Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative

March 2025



Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative Projects

(ANES 2024) American National Election Studies - 2024

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries

(CARE Military) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022 - Military

(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022

(CCS) Community College Survey

(CVFS-SCAN) Chitwan Valley Family Study - Study on Cognition and Aging in Nepal

(FFCWS) Future of Families and Child Wellbeing Study

(Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan)

(Healthy Brain Project) Healthy Brain Project

(Hospitals Sharing Data) Hospitals Sharing Patient Data

(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews

(HRS 2024) Health and Retirement Study 2024

(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screening

(LHMS 2023 Fall) Life History Mail Study Fall 2023

(LHMS 2023 Spring) Life History Mail Study Spring 2023

(MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4 & 5)) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study

(MI CReSS CS) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Study Community Survey

(MTF Base Year 2022_27) Monitoring the Future Base Year 2022-2027

(MTF Early Panel Pilot) Monitoring the Future: A Cohort-Sequential Panel Study of Drug Use, Ages 19-65 -

Administrative Supplement #1 (8/10th Grade Panel)

(MTF Innovation Panel) Monitoring the Future: Young Adult Innovation Panel 2024

(MTF Panel 2022-27) Monitoring the Future Panel 2022-2027

(NDWS) National Dementia Workforce Study

(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Study of Income Dynamics

(PSID 2025 OCU) PSID 2025 Online Contact Update

(PSID CDS23 Phase 2) PSID Childhood Development Supplement 2023 Phase 2

(PSID25) Panel Study of Income Dynamics Core 2025

(SAFEGUARD) SAFEGUARD

(SAND COVID Follow-Up) Study of Adolescent to Adult Neural Development (SAND) COVID-19 Follow-up Study

(SCA Web 2025) SCA Web 2025

(SCIP 2024) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project

(SRS 2021) Social Relations 2023

(STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1)) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(WalSS) U-M Wallenberg Institute Student Survey

(TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562)) TSME25 Blaise 5 version and system testing (423562)

(TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248)) TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248)

(TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227)) TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227)

(TSME25 MSMS Performance (425267)) TSME25 Mixed-mode systems dev support - Reliability & Performance (425267)

(TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198)) TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198)

(TSME25 QC Systems (483249)) TSME25 QC Systems (483249)

(TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910)) TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910)

(TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)) TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)

(TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466)) TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466)

(TSME25 Translation Tool (483424)) TSME25 Translation Tool (483424)

Sponsored Projects Dashboard

| Project | Type | Phase | Project Lead | Jan | Feb | Mar |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| ANES 2024 | Sponsored | Implementing | Andrew L Hupp | | | • |
| BFY | Sponsored | Implementing | Piotr Dworak | • | | |
| BHM Library Project | Sponsored | Closing | Karin Schneider | 0 | 0 | • |
| CARE Military | Sponsored | Implementing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | | • | • |
| CARE SALTOS MTEC | Sponsored | Implementing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | • | • | • |
| CCS | Sponsored | Closing | Jeffrey Albrecht Jr | | • | • |
| CVFS-SCAN | Sponsored | Implementing | Maureen Joan O'Brien | • | | • |
| FFCWS | Sponsored | Initiation | Rebecca Gatward | | | • |
| Health and Well Being in SE MI | Sponsored | Implementing | Barbara Lohr Ward | • | • | • |
| Healthy Brain Project | Sponsored | Implementing | Barbara Lohr Ward | | | |
| Hospitals Sharing Data | Sponsored | Implementing | Erin McSpadden | | • | • |
| HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines | Sponsored | Implementing | Evanthia Leissou | • | • | • |
| HRS 2024 | Sponsored | Implementing | Evanthia Leissou | • | • | • |
| HRS2022-Screening | Sponsored | Implementing | Evanthia Leissou | | 9 | |
| LHMS 2023 Fall | Sponsored | Implementing | Gary Hein | • | | • |
| LHMS 2023 Spring | Sponsored | Implementing | Gary Hein | | • | |
| MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4 & 5) | Sponsored | Closing | Timothy Prand | | • | • |
| MI CReSS CS | Sponsored | Initiation | Timothy Prand | 9 | • | |
| MTF Base Year 2022_27 | Sponsored | Implementing | Rebecca Gatward | | | |
| MTF Early Panel Pilot | Sponsored | Implementing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | • | | • |
| MTF Innovation Panel | Sponsored | Closing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | | • | • |
| MTF Panel 2022-27 | Sponsored | Implementing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | | | • |
| NDWS | Sponsored | Implementing | Piotr Dworak | • | | • |
| PR-PSID | Sponsored | Implementing | Camila Kendall | • | | • |
| PSID 2025 OCU | Sponsored | Implementing | Camila Kendall | | | • |
| PSID CDS23 Phase 2 | Sponsored | Implementing | Camila Kendall | • | | |
| PSID25 | Sponsored | Implementing | Rachel Anne Orlowski | | | |
| SAFEGUARD | Sponsored | Planning | Daniel Tomlin | | | • |
| SAND COVID Follow-Up | Sponsored | Closing | Elizabeth Ohryn | | | |
| SCA Web 2025 | Sponsored | Initiation | William Keating | • | • | |
| SCIP 2024 | Sponsored | Closing | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | • | | • |
| SRS 2021 | Sponsored | Closing | Barbara Lohr Ward | • | | • |
| STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1) | Sponsored | Implementing | Meredith A House | • | • | • |
| WaISS | Sponsored | Initiation | Jeffrey Albrecht Jr | | 0 | |

| Project Name | (ANES 2024) American National Election Studies - 2024 (On Track) | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 3 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 4,892,421.00 | Total Budget: 7,526,240.00 |
| Principal | Nicholas A. Valentino (University of Michigan) | |
| Investigator/Clients | Shanto Iyengar (Stanford University) | |
| | D. Sunshine Hillygus (Duke University) | |
| Funding Agency | National Science Foundation (NSF) | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00226016 | Period of Approval: Study is exempt |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp | |
| | Budget Analyst: William Lokers | |
| | Production Manager: Theresa Camelo | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson | |
| | Production Manager 1: Margaret Lavanger | |
| | Production Manager 2: Lisa Van Havermaet | |
| Proposal # | no data | |
| Description | The mission of the American National Election Studies (ANES) is to inform explanary providing data that support rich hypothesis testing, maximize methodological exceand promote comparisons across people, contexts, and time. The ANES serves the researchers with a view of the political world through the eyes of ordinary citizens. | llence, measure many variables, |
| | SRO will be conducting the 2024 data collection. | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2023 - 01/2025 | |
| Data Col Period | | |
| Security Plan | NA | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest Sta | art: |
| | Pretest End: Recruitment St | art: |
| | Staffing Complete: GIT Sta | art: 07/09/2024 |
| | SS Train Start: 07/10/2024 SS Train E | nd: |
| | DC Start: 08/01/2024 DC E | nd : 03/31/2025 |
| Other Project Team Members | Erin McSpadden - Project Manager for the methods pilot Sharon Parker - Production Manager for the methods pilot Raphael Nishimura - Sampling (pilot and production) Paul Burton - Sampling and Reporting Makenna Harrison - Sampling and Reporting Grace DesJardins - Project Support Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak programming + Tech Lead Karl Dinkelmann - Blaise oversight + Tech Lead James Rodgers - MSMS + Tech Lead Ashwin Dey - WebTrak Pam Swanson - MSMS set-up programming Sarah Broumand - PQT and QC set-up | |
| Other Project Name | Peter Sparks - Blaise programming Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support | |
| Other Project Name | Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS Blaise 5; Other (PAPI) | erviews) |
| Sample Mgmt System | Max Malhotra - Blaise programming Youhong Liu - Blaise programming Shanie Empie - Login portal Hueichun Peng - Self-scheduler Tony Romanowski - Technical specifications and testing Andrew Piskorowski - ODS Kelly Chatain - MSMS specs and testing Elizabeth Ohryn - Testing, SSL support William Lokers - Video interviewing coordination in SSL and field support SurveyTrak; MSMS | erviews) |

| Incentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Oth | ier (Spouse/partner) | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Administration | SRO Group | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post (\$25/\$40 Escalation to \$100; \$150 for in-person); Cash, prepaid (\$5/\$10 Pre-election visible cash); Cash, post (Pre/Post-Election token amount) | | | |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (MSMS via RPay); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS via RPay); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS via RPay) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (ANES 2024) | Mar, 2025 (ANES 2024) Implementing | | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | |
| Monthly Updates | The 2024 data collection has ended. In-person: -PRE: 1,042 interviews (966 in-person, 68 phone, 8 mixed + 0 partials) -POST: 90% reinterview goal (n=938) -Actual: 925 (89%); 372 In-person, 220 Telephone, 332 Video + 1 partial Web - Fresh -PRE: 2,063 interviews (2,022 + 41 partials) -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=1,754) -Actual: 1,769 (86%) (1,721 + 48 partials) Web - Panel -PRE: 2,171 interviews (2,158 + 13 partials) -POST: 90% reinterview goal (n=1,954) -Actual: 2,070 (95%) (2,040 + 30 partials) Web - GSS -PRE: 987 interviews (978 + 9 partials) -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=839) -Actual: 807 (82%) (807 + 26 partials) Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview goal (n=208) -Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occupation, industry, and most important problems (MIP). | | | |
| | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in | ive asked for a revised sam | |
| | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in a NRFU operation. They had,000 direct in the original balls | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | d for now. |
| Special Issues | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | d for now. |
| • | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in a NRFU operation. They han a new pool of the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original part of the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting, or the original balls are study consists of weighting. | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | d for now. |
| • | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original bally a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | for now. (methodology) report. |
| • | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct lest Cost at Completion (6)) | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original bally a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | for now. (methodology) report. 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 |
| • | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150. The remaining work on the (15%) Total Cost to Date (direct (15%)) Total Budget: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original bally a study consists of weighting, at + indirect): E\$AC): | ave asked for a revised sam park. This has been shelved | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 |
| • | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct lest Cost at Completion (6)) | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original ball; a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): project staf | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical for transferred ~\$600,000 to | for now. (methodology) report. 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview -Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (in Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that to CPS. | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical for transferred ~\$600,000 to | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview -Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (in Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that to CPS. | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical for transferred ~\$600,000 to | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 (182%) The remaining work on the (182%) Total Cost to Date (direct (182%)) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original bally a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical for transferred ~\$600,000 to | for now. (methodology) report. 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 100,000 are going to go back to |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the (15%) Total Cost to Date (direct (15%)) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Monactual Dollars Used: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. Inth: Actual hour | ave asked for a revised same park. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical of transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$600.000 to the indirect costs on the \$600.00 | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 (182%) The remaining work on the (182%) Total Cost to Date (direct (182%)) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Modular Cost (182%) Variance (Projected mine) | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. Inth: Actual hour | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical f transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 to the second costs on the \$60 to the second costs. | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 (182%) The remaining work on the (182%) Total Cost to Date (direct (182%)) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Modular Cost (182%) Variance (Projected mine) | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original bally estudy consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that to CPS. Inth: Actual hour hours have | ave asked for a revised same park. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical of transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$60 to the indirect costs | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 100,000 are going to go back to 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those creenshots and coding. |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview -Actual: 202 (82%) SRO is coding religion, occ SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (in Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minut Reason for Variance: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. Inth: Actual hours have Units at Complete | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical of transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$600 | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those creenshots and coding. HPI |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 (182%) The remaining work on the (182%) Total Cost to Date (direct (182%)) Est Cost at Completion (182%) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Moderate (182%) Variance (Projected minutes) Reason for Variance: Current Goal: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. Inth: Actual hours have Units at Complete | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical of transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$600 | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those creenshots and coding. HPI |
| Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Paper -PRE: 245 -POST: 85% reinterview (-Actual: 202 (82%)) SRO is coding religion, occ (82%) SRO provided a ballpark for direct rather than the \$150 The remaining work on the (15%) Total Cost to Date (direct (15%)) Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget in Reason for Variance: 25 Dollars Projected for Monactual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minutes (15%)) Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion: | goal (n=208) cupation, industry, and most in or a NRFU operation. They had not direct in the original balls a study consists of weighting, of t + indirect): E\$AC): Project staf *Note that the CPS. Inth: Actual hour hours have Units at Complete 1,200/938 | ave asked for a revised sampark. This has been shelved clean-up, and the technical of transferred ~\$600,000 to the indirect costs on the \$600 | 7,058,718.34 7,384,233.13 7,526,240.00 142,006.87 cover the anticipated overrun. 00,000 are going to go back to 237,279.81 191,639.06 45,640.75 ected hours. Some of those creenshots and coding. HPI 10.5/6.0 |

Page 2 of 93

| Project Name | (BFY) Baby's First Years (On Track) | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 6,590,315.34 | Indirect Budget: 2,109,819.29 | Total Budget: 8,700,134.63 | |
| Principal | Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - | Irvine) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Colu | mbia University) | | |
| | Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin) | | | |
| Funding Agency | National Institute of Child Health and Huma | n Development (NICHD) | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00137963 | | Period of Approval: | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Piotr Dworak | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer | | | |
| | Production Manager: Veronica Connors-B | Burge | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Char | rdoul | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Margaret Lavange | r | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years a longitudinal randomized control trial study. | | | |

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- · Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- · Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- · Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019 Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021

Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022 **SRO Project Period** 10/2017 - 12/2020

| Data Col Period | 04/2018 - 12/2020 | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Security Plan | NA | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 10/01/2017 | Pretest Start: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018 |
| | Staffing Complete: 02/07/2018 | GIT Start: 03/19/2018 |
| | SS Train Start: 03/20/2018 | SS Train End: 03/22/2018 |
| | DC Start: 05/07/2018 | DC End: 06/30/2022 |

Other Project Team Members Stephanie Chardoul (SPA)

Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM) Jim McClure (DCS) Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)

Dave Dybicki (Blaise) Colette Keyser (Blaise) Tricia Blanchard (MSMS) Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

| Other Project Name | HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development |
|--------------------|--|
|--------------------|--|

| Sample Mgmt System | MSMS |
|--------------------|--|
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone |
| DE Software | N/A |
| QC Recording Tool | Other (to be specified) |
| Incentive | Yes, R |
| Administration | SRO Group |
| Payment Type | Cash, prepaid (50) |
| Payment Method | Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS) |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (BFY) | Implementing |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Risk Level | On Track | |
| | | |

Monthly Updates BFY Age 5- 8:

BFY has awarded SRO continuing work throughout Age 8 (August 2027).

On February 13 we have sent the last batch of respondents recruited for the Age 6 Lab visits conducted by the PI research team on-site in LA, MN, NE, and NY.

We are now focusing on helping the research team with the hard to reach Rs which will include some travel (most likely to MN) and re-contacting cases which completed the lab visit. Re-contacting will continue through August

We are currently following up with cases which reach Age 6 + 4 months and have completed their lab visit.

Project Staffing:

One of project Iwers/TLs has been promoted to a PM and starting their work to relieve a more senior PM. We expect this will lead to some savings in the longer run.

9 iwers in total

NE: 1 OS (1 NH resigned) MN: 0 local (1 resigned)

NY: 2 OS (was 3, -1 promoted to PM)

NOLA: 1 Locators: 2 TLs: 1

Technical system: Working as expected.

Special Issues

| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 7,945,678.96 |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 8,721,232.03 |
| | Total Budget: | 8,700,134.63 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | -21,097.40 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Total overrun continues to be a concern on the project. However, we have some allocation for travel "parked" in 2027 and our current staffing mix should reduce the overrun in the upcoming months. |

| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mo | onth: | | 47,639.64 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----|-----------|
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 20,011.17 |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | 27,628.47 |
| | Reason for Variance: | : The monthly projections were off and will need to be review | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Goal at Completion: | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Current Actual: | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Estimate at Complete: | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| | Variance: | | | |

Other Measures

| Project Name | (BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Pulibraries (Some Concerns) | ublic | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 126,712.00 Indirect Budget: 70,959.00 Total Budget: | 197,671.00 | |
| Principal | Deborah Robinson (ISR) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: Period of Appl | roval: | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Karin Schneider | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | SRO will support the project in the first year by working with you to design the sample. SRO will support implementation of up to two pilot surveys and the larger national survey of libraries. We will provide coon scale development throughout the pilot phase and provide statistical support to finalize the scales a working dataset (with weights to account for the stratified sample design). In total, the SRO period of pwill be approximately 24 months in duration, starting in February of 2023, with data collections for the prational survey taking place over approximately 12 months, starting in late 2023. | nsultation nd provide a erformance | |
| SRO Project Period | 02/2023 - 02/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | 10/2023 - 09/2024 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: Pretest Start: | | |
| | Pretest End: Recruitment Start: | | |
| | Staffing Complete: GIT Start: | | |
| | SS Train Start: SS Train End: | | |
| | DC Start : DC End : 02/28/2025 | | |
| Other Project Team Membe | ers | | |
| Other Project Name | Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | Not used | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (BHM Library Project) Closing | | |
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | |
| Monthly Updates | Termination notice received from sponsor 4/15/2025 indicating no costs can be incurred after 4/8/2025 | | |
| | March activities: Finalizing data, working with DMSS to start analysis on factors, analysis weights. | | |
| Special Issues | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 199,290.0 | |
| 0031 a3 01 Apr 17, 2023 | | | |
| 003t d3 01 Apr 17, 2023 | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 214,392.5 | |

| | Variance (Total Budget r | ninus- E\$AC): | | -16,721.53 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | Reason for Variance: | See prev of oversi | ious months - data collection and gn | d project extension, addition |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 25 Dollars Projected for Mo | nth: | | 19,390.34 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 13,277.64 |
| | Variance (Projected mine | us Actual): | | 6,112.70 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Wen, Ma | kenna and Raphael mostly char | ging in first week of April. |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | 1575 | 30 | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (CARE Military Military (On Tr | | ment, Research and Educatio | on (CARE) Consortium 2022 - | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web | Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Proje | cts | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 1 | ,338,017.70 | Indirect Budget: 347,885.00 | Total Budget: 1,685,902.70 | |
| Principal | Dr. Steven Brogli | o (U of M Kinesiology) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Dr. Micheal McCi | rea /Dr. Pasquina (Medica | College of Wisconsin/Uniformed Se | ervices Un) | |
| | Dr. Thomas McA | llister (Indiana University S | School of Medicine) | | |
| Funding Agency | NCAA and DoD | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: 0020269 | 1 | | Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Do | onnalee Ann Grey-Farquh | arson | · | |
| | Budget Analyst | David Kellermeyer | | | |
| | Production Man | ager: Barbara Aghababia | n-Homburg | | |
| | Senior Project A | Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wa | rd | | |
| | Production Man | ager 1: Hongyu Johnson | | | |
| | Production Man | ager 2: Keith Liebetreu | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | physical and psyc | | raduation to assess health and well- able researchers to study the intermosure. | | |
| | This project has an overall SRO involvement period of 13 months, beginning March 2022, with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months starting mid-March 2022. SRO provides consultation, respondent locating activities and data collection for respondents in the uniformed services sample. | | | | |
| | complete a study and contact resp conduct approxin follow-up intervie The estimate tota | assessment once over the ordents by phone to prome takely 1,425 telephone into the ws on the web. | | respond to invitations to complete udes \$1,131,747.00 direct and | |
| SRO Project Period | 02/2022 - 03/202 | 3 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 03/2022 - 08/202 | 3 | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production | Start: | Pretes | t Start: | |
| | Pretes | st End: | Recruitment | t Start: | |
| | Staffing Con | | | [™] Start: | |
| | SS Train | | SS Trai | | |
| | | Start: | | a. C End: | |
| Other Project Team Members | | arquharson, Barb Hombu | | an, Keith Liebetreu, David Ackuaku, | |
| Other Project Name | CARE-CSI Militar | • | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Other (non-SRO) | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (non-SRO) | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] | | | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | | |
| Administration | Other (Kinesiolo | gy) | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post (\$15 | | | | |
| | | , | | | |
| Payment Method | Other (Kinesiolo | , | | | |

Report Period Mar, 2025 (CARE Military) Implementing **Risk Level** On Track **Monthly Updates** The SRO team is working towards the goal of 7500 (Civ)/5000 (Mil) target through January 2026. The administrative process to transfer funds to SRO for the extension (to 01/26) is in progress. There is no update on whether/when the Technical Systems development will happen. The system screening for respondents is turning up errors so the protocols are being reworked. The Spring Newsletters was emailed all respondents. The SRO team continue to work with Datalys and Quesgen to resolve system issues. A new cohort of respondents is being "created". Military participants recruited while doing Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) training at the Eglin Base are being looked at as a unique group. A separate report has been created to monitor productivity for this Eglin group. At the end of March 2025, the ISR has a total of 22,515 military (DCP2 + DCP3) samples, and 4372 military cases have been completed. Eglin group has a total of 204 sample, and 16 cases have been completed. Special Issues Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 1,684,473.95 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,684,473.95 Total Budget: 1,685,902.70 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 1,428.75 We do not have new funding currently to support the military work. Reason for Variance: However, military work resumed in April 2024 with civilian funds. **Note: In March 2025, we allocated \$39,652.61 to the Military project with the Civilian funds. This brings our cumulative spending on the military project to \$531,705.55 from April to March 2025. The current spending on Military is reflected the underrun amount in Civilian funds. Projections as of Mar 31, Dollars Projected for Month: 0.00 2025 Actual Dollars Used: 52,516.66 Variance (Projected minus Actual): -52.516.66 Reason for Variance: Since we are using Civilian funds there are no projections for this account. Measures **Units at Complete** RR HPI **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: **Current Actual:** Estimate at Complete: Variance: Other Measures

| Project Name | (CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion 2022 (On Track) | n Assessment, Research and Ed | ucation (CARE) Consortium | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web | Total of Modes: 2 | | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 3,718,978.00 | Indirect Budget: 966,936.00 | Total Budget: 4,685,914.00 | | | |
| Principal | Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology) | | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of W | /isconsin) | | | | |
| | Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University | School of Medicine) | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | | |
| IRB | HUM# : 00202691 | | Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open | | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquh | Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer | | | | | |
| | Production Manager: Barbara Aghababia | an-Homburg | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wa | ard | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Hongyu Johnson | | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: Keith Liebetreu | | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | | |
| Description | In 2014, the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCA established and funded the Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium to science, clinical care and public policy related to concussion and repetitive head impact exposure (HII Military Service Academy (MSA) cadets and collegiate student-athletes. Since then, CARE has enrolled MSA cadets/midshipmen and NCAA student-athletes from 30 participating collegiate institutions, reprediction over 5,000 concussed cadets/midshipmen and athletes – the largest concussion database of its kingublic-private study is designed to answer key knowledge gaps around clinical and neurobiological restructure and function, and factors predicting outcomes in MSA members and NCAA student-athletes CARE/SALTOS Integrated (CSI) Study phase investigates the nature and causes of long-term effects impact exposure and concussion/mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in former NCAA student-athletes service members. The data collected in this phase will build on that collected in previous phases | | | | | |
| | Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) study, with the goal of securing participation from 7,50 unique past-CARE study participants. Participants will complete the same set of study assessments at two time points over the five-year project period. The project follows collegiate athletes post-graduation to assess health and well-being outcomes and a number of physical and psychological measures to enable researchers to study the intermediate and cumulative effects of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure. Specifically, SRO decentralized field interviewers will locate and contact respondents by phone to prompt them to access the online data collection questionnaire. SRO will conduct telephone interviews with participants who fail to respond to invitations to complete follow-up interviews on the web. | | | | | |
| | This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of approximately 44 months over two waves. Wave 1 SRO involvement will begin in December 2021 with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months, beginning approximately May 2022. Wave 2 SRO involvement will begin in November 2023 with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months starting in May 2024. | | | | | |
| | Currently, the total cost for the overall scope of work (based on the currently committed funding from all sources) is estimated at \$4,685,914. This includes \$3,718,978 direct and \$966,936 indirect costs, using the NCAA's published indirect cost rate of 26% (which is being used for all funders). As additional sources of funding are identified and those resources committed to SRO survey data collection activities, or inversely if a funder withdraws or reduces their level of funding to the project, the scope of work (e.g., the number of interviews to be collected) will increase or decrease respectively. | | | | | |
| | includes \$1,807,689 direct costs and \$470 | The estimate of funding contributed by the Department of Defense through the MTEC RFP is \$2,277,689. This includes \$1,807,689 direct costs and \$470,000 indirect costs budgeted at the 26% indirect cost rate. The proposed period of support is September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2025. | | | | |
| SRO Project Period | 10/2021 - 08/2026 | | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 03/2022 - 02/2026 | | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest S | Start: | | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | Start: | | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | Start: | | | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train | End: | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DC End:

DC Start:

| administra There is n Production The syste Newslette system iss A new coh Disposal (created to | ative process to trans to update on whether in m screening for response was emailed all resues. the process of trans from the process to trans from | sfer funds to SRO for r/when the Technical condents is turning to espondents. The SR s being "created". M | or the extension (to 01/26 cal Systems development up errors so the protocols | , , , | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Production The syste Newslette system is: A new col Disposal (created to | n m screening for resports was emailed all resues. nort of respondents is (EOD) training at the | condents is turning usespondents. The SR | up errors so the protocols | s are being reworked. The Spring | | | |
| The syste Newslette system iss A new col Disposal (created to | m screening for responsers was emailed all resources. The properties of the substitution of the substitut | espondents. The SR s being "created". N | | | | | |
| Disposal (created to At the end | (EOD) training at the | | | | | | |
| have beer | A new cohort of respondents is being "created". Military participants recruited while doing Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) training at the Eglin Base are being looked at as a unique group. A separate report has been created to monitor productivity for this Eglin group. At the end of March 2025, the ISR has a total of 33,147 civilian (DCP2 + DCP3) samples, and 6308 civilian cases | | | | | | |
| Charlet Inques | n completed. | | · | | | | |
| Special Issues Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 Total Cos | st to Date (direct + i | indirect): | | 3,838,325.0 | | | |
| <u> </u> | at Completion (E\$A | | | 4,623,655.53 | | | |
| Total Bud | * | | 4,688 | | | | |
| | (Total Budget minu | ıs- E\$AC): | | 62,258.4 | | | |
| Reason f | or Variance: | staff adjus data an ac **No with milita | used less hours than prostments in the next month collection these funds windditional ~10 days of dataste: In March 2025, we all the Civilian funds. This bary project to \$531,705.55 | \$8k more than last month due to some bjected. We will continue to make hs. Also, with the expected extension of ill be absorbed and may be used to add a collection to the extension. Identical contents of the matter of the underrun amount in Civilian funds. | | | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, Dollars P | rojected for Month: | | | 150,320.99 | | | |
| | ollars Used: | | | 138,726.77 | | | |
| Variance | (Projected minus A | (ctual): | | 11,594.22 | | | |
| Reason f | or Variance: | the p | | al hours are on track when comparing to RO staff members used less hours than | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Compl | lete RR | HPI | | | |
| Current C | ioal: | | | | | | |
| Goal at C | Completion: | | | | | | |
| Current A | Actual: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Estimate | at Complete: | | | | | | |

| Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 Project Type Sponsored Projects Budget Direct Budget: 560,774.00 Indirect Budget: 84,115.00 T Principal Hana Lahr (Teachers College, Columbia University) Investigator/Clients Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Columbia University) Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania) Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 F Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager: 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Preproduction Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08 Staffing Complete: Start: Recruitment Start: 08 Start: 10/14/2024 PC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Plueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer |
|--|
| Budget Direct Budget: 560,774.00 Indirect Budget: 84,115.00 T Principal Hana Lahr (Teachers College, Columbia University) Investigator/Clients Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Columbia University) Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania) Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 F Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen whe will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: 05/01/2024 Staffing Complete: ST Train Start: 10/14/2024 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer |
| Principal Hana Lahr (Teachers College, Columbia University) Investigator/Clients Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Columbia University) Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania) Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 F Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2203 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2203 - 03/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Staffling Complete: GIT Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Web SMS Programmer Ed Green - Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Investigator/Clients Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Columbia University) Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania) Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Huelchun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvania) Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 Froject Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # 10 data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college student We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Funding Agency Ascendium Education Group IRB HUM#: 00237400 Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college student We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 SS Train End: 10/05 Start: 10/14/2024 SS ST Train End: 10/05 ST Train End: 10/0 |
| IRB HUM#: 00237400 F Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Start: 10/14/2024 Start: 10/14/2024 Start: Start: 10/23/2024 Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall: recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualitrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Other (Qualitrics) |
| Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall in ecruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Amarsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Huelchun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Other (Qualtrics) |
| Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall is recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: 08 Staffing Complete: Recruitment Start: 08 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Other (Qualtrics) |
| Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 SS Train End: 10 SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Production Manager 1: Steven Sonoras Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Proposal # No data Description The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college studen We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09 Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Advances Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| The CCS seeks to understand factors that influence first year community college student We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 2 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 08/01/2024 GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10/02/2024 SS Train End: 10/02/2024 DC End: 12/01/2024 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| We will survey a selection of students entering a community college for the first time in the follow up with them in the second semester (Spring 2024) and their third semester (Fall 3 recruit 4 community colleges to participate. SRO Project Period 01/2023 - 03/2025 Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09 Staffling Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Other (Qualtrics) |
| Data Col Period 10/2023 - 11/2024 Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09/01/2024 GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10/04/2024 DC End: 12/01/2024 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Security Plan |
| Milestones Pre Production Start: 08/01/2024 Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09/01/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10/023/2024 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10/DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Under Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| SS Train Start: 10/23/2024 SS Train End: 10 DC Start: 10/14/2024 DC End: 12 Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Other Project Team Members Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programmer Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programmer Other Project Name How Community College Students Choose Programs of Study Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Sample Mgmt System Web SMS Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics) |
| • • • |
| Handware Doctor |
| Hardware Desktop |
| DE Software NA |
| QC Recording Tool N/A |
| Incentive Yes, R |
| Administration SRO Group |
| Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$5); Cash, post (\$40); Other (Visa electronic gift cards, Amazon gift code |
| Payment Method Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (VISA eGift cards from HSIP, Amaz Teachers Coll) |
| |
| Report Period Mar, 2025 (CCS) |
| Risk Level On Track |
| |
| Monthly Updates In March, the proposals team provided a ball park to mail a contact information update in respondents. Jeffrey continued to write and prepare for the upcoming AERA presentation experiment findings. |
| respondents. Jeffrey continued to write and prepare for the upcoming AERA presentation experiment findings. |
| respondents. Jeffrey continued to write and prepare for the upcoming AERA presentation experiment findings. |

| | Total Budget: | | | 644,889.00 | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | -21,996. | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | project through respondents th follow up. Ther | h, we approved/received a no cost extension on the CCS through the end of 2025, so that we can follow up with the dents this fall to let them know to expect another two wave p. There is \$6,540.62 remaining in cost share that will be an email and text message. | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 09, | 2025 Dollars Projected for Mon | th: | 4,61 | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | 4,711.62 | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | 91.71 | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Fringe benefits | Fringe benefits and R&D variance | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | | |

| Project Name | (CVFS-SCAN) Chitwai | n Valley Family | Study - Study on Cog | nition and A | ging in Nepal (On Track) |
|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face | Total of Modes: 1 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 368,868.0 | 0 | Indirect Budget: 206,571 | 1.00 | Total Budget: 575,439.00 |
| Principal | Dirgha Ghimire (Survey R | esearch Center) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Carlos Mendes de Leon (0 | Georgetown Unive | rsity School of Medicine) | | |
| | Emily Briceno-ABreu, Co- | PI (Michigan Medi | cine) | | |
| Funding Agency | NIH | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Maureen Jo | oan O'Brien | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ryan Ne | eice | | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | Stephanie A Char | doul | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | Environmental Research - over 2 waves of interviewi SRO will provide support i | - Nepal – ISERN - ng. n the following are | as: instrument programmi | rogramming ar | itue for Social and and support for data collection sample management system |
| ODO Dueles (Devie 4 | programming and testing, | and data delivery. | | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 05/2027 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | 104 1000 4 | | D | 40/45/0004 |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 08 | | 0- | Pretest Start: | 10/15/2024 |
| | Pretest End: 10 | 0/31/2024 | Re | cruitment Start: | 40/00/0004 |
| | Staffing Complete: | 10010005 | | | 12/26/2024 |
| | SS Train Start: 02 DC Start: 02 | | | SS Train End: DC End: | 02/12/2025 |
| Other Project Team Members | | illiams, TSG se: Peter Sparks eytrak Int: Marsha log: Ashwin Dey, ishwu Ke, TSG Jennie Williams, N | ΓSG | | |
| Other Project Name | HCAP Nepal, | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak; Project specif | fic system (Weblo | g, QC system) | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 4.8 | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop | | | | |
| DE Software | Blaise 4.8 BIA | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | Other (TBD) | | | | |
| Incentive | Not used | | | | |
| Administration | NA | | | | |
| Payment Type | N/A | | | | |
| Payment Method | N/A | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (CVFS-SCAN) | | | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | Project Updates: | | | | |
| | | | | | |

completed Inf interviews. Part 2 is fully tested in CTT in both English and Nepali, and will be moved to Surveytrak for testing within the next couple of days. The Health Assessment is almost fully tested in English in CTT and we have received Nepali translations. Once those are ready those will be tested in CTT and then moved to Surveytrak.

The WBD Survetrak is being tested by SRO, and soon to be tested by ISER-N.

The WBD process flow has been determined and currently the SRO team is exploring Survey123 for scanning Blood Tubes. Scanning into Survey123 with the Iwer phone, vs. using a barcode scanner into Surveytrak are 2 options to be presented to ISER-N. They will likely want to use Iwer phones to scan into Survey123. Systems and instruments will be finalized, but ISER-N has been having difficulty procuring materials needed, such as blood tubes.

The data collection end date has not been shared with SRO, nor the Wave 2 dates. The PI continues to say he needs to discuss dates with the other PIs. These dates are critical to determine a more accurate close is accurate. The PI assures us that he will confirm this with the other PIs very soon.

| Special Issues | Blaise programming delays, | laise programming delays, Pretest delays, | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Cost as of Apr 15, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | :\$AC): | | | 550,701.18 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 575,439.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | | 24,737.82 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | fo m | Costs have been extended through 10/2027 to include both projection for both Wave 1 and Wave 2. We will continue to review and fine-tun monthly projections as Wave 1 end date and Wave 2 dates are finalized. | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 15, 2 | 2025 Dollars Projected for Mon | nth: | 38,582.09 | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | 4,573.4 | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | as due to less programme ed hours were moved for | er time being charged than ward. | |
| Measures | | Units at Con | nplete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

Other Measures

| Project Name | (FFCWS) Future of Families and | Child Wellbeing Study (On Track) | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telephor | ne Total of Modes: 3 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 2,907,209.00 | Indirect Budget: 1,628,048.00 | Total Budget: 4,535,257.00 |
| Principal | Kathryn Edin (Princeton University) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Jane Waldfogel (Columbia University) | | |
| | Anna Haskins (University of Notre Dar | ne) | |
| Funding Agency | Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institu | ute of Child Health and Human Developme | ent (NICHD) |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00255752 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Joseph Zylka | | |
| | Production Manager: Veronica Conno | ors-Burge | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kr | uger-Ndiaye | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | Study) is based on a stratified, multista over 200,000) between 1998 and 2000 This sampling strategy resulted in the in Mothers were interviewed shortly after interviews have been conducted when data are representative of births in larg. The FFCWS consists of a core survey Additionally, collaborative project contributionally, collaborative project contributionally in the baseline interviews four questions of great interest to resea 1. What are the conditions and capabili 2. What is the nature of the relationship 3. How do children born into these fam 4. How do policies and environmental of | with mothers, fathers, primary caregivers, a bute questions to the surveys and collect r in 1998-2000, the core study was originally urchers and policy makers: ties of unmarried parents, especially father is between unmarried parents? | e U.S. cities (with populations oversampled by a ratio of 3 to 1. nic, and low-income families. ospital or by phone. Follow-up 9, 15, and 22. When weighted, the and the child (now a young adult). new data on a subset of parents y designed to primarily address rs? |
| SRO Project Period | 10/2024 - 06/2029 | | |
| Data Col Period | 01/2026 - 12/2026 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest S | tart: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | tart: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | tart: |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train E | End: |
| | DC Start: | DC E | |
| Other Project Team Members | | estigator Programming Lead | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | MSMS; Other (RCLS - loading cases in | ST) | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | , | |
| | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone | | |
| Hardware | Laptop, [OW Cell] FIMILE | | |

| DE Software | NA | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|---------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | RO Group | | | | |
| Payment Type | Cash, prepaid (TBD) | ish, prepaid (TBD) | | | | |
| Payment Method | Check through other system | n (MSMS); Other | (Venmo, Pa | ypal - via Concourse a | nd Tango (Bus | iness Office)) |
| | | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (FFCWS) | ar, 2025 (FFCWS) Initiation | | | | า |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | March 2025 RG has been meeting regula An initial project review/kick- RG and Veronica have also | -off was held on 3 | /21, followed | by a first meeting of t | | ns etc. |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 37,8 | | | | | 37,889.01 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (Es | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 4,460, | | | | |
| | Total Budget: | Total Budget: | | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | inus- E\$AC): | | | | 74,701.06 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: Team members will be reviewing projections - this could result changes. Some tasks will be completed by staff in a different juthan used for the initial costing. This could account for the small variance. | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mont | th: | | | | 24,943.34 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | | 12,607.58 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | | | 12,335.76 |
| | Reason for Variance: | F | | ions had hours evenly ave been refined rece | | |
| Measures | | Units at Co | mplete | RR | | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |
| Other Measures | | | | | | |

| Project Name | (Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan) (On Track) | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face | Total of Modes: | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 2,409,05 | 5.00 | Indirect Budget: 1,349 | ,072.00 | Total Budget: 3,758,127.00 |
| Principal | Kristine Ajrouch (Life Cou | urse Development | Program, SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Toni Antonucchi (Life Co | urse Development | Program, SRC) | | |
| | Laura Zahodne (Life Cou | rse Development I | Program, SRC) | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00154638 | | | | Period of Approval: 1/17/2025 -1/16/2026 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Barbara L | ohr Ward | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Christin | ne Evanchek | | | |
| | Production Manager: ∨ | eronica Connors-B | urge | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor. | Nicole G Kirgis | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | Taghreid Lovell | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | lan Ogden | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | and 330 interviews with selected based on an in- (content from the Social | Social Relations sa person household Relations interview elations responder | mple members aged 65 of screening. The interview), a 60 minute cognitive ints will only complete the | or older. The Ara will consist of a 6 nterview and a so cognitive intervie | eries of physical ew. An informant interview will |
| SRO Project Period | 05/2019 - 03/2023 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 05/2023 - 03/2024 | | | | |
| Security Plan | No | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 1 | 2/01/2022 | | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | | ı | Recruitment Start: | 02/01/2023 |
| | Staffing Complete: (|)4/10/2023 | | GIT Start: | 05/16/2023 |
| | SS Train Start: (| 05/18/2023 | | SS Train End: | 05/25/2023 |
| | DC Start: (| 05/30/2023 | | DC End: | 03/15/2025 |
| Other Project Team Members | Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Raphael Nishimura, Johr | • | | Ashwin Dey, Ke | lly Liesko, Peter Sparks, |
| Other Project Name | Detroit Aging and Memor | y Project (formerly | Health and Wellbeing in | Southeast Michi | gan) |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 4.8 | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; | Paper and Pencil | | | |
| DE Software | Other (Weblog) | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | DRI-CARI; Camtasia | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post (\$25 Informa (end game for panel)); O | | | Cash, post (\$25 | Informant, \$100 R, \$200 R |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RP Cash Fund from ISR Bus | | ewer payment of cash (re | eimbursed/recond | ciled via Tenrox); Imprest |
| | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (Health and W | ell Being in SE | | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | exceeded revised goals f 80% response rate on In | or each sample typ formant interviews. in panel cases. Th | be (new sample, convenion In March, interviewers for there were a number of su | ence and panel), ocused primarily ispended intervie | inal case on March 16). We and ended with a close-to on Informant interviews, but we that are being accepted as vs. |

The study ended with 983 main respondent completes, and 782 informant interviews. This exceeds the total desired for the project as a whole

We are still anticipating an underrun on D-AMP and Healthy Brain, but perhaps not as large as the current cost report indicates. We will be adding SSA help for logging and reconciliation (which is proving to be more difficult than anticipated), as well as supplies cleanup and disposition. While we have added hours for weighting staff, we know the weights are going to prove to be difficult given that the study design changed several times during production, and there is scant documentation on the panel cases. The underrun stems from the convenience sample, and the late release of more recent panel cases. Both came in at HPIs that were far lower than anticipated.

| Special Issues | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 3,595,4 | | | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 3, | | | | | | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 3,758,127.00 | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget r | 32,161.69 | | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | far lower the sample was HPI. The D HPI for the learned tha | an anticipated, leading to the budgeted at 7.5 hours per 1-AMP CS 2020 panel came historic panel. We do antict the logging reconciliation to | r the D-AMP CS panel came in e underrun. The convenience household, but came in at 4 in at around 6.8 HPI versus 18 cipate a smaller underrun. We ask is taking longer than cost reports were finalized. | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mo | nth: | 57, | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | 49, | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected mine | us Actual): | 8,138 | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | anticipated phone, lead | travel and respondent payr. Most of the final work in the ding to lower travel costs an eck requests (which take long) | ne field was completed by d a shifting of R payments from | | |
| Measures | | Units at | Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | 200/400/200 design | | | 9.0 new/ 9.0 panel | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 200/400/200 | design | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | 249/426/308 | | 39% scrnr; 55% main | 8.3 new/18 panel | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | | |
| Other Measures | | g at 4.0 HPI (mair | n plus informa | • | HPI plus 1 HPI for the nple. The new D-AMP CS 2020 | | |

| Project Name | (Healthy Brain Proje | ct) Healthy Brai | n Project (On Track) | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face | Total of Modes: | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 985,452 | .00 | Indirect Budget: 551,854. | .00 Total Budget: 1,537,306.0 |
| Principal | Kristine Ajrouch (Resear | ch Center for Grou | p Dynamics, ISR) | |
| Investigator/Clients | Toni Antonucchi (Life Co | ourse Development | Program, SRC) | |
| | Laura Zahodne (Life Co | urse Development I | Program, SRC) | |
| Funding Agency | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00199306 | | | Period of Approval: 8/8/2024 - 8/7/2025 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Barbara I | ohr Ward | | 3,9,2921 3,172923 |
| | Budget Analyst: Christi | ne Evanchek | | |
| | Production Manager: \ | eronica Connors-E | urge | |
| | Senior Project Advisor | : Nicole G Kirgis | | |
| | Production Manager 1. | Taghreid Lovell | | |
| | Production Manager 2. | lan Ogden | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | women aged 65+ from the who have participated in The proposed study is in aging, and cognitive head blood-based AD biomark | ne Śocial Relations the Detroit Aging a response to PAR- lth. The following s ker data in the Detro | Study (SRS) (HUM0018745 and Memory Project (D-AMP 19-070 and will test links bet pecific aims will be accompli bit-Aging and Memory Projec | ged 65+ as well as non-Arab men and 53) living in the metropolitan Detroit area by (HUM00154638). tween sociocultural experiences, brain ished by obtaining structural MRI and ct (D-AMP). This funded parent study 65+ from a representative sample of 600 |
| | Arab Americans, as well Arab Whites in the same | | nts (N=298) from the Social I | Relations Study (SRS), to compare to non- |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 05/2025 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 07/2024 - 05/2025 | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | | | Pretest Start: |
| | Pretest End: | | Rec | ruitment Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | | | GIT Start: |
| | SS Train Start: | | | SS Train End: |
| | DC Start: | | | DC End: |
| Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | In addition: Tim Prand, M Goedert, Megan Hromco | · · | uqua Smith, Jeff Smith, Ashv | win Dey, Kelly Lieske, Valyn Dall, Andria |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 4.8 | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone | | | |
| DE Software | Other (Weblog) | | | |
| | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool Incentive | DRI-CARI; Camtasia | | | |
| | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | | |
| Administration Payment Type | | ant, \$100 R, \$200 I | R (end game for panel)); Cas | sh, post (\$25 Informant, \$100 R, \$200 R |
| Payment Method | (end game for panel)) Check through STrak RI | Pay System; Intervi | ewer payment of cash (reiml | bursed/reconciled via Tenrox) |
| | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (Healthy Brain | n Project) | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | |
| Monthly Updates | March 16. Interviewing | ended and the inter | viewing team exceeded revi | March 15, and completed interviewing on ised goals in all sample types (new sample don completing partial interviews and |

informant interviews. The SRO project team began closing activities.

We are projecting an underrun on Healthy Brain. The HPI for the convenience sample came in at 4.0 versus 7.5 budgeted. In addition, the D-AMP CS 2020 panel (the new panel) came in at 6.8 HPI vs. 18 for the historic (and highly resistant) panel.

| Special Issues | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + | + indirect): | | | | 1,292,705.8 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$ | \$AC): | | | | 1,523,724.9 |
| | Total Budget: | | | | | 1,537,306.0 |
| | Variance (Total Budget mir | nus- E\$AC): | | | | 13,581.0 |
| | Reason for Variance: | t f F S S | convenience he D-AMP C or the historiorojected und smaller than s more complic | cting an underrun on H sample came in at 4.0 S 2020 panel (the new c (and highly resistant) lerrun on Healthy Brair shown. The logging re ated and take longer to help complete logging | versus 7.5 budger panel) came in a panel. This is lead. The underrun conciliation task han anticipated. | eted. In addition, at 6.8 HPI vs. 18 eading to the will be slightly is proving to be We have added |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | 5 Dollars Projected for Mont | th: | | | | 103,571.74 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | 76,281.5 | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Actual): | 27,290.1 | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | 1 | than anticipal phone and re costs for inte moved to oth the DAWN pr | payments and interview ted due to the majority equiring check paymen rviewers & TLs sending er projects or dropped roject team were pulled hours as well. | of interviews bei t. We also antic g back equipmen off materials in p | ng completed by ipated freight it, but the majority person. Some of |
| Measures | | Units at Co | mplete | RR | | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |
| Other Measures | The Healthy Brain Project do AMP. Those completes will fe D-AMP for production progr | eed into the Heal | | | | |

| Project Name | (Hospitals Sharing Data) Hospitals Sharing | Patient Data (On Track) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Mode | es: 3 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 130,484.00 Indirec | et Budget: 73,070.00 | Total Budget: 203,554.00 |
| Principal | Kayte Spector-Bagdady (UM Medical School) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | NIH | | |
| IRB | HUM# : HUM00251017 | | Period of Approval: IRB Exempt |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Erin McSpadden | | · |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | |
| | Production Manager: Lisa J Carn | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| | hospital chief information officers and data administr United States. The goal is to collect surveys from 50 survey administered via Qualtrics. Targeted represer a QR code to complete a web survey along with a \$5 complete the survey will receive a reminder letter wit return the paper survey using a self-addressed stam any targeted representative who still has not comple SSL will have the ability to complete CATI interviewe updating and filling in any missing contact information WebSMS. The web survey will launch in Februrary 2 collection will end during the month of June 2025. TIRB. | 0% of sampled hospitals. The ntatives at each hospital will be 50 token of appreciation. Targ th a paper copy of the survey was ended the survey after the reminders with any targeted contact results and from the sample. SSL samp 2025 with the SSL effort launce. | survey is a 15-minute web a mailed an invitation letter with eted individuals who do not with the option to complete it an a completing reminder calls to der protocol. Interviewers in the eached by phone, as well as ole will be managed using hing in March 2025. Data |
| SRO Project Period | 12/2024 - 09/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | 02/2025 - 06/2025 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 02/01/2025 | Pretest Sta | nrt: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Sta | art: 01/24/2025 |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Sta | art: |
| | SS Train Start: 03/06/2025 | SS Train E | nd: 03/06/2025 |
| | DC Start: 02/25/2025 | DC E | nd: 06/30/2025 |
| Other Project Team Members | 5 | | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | |
| Hardware | Desktop; Paper and Pencil; Other (SSL Phones) | | |
| DE Software | Other (Qualtrics) | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | |
| ncentive | Yes, INF | | |
| Administration | UM Group (Payments handled by PI's research sta | uff) | |
| Payment Type | Cash, prepaid (\$50) | • | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Other (Cash payments from HSIP handled by PI's re | esearch staff) | |
| Payment Method | Other (Odor payments from Flori flanded by 1 15 f | , | |
| Payment Method | | | |
| | Mar, 2025 (Hospitals Sharing Data) | · | Implementing |
| Payment Method Report Period Risk Level | | · | Implementing |

| Other Measures | Variance: | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | Estimate at Complete: | 316 | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | 125 | 20% | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 316 | 50% | | | |
| | Current Goal: | 316 | 50% | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Cor | mplete | RR | HPI | |
| | Reason for Variance: | N | ot all March hours h | h hours have hit as of now, so budget shows an underrun | | |
| | Variance (Projected minu | Variance (Projected minus Actual): 4,43 | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 34,354.67 | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mor | nth: | | | 38,786.17 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: SSL interviewer work began during interviewer hours charged in March now | | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget n | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | | 25,682.55 | |
| | Total Budget: | Total Budget: | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (L | E\$AC): | | | 177,871.45 | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | t + indirect): | | | 65,384.23 | |
| Special Issues | We are currently considering monetary incentive to incre | | | complete surveys the | at include a pen or other non- | |
| | hospitals and get the name re-sent with corrected addr date, there have been 125 | mailing per the study PI and HSIP for not having named targets. SSL interviewers were able to contact these nospitals and get the names for 4 contacts. There were also 2 invitations with incorrect addresses that have been e-sent with corrected addresses. SSL interviewers are now making follow-up calls to all three survey releases. To date, there have been 125 completed surveys, just under a 20% response rate. We are still aiming for a 50% esponse rate and are considering implementing an additional reminder mailing with a non-monetary incentive. | | | | |

| Project Name | (HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews (Some Concerns) | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Mixed | Total of Modes: 3 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Project | cts | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 1 | 3,982,815.00 | Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00 | Total Budget: 19,016,630.00 | |
| Principal | David Weir (ISR- | SRC) | | | |
| nvestigator/Clients | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | |
| RB | HUM#: HUM0006 | 511128 | | Period of Approval: 6/7/2023-6/6/2024 | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Ev | anthia Leissou | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | David Kellermeyer | | | |
| | Production Man | ager: Andrea Sims | | | |
| | Senior Project A | Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis | ; | | |
| | Production Man | ager 1: Jennifer C Arrie | eta | | |
| | Production Man | ager 2: Theresa Camel | lo | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | The study include waves) a new col series of physical | es a representative sam hort of people aged 50 measures and bio-mar | is a national, longitudinal study conductive ple of people aged 50 years and older it to 55 are screened in to the study to markers are collected with half of all living ronally, permission to link to Social Securiary | n the U.S Every six years (three lintain a representative sample. A respondents each wave as well as | |
| SRO Project Period | 01/2021 - 12/202 | 3 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 03/2022 - 06/202 | 5 | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 01/01/2021 Pretest Start: 11/01/2021 | | | | |
| | Pretes | st End: 11/23/2021 | Recruitment | Start: 08/01/2021 | |
| | Staffing Con | nplete: 01/15/2022 | 022 GIT Start: 02/21/202 | | |
| | SS Train | Start: 02/23/2022 | SS Train | End : 03/03/2022 | |
| | DC | Start: 03/07/2022 | DC | End: 07/26/2025 | |
| Other Project Team Members | Dec Stant: 03/07/2022 Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Alex Warju (Production Manager), Milagros Hierro (Production Manager), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Gary Hein (Project Manager), Erin McSpadden (Project Manager), Daniah Buageila (Project Manager), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul Burton (Stats/Sampling), Vanessa Clarke (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Manager), Kristen Cross (Project Assistant), Cindy Huang (Budget Analyst), Andria Goedert (Project Assistant), Edwina Yang (Project Assistant) Tech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha Skoman, Ashwin Dey, Pam Swanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie Williams, Rose Zybdel, Stephanie Windisch, Holly Ackerman, Shane Empie, Kelly Chatain, Brianna Sabol | | | | |
| | Coding Lead: Ca | rolyn Vieira-Martinez | | | |
| Other Project Name | HRS 2022 Main I | ws | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak; MSN | IS | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5; SAQ | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] | Phone; Paper and Pen | cil | | |
| DE Software | Other (Blaise 5 C | oding Application); Exte | ernal vendor (DataForce) | | |
| QC Recording Tool | Camtasia | | | | |
| ncentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | | | |
| Administration | NA | | | | |
| Payment Type | | 880 (Panel)): Check. no | st (\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SSA)); Cash, post | (\$20 (SAQ), \$100 (Baselines)) | |
| Payment Method | Check through S payment of cash | Trak RPay System; Che | eck through other system (Rpay system via Tenrox) (Rpay system set up for MS | set up for MSMS); Interviewer | |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (HRS 2022 Pan | el & Baselines) | | Implementing | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | 1. The project team has been working on baseline production monitoring, sample management, logging, weekly mailings and scanning(SSA and SAQ), payment and letter request processing, and implementing the baseline endgame protocols. 2. Regular data manager tasks to support production activities and MSMS task rules updates programmed for web endgame protocol. 3. Interviewers worked exceeded hours and baseline interviews during the month. 4. Field Strategies: -Priority sample: to date,12,098 cases have been flagged priority. To date, 3,199 (26%) have completed interviews. -Endgame sample:10,686 cases were mailed the endgame letter. To date, 1,312 (12%) completed interviews. -Web baseline: We are offering a Web Baseline interview to a subset of eligible respondents. Excluding Enhanced Face to Face cases, cases are selected for the offer based on three scenarios: a. Cases where one spouse/partner has completed the 2022 interview (FTF/TEL) and the second partner meets the criteria for endgame. b. Cases where no one in the household has completed the 2022 interview and one or both cases meet the criteria for endgame. c.50% of Web screened eligible cases that have not been attempted in person or by telephone. This protocol started on March 6. As of 4/16/25, 29 Rs (5.9%) sent an invite completed the web survey. | | | | | |
| Special Issues | *The "measures" table reflects Panel and Baseline combined as of 2/15/2025. Breakdown of Panel and Baseline counts and rates in Other Measures Field. Currently estimating a shortfall of ~156 EGenX baseline interviews based on limiting production to budgeted | | | | | |
| | interview hours. | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 18,089,86 | | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 18,089 | | | | | |
| | Total Budget: 19,016,63 | | | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 926,843.19 | | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: This budget is for the Panel sample but the monthly updates and milestones include baseline iws. The New Cohort Budget is under the HRS 2022 Screening MPR. | | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | 5 Dollars Projected for Month: -652.80 | | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 141.55 | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): -794. | | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Minimal V | ariance | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | 20,454 | 51% | 12.7 | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 22,215 | 44% | 8.3 | | |
| | Current Actual: | 20,537 | 51% | 12.7 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 20,912 | 42% | 9.8 | | |
| | Variance: | 1,303 | 2% | 1.5 | | |
| Other Measures | -MOC: Goal: 2,000 iws; Fin -2019 EGenX baselines: Go | reener: Goal: 4,003 iws; Curro al: 2,047 iws (36.4% RR). End pal: 468 iws, Final: 497 iws (7 % (original goal 74%), Final: | date 11/15/2024 4.4% RR). End date 5/29/2 | | | |

| Project Name | (HRS 2024) Health and Ret | irement Study 2024 (Some Concerns) | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Mixed Total of Mod | les: 3 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 15,740,049.00 | Indirect Budget: 5,666,419.00 | Total Budget: 21,406,468.00 |
| Principal | David Weir (ISR-SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM000611128 | | Period of Approval: 6/7/2023-6/6/2024 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Evanthia Leisson | I | |
| | Budget Analyst: David Kellerm | eyer | |
| | Production Manager: Andrea S | Sims | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole | G Kirgis | |
| | Production Manager 1: Derek | Dubuque | |
| | Production Manager 2: Jennife | er C Arrieta | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | The study includes a representativaves) a new cohort of people a series of physical measures and | dy (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conduct titive sample of people aged 50 years and older in aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maid bio-markers are collected with half of all living rece. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security. | the U.Ś Every six years (three ntain a representative sample. A espondents each wave as well as |
| SRO Project Period | 05/2023 - 08/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | 05/2024 - 05/2025 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 05/15/20 | 23 Pretest S | Start: 01/29/2024 |
| | Pretest End: 02/18/20 | 24 Recruitment S | Start: 12/19/2023 |
| | Staffing Complete: 03/15/20 | 24 GIT S | Start: 04/22/2024 |
| | SS Train Start: 04/23/2024 | | End: 04/29/2024 |
| | DC Start: 05/13/20 | 24 DC | End : 07/05/2025 |
| Other Project Team Members | Alex Warju (Production Manager), Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Gary Hein (Project Manager), Erin McSpadden (Project Manager), Daniah Buageila (Project Manager), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul Burt (Stats/Sampling), Vanessa Clarke (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Manager), Chelsea Graham (Project Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Manager), Edwina Yang (Project Assistant), Andria Shimoura Goedert (Project Assistant), Kirsten LoDuca (Project Assistant) Tech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha Skoman, Ashwin Dey, Pam Swanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie Williams, Rose Zybdel, Stephanie Windisch, Holly Ackerman, Shane Empie, Kelly Chatain, Brianna Sabol, Kelly Lieske, Asia Paige | | |
| Other Project Name | HRS 2024 Panel | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak; MSMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5; SAQ | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper | and Pencil | |
| DE Software | Other (Blaise 5 Coding Applicat | on); External vendor (DataForce) | |
| QC Recording Tool | Camtasia | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | Check, prepaid (\$100 (Interview (SAQ), \$100/\$150 Endgame) | r)); Check, post (\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SSA), \$100/\$1 | 50 Endgame); Cash, post (\$20 |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RPay Sys Cash Fund from ISR Business (| tem; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/re Office | conciled via Tenrox); Imprest |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (HRS 2024) | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | |
| Monthly Updates | -HRS 2024 activities continued | with cost projections, technical specifications, tec | hnical development, testing, |

payment processing, letter request processing, coding, and logging activities.

- -Endgame protocol and respondent materials were approved by the IRB. Data manager SAS code and technical systems (STrak and MSMS) were being programmed the 2024 endgame protocol.

 One additional interviewer was trained remotely on MSMS to work on web panel non-response follow up.
- -Interviewers exceeded hours projections, were above the interview goal every week in March. HPI was slightly higher than projected for three weeks and lower than projected for one week. In addition, 536 web self interviews were completed during the month.
- -Field Strategies:
 - -Prioritization based on Influence Measure (IM) was implemented on January 31.
- -Mode switch was approved by PIs: Mode switch to TEL for eFTF households where at least 1 R in the household has reached

6+ attempts.
Upcoming Field Strategy:

-Endgame protocol

Measures in table below are as of 4/12/25 (week 48).

| Special Issues | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | E\$AC): | | 19,929,537.8 | | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 21,406,468.0 | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | ninus- E\$AC): | | 1,476,930.1 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | once the additiona | Projection updates have not been finalized yet. We will send a once they are ready. We are also working on a cost scenario a additional interviewer hours that would allow us to extend the fiperiod by 6 weeks. | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mor | nth: | | 1,245,683.81 | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 1,133,636.15 | | |
| | Variance (Projected minu | ıs Actual): | | 112,047.66 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Respond | s than projected in March | lary categories of Travel, te of Others. All of these accounts . Future projections have been | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | 10,423 | 45.8% | 9.5 | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 15,793* | 70%* | 9.3 | | |
| | Current Actual: | 12,334 | 54.2% | 9.5 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 13,821** | 61%** | 9.9** | | |
| | Variance: | -1,971 | 9% | -0.6 | | |
| Other Measures | *Budgeted goal RR: 70% RF **Based on when we expec | | ours | | | |

| Project Name | (HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 | | • | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | | : Telephone | Total of Modes: 3 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 21,264,149.00 | Indirec | t Budget: 7,655,093.00 | Total Budget: 28,919,242.00 |
| Principal | David Weir (SRC) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Helen Levy (SRC) | | | |
| | Ken Langa (SRC) | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Kra | ause | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kin | rgis | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Andrew L Hu | ирр | | |
| | Production Manager 2: Theresa Car | melo | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | The Health and Retirement Study (HF The study includes a representative s waves) a new cohort of US residents 2004, the early baby boomers were scohort was added as well as a minorit boomer cohort was added. In 2022, g oversample. | ample of US re aged 50 to 55 a creened in and ty oversample o | sidents aged 50 years and ole are screened in to the study to completed a baseline intervie of both early and mid-baby bo | der. Every six years (three o maintain representativeness. In ew. In 2010, the mid baby boome omers. In 2016, the late baby |
| SRO Project Period | 02/2021 - 08/2025 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 03/2022 - 07/2025 | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | | Pretest St | art: |
| | Pretest End: | | Recruitment St | art: |
| | Staffing Complete: | | GIT St | art: |
| | SS Train Start: | | SS Train E | ind: |
| | DC Start: 04/19/2022 | | DC E | ind: |
| Other Project Team Membe | ers | | | |
| Other Project Name | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other ((Blaise) C | ase Managem | ent App (CMA)) | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and F | Pencil | | |
| DE Software | Other (Blaise 5 web instrument); N/A | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | Camtasia; N/A | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post; Cash, prepaid (\$2); Cash | h, post | | |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RPay System; I Cash Fund from ISR Business Office | nterviewer pay | ment of cash (reimbursed/rec | conciled via Tenrox); Imprest |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (HRS2022-Screening) | | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | | p.olonning |
| Monthly Updates | Screening continues at a steady pace | . We have hee | n largely above projections in | 2025 |
| monthly opuates | 01/05/25 - 04/19/25 Hours projected: 16,589 Hours worked: 18,386 (111%) | vve nave bee | i iai yeiy above projections III | 2020. |
| | Screening goal: 4,696 Screening actual: 6,498 in-person (13 | 38%) + 1,110 w | eb | |

We have released 24,458 cases to the endgame protocol. 1,933 cases (7.9%) of cases have completed a screener. 206 cases (10.7%) completed via the web, and 1,727 (89.3%) of cases completed in-person. Flagging of endgame cases has continues.

| | 0 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 10, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (dire | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion | (E\$AC): | | 34,985,744.54 | | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 28,919,242.00 | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): -6,066, | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: Projections have been entered through July 2025 (projection of wh we would meet the baseline production goal). | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 10, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Me | Dollars Projected for Month: 696,351.5 | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | Actual Dollars Used: 718 | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected mir | Variance (Projected minus Actual): -21,959. | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Variance | largely due to more hours | and travel than budgeted. | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | 7,017/3,645 HHs | 73% | 3.0 | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | 7,874/3,890 | 51.0% | 2.88 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Project Name | (LHMS 2023 Fall) Life History Mail S | tudy Fall 2023 (On Track) | |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 371,587.00 | Indirect Budget: 133,772.00 | Total Budget: 505,359.00 |
| Principal | David Weir (SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Jaqui Smith (SRC) | | |
| Funding Agency | NIH | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00106904 | | Period of Approval: 9/29/2023-9/28/2024 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Gary Hein | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao | | |
| | Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: Ruth B Philippou | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | The HRS Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) is collect retrospective life histories of HRS parevents, residential location, and education of understand how individuals' pasts shape the A paper questionnaire will be mailed to a sar approximately 2,485 completed surveys are respondents have been designated to receive reminders by phone to complete the attempt to complete the 60-minute interview return a completed questionnaire. | articipants to address multidisciplinary ne over the entire life course. Information like eir health and economic situations today ample of approximately 4,601 HRS Response expected (54% response rate). For the ive a reminder by postcard. The remaining equestionnaire. When a respondent is r | ped for information about the this allows researchers to describe the sample, reminder protocol, 272 and 4,329 respondents will eached by phone, SRO will |
| SRO Project Period | 09/2023 - 04/2024 | | |
| Data Col Period | 10/2023 - 02/2024 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 09/01/2023 | Pretest Sta | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Sta | rt: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train En | d: |
| | DC Start: 10/05/2023 | DC End: 02/29/2024 | |
| Other Project Team Members | | | |
| Other Project Name | LHMS Fall | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | SAQ; Other (Blaise SMS) | | |
| Hardware | Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Penci | il | |
| DE Software | Other (Weblog) | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | |
| Payment Type | Check, prepaid (\$25) | | |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RPay System | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (LHMS 2023 Fall) | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | - |
| | | | |

| Monthly Updates | Finance is billing all LHMS | Finance is billing all LHMS activity to the to Spring shortcode. Fall shortcode being kept open for voided checks. | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------|-----|------------|--|
| Special Issues | N/A | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direc | t + indirect): | | | 262,647.75 | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (| E\$AC): | | | 262,341.75 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 505,359.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget n | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: Staffing for reminder calling did not meet original projections response rates than anticipated are resulting in lower costs a project. | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected mine | Variance (Projected minus Actual): -272.00 | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: Fewer checks voided. | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Co | mplete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | 2063 | | 54% | N/A | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 2063 | | 54% | N/A | |
| | Current Actual: | 671 | | 17% | N/A | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 671 | | 17% | N/A | |
| | Variance: | 1392 | | 37% | N/A | |
| Other Measures | N/A | ' | | 1 | ı | |

| Project Name | (LHMS 2023 Spring) Life History Ma | ail Study Spring 2023 (On Track) | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 293,540.00 | Indirect Budget: 164,382.00 | Total Budget: 457,922.00 |
| Principal | David Weir (SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Jaqui Smith (SRC) | | |
| Funding Agency | NIH | | |
| IRB | HUM# : HUM00106904 | | Period of Approval: 11/4/2022-11/3/2023 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Gary Hein | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao | | |
| | Production Manager: William Keating | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou | ı | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: William Keating | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | The HRS Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) collect retrospective life histories of HRS pevents, residential location, and education understand how individuals' pasts shape the A paper questionnaire will be mailed to a sapproximately 1,242 completed surveys arrespondents have been designated to receive reminders by phone to complete the | articipants to address multidisciplinary rover the entire life course. Information I neir health and economic situations toda ample of approximately 2,288 HRS Rese expected (54% response rate). For theive a reminder by postcard. The remain equestionnaire. When a respondent is | peed for information about like this allows researchers to by. Spondents. From this sample, reminder protocol, 495 ling 1,793 respondents will reached by phone, SRO will |
| | attempt to complete the 60-minute intervier return a completed questionnaire. | w by telephone. I nank you postcards w | ill be mailed to respondents who |
| SRO Project Period | 04/2023 - 12/2023 | | |
| Data Col Period | 06/2023 - 09/2023 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 04/01/2023 | Pretest St | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: 07/11/2023 | SS Train End: 07/11/2023 | |
| | DC Start: 06/20/2023 | DC E | nd: 09/26/2023 |
| Other Project Team Members | Gary Hein: Project Lead Cindy Tsao: Budget Analyst Vanessa Clarke: Project Assistant Carolyn Viera Martinez: Coding Lead | | |
| Other Project Name | LHMS Spring | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | SAQ; Other (Blaise SMS) | | |
| Hardware | Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pend | cil | |
| DE Software | Other (Weblog) | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | |
| Payment Type | Check, prepaid (\$25) | | |
| Payment Method | Check through STrak RPay System | | |
| | | | |
| Damant Dania d | Mar, 2025 (LHMS 2023 Spring) | | Implementing |
| Report Period | Mar, 2020 (Er into 2020 opting) | | implementing |
| Report Period Risk Level | On Track | | implementing |

| | 1 - Monthly budget projection meeting with financial analyst2 - LHMS update meeting with HRS staff3 - Coding by SRO Staff | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|------------------|---|-----------------|
| Special Issues | Finance wants to bill curren | t/future fall activ | rities to the sp | ring shortcode | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | | 236,004.20 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | (\$AC): | | | 268,597.44 |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 457,922.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | | 189,324.56 |
| | Reason for Variance: Actual sample of 1,950 is lower than budgeted sample of 2 resulting in generally lower costs across all resources. Che have also been added to the projections. In addition, the b response rate was 54% but actual response rate is much I respondents are non-responders from past waves of LHMs | | | across all resources. Check voids ections. In addition, the budgeted I response rate is much lower as the | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | nth: | | · | 645.20 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 1,508.55 |
| | Variance (Projected minu | s Actual): | | | -863.35 |
| | Reason for Variance: | riance: Staff coding hours slightly higher than projected. | | | than projected. |
| Measures | | Units at | Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | 1053 | | 54% | N/A |
| | Goal at Completion: | 1053 | | 54% | N/A |
| | Current Actual: | 358 | | 18% | N/A |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 358 | | 18% | N/A |
| | Variance: | 695 | | 36% | N/A |
| Other Measures | N/A | | | ' | |

| Project Name | (MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4 & 5)) Michiga Track) | n COVID-19 Recovery Surveillanc | e Cohort Study (On | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 200,023.00 | Indirect Budget: 52,005.00 | Total Budget: 252,028.00 | | |
| Principal | Nancy Fleischer (U-M School of Public Hea | lth (SPH)) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | MDHHS - Releases 1 - 8 | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00234617 | | Period of Approval: 6/15/2023-6/14/2028 | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Timothy Prand | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: William Lokers | | | | |
| | Production Manager: Lisa J Carn | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Narine Verdiyan | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | MI CReSS is a partnership between the Un Department of Health and Human Services experiences with COVID-19 using a represe they plan to document sociodemographic in SRO's involvement includes the administrat | . It is a public health surveillance study to entative sample of confirmed cases within equities in COVID-19 testing, treatment, | b learn about Michiganders' n the state. Using survey data, and recovery. | | |
| | SRO's involvement includes the administration of a Follow-up survey for respondents that completed a Baseline survey with the SPH team. Based on the sample information provided, we will be re-contacting approximately 3,995 respondents who have already completed (or are estimated) to complete the Baseline survey to administer the Follow-up survey. | | | | |
| | The Follow-up survey is estimated to be 45 survey by web. However, SRO Interviewers telephone if the Respondents do not want to HUM00181068 - Year 3 IRB Exempt - Budg HUM00234617 - Year 4 - IRB approved - B Year 5 - IRB approved - Budget: \$252,028 | s will contact non-responders and conductors complete the survey on the web. get:\$841,375 Total used:\$649,836 | at the interview over the | | |
| SRO Project Period | 08/2021 - 09/2023 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 01/2022 - 07/2023 | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Star | t: | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Star | t: 06/01/2023 | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Star | t: | | |
| | SS Train Start: 07/28/2023 | SS Train End | 1 : 07/29/2023 | | |
| | DC Start: 09/14/2023 | DC End | 1 : 02/18/2025 | | |
| Other Project Team Members | Bill Lokers: Financial Analyst Megan Hromco: Production Assistant Hueichun Peng: Technical Lead / WSMS dt Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer (Blaise 5) Cheng Zhou: Web Component, ADT, Repoi LihShwu Ke: DBA Architecture & Data Secu Sarah Broumand: Data Manager Deb Wilson: Help Desk | rts | | | |
| Other Project Name | | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; Desktop | | | | |
| DE Software | NA | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | | | |
| Payment Type | Other (HSIP VISA Gift Card) | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Payment Method | NA | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------|------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MI CReSS (Ye | ar 3 & 4 & 5)) | | Closing | | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | Funding for this project wa | s cancelled as of March 31, 2 | 025 | | | |
| | F074948 - MI CRESS Fina | al Cost - \$130,566.81 | | | | |
| | Final Report and data deliv | verables provided on April 17, | 2025. | | | |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | t + indirect): | | 130,165.08 | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (| Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | | | |
| | Total Budget: | Total Budget: | | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget n | ninus- E\$AC): | | 121,862.92 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2 | 2025 Dollars Projected for Mo | 5 Dollars Projected for Month: 7,805.86 | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | Actual Dollars Used: 4 | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minu | Variance (Projected minus Actual): 3,740 | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | 3652 | 80 | 6 | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 3652 | 80 | 6 | | |
| | Current Actual: | 3652 | 77.91 | 11.2 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 3652 | 77.91 | 11.2 | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (MI CReSS CS) Michigan COVID-19 (Attention!) | Recovery Surveillance Study Con | nmunity Survey | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 974,804.00 | Indirect Budget: 253,450.00 | Total Budget: 1,228,254.00 | | |
| Principal | Nancy Fleischer (U-M School of Public Hea | alth (SPH)) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | MDHHS | | | | |
| IRB | HUM# : HUM00264140 | | Period of Approval: 2/3/2025-2/2/2030 | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Timothy Prand | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna Iavorska-Em | | | | |
| | Production Manager: Lisa J Carn | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | The Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveill collaborative project between the University Health and Human Services (MDHHS). The experience and social impact of a COVID-1 topics including symptom severity and dura events, financial impacts, and more. The st | y of Michigan School of Public Health and e study was launched in June 2020 with tl 9 diagnosis on adults living in Michigan a tion, recovery time, Long COVID, mental | the Michigan Department of the goal of understanding the and examined a wide range of thealth impacts, stressful | | |
| | The objective of the MI CReSS Community Survey is to understand the experiences of adults who lived in Michigan during the COVID-19 pandemic from priority populations that were underrepresented in the prior MI CReSS Cohort study. We identified four priority populations to focus on: people of racial and ethnic minoritized identities, people living in rural areas, people currently or recently on Medicaid, and people with disabilities. The study will examine participants' experiences related to COVID-19 disease history, Long COVID, mental health, workplace experiences, ability to meet basic needs, and more. The University of Michigan (U-M) School of Public Health will lead this project and will work with the Survey Research Operations (SRO) team at the U-M Survey Research Center (SRC) within the U-M Institute of Social | | | | |
| CDO Project Poriod | Research (ISR) to collect the project data. I of Health and Human Services (MDHHS). | Tojost douvillos will occur in partitoromp | with the Miorigan Department | | |
| SRO Project Period | 11/2024 - 05/2026 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 03/2025 - 02/2026 | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | P | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 12/17/2024 | Pretest Start | | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start | | | |
| | Staffing Complete: 12/18/2024 | GIT Start | | | |
| | SS Train Start: 03/26/2025 | SS Train End | : 03/27/2025 | | |
| Other Project Team Members | DC Start: 04/15/2025 Hueichum Peng - Technical Lead Peter Sparks - Blaise Programmer Asia Paige - Data Manager Megan Hromco - SSA Steve Senoras - SSI | DC End | : 02/15/2026 | | |
| Other Project Name | Stove Ochoras - Ool | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; Desktop | | | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | | |
| Incentive | | | | | |
| | Yes, R | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | Cord | | | |
| Payment Type | Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (HSIP VISA Gift | Card) | | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | | | |

| |
|------|
| |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MI CReSS CS) | Initiation | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Risk Level | Attention! | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | As of March 31, 2025 funding for this project was cancelled. | As of March 31, 2025 funding for this project was cancelled. | | | | |
| | F075260 - Community Survey Finalized budget through 3/31/2025 - \$194 | ,815.39 | | | | |
| | IWER Training had completed on 3/27 We were finalizing Blaise WSMS testing had completed | | | | | |
| | Stored in Tim's office until September 2025. If no update, it will all be dis Consents and Resource pages have been printed Envelopes ordered | posed of. | | | | |

| Special Issues | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----|--------------|--|
| Cost as of | Total Cost to Date (direct - | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (ES | \$AC): | | 0.00 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 1,228,254.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | |
| Projections as of | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | 0.00 | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 0.00 | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | |

| | | Units at Complete | KK | пеі |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|-----|
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |
| Other Measures | | | | |

| Project Name | (MTF Base Year 2022_27) Monitori | ng the Future Base Year 2022-202 | 7 (On Track) |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Class SAQ Total of Modes: 1 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 6,267,988.00 | Indirect Budget: 3,510,072.00 | Total Budget: 9,778,060.00 |
| Principal | Richard Miech (SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | National Institute on Drug Abuse, one of t | he National Institutes of Health. | |
| IRB | HUM# : 00217920 | | Period of Approval: from 7/20/22 No CR |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens | | |
| | Production Manager: Margaret Lavange | r | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruge | er-Ndiaye | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| | nationwide. A nationally representative satime periods: lifetime, past year, and past Institutes of Health (NIH), and conducted It is based on two interconnected series o (a) self-administered annual in-school sur (SRO interviewers) coordinate and admin however, the option is available for the su (b) panels of high school graduates aged members aged 19-30 are invited to partici sample members are sent questionnaires - early in the year a newsletter is mailed to targets these panel members and others a spring and in around June a telephone no members are recruited from the 12th grad Press releases and published results can | month. The survey is funded by the NID. by the University of Michigan. If surveys using nationally representative veys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (~45 ister the data collection in schools (the movey to be conducted without the proctor 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (now pripate every other year/asked to complete (mail and web) at five-year interval. The popular members. If the newsletter is return the who have not participated for X years. Ton-response effort begins for those invited ters who participate in the base year studies. | A, a component of the National samples: ,000) in 400 schools. Proctors najority are conducted FTF visiting the school). imarily surveyed by web). Panel a web survey and the older MTF panel study has three parts urned (undelivered) locating effort he web panel launches (web) in d to participate. The panel dy. |
| SRO Project Period | 04/2022 - 03/2027 | | |
| Data Col Period | 04/2022 - 03/2027 | | |
| Security Plan | Yes | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest St | art: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment St | art: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT St | art: |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train E | nd: |
| | DC Start: | DC E | nd: |
| Other Project Team Members | Hueichun Peng Technical Lead (WebSM Ed Green (+Brad Goodwin) Data Manage Ashwin Dey SurveyTrak, WebTrak and M Brendon Carroll Help Desk/Tablet support | ement TF specific Apps. Programmer | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak; Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone | | |
| DE Software | Other (Qualtrics) | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | |
| Incentive | Yes, Other (Honorarium paid to school by | MTF Research staff) | |
| Administration | ISR Group | | |
| | | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MTF Base Year 2022_27) | Implementing |
|-----------------|--|--|
| Risk Level | On Track | |
| Monthly Updates | March 2025 As of today (4/17), surveys have been conducted at 161 schools. All but further 96 school surveys have been scheduled to take place between to comparable with last year, at 83% with 12,501 students have completed Average hours to complete a school survey is currently 26.3 (21 hours in | oday and 6/9. Student response rate is I the survey. |

| Special Issues | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 3,689,267.36 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 6,976,077.91 |
| | Total Budget: | 9,778,060.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | 2,801,982.09 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Projections for Funding period 2022 – 2027 (latest client report has |

Projections for Funding period 2022 – 2027 (latest client report has been uploaded to MPR)

Current projections result in an under spend for the five year grant period. The projected costs are based on completion of 325 schools in years 2024 – 2027 (we were projecting costs for 400 schools) and all in-person. Illume charges have been removed from projections from 2024 onwards. A portion of the hours for work involved in transitioning MTF surveys to Qualtrics are being charged to the Illume recharge account.

Primary reason for the under spend and plans for SRO funding: Projections for the five year period are based on current scope of work and the adapted protocol (introduced in 2021). The budget prepared for the proposal was based on the pre-pandemic design. The adapted protocol brings savings in the following categories: interviewer hours, travel, shipping and staff time (specifically hours required for loading and preparing tablets for shipping). For the past few years the number of schools recruited and passed to SRO has been lower than the number used for this budget (n=390).

In response, MTF (SRC) have reduced our funding for the first two years. We have received (from MTF) \$1,843,080 (Y1) and \$1,143,890 (Y2) a total reduction of \$815,581. Going forward, the current plan is to fund Year 3 and 4 based on the budgeted amount - if there is significant underspending MTF will reduce SRO's Year 5 award. SRO Finance group feels that we should continue to report/monitor using the original budgeted amount because this is not an official reduction in budget. We are keeping the client (via Nick Prieur) informed of actual and projected spend through a monthly cost report.

| Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | 5 Dollars Projected for Mont | h: | | 297,209.93 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----|------------|
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 273,689.39 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | All but \$2,000 of the variance are salary costs - interviewer ho over projected (projections are based on school surveys that a scheduled for the month plus an estimate of additional schools could be added between projecting costs and end of the month | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | | | e Future: A Cohort-Sequer ent #1 (8/10th Grade Pane | ntial Panel Study of Drug Use, I) (On Track) |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 184,954.00 |) | Indirect Budget: 103,575.00 | Total Budget: 288,529.00 |
| Principal | Megan Patrick (ISR, SRC) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: 00244359 | | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Donnalee Ar | nn Grey-Farquha | rson | |
| | Budget Analyst: Dean E S | Stevens | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: R | Rebecca Gatward | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Ho | ongyu Johnson | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | in 2023 wave baseline recruestimated sample size of 60 calling will be carried out or This budget assumes an over the control of the carried out or the carried out of the carried out of the carried out or the carried out of the carried out of the carried out of the carried out or the carried out of the carried out o | uited samples. SF 00 cases for two v n the early panel s verall SRO involve | RO will launch the 2024 and 202 wave of data collection. Although cample. ement period of 20 months com | aders who were 8th and 10th graders 5 Web survey data collections with an h originally proposed, no reminder mencing in March 2024 with the data in May 2024 (for Wave 1) and May |
| | After receiving parental colors tudents on individual solors. Data Collection Invitation email | onsent, students hedule, programn s, 2 weeks apart ceived. | ned in WebSMS | his/her own schedule based on when |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2023 - 12/2025 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 04/2024 - 08/2025 | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | | Pret | test Start: |
| | Pretest End: | | Recruitm | ent Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | | | GIT Start: |
| | SS Train Start: | | SS T | rain End: |
| | DC Start: | | | DC End: |
| Other Project Team Members | Rebecca Gatward (SPA), D Brad Goodwin, Edward Gre | | rquharson (Lead), Hueichun Pe | ng, Shaowei Sun, Hongyu Johnson, |
| Other Project Name | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | | |
| Hardware | NA | | | |
| DE Software | NA | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | |
| Administration | ISR Group (MTF Staff) | | | |
| | | | | |

| Payment Type | Other (Tango Card) | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| Payment Method | Other (Check mailed MTF S | Staff) | | |
| | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MTF Early Panel Pilot) Implementing | | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | |
| Monthly Updates | | n continues to prepare for the firmed that this project will m | 2025 data collection, includin ove forward. | g testing the systems and |
| Special Issues | | | | |
| Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | 159,529.6 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E. | \$AC): | | 286,998.84 |
| | Total Budget: | | | 288,529.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | nus- E\$AC): | | 1,530.10 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Some staff u | ised less hours than projected | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | th: | | 3,140.47 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 2,520.86 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Actual): | | 619.61 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Some staff t | used less hours than projected | l. |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (MTF Innovation Panel) Monito | ring the Future: Young Adult Innova | tion Panel 2024 (On Track) |
|--|---|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 34,910.00 | Indirect Budget: 12,567.00 | Total Budget: 47,477.00 |
| Principal | Megan Patrick (ISR, SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: 00217920 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Fa | arquharson | |
| | Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Rebecca G | atward | |
| | Production Manager 1: Hongyu Joh | nson | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| | These include mental health, stress, obehaviors. Results will advance our uncaused by the pandemic on the lives and vulnerability of young adults in easurvey design (RSD) experiments ain engagement on mobile devices, we wexamine the feasibility of using electric check - the current MTF method; b. \$ incentive electronic gift cards) on sunfor an experiment with and without su | who experienced the COVID-19 pandemic occupational status, and gambling activities inderstanding of the immediate and long-ter of young adults and will also offer an opportry adulthood. Furthermore, the Innovation ned to increase respondent engagement arill evaluate scales using optimized survey fonic incentives, and test three structures of 25 pre-incentive electronic gift card; and c. rey response rates and data quality. Lastly, rivey pre-notification groups. This will inform in of panel members as well as address em | as well as substance use m effects of the uncertainty tunity to examine the resilience Panel will conduct responsive and retainment. For easier survey flow and branching. We will incentives (a. pre-incentive \$25 \$5 pre-incentive and \$20 post-we will compare response rates in innovative ways to enhance |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | 10/2024 - 01/2025 | | |
| Security Plan | NA NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest \$ | Start: |
| ······································ | Pretest End: | Recruitment : | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | Start: |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train | End: |
| | DC Start: | DC | End: |
| Other Project Team Members | | Grey-Farquharson (Lead), Hueichun Peng, | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | |
| Administration | ISR Group (MtF staff) | | |
| Payment Type | Other (Tango Card) | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MTF Innovation Panel) | | Closing |
| | , _0_0 (| | J.JJ19 |

| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Monthly Updates | The project ended on 3/31/2 | The project ended on 3/31/2025. Once the adjustments are made the project will be closed/archived. | | | | |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | 47,583.59 | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | E\$AC): | | | 47,583.59 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 47,477.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | e Indirect Cos | | s most likely caused from how s more than we had budgeted | | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 19,854.90 | |
| | Variance (Projected minu | ıs Actual): | | | -2,002.11 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | a | | ged more hours to close charge amount was higher | out tasks than projected. In er than projections had | |
| Measures | | Units at Cor | nplete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (MTF Panel | , | the Future Panel 2022-2027 (On | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web | Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Proj | ects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: | 2,496,935.00 | Indirect Budget: 1,398,282.00 | Total Budget: 3,895,217.00 |
| Principal | Megan Patrick (| (UM-SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: 002179 | 20 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: | Donnalee Ann Grey-Farq | uharson | |
| | Budget Analys | st: Dean E Stevens | | |
| | Production Ma | nnager: Lloyd Fate Hemi | ngway | |
| | Senior Project | Advisor: Rebecca Gatw | ard | |
| | Production Ma | nager 1: | | |
| | Production Ma | nnager 2: Hongyu Johnso | on | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| | MTF Panel conweb surveys to participants are mailed in April. | ducts data collection between Qualtrics. We also use V invited to complete a sul Non-response calling be | anel surveys adults across the lifespan. veen April and October each year. In 20 /ebSMS, RLM, LabSMS and Blaise for vey with push-to-web followed by pape gins in May and runs through August. S | 024 MTF moved from DatStat.Illume the project. Each year over 20,000 r survey modes. Invitations are everal hard copy and email |
| | and Non-Respondent Sparse and Non-Respondent Western and Non-Respondent Western and Non-Respondent Western and Non-Respondent Western and Non-Respondent Non | ta collection wave, we hants are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for l | collection window. Both the separately for the integrated with the standard MTF across the survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Into whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use |
| SDO Draigat David | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. | ta collection wave, we have a collection wave, we have are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet | be integrated with the standard MTF ac ve 10 survey forms that was reduced fr tters each year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Fel | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Into whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use |
| SRO Project Period | and Non-Respondent Market Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent Weinformation. | ta collection wave, we have a sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet | be integrated with the standard MTF ac ve 10 survey forms that was reduced fr tters each year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Fel | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Into whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use |
| Data Col Period | and Non-Respondent Media Panel participar undeliverable by Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 | ta collection wave, we have a sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet | be integrated with the standard MTF ac ve 10 survey forms that was reduced fr tters each year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Fel | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Into whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 NA | ta collection wave, we have a collection wave, we have are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 1227 | be integrated with the standard MTF ac ve 10 survey forms that was reduced fr tters each year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Fel ter electronically with the functionality o | ctivities. rom 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use f collecting respondent's contact |
| Data Col Period | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production | ta collection wave, we have a resent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet newsl | be integrated with the standard MTF ac ve 10 survey forms that was reduced fr tters each year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Fel ter electronically with the functionality o | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use if collecting respondent's contact. |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 NA Pre Production Prete | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we ha that are sent study newsle by the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the seach year. | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact. Start: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | and Non-Respondent Meior Pre Production Staffing Co. | ta collection wave, we have a resent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet to delivery newslet on Start: est End: complete: | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the standard process. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the standard process. Pretest Recruitment GIT | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use f collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | and Non-Respondent Marian Staffing Co. | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we ha ta sare sent study newsle by the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 con Start: est End: complete: coin Start: | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the se | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact. Start: Start: Start: 1 End: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co | ta collection wave, we have a collection wave, we have are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 259 269 270 270 270 270 270 270 270 27 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the standard process. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the standard process. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train. DC | om 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use f collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: DEnd: CEnd: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co. SS Train Rebecca Gatwa | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet to delivery | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. rom 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: Definition: End: Definition: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co. SS Train Rebecca Gatwa | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet to delivery | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the standard process. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the standard process. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train. DC | ctivities. rom 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: Definition: End: Definition: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Column Staffing | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet to delivery | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System | and Non-Respondent Marian Staffing Co. SS Train Co. Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 258 268 27 27 288 27 288 298 298 298 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichung to use of the previous previ |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Construction Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 258 268 27 27 288 27 288 298 298 298 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: Definition: End: Definition: Definition: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware | and Non-Respondent Marian Staffing Construction Staffing Construct | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 258 268 27 27 288 27 288 298 298 298 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichung to use of the previous previ |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Concept Staf | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 258 268 27 27 288 27 288 298 298 298 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Construction Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics) NA NA NA | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet 227 226 227 226 237 248 258 268 27 27 288 27 288 298 298 298 | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. from 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Deficient of the previous year. Start: Start: Deficient of |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive | and Non-Respondent Meinformation. O1/2022 - 03/20 O4/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Co SS Tra E Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics NA NA NA Yes, R | onse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we have that are sent study newsley the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet on Start: est End: omplete: sin Start: ard (SPA), Donnalee Gre Sun, Peter Sparks, Ashv | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. From 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact start: Start: Start: End: Dybicki, Max Malholtra, Hueichun |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | and Non-Respondent Non-Respondent Weinformation. 01/2022 - 03/20 04/2022 - 10/20 NA Pre Production Staffing Construction Rebecca Gatwa Peng, Shaowei MTF Web SMS Other (Qualtrics) NA NA NA | anse follow-up calling will ta collection wave, we ha ta sare sent study newsle by the USPS are sent for lebsite to delivery newslet to delivery newslet to delivery newslet to start: to start: to Start: tard (SPA), Donnalee Gre Sun, Peter Sparks, Ashv to start: tard (SPA), Donnalee Gre Sun, Peter Sparks, Ashv to start: to st | be integrated with the standard MTF active 10 survey forms that was reduced from the seach year in December. Participar ocation calling Mid-January through Felter electronically with the functionality of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary occurs. Pretest Recruitment GIT SS Train DC y-Farquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave | ctivities. rom 12 from the previous year. Ints whose newsletter is returned bruary. We are planning to use of collecting respondent's contact Start: Start: Start: Definition: End: Definition: |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (MTF Panel 2022-27) | | | Implementing |
|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Risk Level | On Track | | | |
| Monthly Updates | The SRO team is in full gea April 10, 2025. | r - testing the system and th | e surveys for the 2025 data c | ollection which will start on |
| Special Issues | | | | |
| Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | 2,237,810.37 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | (\$AC): | | 4,111,533.18 |
| | Total Budget: | | | 3,895,217.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | -216,316.18 |
| | Reason for Variance: | ning the NR projections. MTF | staff are aware of the overrun. | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | th: | | 64,491.78 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 62,077.27 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | 2,414.51 |
| | Reason for Variance: | | used less hours than projected | ed. The recharged amount |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| • | (NDWS) National Dementia Wo | orkforce Study (On Track) | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 4,327,548.00 | Indirect Budget: 2,423,425.00 | Total Budget: 6,750,973.0 |
| Principal | Donovan Maust (Michigan Medicine) |) | |
| Investigator/Clients | Joanne Spetz (University of Californ | ia, San Francisco) | |
| | James Wagner (University of Michig | an - Survey Research Center) | |
| Funding Agency | NIA | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Piotr Dworak | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Do | her | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie | A Chardoul | |
| | Production Manager 1: Dedra Cam | pbell | |
| | Production Manager 2: Lisa S Holla | and | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| | infrastructure is to allow researchers workforce of clinicians and other pro with dementia in the U.S. The Core of dementia care workforce in the U.S. Living Staff. In addition to these survice be linked with the surveys in order to conducted through five Cores: 1) Ad Transfer, Masking, Access, and Ethi Studies. The overall aims are to: 1) I workforce surveys covering the key of Study, build a data infrastructure sur critical insights into the professional | research, and health workforce research. The and policymakers to ask and answer scientififessional care providers required by the growing for NDWS will be four surveys that reflect key or community Clinicians, Nursing Home Staff, I seys, the NDWS team will develop a variety of maximize the scientific potential of the surver ministrative; 2) Screening and Survey Instrumcs; 4) Data Collection, Linkages, Cleaning, and Launch a family of four nationally representative care delivery settings in the U.S.; 2) Through the touch of the surveys that dementia care workforce and associated outcomes. | c questions to help build the ing population of persons living elements of the professional Home Care Staff, and Assisted additional data sources that can ys. The project's activities will be itent; 3) Administrative Data id Sharing; and 5) Research we professional dementia the National Dementia Workforce t allows researchers to generate |
| | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores | | |
| SRO Project Period | use the National Dementia Workford | e Study data infrastructure. | |
| SRO Project Period Data Col Period | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores | e Study data infrastructure. | |
| Data Col Period | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores | e Study data infrastructure. | |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 | e Study data infrastructure. | care workforce overall and that |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. | care workforce overall and that |
| • | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St | care workforce overall and that |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St | care workforce overall and that art: art: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St | art: art: art: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: art: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) NA NA | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) NA NA | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) NA NA NA | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: ind: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) NA NA NA NA NA | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: art: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive | use the National Dementia Workford SRO's work is associate with Cores 10/2023 - 09/2028 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: Lisa Holland, Raphael Nishimura, Ji Jan 2025) NA NA NA NA NA | e Study data infrastructure. 2 and 4. Pretest St Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E | care workforce overall and that art: art: art: art: art: |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (NDWS) Implementing | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | March activities: * We were focusing on preparing NDWS for Wave 2 data collection including 1) recruiting a larger sample of Assisted Living and Nursing Homes orgs, rostering, and interviewing their staff 2) non-response follow up with community clinicians who did not respond to Wave 1 request for interview including incentive increase and experimental treatments 3) a pilot of home care direct care workers and 4) recruiting a sample of home care agencies (unlike wave 1 Medicare-accepting home health agencies, those have been sampled from state lists may represent a different type of home health / home care organizations). | | | | |
| | In supporting W2 preparation reviewing protocols, reviewing submissions. | | | | |
| | Data collection for different collection pecember 2025. | omponents will start betweer | n mid-April 10th, and mid-Jur | ne 2025 and will end by | |
| | In June, we are kicking off pr with questionnaire design an | | ection starting in January 202 | 6) which will include assisting | |
| Special Issues | | | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + | 1,199,507.12 | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$ | AC): | | 6,390,767.07 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 6,750,973.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mir | nus- E\$AC): | | 360,205.93 | |
| | Reason for Variance: We are working on reducing underrun by projecting new staff to accommodate additional work expected in 2025 - 2026. | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Monta | 117,886.90 | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 87,767.87 | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): 30,119.0 | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: Underrun is mostly within DMSS and Data Ops. | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | † | |

| Project Name | (PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Study | of Incon | ne Dynamics (Some Cond | cerns) |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Tele | ephone | Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 828,581.00 | Indirec | t Budget: 464,004.00 | Total Budget: 1,292,585.00 |
| Principal | Narayan Sastry (University of Michigan) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Elizabeth Fussel (Brown University) | | | |
| Funding Agency | NICHD, with supplemental funding being so | ought fron | ı NIA | |
| IRB | HUM# : HUM00197300 | | | Period of Approval: 4/5/2022-3/22/24 |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Camila Kendall | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em | | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Char | ardoul | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Camila Kendall | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | SRO will work with the PIs and a local surver frame, sample design, questionnaire and dispaseline data collection (in 2023). DMSS were sponsive design, panel maintenance is suspanish instrument for use specifically in Paraining, Pretest and Main Data collection a reports for production and quality control metrain the research team on using these reports producted and transmitted daily via Survey | lata collectivill provide ues, and coperate will transfer to mand will transfer to the content of the collection of the collection will be collected. | tion protocols for both pilot dat assistance with sample desig reation of sample weights. SF vill assist with the preparation of vel to PR to be on-site for these that will be programmed through that will be collected by ETI's in | ta collection (in 2022) and and implementation, RO will update the PSID-21 of training materials for Listing se trainings. SRO will define gh the SurveyTrak system, and atterviewers in PR and will be |
| SRO Project Period | 01/2022 - 12/2023 | | | |
| Data Col Period | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 10/01/2021 | | Pretest Sta | nrt: 02/05/2024 |
| | Pretest End: 03/11/2024 | | Recruitment Sta | nrt: |
| | Staffing Complete: | | GIT Sta | art: 01/30/2024 |
| | SS Train Start: 01/31/2024 | | SS Train Er | nd: 02/02/2024 |
| | DC Start: | | DC Er | nd: |
| Other Project Team Members | Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye & Camila Kendall Raphael Nishimura Sampling Tech Team: Marsha Skoman (Tech Lead & Lieske (Programming Support), Valyn Dall Emmanuel Ellis (Help Desk), Cheng Zhou (Spanish Testing and Project Support: Lilian | & STrak Pi (Data Mai (Database | rogrammer), Jude Purillo (Lea nager), Jennie Williams (Data e setup), Lihshwu Ke (Databas | Management Support), |
| Other Project Name | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 4.8 | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop | | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | Camtasia | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R; Yes, INF | | | |
| Administration | Other (ETI (Puerto Rican Survey Firm)) | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post (Varies by study phase); Cash | n, post (Va | ries by study phase) | |
| Payment Method | Other (Via ETI Systems) | | | |
| | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (PR-PSID) | | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | | | | |
| | Some Concerns | | | |

Blaise instrument was loaded into CTT and testing began. ETI purchased the additional laptops needed for main data collection.

ETI provided SRO with address to test whether we could use Accurint to obtain contact information -- The batch process is not possible for PR sample because it requires a name. The manual process did not bring up many results, and the results were inaccurate.

SRO planned for cost review meeting with Pls.

Training scheduled for June 12-18.

Variance:

On 4/14, Brown PI was notified that their federal funding is at imminent risk (U-M & ETI are subcontracts under Brown). The project has not received a stop work order. PIs have instructed SRO to continue working until we're notified that we're required to stop. SRO will proceed with booking travel arrangements for the training.

| | mounted and more required | op. oo p. ooooa | orang araror arrangonnona | ioi aio aaniiigi |
|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------|------------------|
| Special Issues | Threat to Brown funding Same as last month over | Threat to Brown funding Same as last month overrun and uncertainty around PCP work scope which is new to SRO. | | |
| Cost as of | Total Cost to Date (direct | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | \$AC): | | 0.00 |
| | Total Budget: | | | 1,292,585.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | 0.00 |
| | Reason for Variance: TBD | | | |
| Projections as of | Dollars Projected for Mon | | 0.00 | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 0.00 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | 0.00 |
| | Reason for Variance: | TBD | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |

| Project Name | (PSID 2025 OCU) PSID 2025 | Online Contact Opdate (On Track) | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Ma | il Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 52,360.00 | Indirect Budget: 29,326.00 | Total Budget: 81,686.00 |
| Principal | Katherine McGonagle (PSID) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Noura Insolera (PSID) | | |
| Funding Agency | NSF | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00062417 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Camila Kendall | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska | ı-Em | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Stephan | nie A Chardoul | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | SRO will update the Blaise and M | SMS specifications from 2022 and program and | d test a Blaise 5 web instrument |
| · | information via an online survey. will be embedded in a mailing ser | n that allows PSID and TAS respondents to con SRO will provide PSID authenticated links so that to the respondents. SRO will also program an henticated link. This project is under the PSID | nat they can merge QR codes that disend the respondents up to |
| SRO Project Period | 04/2024 - 05/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | 07/2024 - 12/2024 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest S | tart: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | Start: |
| | SS Train Start: SS Train End: | | |
| | DC Start: | DC | End: |
| Other Project Team Members | Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye PSID S Rachel Orlowski PSID Survey I Daric Throne MSMS Spec Lead James Rodgers MSMS Lead | Director | |
| | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A | Programmer t Support | |
| Other Project Name | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving | Programmer t Support | |
| • | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna Iavorska-Em Financial A | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna Iavorska-Em Financial A | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA | Programmer t Support | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R | Programmer t Support Analyst | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) | Programmer t Support Analyst | |
| Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10); Other (electro | Programmer t Support Analyst | |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10); Other (electro | Programmer t Support Analyst | Implementing |
| Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type | Karl Dinkelmann Blaise Lead Jeffrey Smith TSG Lead Jude Perillo Blaise Programme Darnell Christian MSMS Set Up Edward Green Data Manager Rose Zdybel Data Managemen Laura Yoder Archiving Ivanna lavorska-Em Financial A MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10); Other (electro | Programmer t Support Analyst | Implementing |

| A 1 | | 41 141 | | 1 | 1 1 1 1 / 84 |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| No on-going | nroiect a | ctivities iin | til nroiect | archiving | scheduled for May. |
| | | | | | |

| Special Issues | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E. | \$AC): | | | 77,850.4 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 81,686.0 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | inus- E\$AC): | | | 3,835.5 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Minima projec | al on-going work. Actu | als have been sli | ghtly less than | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mon | th: | | | 320.18 | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 223.13 | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | | 97.09 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | al on-going work until | project archiving | in May. Actuals slightly | |
| Measures | | Units at Comple | te R | R | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (PSID CDS23 PI | hase 2) PSID Childho | od Development Supplem | ent 2023 Phas | e 2 (On Track) |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Mixed | Total of Modes: 3 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Project | ts | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 1,6 | 618,383.00 | Indirect Budget: 906,295.00 | Tota | I Budget: 2,524,678.0 |
| Principal | | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00166 | 6316 | | Peri | od of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Car | mila Kendall | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | Ivanna lavorska-Em | | | |
| | Production Manag | ger: Sarah Crane | | | |
| | Senior Project Ac | dvisor: Stephanie A Char | doul | | |
| | Production Mana | nger 1: Barbara Aghababia | an-Homburg | | |
| | Production Mana | nger 2: Carolyn Vieira-Mar | tinez | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | invited to provide a respondents in we | a saliva sample during pha ekly releases. Field interv | d 5+, who completed Phase 1 ase 2. The SSL will assemble riewers will follow up via phone nake FTF visits to pick up saliv | kit mailings that v e, email, and text | vill be shipped to to encourage |
| SRO Project Period | 06/2024 - 02/2025 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 09/2024 - 01/2025 | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: Pretest S | | etest Start: | | |
| | Pretest | End: | Recruit | ment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: GIT Start: | | | | |
| | SS Train Start: SS Train End: | | | | |
| | DC S | Start: | | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Members | Field Production M SSL Production Ma SSL Production Ma | Management Sarah Crar anagement Lead (Asseml anagement Support (Asse ent Support Xiomara Lo Smith | ne & Barb Aghababian-Hombur oly & Logging) Carolyn Vierra embly & Logging) Ian Woods renzo-Guerra, Nahid Sultana | a-Martinez | |
| Other Project Name | CDS Saliva Collec | • | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] F | Phone | | | |
| DE Software | NA | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | | |
| Incentive | NA | | | | |
| Administration | NA | | | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (PSID C | CDS23 Phase 2) | | Impl | ementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | | | ct on 1/31. All but one TL was early March. Final data deliver | | |
| | | · | WebLog data QC. Consent foll | • | |
| | | | Pls. Focus for agenda was the ased on a 90% Phase 1 CS RI | | |

1 RRs were 79% CS, and 90% PCG), shorter production period (shortened from the 26 weeks budgeted, to 19 weeks), and lighter touch protocol (budgeted 7 attempts/case, actual protocol was 5).

| Special Issues | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct - | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (ES | \$AC): | | | 1,414,885.74 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 2,524,678.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | nus- E\$AC): | | | 1,109,792.20 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | phas | e 2, budgeted for | 2,705). IWER hour | udgeted (1,932 R's invited to s and non-sal costs have ue to smaller sample size. | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mont | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 58,346.45 | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Actual): | | | 27,872.70 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | uced non-salary c gories to better re | | age, and travel domestic | |
| Measures | | Units at Comp | lete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (PSID25) Panel Study of Income D | ynamics Core 2025 (On Track) | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 5,003,388.00 | Indirect Budget: 2,801,897.00 | Total Budget: 7,805,285.00 |
| Principal | Tom Crossley (UM-SRC-PSID) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Esther Friedman (UM-SRC-PSID) | | |
| | Narayan Sastry (UM-SRC-PSID) | | |
| Funding Agency | NSF, NIA, NICHD | | |
| IRB | HUM# : HUM00062417 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em | | |
| | Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberry | 1 | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Ch | ardoul | |
| | Production Manager 1: Maureen Joan C | D'Brien | |
| | Production Manager 2: Daric Thorne | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | The Panel Study of Income Dynamics (Psis a longitudinal survey of several thousar every two years. The sample is comprise (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 approx. 10,000 completed interviews exp changes (marriages, divorces, births, dea employment and pensions; and wealth. T fertility; COVID-19; and money spent on f composition and financial factors interact administered via web and telephone, with telephone. Talk about ancillary studies here. The 2023 waves of CDS and the Transition TAS eligibility is dependent upon complete. | nd individuals and their families, carried of of respondents from the 4,800 original and 2017/2019. The total 2025 sample sected. Most of the information collected if this, people moving in and out); income shere are also questions about housing; cood, healthcare, and school. The main fowith each other and how they change out the expectation that more surveys will be on into Adulthood (TAS) will follow PSID | out since 1968 and conducted families as well as new size will be approx. 11,200, with s about family composition and sources and amounts; education; vehicles; health; ocus is on how these family ver time. The survey will be see completed via web than |
| | | | |
| SRO Project Period | 03/2024 - 09/2026 | | |
| • | 03/2024 - 09/2026 03/2025 - 12/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | 03/2025 - 12/2025 | Pretest St | tart: 09/16/2024 |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA | Pretest St Recruitment St | |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 | | tart: |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: | Recruitment St GIT St | art: art: |
| SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 | Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E | tart: tart: End: 06/10/2025 |
| Data Col Period Security Plan | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 | Recruitment St GIT St SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S borting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | 03/2025 - 12/2025 NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator, Proxy) | Recruitment St. GIT St. SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S corting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator, Proxy) ISR Group (PSID) | Recruitment Standard GIT Standard Stand | tart: tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet |
| Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive | NA Pre Production Start: 03/01/2024 Pretest End: 10/06/2024 Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: 02/24/2025 DC Start: 03/10/2025 TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smi Site Programmer - Ashwin Dey & Holly A Swanson, Darnell Christian, & Holly Acke Sarah Broumand & Jaime Koopman; Pro McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra; Rej Acharya, & Wen Chang FES, Family Economic Study, PSID Core MSMS; Project specific system (68ID Site Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] Phone N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator, Proxy) | Recruitment Sta GIT Sta SS Train E DC E th, & Karl Dinkelmann; Data Manager - E ckerman; Blaise Programmer - Jude Peri rman; Tech Support - David Bolt & Kyle duction Support: Lorraine Bird; Project S borting, Mapping, & Sample Assignment: 2025 2) | tart: tart: find: 06/10/2025 find: 12/31/2025 Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID fillo; MSMS Programmers - Pam Goodman; Tech Design/Testing - upport: Saujanya Acharya, Janet for Ji Qi, Minako Edgar, Saujanya |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (PSID25) | Implementing |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Risk Level | On Track | |
| Monthly Updates | Summary of March 2025 activities: | |

Production launched on March 10, with English Release 1 CATI (n=150) and Web (n=1070) sample being invited to the survey. IRB approval for the 2025 changes was received on 3/6. During the week of 3/23, English Release 2 CATI (n=292) and Web (n=2548) sample was invited.

MSMS - Project launch deadlines were successfully met, with major show stopping bugs previously identified, such as the redbox error for web respondents, corrected before release. MSMS team spent this month first gearing up for, and then turning their attention to post-prod release for Spanish expected in April. Deferred quality of life improvements were implemented and tested including enhancements to TL Work Plans, Review Processes, and Locating flows. Spanish templates were expected for testing to release for 4/14, but only short messages were available for task rules updates during this month. Began drafting Spanish template update timeline. Ongoing concerns with new user management features were explored, specifically around issues of loading/maintaining TLs on the project. Locators cannot be automatically added, field PCs cannot be automatically added. Future core dev release is expected to allow for field PCs to be added into flows, and we began making plans to incorporate them when available. Identified a bug in User Management which was not allowing for ParentOtherRole (which PSID uses for identifying the Locator) to work as expected. Expected behavior was that ParentOtherRole would be assigned through the TL, not directly per lwer. Work around to this problem was to assign ParentOtherRole to each Iwer instead, allowing designed Locating features (emails, task assignment) to work as expected. Splitoff work continued, picking up speed in the latter half of the month. Anticipating prod data testing in April. Some data in MSMS is found to be missing in PQT - lines now have to be regenerated. Processes were discussed and we began moving towards ways to identify these cases. Additional issues were discovered in Prod environment, causing Sample Line Grid to not always load correctly, seemingly because Iwers were moving while project was still syncing. Core Deve expected in April to resolve this, which will require livers to wait until sync is completed. Syncs can take quite some time, due to Blaise Upload - every line gets checked for sending up to the server, and Iwers with heavy loads may find emailing particularly cumbersome if they cannot move while sync completes. Highlighted a need for Blaise Smart Upload - someway to improve and increase the sync times on Blaise data. Batch emails and texts in Prod after release moving smoothly, no major problem to report. Completes coming, no indications of unexpected system failures.

Blaise - Red box error fully resolved. CTT testing for Spanish completed, with sign off occurring early in the month. Spanish testing moved into the integration project for fuller testing. Discovered an issue with skipping CPs and preloaded "DK" information, causing Web Rs to lock at CP entry screen. Also discovered a bug that occurs in very specific circumstances if there are three or more mover out groups which in some circumstances makes it difficult or impossible for Web R to proceed. Decision made by PSID study staff that this was not a show stopper, and that if we decided to correct it and update would have to be in DM3 instead of the upcoming DM2 release. DM2 including Spanish updates were signed off on by March 28. Expect that Spanish release timelines will be preserved.

68-ID Site - 68-ID development turned to Locating features. Since some of these interact with MSMS systems, implemented APIs were tested, emails relating to locating efforts updated, and task rules reviewed. Major functionality for 68-ID was more or less complete by 3/24. Some outstanding items were identified with work expected on them into early April. Nice to have items de-prioritized for this wave as Iwers in Prod begin using features.

Training:

The second week of Training 1 was 3/3 -3/7, with a focus on conducting the family listing and interview. All iwers passed the DCA, 68ID Site, Family Listing, and Interview certification. TL Training dates were 3/11 - 3/14. T2 training dates are 4/14 - 4/25, with home study from 4/3-4/10. Week 1 will be DCA & 68ID systems training, and Week 2 will be Family Listing and Interview Training. Minor adjustements have been made to the T2 agenda from T1. There will be 29 Iwers and 1 PC trained. The T3 training will take place 6/3 - 6/10. Trainings are remote, half-day training with on-staff interviewers. Added a second training coordinator to help with the overlapping training and production demands.

Sample Release / Mailing:

Reason for Variance:

The advanced mailing protocol has been finalized and is now underway. It is a complex mailing protocol that includes five releases of CATI and WEB sample, and unique mailings for remails to non-responders, Web special offers, EG, post cards, split-offs, Web VIN experiments, and two incentive amounts. Added staff to help oversee and execute the various production support needs.

| Special Issues | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 1,986,997.56 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 7,433,830.88 |
| | Total Budget: | 7,805,285.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | 371,454.12 |

Note: March 2025 cost report is not yet final -- still making projection updates.

Reduced iwer data collection effort due to less sample than budgeted and anticipating a higher percentage of web completes than budgeted. Removed costs associated with new hires and in-person training. Projecting interviewers and survey specialists/directors at a higher rate than budgeted. Designing data collection and training differently than

| | ıete | |
|--|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

| Projections as of Apr 17, 202 | 5 Dollars Projected for Mo | nth: | | 365,957. | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------|--|--|
| | Actual Dollars Used: | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected mine | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | updates. Data mana higher thai | Note: March 2025 cost report is not yet final still making produces. Data management and training coordination/support hours igher than projected. Telephone administrative fees and projects were higher than projected. | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 9,994 | 89% | 4.73 | | |
| | Current Actual: | 598 | 15% | 1.2 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 9,994 | 89% | 4.73 | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |
| Other Measures | | lote: Current actual metrics based on the Dashboard with the week ending on 3/29/25. Current goals' will be populated as production goals are finalized. 'Estimated' goals at completion will be update s production is underway. | | | | |

| Project Name | (SAFEGUARD) SAFEGUARD (On Tr | ack) |
|---|---|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone | Total of Modes: 2 |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 2,200,868.00 | Indirect Budget: 1,232,492.00 |
| Principal | Vincent Capaldi (Uniformed Services University | rsity) |
| Investigator/Clients | Ronald Kessler (Harvard Medical School) | |
| | Matthew Nock (Harvard University) | |
| Funding Agency | Department of Defense, Office of the Assis Uniformed Services University of the Health | ant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs (within DHA), through the Sciences (USUHS) |
| IRB | HUM#: | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Daniel Tomlin | |
| | Budget Analyst: William Lokers | |
| | Production Manager: | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger | -Ndiaye |
| | Production Manager 1: | |
| | Production Manager 2: | |
| Proposal # | no data | |
| Description | suicide among service members. SAFEGU Pathfinding. Each component will have a B program technical systems and instruments surveys will be completed via web and WSI Skills Training will be implemented by USU MSMS and Blaise to collect data for 6 and start via web and then a subsample of non- | rgeted interventions for preventing harmful behaviors and reducing ARD is composed of 3 components: Life Skills Training, Life Force, and aseline survey followed by a 6 and 12 month follow-up survey. SRO will for Life Force and Pathfinding at the Baseline phase. Life Force MS. Pathfinding surveys will be completed via phone and WSMS. Life (HJF without SRO support during the Baseline phase. SRO will use 12 month follow-up surveys for all 3 components. Follow-up surveys will responders will be selected to complete their surveys by phone. |
| SRO Project Period | 01/2025 - 01/2029 | |
| Data Col Period | 07/2025 - 11/2028 | |
| Security Plan | NA | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: |
| | 00 Tuli 04 4 | SS Train End: |
| | SS Train Start: | 33 ITAIII EIIU. |
| | DC Start: | DC End: |
| Other Project Team Members | DC Start: | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | DC Start: Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Life Force | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Life Force Safeguard - Pathfinding | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Life Force Safeguard - Pathfinding Web SMS; MSMS | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Pathfinding Web SMS; MSMS Blaise 5 | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Pathfinding Web SMS; MSMS Blaise 5 Desktop | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Pathfinding Web SMS; MSMS Blaise 5 Desktop NA | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool | Stephanie Chardoul - UM PI Shonda Kruger - Ndiaye - Senior Project Ad Meredith House - Survey Director / Advisor Dan Tomlin - Project Lead Anthony Romanowski - Project Manager (T William Lokers - Financial Analyst Joseph Zylka - Financial Analyst Peter Sparks - CAI Programmer (Blaise) Hueichun Peng - WSMS Programmer / Tec Sarah Bromand - WSMS Programmer Cheng Zhou - WSMS Programmer Brianna Sabol - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Manager / Advisor David Bolt - Help Desk Safeguard - Life Skills Training Safeguard - Pathfinding Web SMS; MSMS Blaise 5 Desktop NA NA | DC End: dvisor echnical Systems Development) |

| Payment Type | N/A | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---------------|----|---|--------|
| Payment Method | N/A | N/A | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (SAFEGUARD) | Mar, 2025 (SAFEGUARD) Planning | | | | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | coordination between PDMC and Blaise development has been on the Life Force and I providing the systems for. W but this was later changed in M. Jackson Foundation. As | March was focused on finalizing specifications and beginning initial setup of servers and prototypes with coordination between PDMG project managers and the TSG tech team. Work in WSMS has progressed quickly and Blaise development has been underway to test the integration between WSMS and Blaise 5. Our focus has been on the Life Force and Pathfinding components of SAFEGUARD, as these will be the Baselines SRO is providing the systems for. We initially budgeted to provide a similar system for the Life Skills Training component but this was later changed in favor of systems and implementation by Uniformed Services University and the Henry M. Jackson Foundation. As a result, there is less initial work as projected and we show a slight underrun. As we begin development of MSMS specifications and protocols over the next few months, we anticipate hours picking up. | | | | |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | 85,0 | 075.76 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (ES | 3,357,2 | 299.81 | | | |
| | Total Budget: | 3,433,3 | 360.00 | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | | | 060.19 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Skill | s Training Ba | | ginal budget including the Li lopment, which is no longer | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mont | | 3 - 1 | | 63,1 | 106.87 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 45,3 | 362.51 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Actual): | | | 17,7 | 744.36 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Skil | s Training Ba | | ginal budget including the Li elopment, which is no longer | |
| Measures | | Units at Comp | lete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (SAND COVID Follow-up Stu | | dolescent to Adult Neural De | evelopment (SAND) COVID-19 |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web | Total of Modes: 1 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Proje | cts | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: | 71,983.00 | Indirect Budget: 96,311.00 | Total Budget: 268,294.00 |
| Principal | Colter Mitchell (U | I-M, SRC) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Helen Meier (U-N | M, SRC) | | |
| Funding Agency | National Institute | of Heath | | |
| IRB | HUM#: 0024690 | 2 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: E | izabeth Ohryn | | |
| | Budget Analyst | : Dean E Stevens | | |
| | Production Mar | ager: Lisa J Carn | | |
| | Senior Project | Advisor: Shonda R Kruger | Ndiaye | |
| | Production Mar | ager 1: Narine Verdiyan | | |
| | Production Mar | ager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | Future of Familie of nearly 5,000 c and economic co affecting disadva Neuroimaging is adolescence into behavioral and p | s Child Wellbeing Study (F hildren born in large U.S. c nditions in tandem with the ntaged families. SAND Ne to learn more about how cl young adulthood. Once ch sychological assessments. p in correlating brain devel | ities in either 1998 or 2000. The stu- capabilities of parents, the well-be uroimaging is a supplement study the hildhood environments shape brain wild participants reached age 15, the Neuroimaging takes place at regul | esearch project that follows a cohort udy focuses on the influence of social sing of children, and the policies o the FFCWS. The purpose of SAND development and behavior from e study conducted MRIs and |
| | launched anothe young adults (YA families, househouse). Now, four years contributing. This caregiver responemail, text, and p | r study, SAND COVID-19, and their parent/primary olds, and communities. ater, this project is conduct project seeks to gather sedents. SRO's role is in enchone reminders. If needed | in 2020. The aim of this study is to caregivers (PCG) on how the COVI ing another wave called SAND CO If-administered Qualtrics interviews ouraging respondents' web comple | OVID-19 Follow-up, to which SRO is |
| SRO Project Period | 05/2024 - 01/202 | 5 | | |
| Data Col Period | 08/2024 - 12/202 | 4 | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production | Start: 05/01/2024 | Pretes | et Start: |
| | Prete | st End: | Recruitmen | nt Start: |
| | Staffing Co. | nplete: | Gľ | T Start: |
| | | • Start: 08/01/2024 | SS Tra | in End: |
| | | Start: 08/05/2024 | | OC End: 12/31/2024 |
| Other Project Team Members | Data Manager - I | | | agement Support: Jennie Williams; |
| Other Project Name | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SMS | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; Desktop | [UM cell] Phone | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | |
| Administration | Other (SAND Pr | oject Staff) | | |
| Payment Type | N/A | | | |
| Payment Method | N/A | | | |

Report Period Mar, 2025 (SAND COVID Follow-Up) Closing Risk Level On Track **Monthly Updates** March Update Cost Report: - The March cost report is expected to be the final one. No projected costs for the future. -In December, the project team approved an additional \$3,330 for a postcard mailing. SRO never requested this entire amount since projections consistently remained in an underrun. SRO is not requesting only the amount to cover the small overrun. End of Project Notes: - Once data collection began, three items were added to the work scope; sending a letter, sending a postcard, and extending data collection by two months. The approved total cost for these additional components was \$52,733.97. From the beginning of the effort, a request was made to limit the number of contact attempts sent to each respondent. Twelve attempts were planned at the start of the project. Four additional attempts were added with the two-month extension to data collection. - Initially, the sample percentage estimated to require locating was between 20 and 25%. However, 31% of the sample required a locating intervention. These methods included calling contact people (or other known relatives) and web/database searches. - The SAND COVID participants were stored in Ripple, an online database tool. Considerable effort was dedicated to establishing standard data fields and cleaning up variables to ensure that information was loaded correctly when provided to SRO. The project enhancements SRO introduced included emails sent in batch, authenticated links for accessing the survey, QR code access on letters and postcards that included authenticated links unique to each respondent, database locating, automatic downloads from Qualtrics using an API, and softphone text messages. At the beginning of production, the study team identified the initial SAND COVID's response rate as 73% for young adults and 70% for PCGs. However, upon closer review, it was discovered that known hard-to-reach sample lines were not included during the first SAND COVID effort, which meant the response rate was closer to 50% for each participant group. The data collection effort also took 52 weeks compared to 22 weeks for the SAND COVID Follow-up project. - Respondent payment problems required more effort for SRO than initially expected. This included a Tango outage at the start of data collection that required heavy communication with respondents who completed the survey during the first seven days of the study and the need to submit requests throughout the interview period.

| Special Issues | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Cost as of Apr 08, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direc | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (| (E\$AC): | | | 269,481.56 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | | 268,294.00 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget r | minus- E\$AC): | | | -1,187.56 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | n | nailing. The | | ding approval to complete a g with project staff to obtain run. | |
| Projections as of Apr 08, 20 | 025 Dollars Projected for Mo | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | | 136.83 | |
| | Variance (Projected mine | us Actual): | | | -1.78 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | F | Projected red | charges were slightly more | than projected. | |
| Measures | | Units at Co | mplete | RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | 1342 | | 55% | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (SCA Web 2025) SCA Web 2025 (On | Track) | |
|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 136,554.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 136,554.00 |
| Principal | Joanne Hsu (Survey of Consumers - ISR) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Tuba Suzer Gurtekin (Survey of Consumers | - ISR) | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: William Keating | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | SCA Web is the latest iteration of data collect an online survey. SRO responsibilities include questions, and general project management | de setup/support of technical systems, co | |
| SRO Project Period | 01/2025 - 12/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train End: | |
| | DC Start: | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Members | Karl Dinklemann (Programmer/Analyst) Kelly Chatain (Archivist) Andrew Piskorowski (BI Analyst) Cheng Zhou (Database Analyst/Programme Jennie Williams (General Programmer/Analy Deb Seale (SSL Operations Manager) iAn Woods (Coder) Ann Munster (Coder) Nancy Walker (Coder) Lisa Carn (Coder) Peter Sparks (Programmer) Carolyn Vieira-Martinez (Survey Specialist) | r) /st) | |
| Other Project Name | SCA Web 2025 | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | |
| Incentive | Not used | | |
| Administration | N/A | | |
| Payment Type | N/A | | |
| Payment Method | N/A | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (SCA Web 2025) | | Initiation |
| Risk Level | On Track | | |
| | | | |
| Monthly Updates | During the March 2025 calendar month, SCA | A coding processed 1,155 cases with an | additional 126 cases for IRF |

| S | pec | :ial | П | lss | u | es |
|---|-----|------|---|-----|---|----|
| | | | | | | |

| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direc | t + indirect): | | 23,740.3 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|-------|-----------|--|
| | Est Cost at Completion (| E\$AC): | | 120,486.0 | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 136,554.0 | |
| | Variance (Total Budget n | ninus- E\$AC): | | 16,067.9 | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: Much like previous months, programm much lower than projected. Further, co over more than projected. More than procharged during March. This was due to coders timesheet, continued acclimatic and an increased number of cases needs | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 25 Dollars Projected for Mo | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 11,787.6 | |
| | Variance (Projected mine | us Actual): | | 2,660.77 | |
| | Reason for Variance: The under than projected costs for programming, combine more than projected costs associated with coding increase underrun. | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Comple | te RR | HPI | |
| | Current Goal: | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | Goal at Completion: | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | Current Actual: | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | |

| Project Name | (SCIP 2024) Sustainability Cul- | tural Indicators Project (On Track) | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 | | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 137,834.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 137,834.00 | | |
| Principal | John Callewaert, Co-PI (SRC, Colleg | ge of Engineering) | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Robert Marans, Co-PI (SRC) | | | | |
| | Noah Webster, Co-PI (SRC) | | | | |
| Funding Agency | U-M | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00260230 | | Period of Approval: | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer | г | | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R | Kruger-Ndiaye | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: James Koo | ppman | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: Hongyu Jol | • | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | Project) is a multi-year project design Ann Arbor campus. In Fall 2021, SC Fall 2021 the most recent wave of the software for the web survey instrume to that of the 2021 wave. For all thre graduate students, as well as faculty | Ono - 10/7 | tainability, originally on the U-M -M Dearborn campuses, making D will continue to use Qualtrics design of the project will be similar en, sophomore, juniors, seniors and inpus there is the addition of a | | |
| SRO Project Period | 08/2024 - 03/2025 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 10/2024 - 12/2024 | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest | Start: | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment | Start: | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT | Start: | | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train | End: | | |
| | DC Start: | DC | End: | | |
| Other Project Team Members | Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye Senior Project Donnalee Grey-Farquharson Project Helen Johnson Project Co-Lead James Koopman Project Manager Raphael Nishimura Sampling/Mapp Minako Edgar Reports/Mapping Laura Yoder Data Manager Lead Asia Paige Data Manager Carl Remmert Financial Analyst Ser David Kellermeyer Financial Analyst | ing | | | |
| Other Project Name | | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Project specific system (Qualtrics) | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Other (Qualtrics) | | | | |
| Hardware | NA NA | | | | |
| i idi dwai c | 1 47 1 | | | | |

| DE Software | N/A | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | | | | |
| Payment Type | Other (Tango cards) | | | | | |
| Payment Method | Other (Electronic gift cards | via email) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (SCIP 2024) | | | Closing | | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | We are wrapping up work o | n final documentation and da | ta reports for delivery to the | Pls. | | |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of Mar 31, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | 114,638.88 | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | \$AC): | | 118,044.26 | | |
| | Total Budget: 137, | | | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget m. | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 19,789 | | | | |
| | Reason for Variance: Respondent TOA cost was less than projected. | | | | | |
| Projections as of Mar 31, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | Dollars Projected for Month: 16,17 | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 11,966.68 | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | 4,211.74 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | ted the payments to the 56 ed less hours than the proje | lottery winners on 3/3/2025. A ected hours. | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (SRS 2021) Social Re | elations 2023 (O | n Track) | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Face to Face | Total of Modes: 1 | | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 3,937,05 | 7.11 | Indirect Budget: 2,204,75 | 3.00 | Total Budget: 6,141,810.1 |
| Principal | Toni Antonucci (ISR) | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Kristine Ajrouch (ISR) | | | | |
| | Laura Zahodne (ISR) | | | | |
| Funding Agency | NIH | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00187453 | | | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Barbara L | ohr Ward | | | 8/7/2024 - 8/6/2025 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Budget Analyst: Christin | | | | |
| | Production Manager: V | | IIIUG | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | urgo | | |
| | • | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | | |
| D 1" | Production Manager 2: | ian Ogden | | | |
| Proposal # Description | no data | | aged 35 years or older resid | | |
| | members aged younger to one selected respondent Social Relations interview blood pressure, grip strer programmed for the D-Ar | han 65 years of ag per household. Th v), a 60 minute cog ngth) and saliva co mp project, with the | e. The project involves scre e interview will consist of a 6 initive interview and a series lection. The SRS 2023 proje only new programming bei | ening up to 69 60 minute core of physical meet will use the ng that for a se | e interview (content from the easurements (height, weight, |
| SRO Project Period | 09/2021 - 05/2023 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | 05/2023 - 01/2025 | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: (| 9/01/2022 | | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | | Rec | ruitment Start: | 02/01/2023 |
| | Staffing Complete: 0 | 04/10/2023 | | GIT Start: | 05/16/2023 |
| | SS Train Start: (| 05/18/2023 | | SS Train End: | 05/25/2023 |
| | DC Start: (| | | | 12/31/2024 |
| Other Project Team Members | | a Connors-Burge, N | Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, As | | |
| Other Project Name | Social Relations 2022, D. | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | SurveyTrak | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 4.8 | | | | |
| Hardware | Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; | Paper and Pencil | | | |
| DE Software | Other (Weblog) | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | DRI-CARI | | | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | | | |
| Administration | SRO Group | | | | |
| Payment Type | Check, post (\$25 Informa | | R (end game for panel)); Cas | sh, post (\$75 r | espondent, \$25 informant); |
| Payment Method | Other (\$2 screener incer Check through STrak RP | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ewer payment of cash (reiml | oursed/reconc | iled via Tenrox) |
| | | | . , | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Donout Doviced | Mar. 2025 (CDC 2024) | | | | Closing |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (SRS 2021) | | | | Closing |
| Risk Level | On Track | ook onderd to to mit | ving on logues C 2005 T | o of the last of | ho alaaina rhaasa |
| Monthly Updates | Code out of final screening 2025. | ng and main cases | ving on January 9, 2025. The have taken longer than anting are in the process of com | cipated, and w | |

cleaned/corrected data. We will plan to deliver final data in mid-June or earlier. Work on weights is delayed due to the delay in getting cases coded out. Weights will be delivered at the end of June with the final report. After inclusion of accepted partials, SRS completed 1,566 interviews (1,363 new sample cases and 203 panel cases). **Special Issues** There are no special issues to report at this time. 6,035,315.42 Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 6,126,943.82 Total Budget: 6,141,810.11 14,866.29 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): We will use some of this variance for logging reconciliation, document Reason for Variance: preparation and delivery and supplies closeout (projections added after cost reports for MPR finalized). Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 96,105.77 Actual Dollars Used: 82,911.10 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 13,194.67 Reason for Variance: We had budgeted time for team leaders to close out the project and complete final code outs. They did not use the time budgeted as the TLs moved to other projects after projections were updated. In addition, hours budgeted for regular staff to conduct reconciliation were not used as planned. Those projections have been moved forward. **Units at Complete** RR HPI Measures **Current Goal:** 1300 new/244 panel 9.0 new/ 9.0 panel Goal at Completion: **Current Actual:** 1363 new, 203 panel Estimate at Complete: Variance: Other Measures Overall HPI (without screening) was 9.4 (8.8 new sample, 14 panel) Hours per screen 7.7 hps, 55 miles per screener.

longer than anticipated due to tracking down suspended cases where two cognitive kits were used.

The PIs have asked for an interim data deliverable in early May. The early May deliverable will not have

| Project Name | (STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 (Yr1 Longitudinal Study (On Track) |)) Study to Assess Risk and Resilier | ice in Servicemembers- | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Secondary: Telepho | one Total of Modes: 2 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 8,809,515.00 | Indirect Budget: 4,920,601.00 | Total Budget: 13,730,116.00 | |
| Principal | James Wagner (University of Michiga | n) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services L | Iniversity of the Health Scienc) | | |
| | Murray Stein / Ron Kessler (University | y of California San Diego / Harvard) | | |
| Funding Agency | Department of Defense | | | |
| RB | HUM#: HUM00180765 | | Period of Approval: 3/21/24 - 3/20/25 | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Meredith A House | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: William Lokers | | | |
| | Production Manager: Ruth B Philipp | ou | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holla | and | | |
| | Production Manager 1: Jeffrey Albre | echt Jr | | |
| | Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| | DoD/Army actionable findings, maintal enable science-based answers to que of 2025. For STARRS-LS, we have attempted (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Stugroup of approximately 73,000 eligible consent to link administrative data to to to date, we have completed 2 waves individuals and completed approximat 1 interview were asked to participate the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, the Army STARRS Research Data Erprimary Army STARRS data as well a Additionally, STARRS-LS will continued. | The goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (Sain productivity of the Army STARRS data an estions related to health, resilience, and mare to reinterview respondents from the All Arm dy (PPDS) samples using a web-phone mule persons who had been interviewed in one their survey data. of STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave tely 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 partic in Wave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are cover regardless of whether they completed the in NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will nclave, allowing members of the research te is coded historical administrative data receive to receive administrative data updates and TARRS data collection as well as STARRS- | nd systems established, and apower management for the Arm y Study (AAS), New Soldier Stud timode study. We started with a of those three surveys and gave 1, we attempted to contact 50,00 ipants that completed a full Wave ed in this application, will include a terview. continue to maintain and support am and collaborators to analyze red from the Army and DoD. It link coded administrative data to | |
| SRO Project Period | 05/2020 - 02/2025 | | | |
| Data Col Period | 11/2022 - 04/2024 | | | |
| Security Plan | Yes | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 04/01/2024 | Pretest S | tart: | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | tart: 08/19/2024 | |
| | Staffing Complete: 10/29/2024 | GIT S | tart: 11/12/2024 | |
| | SS Train Start: 11/21/2024 | SS Train I | End: 11/26/2024 | |
| | | | | |

Ryan Yoder, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Steven Sonoras, iAn Woods, Andrew Piskorowski, Asia Paige, Ji Qi, Makenna Harrison, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Karl Dinkelmann, Peter Sparks. Shane Empie, Pam Swanson, Jim Rodgers, Marcus Blough, Ricardo Rodriguez, Pete Westhead

| Other Project Name | STARRS-LS Continuation |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sample Mgmt System | MSMS |
| Data Col Tool | Blaise 5 |
| Hardware | Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone |

| DE Software | N/A |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| QC Recording Tool | Other (Blaise CARI) |
| Incentive | Yes, R |
| Administration | SRO Group |
| Payment Type | Check, post (\$50-\$100) |
| Payment Method | Check through other system (MSMS) |

| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (STARRS-LS Waves 3, 4, 5 | Implementing |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Risk Level | On Track | |

Monthly Updates

Upcoming Research Team changes:

Last month, we noted that Nancy Sampson (Harvard) will move to half time at the end of June 2025. Audrey Kalmus will be taking on the management part of her role and Marrena Lindberg will take on the functions related to analysis oversight.

We have also learned about the following STARRS Project Management Office (Army) staffing changes. These are due to federal administration changes:

COL Forrest will return to retiree status at the end of May. His position was not extended as they had thought it might be. COL Wheeler was to be renewed for 2 years, but that decision was recently reversed as well. Gretchen Gaub and Alison Rivera (both Army civilian employees) have submitted Deferred Resignation Requests, which means they will be on administrative leave and paid through September, then resign from their positions. Alison is on maternity leave starting this week (week of 4/14); Gretchen's leave date is not yet known. Their office now consists of Scott and Dr. Cox (Army civilian) and Sean and Hyon (Army contractors). Employees in civilian and contractor positions are required to fulfill project tasks, but given they are now half the size that they were, they will be stretched. The Pls/research team (including U-M) has offered their help and support in any way possible.

Project Management and Planning:

- Budget/Funding:
- o The no cost extension (NCE) paperwork finally came through from HJF to U-M ORSP on March 14. ORSP processed it and had the award modification completed by March 23.
- The no cost extension was needed to be able to spend the projected underrun amount after our subaward and accounts expired on February 28, 2025.
- o We awaited the Year 6 (Wave 5 Yr2) pre-award notice/start letter that will be used to establish a hardship account for charging work after the no cost extension money has been exhausted in early April. [Note, that on 4/18/25 U-M received the Year 6 start letter from HJF]
- o Cost projections for the remainder of Wave 5 (March 2025+) are ready to be entered into our cost reporting system after we receive the Year 6 start letter and can set up the project. Beginning March 2025, there are no cost projections in our cost monitoring systems.
- o Also see the Special Issues section.
- · Proposal:
- o Per discussions with Josh and on the PI call, we understand that U-M should provide a formal budget for Years 7-10 (March 2026-February 2030) that excludes the start of Wave 7 and keeps the incentive at the current amounts of \$50 in Phases 1-3 and \$100 in Phase 4.
- o James and Meredith began reviewing options for placement of Wave 6 relative to Wave 5 and the end of the 4-year window, and how much gap to plan in between waves going forward. Options/recommendations will be provided to the other PIs/RT.
- We continued to keep researchers from Army G-9/DPRR (Dr. Dias, Dr. Jarvis), M&RA and the research team updated about our progress with setting up a meeting with the U-M IRB member who is knowledgeable about STARRS to discuss a possible collaboration and access to the U-M STARRS Data Enclave.
- We sent weekly production updates to the PIs, and reported on progress on the call with the Army/M&RA.
- · Meredith created the agenda/notes and facilitated the weekly meeting with the STARRS project managers.
- IRB:
- o A continuing review for the STARRS-LS Continuation protocol (Waves 3 and 4) was approved by the U-M IRB on March 5 and sent to USUHS. The U-M IRB confirmed the requirement for continuing review for this protocol has been removed.
- o We started work on a continuing review for the STARRS-LS Wave 5 protocol.

Enclave and User Support:

- · Annual IA security review: We awaited word from M&RA and AAG about the annual enclave security review.
- Annual updates to the SSN-LinkageID list were finished and transfer of the file to AAG was completed on March 18.
- The team continued work on address geocoding steps for Wave 3 and 4 respondent addresses, where their addresses have changed from previous survey administrations.
- Standard enclave activities continued. These include maintaining security requirements; processing background checks and Great Lakes Cluster (GLC) user access requests, drop box requests, ID swap and data transfer requests; managing software acquisition and updates; and providing user support as needed. Of particular note this month:
- o We continued to track progress of two U-M staff members onboarding to the enclave.
- o Various R packages were installed in the Windows and Linux environments.
- Biomarker group request for assistance:
- o We received and processed a construct file from AAG related to work at USUHS/CHIRP. There was a subsequent request for transfer of administrative data from the enclave to CHIRP. The enclave team provided input on Army requirements that state administrative data cannot be removed from the enclave; we talked with the RT about options for moving genetic data to the enclave or requesting a waiver to transfer administrative data off.

o STARRS genomic, diagnostic and sociodemographic data sharing with DHA (Dr. Evatt): We awaited further information from the requestor about variables of interest.

Public Use Data:

- Wave 4: We assisted ICPSR with questions related to the LSW4 public data. The target for release is May 2025.
- We awaited decisions from the Army/GSC on producing the genetic and bioassay files for public use and placing data in the NIH National Data Archive and Public use release for GWAS.

Wave 5 Production Updates:

- Wave 5 production statistics, as of April 3, 2025, are as follows:
- o Replicates released: 4 of 14 released with 3,979 sample lines.
- o Completed interviews: 2,601 (2,511 web; 90 phone)
- o Replicate 3 had moved to Phase 4 by the end of March. The response rate was 74.6% and trending about 5% pts higher than the average Wave 5 rate.
- o Replicate 4 was released on March 3 and had moved to Phase 3 by the end of the month. The response rate was 44.2% and tracking about 2% pts lower than the average Wave 5 rate.
- ? Note that the higher token amounts for the incentive experiment were removed starting with Replicate 4.
- Throughout March, we wrote up the results of the token experiment ahead of sending it to the PIs and research team.
- o The response rate for completed replicates (Reps 1-2) is 74.3%.

Safety Plan Results:

- The Wave 5 combined Safety Plan rate was 12.1% as of April 3:
- o Army Chaplains:
- ? 790 (# started IW), 751 (# completed IW), 55 (safety plan checks), 7.0% activation rate o U-M CCP:
- ? 1,936 (# started IW), 1,850 (# completed IW), 274 (safety plan checks), 14.2% activation rate

Special Issues

Language in the following area of risk in the report to the PIs/research team has been updated since last month:

- U-M gap in funds for continued Wave 5 work after February 2025 until the Year 6 POP award is received. o We should be able to cover March and part of April 2025 with the no cost extension of the Year 5 subaward. After the NCE money had been spent, the plan was for Year 6 pre-award spending to be available. However, as of the date of this report (April 11, 2025), we have not yet received the pre-award spending authorization.
- ? On April 9, the HJF admin emailed: "I just approved U of Michigan March 2025 invoice for \$295,378.71 under our STARRS Subaward Agreement 6380. HJF still has over half a million- to be exact- \$675,495.47 through the 31st of May 2025 under the STARRS-LS Project available. As a consequence, I will have to hold off on issuing a Start Letter until after the next billing of April 30th 2025."
- ? The U-M financial analyst provided the following response: "The calculation of the remainder HJF noted does not appear to be correct. \$675,495.47 does not include the invoice referenced in the message, so it should be \$295,378.71 less, or \$380,116.76. Our remaining balance doesn't reflect the entire picture because I am waiting for U-M sponsored programs to move expenses from last year (Year 4) to this year. This is something I've been working on since the last year closed and should be processed in April. That amount is about \$330k. With \$380k remaining, that leaves around \$50k for April expenses which we've already spent."
- ? Note that the \$330k was spent at the end of 2024, but those dollars were parked on Year 4 (we overran Year 4) until the Year 5 award was available and then the U-M financial analyst started the transfer process to Year 5, which is still not complete.
- ? It appears there is a problem with invoicing and records catching up with the actual spending. In addition, once HJF sends U-M a letter or award, there is processing time on the U-M side often multiple weeks. SRC often ends up working without a contract or letter of intent for weeks or months at a time.
- ? We have started the process for a hardship, but we prefer not to take this step without a letter of intent because it is money SRC is spending without any backing. The only other option is to stop work.
- o Once the pre-award spending authorization is received, we understand it will be for 90 days. This means the actual Year 6 subaward will need to be in place by July 1, 2025.
- ? After HJF sends the annual subaward to U-M, the back and forth negotiation of contract language between U-M ORSP and HJF takes a minimum of 5 weeks, but usually much longer. The Year 5 subaward required 12 weeks of discussion. Because of this, with a 90-day hardship, the drafted subagreement would need to be received by U-M around April 7, 2025 (to accommodate 12 weeks). As of the writing of this report, that date has already passed. Receipt of the pre-spending letter is critically urgent but the actual award will need to come soon after to avoid delays in contract implementation.

[Note, that on 4/18/25 U-M received the Year 6 start letter from HJF]

| Cost as of Feb 28, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 13,391,939.24 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 13,391,939.24 |
| | Total Budget: | 13,730,116.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | 338,176.76 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Feb 28, 2025 marks the end of the 2020-2025 5-year scope of work and budget. We will spend down the underrun amount in the no cost extension. The \$338k remainder will cover March but not all of April. |
| Projections as of Feb 28, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Month: | 367,959.07 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | 332,626.64 |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | 35,332.43 |

Reason for Variance:

Most of the slight under and over-spending balanced each other out. IWer hours were again lower than expected and TL hours were slightly higher, but combined, the hours spent were about 8% less than projected. The larger variances were TOA payments coming in about \$11K less (direct) and ICPSR work coming in about \$14K less (direct). We should see these charges hit in March and April.

| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|
| | Current Goal: | 10,689 | 75% | 12.0 |
| | Goal at Completion: | 10,689 | 75% | 12.0 |
| | Current Actual: | 2,601 | 74.3% (Reps 1-2) | 15.8 |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 10,689 | 75% | 12.0 |
| | Variance: | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Measures | Stats as of 4/3/25 | | | |

| Project Name | (WalSS) U-M Wallenberg Institute | e Student Survey (On Track) | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| Project Mode | Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 | | |
| Project Type | Sponsored Projects | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget : 1,002,656.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 1,002,656.00 |
| Principal | Mark Tessler (University of Michigan) | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: HUM00269204 | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Dohe | r | |
| | Production Manager: William Keating | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kri | uger-Ndiaye | |
| | Production Manager 1: Nahid Sultana | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | The U-M Wallenberg Institute Student sinvestigates experiences with and percestudy is to observe how those experien study will include a pre-study phase foll 2025-2029. The research is being cond Social Research's Survey Research Ce | eptions of diverse religious and ethnic ces and perceptions change over the co owed by five annual waves of web sur ucted by the Survey Research Operat | groups. The broad purpose of the course of students' time at U-M. The vey data collection each fall from ions (SRO) unit at the Institute for |
| SRO Project Period | 02/2025 - 12/2029 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: 02/01/2025 | Prete | est Start: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitme | ent Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | G | IT Start: |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Tra | ain End: |
| | DC Start: | | DC End: |
| Other Project Team Memb | ers | | |
| Other Project Name | Wallenberg Institute Student Survey | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | Web SMS | | |
| Data Col Tool | Qualtrics/Illume | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | Live monitoring | | |
| Incentive | Yes, R | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | Other (Electronic gift card, post) | | |
| Payment Method | Other (Tango Card from ISR Business | Office) | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (WalSS) | | Initiation |
| Risk Level | On Track | | |
| Monthly Updates | Project Setup -Jeffrey continued to work with Nicole E -Jeffrey had initial meetings with Rapha of the project and timelines. | | |
| | Survey Design -The research team has needed consid | erable support in identifying constructs | s to monitor over time and designing |

⁻The research team has needed considerable support in identifying constructs to monitor over time and designing survey measures to capture them in a way that can be used for longitudinal analyses.

-Jeffrey helped the Pls to clarify what they were interested in assessing and how they plan to use the data and findings. They noted that they intend to use the findings from Wave 1 to further engage the university to extend and possibly increase funding. However, their initial focus was largely academic, including constructs and research

questions geared towards academic journals, much more so than university leadership. So, Jeffrey worked with the Pls to identify constructs that could be reasonably measured with U-M students and would be of interest to different communities on campus.

-There were several challenges in the survey design process, most notably the threat of social desirability bias in the data. The PIs asked very direct questions about negative perceptions of specific religious groups, e.g., "Jews have too much control over global financial markets." The first person that Jeffrey tested the survey with was offended and said that she would drop out once she got to those questions, so that led to discussions with the PIs on other aspects of prejudice that they could assess without causing too much controversy or offending respondents, which is a large task in the current political climate on campus.

-Jeffrey reviewed literature on measuring prejudices, drafted items that were based on widely known studies (e.g., by Pew) and also several novel items, and consulted with several experts in the survey design process (including Lisa Holland and Henning Silber). The items were tested in focus groups, which will be described in the April MPR.

Training

- -Jeffrey trained Bill K in best practice methods for facilitating focus groups, including several practice focus group sessions and Bill attending some meetings with the PIs to get a strong understanding of their goals and meanings behind items.
- -Jeffrey and Bill onboarded Nahid to observe and document the focus groups.

Recruiting Respondents

- -Jeffrey created a recruitment flyer and screener survey in Qualtrics.
- -Nahid created a list of student organizations that were based on religious affiliations. The plan was to recruit respondents from several key demographics.
- -Jeffrey reached out to the orgs and very few responded. Raphael put in a request for 500 student names and emails with the Registrar's Office. (It took them about four weeks to deliver). Stephanie sent out a message to all of SRC to ask staff to share the recruitment messages with college students they know, which resulted in just about enough interested participants for the pre-study (goal was 50 total). The focus groups ended up being pushed back to begin in April.

Special Issues

- -Survey design, data analysis planning, and project setup all are taking more effort than anticipated, because the PIs needed extra support. Some of the key challenges are that they didn't have very clear ideas about their research goals, so they changed over time.
- -Also, national regulations concerning matters that we are surveying about, such as race, gender, the Israel-Palestine conflict, and discrimination towards Jews on campus have been changing, so the Wallenberg and SRO teams are proceeding with extra caution, including (for example) extra vetting of the survey by SRO Admin and SRC partners.

| Cost as of Apr 21, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | 12,394.51 |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| Cost as of Apr 21, 2023 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect). | 12,004.01 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | 283,078.16 |
| | Total Budget: | 1,002,656.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | 558.84 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Estimated cost at completion is for Year 1 only (pre-study and Wave 1), |

Estimated cost at completion is for Year 1 only (pre-study and Wave 1) which has a budget of \$283,637. Years 2-5 are projected to their respective budgets.

Projections as of Apr 21, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month:

Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

1,516.70

Reason for Variance:

The underrun was due to uncharged vacation accrual and less time used by Nahid than projected.

| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|-----|
| | Current Goal: | 50 | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | 50 | | |
| | Current Actual: | 33 | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | 33 | | |
| | Variance: | 17 | | |

Developmental/Initiative Projects Dashboard

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects

| Project | Туре | Phase | Project Lead | Jan | Feb | Mar |
|--|-------------|--------------|----------------------|-----|-----------|-----|
| TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562) | Initiatives | Initiation | Karl A Dinkelmann | | | |
| TSME25 DCO Systems Support (483248) | Initiatives | Implementing | Vivienne Y Outlaw | | | |
| TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483227) | Initiatives | Planning | Mark Simonson | | | |
| TSME25 MSMS Performance (425267) | Initiatives | Implementing | Jim Rodgers | | | |
| TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (425198) | Initiatives | Planning | Mark Simonson | | | |
| TSME25 QC Systems (483249) | Initiatives | Implementing | Sarah Elisa Broumand | | | |
| TSME25 System Maintenance - General (483910) | Initiatives | Implementing | Jeffrey L Smith | | () | |
| TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197) | Initiatives | Initiation | David Bolt | | | |
| TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (424466) | Initiatives | Initiation | Mark Simonson | | | |
| TSME25 Translation Tool (483424) | Initiatives | Implementing | Karl A Dinkelmann | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 Blaise 5 (423562)) T | SME25 Blaise 5 version and system | testing (423562) (On Track) | |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 10,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 10,000.00 | |
| Principal | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Karl A Dinkelmann | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | |
| Description | next year. We envision using these concurrency and develop a new sta of the forthcoming Blaise 5.15 sche components.NET8 APIs and other tare introduced into some of the new option and test record generation, a | nues work from last fiscal year and targets for funds to finish load testing of multiple servindard for our server configuration. Addition duled for December 2024, potentially inclured feature enhancements. Finally, if funds perwer versions of Blaise that we would like to and they begin investigating possibilities for s, we aim to stretch as much as possible frid on this initiative. | ver environments to address nally, we would like to test elements ding video interviewing mit, some relatively newer features review. These include a Blaise print automated testing. While the funds | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | | |
| Data Col Period | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Prete | st Start: | |
| villestones | Pretest End: | Recruitme | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | | IT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | | ain End: | |
| | DC Start: | | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Members | | | | |
| • | Sharie Emipe | | | |
| Other Project Name | NΙΔ | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | | |
| Hardware | NA | | | |
| DE Software | N/A | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | N/A | | | |
| Incentive | Not used | | | |
| Administration | N/A | | | |
| Payment Type | N/A | | | |
| Payment Method | N/A | | | |
| | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 Blaise 5 (4235 | 62)) | Initiation | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | |
| Monthly Updates | We had some significant movement on the load testing front leading up to the Blaise User Conference, where Shane and Jude presented on the topic (paper: https://www.blaiseusers.org/2025/papers/5_2.pdf and presentation: https://www.blaiseusers.org/2025/ppt/IBUC2025_S5_1.pdf). We are working to understand why our load testing results drastically differ from those of CBS. Additionally, we recently learned that the Blaise web runtimes are multi-threaded. We had been operating under the assumption that Blaise is not multi-threaded. However, as part of this revelation, we determined that the back-end management server is not multi-threaded, but the front-end web runtimes are. Therefore, we also intend to examine whether using CPUs (server cores) or more web servers yields better results. Nevertheless, we are working towards a more performant web survey environment for Blaise. | | | |

| Special I | ssues |
|-----------|-------|
|-----------|-------|

| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | 4,584.68 | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----|-----------|--|--|
| | Est Cost at Completion (E. | \$AC): | | 7,236.0 | | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 10,000.00 | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget mi | inus- E\$AC): | | 2,763.99 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | Reason for Variance: na | | | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Mon | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | 2,368.37 | | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | -379.87 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | na | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 DCO Systems Support (48 | 3248)) TSME25 DCO Systems S | upport (483248) (On Track) |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 40,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 40,000.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | To be determined | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest S | tart: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | tart: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train E | |
| | DC Start: | DC E | |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 DCO Systems | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | implementing |
| | | Staffar ragruitment | |
| Monthly Updates | Set up special reports for TeamTailor Ons Train back-up support for Ruyi Met with PSID/MSMS/ODS team to clarify Wored on the TeamDynamix integration n Changed Project Assignment Performanc Supported Iwer Website and cooridnated | the project assignment details and tean nodules as related to Fred e Indicator default mdoule | |
| Special Issues | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 08, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 27,575.8 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 42,266.7 |
| | Total Budget: | | 40,000.0 |
| | | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | -2,266.7 |

| Projections as of Apr 08, 2 | 2025 Dollars Projected for Mont | h: | | 2,275.88 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----|----------|
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 2,747.86 |
| | Variance (Projected minus | Actual): | | -471.98 |
| | Reason for Variance: | VO | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |
| Other Measures | X | ' | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 MSMS Line Generator (483 | 227)) TSME25 MSMS Line General | tor (483227) (On Track) |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 10,500.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 10,500.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Mark Simonson | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | |
| | Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Brouma | nd | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Carol Lively | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | TSME25 MSMS Line Generator | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start | : |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start | : |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start | : |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train End | : |
| | DC Start: | DC End | : |
| Other Project Team Members | TBD | | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| , | | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Line Generator | | Planning |
| Risk Level | On Track | | |
| Monthly Updates | The first version of the web appliation was a automatically. Testing of what is currently a year. | | |
| Special Issues | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 7,003. |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 10,700. |
| | Total Budget: | | 10,500. |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | -200. |
| | Reason for Variance: | minimal variance | |
| Projections as of Apr 17 2025 | Dollars Projected for Month: | | 5,081.2 |

| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 4,677.03 |
|----------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----|----------|
| | Variance (Projected minus | s Actual): | | 404.21 |
| | Reason for Variance: | minimal variar | nce | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 MSMS Performance (42526 & Performance (425267) (On Track) | 67)) TSME25 Mixed-mode systems (| dev support - Reliability |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 100,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 100,000.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Jim Rodgers | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | Mixed-mode systems dev support - Reliabil | ity & Performance | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train End: | |
| | DC Start: | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Members Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 MSMS Performance | | Implementing |
| Risk Level | On Track | | |
| Monthly Updates | Work is proceeding | | |
| Special Issues | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 54,971.89 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 76,549.76 |
| | Total Budget: | | 100,000.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | 23,450.24 |
| | Reason for Variance: | Update | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Month: | | 6,971.31 |
| . , , , , , | Actual Dollars Used: | | 6,874.67 |
| | | | 3,3. 1.07 |

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

96.64

| | Reason for Variance: | Update | | |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|-----|
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (4251 Concerns) | 98)) TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary (| (425198) (Some |
|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 4,500.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 4,500.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Mark Simonson | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | | |
| | Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Brouma | nd | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | Request by Grant, TBD | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train End: | |
| | DC Start: | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Members | TBD | | |
| Other Project Name | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 ODS Data Dictionary | | Planning |
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | |
| Monthly Updates | Sarah B was not able to meet with stakehol- priority. The plan will be to start this in May. | ders yet on this effort as other project prior | rities have taken higher |
| Special Issues | | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 339.85 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 4,329.56 |
| | | | |
| | Total Budget: | | 4,500.00 |
| | | | 4,500.00 170.44 |
| | Total Budget: | Funds will be carried forward | |

| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 0.00 |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|----|------|
| | Variance (Projected minus | S Actual): | | 0.00 |
| Reason for Variance: Funds will be carried further | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Type | Project Name | (TSME25 QC Systems (483249)) TSM | ME25 QC Systems (483249) (On Trad | ck) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| | Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Principal | Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| | Budget | Direct Budget: 35,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 35,000.00 |
| Funding Agency IRB | Principal | | | |
| REB | Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Project Team Project Lead: Sarah Elias Broumand Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Production Manager 1: Production Manager 2: Proposal # Production Manager 2: Proposal # Or Jozef Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Production Manager 2: Proposal # Or Jozef Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Proposal # Or Jozef Project Projec | Funding Agency | | | |
| Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Production Manager 1: Production Manager 2: Proposal # Production Manager 2: Proposal # Description to be entered SRO Project Period 07/2024 - 06/2025 Data Coll Period SRO Project Period 07/2024 - 06/2025 Data Coll Period SRO Project Period 07/2024 - 06/2025 Data Coll Period Security Plan NA Milestones Prefest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: PC End: DC Start: ST Frain Start: SS Train End: DC Start: ST Frain Start: SS Train End: DC Start: PC End: DC En | IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Production Manager: | Project Team | Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand | | |
| Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Production Manager 1: Production Manager 2: Proposal # no data Description to be entered SRO Project Period SRO Project Period Security Plan NA Millestones Preventing Recruitment Start: Security Plan NA Milestones Preventing Recruitment Start: Staffing Complete: Staffin | | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | |
| Production Manager 1: | | Production Manager: | | |
| Proposal # no data Description to be entered SRO Project Period 07/2024 - 06/2025 Data Col Period Security Plan NA Milestones Pretest End: Recruitment Start: | | Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger | -Ndiaye | |
| Proposal # no data | | Production Manager 1: | | |
| Description to be entered SRO Project Period 07/2024 - 06/2025 Data Coll Period NA Barbara NA Description NA Data Coll Tool NA Hardware NA Description | | Production Manager 2: | | |
| SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan NA Milestones Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Pretest End: Pretest End: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: Staffing | Proposal # | no data | | |
| Data Col Period Security Plan NA Pre Production Start: Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC Start: DC End: Other Project Team Members Shaowei Sun, Brianna Sabol, Andrew Piskowoski, Cheng Zhou, Hueichun Peng, LihShwu Key Other Project Name Sample Mightt System NA Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA DE Software NA OC Recording Tool NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Season for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.6 | Description | to be entered | | |
| Data Col Period Security Plan NA Pre Production Start: Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: Staffing Complete: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC Start: DC End: Other Project Team Members Shaowei Sun, Brianna Sabol, Andrew Piskowoski, Cheng Zhou, Hueichun Peng, LihShwu Key Other Project Name Sample Mightt System NA Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA DE Software NA OC Recording Tool NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Season for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.6 | SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Milestones Pre Production Start: | Data Col Period | | | |
| Milestones Pre Production Start: | Security Plan | NA | | |
| Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: D | Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: | |
| SS Train Start: DC Start: DC Start: DC End: Other Project Team Members Shaowei Sun, Brianna Sabol, Andrew Piskowoski, Cheng Zhou, Hueichun Peng, LihShwu Key Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System NA Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA OC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + Indirect): 17,689: 587.0000 Variance (Total Budget: 35,000.) Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.4 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,689.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.6 | | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System NA Data Col Tool Hardware NA DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Payment Method NA Resport Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Set Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Special Suspect Na Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Minimal variance. Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.05 | | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| Other Project Team Members Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System NA Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA OC Recording Tool NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.668.76 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.6 | | SS Train Start: | SS Train End: | |
| Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System NA Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.3 Total Budget: 35,000.3 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.4 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,683.7 Actual Dollars Vised: 12,49.6 | | DC Start: | DC End: | |
| Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312. Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | Other Project Name | | owoski, Cheng Zhou, Hueichun Peng, LihS | hwu Key |
| Hardware NA DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,688.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7 total Budget: 7 total Budget: 8 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.6 | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing On Track Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.3 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Incentive NA Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689: Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Payment Type NA Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Misk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689: 34,312.9 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Payment Method NA Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689.7 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Report Period Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems Implementing Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689. Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.5 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | <u> </u> | | | |
| Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689.3 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | rayment method | INA . | | |
| Risk Level On Track Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): 17,689.3 Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 34,312.9 Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | | | |
| Monthly Updates Specifications for next tasks for programmer were created and delivered to the programmer. Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 QC Systems | | Implementing |
| Special Issues Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Risk Level | On Track | | |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 70tal Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 17,689.7 34,312.9 687.0 1,249.0 | Monthly Updates | Specifications for next tasks for programme | er were created and delivered to the progra | mmer. |
| Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7otal Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 34,312.9 687.0 1,668.7 | Special Issues | | | |
| Total Budget: 35,000.0 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 687.0 Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 17,689.7 |
| Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 34,312.9 |
| Reason for Variance: Minimal variance. Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: 1,668.7 Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | Total Budget: | | 35,000.0 |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 Dollars Projected for Month: Actual Dollars Used: 1,668.7 1,249.0 | | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | 687.0 |
| Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | | Reason for Variance: | Minimal variance. | |
| Actual Dollars Used: 1,249.0 | Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | Dollars Projected for Month: | | 1,668.7 |
| Variance (Projected minus Actual): 419.7 | | | | 1,249.0 |
| | | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | 419.7 |

Reason for Variance: Funds will be carried forward

| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|-----|
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 System Maintenance (483910) (Some Concerns) | - General (483910)) TSME25 System Mai | ntenance - General |
|--------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 35,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 35,000.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Jeffrey L Smith | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-En | า | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | Support for TSG systems | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest Start: | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment Start: | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train End: | |
| | DC Start: | DC End: | |
| Other Project Team Membe | | | |
| Other Project Name | 10 | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 System Mainten | ance - | Implementing |
| Risk Level | Some Concerns | | piomonting |
| | | | |
| Monthly Updates | File Synchronization and Control - Completed FileSync enhancements - Implemented new FTP controls for in | in SurveyTrak. mproved data transfer security. | |
| | Security and Encryption - Enhanced password encryption proc - Conducted Active Directory login tes | cesses within SurveyTrak. sting for WebTrak/WebLog. | |
| | Performance Monitoring - Monitored and managed Splunk log -Reviewed SuperUser access for sec | files for system performance insights. urity assurance. | |
| | System Improvements and Documen - Resolved RCLS issues and documerattended ST/PB build team meeting | ented new builds. | |

Page 86 of 93

| | Additional Tasks - Developed and scheduled - Tested FTP control mecha - Updated Check Processin | | ks. | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Special Issues | and ST 11). This includes e | ve project work, our team rema enhancing security, addressing re. We are committed to conting | tasks requested by CMT that | t impact ST, implementing |
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | 60,416.09 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | :\$AC): | | 69,894.91 |
| | Total Budget: | | | 35,000.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | -34,894.91 |
| | Reason for Variance: | see below | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 2025 | 25 Dollars Projected for Month: | | | 4,513.93 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | -11,293.62 |
| | Reason for Variance: | see below | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197)) | TSME25 Team Dynamix (425197) |) (On Track) |
|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 21,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 21,000.00 |
| Principal | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: |
| Project Team | Project Lead: David Bolt | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | |
| | Production Manager: | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Carol Lively | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | |
| Description | Team Dynamix implementation: 1) Library call out to Team Dynamix API. | of API endpoints to integrate with Tear | m Dynamics. 2). Batch process to |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | |
| Data Col Period | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | Pretest S | tart: |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitment S | Start: |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT S | tart: |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Train I | End: |
| | DC Start: | | End: |
| Other Project Team Memb | ers | | |
| Other Project Name | 5.5 | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | |
| Hardware | NA | | |
| DE Software | NA | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | |
| Incentive | NA | | |
| Administration | | | |
| | NA NA | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 Team Dynamix | | Initiation |
| Risk Level | On Track | | |
| Monthly Updates | Defined and consolidated number for field | ds for API calls | |
| Special Issues | 2011100 and obligoridation fulfibor for field | | |
| Cost as of | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | 0.00 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): | | 0.00 |
| | Total Budget: | | 21,000.00 |
| | | | 0.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): | | 0.00 |
| Boots de | Reason for Variance: | | |
| Projections as of | Dollars Projected for Month: | | 0.00 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | 0.00 |

| D | £ | 1/ | |
|--------|-----|------|-------|
| Reason | tor | vari | ance. |

| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|----|-----|
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 TEAM LOCATION (4 | 424466)) TSME25 TEAM LOCATION | (424466) (On Track) | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | | | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 25,000.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 25,000.00 | | | |
| Principal | | | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | | |
| IRB | НИМ#: | | Period of Approval: | | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Mark Simonson | | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: Nicole Danielle Doher | | | | | |
| | Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Broumand | | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: Carol Lively | | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | | |
| Description | TSME25 TEAM LOCATION | | | | | |
| | SRO Survey Project Production Teams have been using various tools to perform respondent location in various sample management systems. Each system has developed its own tool to satisfy its project requirements. For example, SurveyTrak projects use Weblogs Locating application, WSMS has a custom locating module for MiCRess, and other projects use the lwer Location module for MSMS projects. | | | | | |
| | Team Locating is slightly different from lwer locating in that their users use alternate sources to gather information about our respondents and contact persons, confirm contact with a viable lead and then share that information wit the Interviewers to follow up with a phone call and ultimately an Interview. SRO wants to develop a stand alone tool that is agnostic to any sample management system so that in the future this tool can be used as a service to any project. | | | | | |
| | Spedification Document can be found at: https://docs.google.com/document/d/108rO0HhlfguNaUeWUebQuia8AB2KL7B-6isTyqWeMSc/edit?tab=t.0#heading=h.2payusa1crxf | | | | | |
| SRO Project Period | 01/1996 - 01/1996 | | | | | |
| Data Col Period | | | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | | st Start: | | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitmer | | | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | | T Start: | | | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Tra | in End: | | | |
| | DC Start: | L | OC End: | | | |
| Other Project Team Memb | ers | | | | | |
| Other Project Name | | | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | | | | |
| Hardware | NA | | | | | |
| DE Software | NA | | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA | | | | | |
| ncentive | NA | | | | | |
| Administration | NA | | | | | |
| Payment Type | NA | | | | | |
| Payment Method | NA | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 TEAM LOCAT | | Initiation | | | |
| Topoli i ollou | VIGI, 2020 (TOIVILES TEAW LOOK | | madion | | | |

| Risk Level | On Track | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|-------------------|----|-----------|--|--|
| Monthly Updates | Project Planning started. A Team Locating Input team composed of DCO and PDMG staff where identified and invited to help define Team Locating specifications for SRO. Initial version of the specification document with initial mock ups have been defined and are in the process of getting signed off for preparation of programming work. Discussions with the MSMS team are underway to make sure there is a feasible integration/compatibility between the systems. | | | | | |
| Special Issues | | | | | | |
| Cost as of | Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): | | | 1,983.81 | | |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC) | | | 25,000.00 | | |
| | Total Budget: | | | 25,000.00 | | |
| | Variance (Total Budget minus- | E\$AC): | | 0.00 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | | |
| Projections as of | Dollars Projected for Month: | | | 1,983.81 | | |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 1,983.81 | | |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | 0.00 | | |
| | Reason for Variance: | | | | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI | | |
| | Current Goal: | | | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | | | |
| | Variance: | | | | | |

| Project Name | (TSME25 Translation Tool (4 | 83424)) TSME25 Translation Tool (48 | 33424) (On Track) | | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Project Mode | Primary: Not Available | | | | |
| Project Type | Developmental Initiatives | | | | |
| Budget | Direct Budget: 10,500.00 | Indirect Budget: 0.00 | Total Budget: 10,500.00 | | |
| Principal | | | | | |
| Investigator/Clients | | | | | |
| Funding Agency | | | | | |
| IRB | HUM#: | | Period of Approval: | | |
| Project Team | Project Lead: Karl A Dinkelmann | | | | |
| | Budget Analyst: | | | | |
| | Production Manager: | | | | |
| | Senior Project Advisor: | | | | |
| | Production Manager 1: | | | | |
| | Production Manager 2: | | | | |
| Proposal # | no data | | | | |
| Description | This fiscal year 2025 initiative aims to automate translation adaptation to a Blaise instrument. Currently, we cut and paste the majority of foreign translation text into Blaise instruments; however, having a way to automate some or most of this would make the process much quicker and less error-prone. If we get the HRS-Kenya and the New Your City Housing projects, we must find a better way. While our goal is to automate as much as possible, we aim to reduce the cutting and pasting necessary to create multi-lingual instruments. We have just begun discussing our options. Early thoughts were to export the text that needs to be translated from Blaise into a structured format (potentially XML) or use the Blaise BITT file. The BITT file is a file Blaise can export and contains most of the translatable text in a data model. The Issue with the BITT file is that it is a one-way process, meaning it can export and allow one to translate the text, but then Blaise uses the BITT file as the source or the datamodel text. This would be a two-way process of exporting and importing the text in the ideal world. Therefore, in this idea, we would complete the circle by importing the BITT file into the Blaise code. I have asked Kelly Lieske to assist me in taking the lead on this initiative. | | | | |
| SRO Project Period | 07/2024 - 06/2025 | | | | |
| Data Col Period | | | | | |
| Security Plan | NA | | | | |
| Milestones | Pre Production Start: | duction Start: Pretest Start: | | | |
| | Pretest End: | Recruitmer | nt Start: | | |
| | Staffing Complete: | GIT Start: | | | |
| | SS Train Start: | SS Tra | nin End: | | |
| | DC Start: | L | DC End: | | |
| Other Project Team Members | Kelly Lieske | | | | |
| Other Project Name | rony Elooko | | | | |
| Sample Mgmt System | NA | | | | |
| Data Col Tool | NA | | | | |
| Hardware | NA | | | | |
| DE Software | NA | | | | |
| QC Recording Tool | NA NA | | | | |
| Incentive | Not used | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Administration | NA N/A | | | | |
| Payment Type | N/A | | | | |
| Payment Method | N/A | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Report Period | Mar, 2025 (TSME25 Translation T | ool | Implementing | | |
| Risk Level | On Track | | | | |
| Monthly Updates | We had some significant movement on the Blaise translation tool front leading up to the Blaise User Conference, where Karl and Kelly presented on the topic (paper: https://www.blaiseusers.org/2025/papers/3_1.pdf and presentation: https://www.blaiseusers.org/2025/ppt/IBUC2025_S3_1.pdf). We are now parsing surveys, writing the information to XLIFF, and updating the translations in the Blaise source code. We are turning our efforts towards dealing with texts found in the rules sections of the code (question fills and edit check text). | | | | |

| Special Issues | na | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----|-----------|
| Cost as of Apr 17, 2025 | Total Cost to Date (direct | + indirect): | | 4,474.64 |
| | Est Cost at Completion (E | (\$AC): | | 6,799.15 |
| | Total Budget: | | | 10,500.00 |
| | Variance (Total Budget m | inus- E\$AC): | | 3,700.85 |
| | Reason for Variance: | na | | |
| Projections as of Apr 17, 20 | 25 Dollars Projected for Month: | | | 2,324.51 |
| | Actual Dollars Used: | | | 2,709.32 |
| | Variance (Projected minus Actual): | | | -384.81 |
| | Reason for Variance: | na | | |
| Measures | | Units at Complete | RR | HPI |
| | Current Goal: | | | |
| | Goal at Completion: | | | |
| | Current Actual: | | | |
| | Estimate at Complete: | | | |
| | Variance: | | | |