	9,994		89%	4.73	
	Current Actual:	1874		40%	1.6	
	Estimate at Complete:	9,994		89%	4.73	
	Variance:	3,334		03/0	7.73	
Other Measures					- /2 /25	
Other Measures	Note: Current actual metric 'Current goals' will be popu as production is underway. comparable sample release	llated as productio Currently monitor	n goals are	finalized. 'Estimated' go	oals at completion will be	

Project Name	(SAFEGUARD) SAFEGUARD (On T	rack)			
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,200,868.00	Indirect Budget: 1,232,492.00	Total Budget: 3,433,360.00		
Principal	Vincent Capaldi (Uniformed Services Univ	ersity)			
Investigator/Clients	Sarah Maggio (Uniformed Services Univer	rsity)			
Funding Agency	Department of Defense, Office of the Assis	stant Secretary of Defense for Health Aff	airs (within DHA), through the		
IDD	Uniformed Services University of the Healt	th Sciences (ÚSUHS)			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Daniel Tomlin				
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruge	er-Ndiaye			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	SAFEGUARD is a study designed to test t suicide among service members. SAFEGU Pathfinding. Each component will have a E Life Force Baselines will begin in July, con	JARD is composed of 3 components: Lift Baseline survey followed by a follow-up s	e Skills Training, Life Force, and survey:		
	survey. Pathfinding Baselines will begin in mid-August, continue for 2 years and will be followed by a 6 month and 12 month follow-up survey. Life Skills Training Baselines will begin in October, continue for 1 year and will be followed by a 1 month, 3 month, and 6 month follow-up survey.				
	SRO will program technical systems and in Life Skills Training surveys will be complet and WSMS. SRO will use MSMS and Blais up surveys will start via web and then non-by phone. Baseline production will start in	ted via web and WSMS. Pathfinding surveste to collect data for the follow-up survesteresponders will be contacted by the SRO	reys will be completed via phone vs for all 3 components. Follow-O SSL to complete their surveys		
SRO Project Period	01/2025 - 01/2029				
Data Col Period	07/2025 - 11/2028				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 01/06/2025	Pretest Sta	art:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	art:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Sta	art:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train Er	nd:		
	DC Start:	DC Er	nd:		
Other Project Team Members	Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project A Meredith House - Survey Director / Adviso Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Te Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Vanessa Clarke - Project Assistant	r Technical Systems Development)			
Other Project Name	Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Life Force Safeguard - Pathfinding				
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS; MSMS				
Sample Might System	Trob eme, meme				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				

DE Software	NA						
QC Recording Tool	NA	NA					
Incentive	Yes, R	Yes, R					
Administration	Other (Incentives provided I	by HJF/USU)					
Payment Type	N/A						
Payment Method	N/A						
Report Period	May, 2025 (SAFEGUARD)				Planning		
Risk Level	On Track						
Monthly Updates		ming took prio to track Blaise	rity along with s pulls and outco	setting up testing and produces. In addition, MSMS p			
Special Issues	N/A						
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):			188,751.53		
	Est Cost at Completion (ES	\$AC):			3,541,377.98		
	Total Budget:				3,433,360.00		
	Variance (Total Budget mi	e (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):			-108,017.98		
	Reason for Variance:		variance due manager hou setup proces	to the staff who's hours ca	May but there was some cost ame in under projections. Data ver than expected during the htly as data testing and		
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:			68,173.26		
	Actual Dollars Used:				54,740.92		
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):			13,432.34		
	Reason for Variance:		variance due manager hou setup proces	to the staff who's hours c	n May but there was some cost ame in under projections. Data ver than expected during the ntly as data testing and		
Measures		Units at	Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:						
	Goal at Completion:						
	Current Actual:						
	Estimate at Complete:						
	Variance:						

Project Name	(SCA Web 2025) SCA Web 2025 (On	Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget : 136,554.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 136,554.00
Principal	Joanne Hsu (Survey of Consumers - ISR)		
Investigator/Clients	Tuba Suzer Gurtekin (Survey of Consumers	s - ISR)	
Funding Agency			
IRB	ним#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: William Keating		
	Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	SCA Web is the latest iteration of data colle an online survey. SRO responsibilities inclu- questions, and general project management	de setup/support of technical systems, co	
SRO Project Period	01/2025 - 12/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	t:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	l:
	DC Start:	DC End	! :
Other Project Team Members	Karl Dinklemann (Programmer/Analyst) Kelly Chatain (Archivist) Andrew Piskorowski (BI Analyst) Cheng Zhou (Database Analyst/Programmer Jennie Williams (General Programmer/Analyst) Deb Seale (SSL Operations Manager) iAn Woods (Coder) Ann Munster (Coder) Nancy Walker (Coder) Lisa Carn (Coder) Peter Sparks (Programmer) Carolyn Vieira-Martinez (Survey Specialist)		
Other Project Name	SCA Web 2025		
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	N/A		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	N/A		
Payment Type	N/A		
Payment Method	N/A		
Report Period	May, 2025 (SCA Web 2025)		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	During the May 2025 calendar month, SCA of 1,108 cases, 10.5% of cases selected for represent the lowest number of cases in a contract of the contract of the cases in a contract of the case of th	check coding). The number of cases as	sociated with May 2025

Page 58 of 88

Overall, coder efficiency for the month saw improved efficiency during the May calendar month as coders averaged 5.2 minutes per case. During the April calendar month, coders averaged 5.5 minutes per case. It should be noted this calculation utilized the total time charged to the project (in minutes) divided by the total number of cases (which include RR).

New (returning) open ended question went into production on 5/14. Coding team received training during the week of 5/5.

Special Issues						
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):		44,986.02		
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		117,908.42		
	Total Budget:			136,554.00		
	Variance (Total Budget n	minus- E\$AC):		18,645.58		
	Reason for Variance:	pro cale	gramming team offset costs	or one of the members of the technical is for the programming team during the lated with coding came in slightly less		
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo	10,757.70				
	Actual Dollars Used:			7,528.2		
	Variance (Projected mine	us Actual):		3,229.47		
	Reason for Variance: Projections were updated the previous month for coding and team support. Costs for both coding and technical team support in under budget during the May 2025 calendar month. The prare more in line with actual figures seen each month during the calendar year.					
Measures		Units at Comp	olete RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(SCIP 2024) S	ustainability C	Cultural Indicators	s Project (On Trac	k)
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Total of Modes:	: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects			
Budget	Direct Budget:	137,834.00	Indirect	Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 137,834.00
Principal	John Callewaer	, Co-PI (SRC, Co	llege of Engineering)	
Investigator/Clients	Robert Marans,	Co-PI (SRC)			
	Noah Webster,	Co-PI (SRC)			
Funding Agency	U-M				
IRB	HUM#: HUM002	260230			Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead:	onnalee Ann Gre	y-Farquharson		
	Budget Analys	t: David Kellerme	yer		
	Production Ma	nager:			
	Senior Project	Advisor: Shonda	R Kruger-Ndiaye		
	Production Ma	nager 1: James K	Koopman		
	Production Ma	nager 2: Hongyu	Johnson		
Proposal #	no data				
Description	Project) is a mu Ann Arbor camp Fall 2021 the mosoftware for the to that of the 20 graduate studer panel with the ro Milestones: Kickoff meeting Pretest - 9/11, 9 Pre-invitation En	ti-year project desus. In Fall 2021, 3 ost recent wave of web survey instruct wave. For all this, as well as fact e-interview of fresh (SRO) - 8/12/202/12 nail from Chancel nail from Presider	signed to measure a SCIP expanded to in f the project. For the iment. The basic sar hree campuses the sulty and staff. In additional highest programmer of the second staff. In additional the second staff.	nd track the culture of aclude the U-M Flint at 2024 data collection, appling and methodolo cample will include fre	CIP (Sustainability Cultural Indicators f sustainability, originally on the U-M and U-M Dearborn campuses, making SRO will continue to use Qualtrics ogical design of the project will be similar shmen, sophomore, juniors, seniors and or campus there is the addition of a the fall of 2024.
SRO Project Period	Email Invitation Reminder 1 - 10 Reminder 2 - 10 Reminder 3 - 11	Letter - 10/9/2024 /16, 10/17, 10/17 /30, 10/31,10/31 /6, 11/7, 11/7 - 11/20, 11/21, 11 - 12/9/2024			
Data Col Period	10/2024 - 12/20				
Security Plan	NA	24			
Milestones	Pre Production	n Start		Dra	etest Start:
Milestories		est End:			ment Start:
	Staffing Co			Necruia	GIT Start:
	_	in Start:		cc	Train End:
		C Start:		33	DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Shonda Kruger- Donnalee Grey- Helen Johnson James Koopma Raphael Nishim Minako Edgar I Laura Yoder Da Asia Paige Dat Carl Remmert I	Ndiaye Senior Pr Farquharson Pro Project Co-Lead n Project Manage ura Sampling/Ma Reports/Mapping ata Manager Lead	r apping I Senior		DC EIIG.
Other Project Name					
•	Project aposific	system (Qualtrics	\		
Sample Mgmt System	, ,		,		
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)			
Hardware	NA				

DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Other (Tango cards)			
Payment Method	Other (Electronic gift cards	via email)		
Report Period	May, 2025 (SCIP 2024)			Closing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	We are wrapping up work or in June.	n final documentation and da	ata reports for delivery to the	ne Pls. Work is expected to end
Special Issues				
Cost as of May 31, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		120,947.88
	Est Cost at Completion (E.	\$AC):		122,738.26
	Total Budget:			137,834.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	nus- E\$AC):		15,095.74
	Reason for Variance:		rojected hours into June fo elivery tasks.	r staff who are working on the
Projections as of May 31, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		3,476.43
	Actual Dollars Used:			3,294.01
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		182.42
	Reason for Variance:	A few hours	s less than the projected w	vas charged.
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(SRS 2021) Social Re	lations 2023 (O	n Track)		
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 3,937,05	7.11	Indirect Budget: 2,204,7	53.00	Total Budget: 6,141,810.11
Principal	Toni Antonucci (ISR)				
Investigator/Clients	Kristine Ajrouch (ISR)				
	Laura Zahodne (ISR)				
Funding Agency	NIH				
IRB	HUM#: HUM00187453				Period of Approval: 8/7/2024 - 8/6/2025
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara L	ohr Ward			
	Budget Analyst: Christin	ne Evanchek			
	Production Manager: Ve	eronica Connors-B	urge		
	Senior Project Advisor:	Nicole G Kirgis			
	Production Manager 1:	Taghreid Lovell			
	Production Manager 2:	lan Ogden			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	Michigan (Wayne, Oaklar members aged younger t one selected respondent Social Relations interview blood pressure, grip strer programmed for the D-Ar	nd and Macomb co han 65 years of ag per household. The land a 60 minute cog agth) and saliva col np project, with the	e. The project involves scrue interview will consist of a nitive interview and a serie lection. The SRS 2023 proonly new programming be	with original So eening up to 69 60 minute core s of physical m fect will use the ing that for a so	ocial Relations panel sample 900 new sample lines., with a interview (content from the easurements (height, weight,
SRO Project Period	09/2021 - 05/2023				
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 01/2025				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 0	9/01/2022		Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:		Red	cruitment Start:	02/01/2023
	Staffing Complete: 0	4/10/2023		GIT Start:	05/16/2023
	SS Train Start: 0	5/18/2023		SS Train End:	05/25/2023
	DC Start: 0	5/30/2023		DC End:	12/31/2024
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Raphael Nishimura, John		Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, A	shwin Dey, Kel	ly Liesko, Peter Sparks,
Other Project Name	Social Relations 2022, Da	AWN, Social Relati	ons 2023		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone;	Paper and Pencil			
DE Software	Other (Weblog)				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI				
Incentive	Yes, R				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Check, post (\$25 Informa Other (\$2 screener incer		(end game for panel)); Ca	sh, post (\$75 r	espondent, \$25 informant);
Payment Method	Check through STrak RP	ay System; Intervie	wer payment of cash (rein	bursed/reconc	iled via Tenrox)
Report Period	May, 2025 (SRS 2021)				Closing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	and sorting of cognitive p	ackets (which took uction of final repor	far longer than anticipated). The team als	tinued work on reconciliation so worked on disposition of nd we now expect delivery of

Page 62 of 88

Special Issues	There are no special issue	There are no special issues to report at this time.					
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):		6,115,911.50			
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		6,137,852.12			
	Total Budget:			6,141,810.11			
	Variance (Total Budget r	minus- E\$AC):		3,957.99			
	Reason for Variance:	This is an insig	gnificant variance.				
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mo	onth:		42,712.67			
	Actual Dollars Used:			46,942.20			
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): -4,229.53						
	Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance: An invoice for shipping was improperly applied to SRS, and erroneous telephone charges hit the SRS project in May. Both items almost fully explain the variance.					
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI			
	Current Goal:	1300 new/244 panel		9.0 new/ 9.0 panel			
	Goal at Completion:						
	Current Actual:	1363 new, 203 panel					
	Estimate at Complete:						
	Variance:						
Other Measures	Overall HPI (without screen screener.	ning) was 9.4 (8.8 new sample, 14	4 panel) Hours per so	creen 7.7 hps, 55 miles per			

Project Name	(STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1 Longitudinal Study (On Track)	Study to Assess Risk and Resilier	ice in Servicemembers-		
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Teleph	one Total of Modes: 2			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 8,809,515.00	Indirect Budget: 4,920,601.00	Total Budget: 13,730,116.00		
Principal	James Wagner (University of Michiga	an)			
Investigator/Clients	Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services U	University of the Health Scienc)			
	Murray Stein / Ron Kessler (Universit	y of California San Diego / Harvard)			
Funding Agency	Department of Defense				
RB	HUM#: HUM00180765		Period of Approval: 3/21/24 - 3/20/25		
Project Team	Project Lead: Meredith A House				
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers				
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philipp	oou			
	Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holla	and			
	Production Manager 1: Jeffrey Albre	echt Jr			
	Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps				
Proposal #	no data				
	about the determinants of suicidality. The goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS) are to enhance DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain productivity of the Army STARRS data and systems established, and enable science-based answers to questions related to health, resilience, and manpower management for the Army of 2025. For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to reinterview respondents from the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multimode study. We started with a group of approximately 73,000 eligible persons who had been interviewed in one of those three surveys and gave consent to link administrative data to their survey data. To date, we have completed 2 waves of STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 individuals and completed approximately 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 participants that completed a full Wave 1 interview were asked to participate in Wave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are covered in this application, will include the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regardless of whether they completed the interview. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will continue to maintain and support the Army STARRS Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as coded historical administrative data received from the Army and DoD. Additionally, STARRS-LS will continue to receive administrative data updates and link coded administrative data to survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS-LS surveys).				
SRO Project Period	05/2020 - 02/2025				
Data Col Period	11/2022 - 04/2024				
Security Plan	Yes				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 04/01/2024	Pretest S	tart:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart: 08/19/2024		
	Staffing Complete: 10/29/2024	GIT S	tart: 11/12/2024		
	00 = 1 01 1 11/01/0001				
	SS Train Start: 11/21/2024	SS Train E	End: 11/26/2024		

Ryan Yoder, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Steven Sonoras, iAn Woods, Andrew Piskorowski, Asia Paige, Ji Qi, Makenna Harrison, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Karl Dinkelmann, Peter Sparks. Shane Empie, Pam Swanson, Jim Rodgers, Marcus Blough, Ricardo Rodriguez, Pete Westhead

Other Project Name	STARRS-LS Continuation
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software	N/A
QC Recording Tool	Other (Blaise CARI)
Incentive	Yes, R
Administration	SRO Group
Payment Type	Check, post (\$50-\$100)
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS)

Report Period	May, 2025 (STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	

Monthly Updates

Project Management and Planning:

- · Budget/Funding:
- o In April, the establishment of a Year 6 hardship account was approved to move forward, but the request was met with unexpected administrative complications at U-M ORSP. Financial analyst, Bill Lokers, worked with U-M administrators to find a solution that would allow the hardship account to be set up. On May 14, 2025, the hardship was approved and started going through final processing. Final processing was completed May 22 and Bill was able to begin setting up new account codes for staff to charge time and expenses.
- o We received the first draft of the Year 6 subaward from HJF on May 17. U-M ORSP started the review process. We understand that Year 6 will be treated as a 10-month "year." As a result, the U-M budget was reduced to \$3,462,148 from \$4,154,578.
- o Also see the Areas of Risk, Mitigation Strategies section.
- · Proposal:
- o The memo containing options for length and frequency of STARRS-LS waves beyond Wave 5 was discussed on the May 13 PI call. The PIs agreed with the recommendation to pursue "option 3." Meredith wrote a summary of the plan for notifying Scott. Jeff sent that summary with a cover memo, "Proposed Way Ahead for STARRS-LS—Wave 6 and Beyond," to Scott on May 19. Scott said he would share it with the GSC EC members.
- o U-M worked on the formal budget for Years 6-10, 3/1/25 2/28/30 (not Years 7-10 as previously understood). The budget packet was completed May 29 and then started making its way through the formal U-M channels for approval.
- · We sent weekly production updates to the PIs, and reported on progress on the call with the Army/M&RA.
- Meredith created the agenda/notes and facilitated the weekly meeting with the STARRS project managers.
- IRB:
- o The continuing review for the STARRS-LS Wave 5 protocol was approved by the U-M IRB on May 13 and sent to USUHS for secondary review.

Enclave and User Support:

- · Annual IA security review: We awaited word from M&RA and AAG about the annual enclave security review.
- Annual NDI Data Request (2025 request for data through 2023):
- o The cost estimate for this year's data was sent to M&RA so that they could begin the process to establish payment with the CDC.
- o Meredith worked with Aaron Weingrad, Dr. Ursano and Dr. Benedek on steps needed to transition the PI role and NDI application from Dr. Ursano to Dr. Benedek.
- o Updated confidentiality agreements will be needed from all parties this year. Once the application is reassigned, Meredith will work with USUHS to review information in the application including downloading a copy of the most current Supplemental Confidentiality Agreement. Meredith will send emails out to all parties about updating their confidentiality agreements.
- o Scott had questions about the confidentiality agreement. Meredith sent an email with a "preview" of the process to come.
- Collaboration with ORISE fellow, Dr. Dias, working with Dr. Jarvis of Army G-9/DPRR: We assisted USUHS and provided documentation needed to complete and submit a request for the DUA between HJF and Dr. Dias.
- The team continued work on address geocoding steps for Wave 3 and 4 respondent addresses, where their addresses have changed from previous survey administrations.
- Standard enclave activities continued. These include maintaining security requirements; processing background checks and Great Lakes Cluster (GLC) user access requests, drop box requests, ID swap and data transfer requests; managing software acquisition and updates; and providing user support as needed. Of particular note this month:
- o The enclave team processed a Polygenic Risk Score (PRS) data file and completed its transfer to GLC for a UCSD-affiliated analyst.
- o Onboarding to the enclave was completed for two U-M staff members.
- o Offboarding was completed for a Harvard analyst who is retiring.
- · Biomarker group request for assistance:
- o USUHS/CHIRP analysis using WGS and Army/DoD admin data:
- ? The U-M Enclave team awaited information from the requestors about whether it could be an option to move some genetic data to the enclave to carry out a targeted analysis.
- ? James and Meredith participated in a discussion with the PIs/research team questioning whether the Army might reassess data security requirements to allow use of admin data off the enclave.
- o STARRS genomic, diagnostic and sociodemographic data sharing with DHA (Dr. Evatt): We awaited further information from the requestor about variables of interest.

Public Use Data:

• We awaited decisions from the Army/GSC on producing the genetic and bioassay files for public use and placing data in the NIH National Data Archive and Public use release for GWAS.

Wave 5 Production Updates:

- Wave 5 production statistics, as of June 1, 2025, are as follows:
- o Replicates released: 6 of 14 released with 6,202 sample lines.
- o Completed interviews: 4,082 (3,937 web; 145 phone)
- o Replicate 4 ended production on May 12 with a final response rate of 72.2%, which is 3.6 percentage points lower than the average of Replicates 1-3. This lowered the overall response rate for completed replicates to 74.7% (from 75.8%).
- o Replicate 5 transitioned to Phase 4 (continued calling, \$100 token of appreciation) on Saturday, May 24. By the end of the month, the response rate was 66.2% and tracking about 2 percentage points above the average rate. o Replicate 6 was released on Monday, May 12 with invitation letters mailed to 1,075 participants. By the end of the month, Rep 6 moved into Phase 2. The response rate was 34.4% and tracking with the average rate.
- o Note that the incentive experiment has concluded. It was conducted in Replicates 1-3, so we may see lower response rates starting with Replicate 4.
- o The response rate for completed replicates (Reps 1-4) is 74.7%.

Safety Plan Results:

- The Wave 5 combined Safety Plan rate was 12.1% as of June 1:
- o Army Chaplains:
- ? 1,257 (# started IW), 1,204 (# completed IW), 96 (safety plan checks), 7.6% activation rate o U-M CCP:
- ? 2,993 (# started IW), 2,878 (# completed IW), 412 (safety plan checks), 13.9% activation rate

Special Issues

Language in the following area of risk in the report to the PIs/research team has been updated since last month:

• U-M gap in funds for continued Wave 5 work after February 2025 until the Year 6 POP award is received.
o As noted in the Project Management and Planning section, the unexpected administrative complications at U-M ORSP related to the Year 6 hardship were resolved and financial analyst, Bill Lokers, was able to start setting up Year 6 accounts. Account codes for staff to charge time and expenses became available on 6/13.
o Additionally, U-M received the first draft of the Year 6 subaward from HJF on May 17. As of the date of this report, back and forth negotiation is happening between U-M ORSP and HJF. We hope this process continues to move forward in a timely fashion. SRC's approval of a hardship is limited to an initial three months. The start letter was dated April 1 and our Year 5 funding ran out about 1 week into April, so the actual Year 6 subaward will need to be in place by July 1, 2025.

	1 2 2 2	
Cost as of Apr 30, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	14,051,203.71
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	14,051,203.71
	Total Budget:	13,730,116.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	-321,087.71

Reason for Variance:

Feb 28, 2025 marked the end of the 2020-2025 5-year scope of work and budget. In April, we spent the remaining \$45,406 of the \$338k underrun amount (no cost extension) plus an additional \$321,088 which will be moved to the Year 6 hardship account when it becomes available next month.

Projections as of Apr 30, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:
	Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): -366,493.77

Reason for Variance:

Feb 28, 2025 marked the end of the 2020-2025 5-year scope of work and budget. In April, we spent the remaining \$45,406 of the \$338k underrun amount (no cost extension) plus an additional \$321,088 or a total of \$366,494.

0.00

There are no projections in CRS until we get the Year 6 hardship (mid-project advance) or actual award set up, but the placeholder projections in the CRS sandbox estimated we would spend \$312,852. Actuals were \$366,494 (\$53,642 more). Rpay came through at \$46K (total) more than projected, so all but ~\$7.5k of the monthly overspending was from Rpay. Cumulatively, we are only about \$8.7k (total) over what we have projected to spend on Rpay. Month to month, there can be a lot of variance despite trying to anticipate when Rpay costs will hit, but overall the Rpay projections should be pretty accurate. We will continue to monitor and adjust as necessary.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	10,689	72%	12.0
	Goal at Completion:	10,689	72%	12.0
	Current Actual:	4,082	74.7% (Reps 1-4)	16.5
	Estimate at Complete:	10,689	72%	12.0
	Variance:	0	0	0

Other Measures

Stats as of 6/1/25.

Project Name	(WalSS) U-M	Wallenberg Institute St	tudent Survey (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	1,002,656.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 1,002,656.00
Principal	Mark Tessler (U	niversity of Michigan)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: HUM002	269204		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: J	effrey Albrecht Jr		
	Budget Analys	t: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Ma	nager: William Keating		
	Senior Project	Advisor: Shonda R Kruge	-Ndiaye	
	Production Ma	nager 1: Nahid Sultana		
	Production Ma	nager 2:		
Proposal #	no data			
Description		berg Institute Student Surv	ev is a longitudinal, web-based s	urvey study of U-M students that
	investigates exp study is to obser study will include 2025-2029. The	eriences with and perception rve how those experiences a pre-study phase follower research is being conducted research states and research research states research states	ons of diverse religious and ethnic and perceptions change over the ed by five annual waves of web si	c groups. The broad purpose of the course of students' time at U-M. The urvey data collection each fall from ations (SRO) unit at the Institute for
SRO Project Period	02/2025 - 12/20	29		
Data Col Period				
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production	on Start: 02/01/2025	Pre	test Start: 06/18/2025
	Prete	est End: 06/30/2025	Recruitm	nent Start: 08/01/2025
	Staffing Co	mplete:		GIT Start:
	SS Trai	in Start:	SS 1	Train End:
	D	C Start:		DC End:
Other Project Team Members				
Other Project Name	Wallenberg Insti	tute Student Survey		
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS			
Data Col Tool	Qualtrics/Illume			
Hardware	NA			
DE Software	NA			
QC Recording Tool	Live monitoring			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	NA			
Payment Type	Other (Electron	ic gift card, post)		
Payment Method	Other (Tango C	ard from ISR Business Off	ce)	
Report Period	May, 2025 (Wal	SS)		Planning
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	insights into the to gauge the psy quick turnaround -The pretest will recruiting responders of the condition	survey questions (e.g., on ychometric properties of the d pretest of the web survey include an incentive experiments. This will inform the core prejudice questions in with Nicole and Shonda to be pretest, e.g., shifting sur to achieve at least a 20% r	item wording and interpretation), a items, e.g., reliability and validity, which Shonda and the Pls appropriate to compare the effectivener token used for Wave 1 this fall. A the survey to examine which versidentify where funds could be movey programming to begin in earlesponse rate (100 completed sur	ss of \$10 versus \$20 tokens in also, we will experimentally test two sions get better psychometric results. Eved from Wave 1 pre-production to y June instead of August.

Special Issues	-None to report at this time						
Cost as of Jun 10, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):						
	Est Cost at Completion (E	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):			283,558.9		
	Total Budget:			1,002,656.0			
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):			78.0			
	Reason for Variance:		-We continue to monitor the budget using the total Year 1 budget of \$283,637. -Upon further consultation with other projects, Jeffrey proposed updating the Wave 1 assumptions, which projects an 11.6% overall response rate. If the responses are anything like CCS, then we would expect to exceed those rates before calling begins, which would most likely result in cancelling a good deal of SSL work on short notice. To prevent that scenario, Jeffrey and Raphael reworked the study design to break the sample into three replicates that will be released over several weeks. This would allow us to gauge responses to the emails and text in Replicate 1, so that we could determine if calling would be needed in Replicate 3. Further, we will conduct an experiment to test the effects of the reminder calling efforts in Replicates 1 and 2, which reduced calling effort from 4 weeks to 2 weeks for half of the sample, freeing up additional funds (~\$17,000) for Year 1. -Assuming a \$20 token, we can now pay for 850 more completed surveys, meaning that (instead of the project 11.6% rate) we could afford a 17.5% overall response rate (still much lower than the 34% rate achieved in Wave 1 of the CCS project without reminder calling). If the tokens end up being \$10, we could afford up to a 35% response rate, which is about what we are projecting by the end of the full Wave 1 protocol. Whichever scenario we end up with, the replicate design and calling experiment will provide the flexibility to pivot if needed during Wave 1 production.				
Projections as of Jun 10, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:						
	Actual Dollars Used:						
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):						
				effrey underestimated his projections on account of out of office time at is charged directly to the WAISS shortcode.			
Measures		Units at Complete		RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	100		20%			
	Goal at Completion:	100		20%			
	Current Actual:	0		0%			
	Estimate at Complete:	100		20%			
		0					

Developmental/Initiative Projects Dashboard

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects

Project	Туре	Phase	Project Lead	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562)	Initiatives	Initiation	Karl A Dinkelmann					
TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248)	Initiatives	Initiation	Vivienne Y Outlaw					
TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227)	Initiatives	Closing	Mark Simonson					
TSME25 MSMS Performance (425267)	Initiatives	Implementing	Jim Rodgers					
TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198)	Initiatives	Implementing	Mark Simonson					
TSME25 QC Systems (483249)	Initiatives	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand					
TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910)	Initiatives	Implementing	Jeffrey L Smith		<u> </u>	<u></u>		
TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)	Initiatives	Initiation	David Bolt					
TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466)	Initiatives	Implementing	Mark Simonson					
TSME25 Translation Tool (483424)	Initiatives	Implementing	Karl A Dinkelmann					

Project Name	(TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562)) TS	SME25 Blaise 5 version and system	testing (423562) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Karl A Dinkelmann		
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description		ues work from last fiscal year and targets s	come areas we aim to address in the
	of the forthcoming Blaise 5.15 sched components.NET8 APIs and other for are introduced into some of the new option and test record generation, a	ndard for our server configuration. Additionally duled for December 2024, potentially include eature enhancements. Finally, if funds pernier versions of Blaise that we would like to right they begin investigating possibilities for a series, we aim to stretch as much as possible frod on this initiative.	ing video interviewing nit, some relatively newer features eview. These include a Blaise print automated testing. While the funds
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretesi	t Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment	t Start:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Trai	n End:
	DC Start:	D	C End:
Other Project Team Members	Shane Emipe		
Other Project Name	'		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	N/A		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	N/A		
Payment Type	N/A		
Payment Method	N/A		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 Blaise 5 (4235	62))	Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Working on finalizing things for this	TSME fiscal year. Will have a better summa	ary of FY25 next month.
Special Issues	na		
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indire	ct):	7,338.7
·	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		10,006.2

	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):			-6.24
	Reason for Variance:			amount due to atte urs spent on the pr	empting to maximize the total oject.
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:			1,000.31
	Actual Dollars Used:				982.47
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			17.84
	Reason for Variance:			amount due to atte urs spent on the pr	empting to maximize the total roject.
Measures		Units at Comp	lete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(TSME25 DCO Systems Support (4)	33248)) TSME25 DCO Systems Supp	port (483248) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget : 40,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 40,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	ним#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	To be determined		
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	•
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	•
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	•
	DC Start:	DC End:	;
Other Project Team Member	rs		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 DCO Systems		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	х		
Special Issues	х		
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		36,175.7
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		41,353.7
	Total Budget:		40,000.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		-1,353.7
	Reason for Variance:	DCO support needs exceed the budget	
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:		5,178.05
	Actual Dollars Used:		4,951.37

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

226.68

	<u> </u>			
	Reason for Variance:	Production work took priority over development		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (48	3227)) TSME25 MSMS Line Genera	tor (483227) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10,500.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10,500.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Mark Simonson		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Broum	and	
	Senior Project Advisor: Carol Lively		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	TSME25 MSMS Line Generator		
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	·
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	:
	DC Start:	DC End	l:
Other Project Team Member Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
	NA		
Payment Method	IVA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Line		Closing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Completed all requirements for the MSMS a few adjustments as projects statrt the us		FFCWS in June. There may be
Special Issues			
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		10,641.4
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		10,641.4
	Total Budget:		10,500.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		-141.4
	Reason for Variance:	went slightly over	
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Month:		402.36
	Actual Dollars Used:		-479.66

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

882.02

	Reason for Variance:	removed project	ction hours and task was com	pleted.
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

	(TSME25 MSMS Performance (425 & Performance (425267) (On Track	()	dev support - Iteliability
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 100,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 100,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jim Rodgers		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Mixed-mode systems dev support - Relia	bility & Performance	
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Member	rs		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software			
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	NA NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration	NA NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	NA NA NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	NA NA NA NA NA NA		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	NA NA NA NA NA NA		Implementing
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA		Implementing
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level	NA N	9	Implementing
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA On Track		Implementing
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA On Track		
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA On Track Work is proceeding	e	68,055.9
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	NA May, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Performance On Track Work is proceeding Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		68,055.9 75,041.6
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA May, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Performance On Track Work is proceeding Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget:		68,055.9 75,041.6 100,000.0
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	NA May, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Performance On Track Work is proceeding Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		68,055.90 75,041.62 100,000.00 24,958.30

	Actual Dollars Used:			6,542.03
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		776.28
	Reason for Variance:	Update		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425	198)) TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary	(425198) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 4,500.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 4,500.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	НИМ#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Mark Simonson		
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Brouma	and	
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Request by Grant, TBD		
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period	07/2021 03/2020		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	
Willestolles	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	
	DC Start:	DC End.	
Other Duciest Team Manches		DC ENG.	•
Other Project Team Members	IBD		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Met with Grant to review what has been do this more friendly to our exteranl non DMS descriptions. The ODS team is updating the Sandbox. 1. The description of each API 2. Identifying required parameters for each 3. Displaying the Data dictioary for the research	S and TSG users. A website was created ne following documentation that is available n API	that can hold all the API's wit
Special Issues			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		499.
	rotar occi to Bato (amout 1 manout).		
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		4,440.2

	Variance (Total Budget mi	nus- E\$AC):		59.74
	Reason for Variance:	minimal varianc	e.	
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:		4,003.05
	Actual Dollars Used:			159.64
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		3,843.41
	Reason for Variance:	NO hours spent	in May, funds carried to J	une.
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME25 QC Systems (483249)) TS	SME25 QC Systems (483249) (On Tra	ack)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 35,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 35,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Krug	er-Ndiaye	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	to be entered		
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	··
willestolles	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	
	SS Train Start: DC Start:	SS Train End DC End	
Other Project Team Members	Shaowei Sun, Brianna Sabol, Andrew Pis	kowoski, Cheng Zhou, Hueichun Peng, Lih	Shwu Key
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates		uested that we are working through, it was Currently testing changes and prepareing f	
		9. Programmer was too busy doing other p	
Special Issues			
Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		25,465.
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		33,304.6
	Total Budget:		35,000.
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		1,695.3

doing other production work.

		aog oo. p.	J G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		7,043.79
	Actual Dollars Used:			4,756.3
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		2,287.4
	Reason for Variance:	Funds carried	over to june.	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Mode Project Type	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type			
Troject Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 35,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 35,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jeffrey L Smith		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Support for TSG systems		
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Member Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA NA		
Incentive	NA NA		
Administration			
	NA NA		
Payment Type	NA NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 System Maintenance		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		1
Monthly Updates	Encryption and Security -Encrypt ST Password: ST Admin 25 -Encrypted Password: ST Employee Data M Database and File Management -DB Archiver	erge	
	-FileSync -FTP/FileSync/JSON in ST11 Software Development and Testing -ST Patch/ST Builder		
	-ST25 Regression Testing Review -FileSync Testing Meetings and Team Activities		

-ST/PB Build Team Meeting	J				
Data Management -ST Employee Data Merge					
and ST 11). This includes e	During periods without active project work, our team remains focused on advancing and strengthening ST (ST 25 and ST 11). This includes enhancing security, addressing tasks requested by CMT that impact ST, implementing programming fixes, and more. We are committed to continuously moving forward and improving our systems.				
Total Cost to Date (direct	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 78,				
Est Cost at Completion (E	\$AC):		81,110.94		
Total Budget:			35,000.00		
Variance (Total Budget m	inus- E\$AC):		-46,110.94		
Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance: see below				
Dollars Projected for Month:					
Actual Dollars Used:					
Variance (Projected minus Actual):					
Reason for Variance: Hours /costs have been reallocated from this account and will be reflected in next month's report.					
	Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
Current Goal:					
Goal at Completion:					
Current Actual:					
Estimate at Complete:					
	·				
	Data Management -ST Employee Data Merge During periods without active and ST 11). This includes e programming fixes, and more Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Cost at Completion) Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current Actual:	-ST Employee Data Merge During periods without active project work, our team rem and ST 11). This includes enhancing security, addressing programming fixes, and more. We are committed to cont Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: see below Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance: Hours /costs reflected in Units at Complete Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current Actual: Estimate at Complete:	Data Management -ST Employee Data Merge During periods without active project work, our team remains focused on advancing a and ST 11). This includes enhancing security, addressing tasks requested by CMT the programming fixes, and more. We are committed to continuously moving forward and Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: see below Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason for Variance: Hours /costs have been reallocated from reflected in next month's report. Units at Complete RR Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current Actual: Estimate at Complete:		

Project Name	(TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197))	TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)	(On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 21,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 21,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: David Bolt		
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Carol Lively		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Team Dynamix implementation: 1) Library call out to Team Dynamix API.	of API endpoints to integrate with Team	Dynamics. 2). Batch process to
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest St	art:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment St	art:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT St	art:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train E	ind:
	DC Start:	DC E	
Other Project Team Memb	ers	-	
Other Project Name	5.5		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Payment Method	IVA		
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 Team Dynamix		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Warp up of final testing of API calls.		
Special Issues			
Cost as of	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		0.00
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		0.00
	Total Budget:		21,000.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		0.00
	Reason for Variance:		0.00
Projections as of	Dollars Projected for Month:		0.00
i iojections as of	Actual Dollars Used:		
	Actual Dollars Used:		0.00

Reason	for	Var	rion	co.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	·	24466)) TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (4	24466) (On Track)		
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available				
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives				
Budget	Direct Budget: 26,000.00	Indirect Budget: 26,000.00	Total Budget: 26,000.00		
Principal					
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	ним#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Mark Simonson				
	Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle D	oher			
	Production Manager: Sarah Elisa	Broumand			
	Senior Project Advisor: Carol Live	ely			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	TSME25 TEAM LOCATION				
	sample management systems. Eac example, SurveyTrak projects use	ams have been using various tools to perform h system has developed its own tool to satisf Weblogs Locating application, WSMS has a c e Iwer Location module for MSMS projects.	y its project requirements. For		
	Team Locating is slightly different from lwer locating in that their users use alternate sources to gather information about our respondents and contact persons, confirm contact with a viable lead and then share that information with the Interviewers to follow up with a phone call and ultimately an Interview. SRO wants to develop a stand alone tool that is agnostic to any sample management system so that in the future this tool can be used as a service to any project.				
	Spedification Document can be fou https://docs.google.com/document/ tab=t.0#heading=h.2payusa1crxf	nd at: d/108rO0HhlfguNaUeWUebQuia8AB2KL7B-0	6isTyqWeMSc/edit?		
SRO Project Period	01/1996 - 01/1996				
Data Col Period					
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest	Start:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment	Start:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:		
	DC Start:	DC	End:		
Other Project Team Memb	ers				
Other Project Name					
Sample Mgmt System	NA				
Data Col Tool	NA				
Hardware	NA				
DE Software	NA NA				
QC Recording Tool	NA NA				
Incentive	NA NA				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	NA				
Payment Method	NA				
Report Period	May, 2025 (TSME25 TEAM LOCAT	TION	Implementing		
	,		1		

Risk Level	On Track	On Track				
Monthly Updates		The application wasd demo'd to the Input team and approved. A few minor items need to be changed in the UI and then later on we will be adding the integration to MSMS. The month of June is reserved for usability testing.				
	The following programming	The following programming items have been completed:				
	 Adding leads of all types 					
	2. Adding contact attempts a		a ort			
	 Added basic production re Added volunteer testers a 		ability testing that will be com	pleted in June.		
	Next items for next Fiscal ye					
	 Adding additional sources Editing the status of existi 					
	3. Adding the FTF Flag on the					
	4. A few minor UI changes					
	5. Integration with MSMS					
Special Issues				24,340.82		
Cost as of Jun 09, 2025	·	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):					
	Total Budget:					
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 529.33					
	Reason for Variance: CRS has not been updated					
Projections as of Jun 09, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		15,776.31		
	Actual Dollars Used:					
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): 753.					
	Reason for Variance:					
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					
Other Measures				<u> </u>		

Project Name	(TSME25 Translation Tool (483	3424)) TSME25 Translation Tool (48	33424) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available	,,	,,
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10,500.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10,500.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	НИМ#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Karl A Dinkelmann		
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	paste the majority of foreign translati most of this would make the process Your City Housing projects, we must to reduce the cutting and pasting ne- options. Early thoughts were to expe- (potentially XML) or use the Blaise B translatable text in a data model. Th- and allow one to translate the text, b would be a two-way process of expo-	to automate translation adaptation to a Blaion text into Blaise instruments; however, is much quicker and less error-prone. If we tind a better way. While our goal is to au cessary to create multi-lingual instruments ort the text that needs to be translated from BITT file. The BITT file is a file Blaise can ele Issue with the BITT file is that it is a one out then Blaise uses the BITT file as the so orting and importing the text in the ideal words.	having a way to automate some or get the HRS-Kenya and the New Itomate as much as possible, we aim so We have just begun discussing our malaise into a structured format export and contains most of the away process, meaning it can export ource or the datamodel text. This orld. Therefore, in this idea, we would
SRO Project Period	07/2024 - 06/2025		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Prete	st Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitmer	nt Start:
	Staffing Complete:	GI	IT Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Tra	nin End:
	DC Start:	ı	DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Kelly Lieske		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	N/A		
Payment Method	N/A		
.,	• •		
	M. COOF (TO VECT TO VICE		
·	May, 2025 (TSME25 Translation Too	lc	Implementing
Report Period Risk Level	On Track		
•	On Track	used on creating a user interface or applica	

Cost as of Jun 17, 2025	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):			10,206.32
	Est Cost at Completion (E	\$AC):			11,405.18
	Total Budget:				10,500.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):			-905.18
	Reason for Variance:		Slightly over the total amount likely due to attempting to maximise the budget and estimating actual dollars spent with remaining funds to project for June. At that time, we estimated a \$13.67 overrun.		
Projections as of Jun 17, 2025	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:			1,613.85
	Actual Dollars Used:				
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			-923.65
	Reason for Variance:	b			o attempting to maximise the nt with remaining funds to
Measures		Units at Cor	nplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	5				
	Estimate at Complete:				