# **Survey Research Operations**

# **Monthly Project Report**

# Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative January 2024



#### Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative Projects

(ANES 2024) American National Election Studies - 2024

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries

(CAMS 2023) HRS 2023 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(Care & Help Study) Caregiving, Complex Family and Kinship Ties, and Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD)

(CARE Military) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022 - Military

(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022

(CCS) Community College Survey

(EDC-Endline) Every Dollar Counts Endline

(HCAP 2022) Healthy Cognitive Aging Project, 2022

(Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan)

(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews

(HRS 2024) Health and Retirement Study 2024

(HRS HOC) Health and Retirement Study – Historical Occupation Coding

(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screening

(IHDS3) India Human Development Survey Wave 3

(LHMS 2023 Fall) Life History Mail Study Fall 2023

(LHMS 2023 Spring) Life History Mail Study Spring 2023

(MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4)) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study

(MTF Base Year 2022 27) Monitoring the Future Base Year 2022-2027

(MTF Early Panel Pilot) Monitoring the Future: A Cohort-Sequential Panel Study of Drug Use, Ages 19-65 -

Administrative Supplement #1 (8/10th Grade Panel)

(MTF Panel 2022-27) Monitoring the Future Panel 2022-2027

(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Study of Income Dynamics

(PSID CDS 2023) PSID Childhood Development Supplement 2023

(PSID TAS 2023) Transition to Adulthood within its Life Course & Intergenerational Family Context

(PSID23) Panel Study of Income Dynamics Core 2023

(SCA 2024) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(SRS 2021) Social Relations 2023

(STARRS-LS VA HEARTH) STARRS-LS VA - Housing, Employment, Assessment Risk, Transitions, Help (HEARTH) Project Qualitative Interviews

(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(TSME24 DCO System Support (483248)) TSME24 DCO System Support (483248)

(TSME24 MSMS Working Group (425197)) TSME24 MSMS Working Group (425197)

(TSME24 QC Systems (483249)) TSME24 QC Systems (483249)

(TSME24 SRO System Maintenance - General (483910) ) TSME24 SRO System Maintenance - General (483910)

(TSME24 SSL Autoscheduler interface development (42) TSME24 SSL Autoscheduler interface development (423463)

(TSME24 STrak: Migration to SQL Anywhere V17 () TSME24 STrak: Migration to SQL Anywhere V17 (483227)

# **Sponsored Projects Dashboard**

Project	Type	Phase	Project Lead	Jan
ANES 2024	Sponsored	Implementing	Andrew L Hupp	•
BFY	Sponsored	Implementing	Piotr Dworak	•
BHM Library Project	Sponsored	Implementing	Karin Schneider	
CAMS 2023	Sponsored	Implementing	Gloria J Baker	
Care & Help Study	Sponsored	Implementing	Margaret Lee Hudson	
CARE Military	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	
CARE SALTOS MTEC	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•
CCS	Sponsored	Planning	Jeffrey Albrecht Jr	0
EDC-Endline	Sponsored	Closing	Karin Schneider	
HCAP 2022	Sponsored	Implementing	Maureen Joan O'Brien	
ealth and Well Being in SE MI	Sponsored	Implementing	Barbara Lohr Ward	•
HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	•
HRS 2024	Sponsored	Planning	Evanthia Leissou	9
HRS HOC	Sponsored	Planning	Gloria J Baker	
HRS2022-Screening	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	
IHDS3	Sponsored	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand	
LHMS 2023 Fall	Sponsored	Implementing	Gary Hein	
LHMS 2023 Spring	Sponsored	Initiation	Gary Hein	•
MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4)	Sponsored	Implementing	Timothy Prand	
ITF Base Year 2022_27	Sponsored	Implementing	Rebecca Gatward	
MTF Early Panel Pilot	Sponsored	Initiation	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	
MTF Panel 2022-27	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	•
PR-PSID	Sponsored	Initiation	Camila Kendall	0
PSID CDS 2023	Sponsored	Implementing	Piotr Dworak	
PSID TAS 2023	Sponsored	Implementing	Elizabeth Ohryn	•
PSID23	Sponsored	Implementing	Rachel Anne Orlowski	•
SCA 2024	Sponsored	Initiation	Theresa Camelo	•
SRS 2021	Sponsored	Implementing	Barbara Lohr Ward	•
TARRS-LS VA HEARTH	Sponsored	Implementing	Margaret Lee Hudson	•
TARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4	Sponsored	Implementing	Meredith A House	

	(ANES 2024) American National	·	-
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Secondary:	Web Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 4,558,724.00	Indirect Budget: 2,466,088.00	Total Budget: 7,024,812.0
Principal	Nicholas A. Valentino (University of Mi	chigan)	
Investigator/Clients	Shanto Iyengar (Stanford University)		
	D. Sunshine Hillygus (Duke University)		
Funding Agency	National Science Foundation (NSF)		
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp		
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Ben	son	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	providing data that support rich hypoth and promote comparisons across peop	Election Studies (ANES) is to inform explana esis testing, maximize methodological excell ole, contexts, and time. The ANES serves this world through the eyes of ordinary citizens.	ence, measure many variables,
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 01/2025		
Data Col Period	01/2020 01/2020		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Sta	rt•
wilestories	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	
		GIT Sta	
	Staffing Complete:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train En	
	DC Start:	DC En	id:
Other Project Team Members	Erin McSpadden - Project Manager for Sharon Parker - Production Manager for Raphael Nishimura - Sampling (pilot a	or the methods pilot	
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5; Other (PAPI)		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pe	encil	
DE Software	N/A		
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post; Cash, post (\$25 (methods	s pilot) for each interview)	
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of cash (reimburs	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (ANES 2024)		Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates		ed in Ann Arbor have been data entered (137 16 questionnaires they hadn't sent. Those w needs to be tracked down.	
	on sampling. Raphael should have PS	sample design. There is a weekly meeting w U's (counties) selected by the end of Februal first meeting of the month as a team meeting	ry so we can begin recruiting.
		eline, and has had several meetings with a po- conversations have been productive and rev	
Special Issues			

Cost as of Feb 19, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):		41,427.66
	Est Cost at Completion (E	\$AC):		6,726,712.30
	Total Budget:			7,024,812.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):		97,600.70
	Reason for Variance:		ed costs for main project. Most 7 mming are not projected yet). P	
		Total Cost to Date: \$181,663.40 Estimated cost at completion: \$184,310.02 Total Budget: \$211,899.00 Variance: \$27,588.98		0.02
Projections as of Feb 19, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:			52,662.20
	Actual Dollars Used:			18,166.22
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			34,495.98
	Reason for Variance:	In the	process of revising projections.	
Measures		Units at Comple	te RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(BFY) Baby's First Years (On Track)					
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of Mo	Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects					
Budget	Direct Budget: 6,593,681.00	Indirect Budget: 2,106,680.73	Total Budget: 8,700,361.73			
Principal	Dr. Greg Duncan (University of Califo	rnia - Irvine)				
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College	e Columbia University)				
	Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of	f Wisconsin)				
Funding Agency	National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)					
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00137963	HUM#: HUM00137963 Period of Approval:				
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak					
	Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer					
	Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge					
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul					
	Production Manager 1: Margaret Lavanger					
	Production Manager 2: Michelle Sm	ith				
Proposal #	no data					
Description	University of Michigan Survey Resea Baby's First Years a longitudinal ra	rch Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recrundomized control trial study.	it and interview participants for			

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old:
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019 Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021

Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period	10/2017 - 12/2020	
Data Col Period	04/2018 - 12/2020	
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2017	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018
	Staffing Complete: 02/07/2018	GIT Start: 03/19/2018
	SS Train Start: 03/20/2018	<b>SS Train End</b> : 03/22/2018
	DC Start: 05/07/2018	<b>DC End:</b> 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members Stephanie Chardoul (SPA)

Piotr Dworak (Lead)
Tony Romanowski (PM)
Daric Thorne (PM/SSA)
Barb Homburg (PM)
Peggy Lavanger (PM)
Jim McClure (DCS)
Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant)
Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant)
Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)
Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager)
Dave Dybicki (Blaise)
Colette Keyser (Blaise)
Tricia Blanchard (MSMS)
Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project Name HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

MS .
se 5
op; [UM cell] Phone
er (to be specified)
R
) Group
h, prepaid (50)
ck through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)
C

\_\_\_\_\_

Report Period	Jan, 2024 (BFY)	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	
Monthly Updates	BFY Age 5- 8:	

BFY has awarded SRO continuing work throughout Age 8 (August 2027). We are currently conducting a one-time Age5 Catch Up activity re-contacting all Rs who completed Age 4 Lab Visit but have not had their contact information updated since.

We were able to re-contact close to 80% of respondents after the Age 4 visits. Respondents harder to re-contact report having issues with the Lab communication protocols during Age 4 and being under the assumption the study is done. PIs had sent a data collection update / newsletter to address this issue.

As part of that effort, we also started sending a 5-year birthday postcard to the focal children. No other data collection is planned at the moment.

Age 6: Conversations continue about the Age 6 protocol. SRC recommended to re-convene a March meeting to discuss the protocol and the cost with the Pls. Currently, our assistance with Age 6 lab visit could cover contact updates but not conducting interviews which is one of the scope items floated by the Pls. We may also revisit our data management staffing needs depending on the frequency and manner in which the sample is transferred from SRC to the Pls sample management system.

### Age 5 Staffing:

We continue to retain the BFY Age 3 staff.

12 iwers in total

NE: 1 OS + 1 NH (Trained 9/15)

MN: 2

NY: 4 OS (1 consolidated in October 2022)

NOLA: 1 (1 June resignation) TLs: 1 (- 1 has gone to HRS)

## Technical system:

Due to MSMS programming bottleneck BFY is just now implementing the desired system changes to run the midwave process. We are still resorting to manual sample management until programmers free up.

#### Finances:

We continue having an underrun within the Age 5 - 8 budget.

Special Issues		
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	7,601,232.18
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	8,636,662.66
	Total Budget:	8,700,361.73

	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		63,699.07
	Reason for Variance:			wever, some of our tech dev work ning demands across SRO.
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mo.	nth:		18,079.44
	Actual Dollars Used:			25,869.40
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):		-7,789.96
	Reason for Variance:		ut was sidelined as PSID Co	which was projected earlier in the ore, CDS, and TAS required most
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Goal at Completion:	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Current Actual:	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Estimate at Complete:	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Variance:			

Project Name	(BHM Library Project) Develop Libraries (Some Concerns)				
Project Mode	Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 126,712.00	Indirect Budget: 70,959.00	Total Budget: 197,671.00		
Principal	Deborah Robinson (ISR)				
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Karin Schneider				
	Budget Analyst:				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G K	irgis			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	SRO will support the project in the first year by working with you to design the sample. SRO will support the implementation of up to two pilot surveys and the larger national survey of libraries. We will provide consultation on scale development throughout the pilot phase and provide statistical support to finalize the scales and provide a working dataset (with weights to account for the stratified sample design). In total, the SRO period of performance will be approximately 24 months in duration, starting in February of 2023, with data collections for the pilots and national survey taking place over approximately 12 months, starting in late 2023.				
SRO Project Period	02/2023 - 02/2025		-		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 09/2024				
Security Plan	NA				
•	Pre Production Start:	Pretest :	Start:		
Milestones	Pretest End: Recruitment Start:				
			Start:		
	Staffing Complete:				
	SS Train Start:	SS Train			
	DC Start:	DC	End:		
Other Project Team Member					
Other Project Name	,	Month Programming in Public Libraries			
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				
Hardware	NA				
DE Software	NA				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Not used				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	NA				
Payment Method	NA				
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (BHM Library Project)		Implementing		
Report Period Risk Level	Jan, 2024 (BHM Library Project) Some Concerns		Implementing		
Risk Level	Some Concerns	ren with snow closure of the SSL and MLK D	, ,		
Risk Level Monthly Updates	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev  See previous months. Despite conti	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem	Day.		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev See previous months. Despite conti resources, PI maintains the plans for	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.	Day. ent is difficult and strains		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev See previous months. Despite conti resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect)	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Even See previous months. Despite continuous resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5 188,080.2		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev See previous months. Despite conti resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect)	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5 188,080.2 197,671.0		
Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Even See previous months. Despite continuous resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.  et):  AC):	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5 188,080.2 197,671.0 9,590.7		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev See previous months. Despite conti resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget:	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.  ct):	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5 188,080.2 197,671.0 9,590.7		
Risk Level  Monthly Updates  Special Issues	Some Concerns  Mailing for pilot went pretty well. Ev See previous months. Despite conti resources, PI maintains the plans for Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$	nuing evidence that branch-level measurem this design.  et):  AC):	Day. ent is difficult and strains 63,491.5 188,080.2 197,671.0 9,590.7		

	Actual Dollars Used:			9,959.25
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):		3,113.43
Reason for Variance: Did not use programming hours Wen should kick in in				
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	300	30	
	Goal at Completion:	150	30	
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			
Other Measures	None			

Project Name	(CAMS 2023) H	HRS 2023 Consumption	n and Activity Mail Stud	y (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mail	Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	cts			
Budget	Direct Budget: 3	347,895.00	Indirect Budget: 125,241.0	00	Total Budget: 473,136.00
Principal	David Weir				
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM000	79949			Period of Approval: 11/4/2022-11/3/2023
Project Team	Project Lead: G	loria J Baker			
	Budget Analyst	: Cindy Tsao			
	Production Man	ager:			
	Senior Project A	Advisor: Evanthia Leissou			
	Production Man	nager 1: Jennifer C Arrieta			
	Production Man	nager 2:			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	household consu	mption and activities of dai	Study (HRS). The goal of CA ly living from participants in the Indents of which 4,646 will reconaire.	he HRS. In 20	23, a paper questionnaire
SRO Project Period	06/2023 - 05/202	3			
Data Col Period	09/2023 - 04/200	5			
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production	Start: 06/26/2023		Pretest Start:	
	Pretes	st End:	Recri	uitment Start:	
	Staffing Con	nplete:		GIT Start:	
	SS Train	Start:	:	SS Train End:	
	DC	Start: 10/04/2023		DC End: 0	04/30/2024
Other Project Team Members		d Green, Jennie Williams nwin Dey			
Other Project Name	CAMS				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	SAQ				
Hardware	Paper and Pencil	I			
DE Software	Other (HRS study	y staff is responsible for)			
QC Recording Tool	N/A				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, Oth	er (Spouse)			
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (S	\$25 to main R and \$10 to s	pouse R)		
Payment Method	Check through S	Trak RPay System			
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (CAMS	S 2023)			Implementing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	1. Logging and sl 2. Logging was c 3. 4,130 CAMS li rate is 57%.	arried out by normal SSL s	taff and Melissa. which 3,608 have completed	the questionn	aire. The current response
Special Issues	Due to an sample	e address error, 2nd addres	ss lines were omitted on about via USPS. We expect to inc		
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Da	ate (direct + indirect):			401,222.4
	Est Cost at Com	pletion (E\$AC):			367,912.5
	Total Budget:				473,136.

	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		105,223.45
	Reason for Variance:	to budge	ance is primarily due to staff ass ted resources. The project is als e rate (@2%) which impacts loge	so achieving a slightly lower
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mo.	nth:		15,237.75
	Actual Dollars Used:			8,336.20
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):		6,901.55
	Reason for Variance:		projected the number of hours to d 129 hours but only 8 were wor	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	3,727	58%	
	Goal at Completion:	3,470	59%	
	Current Actual:	3,526	56%	
	Estimate at Complete:	3,740	57%	
	Variance:	0	2%	

	(Care & Help Study) and Related Dement	ias (ADRD) (On Track	()		
Project Mode	Primary: Focus Group	Secondary: Cognitive IV	Ν	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 35,091.0	0 Indire	ect E	Budget: 7,545.00	Total Budget: 42,636.00
Principal	Sarah E. Patterson (Univ	versity of Michigan - Surve	y Re	esearch Center)	
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00237431				Period of Approval: 7/6/2023-7/31/2024
Project Team	Project Lead: Margaret	Lee Hudson			
	Budget Analyst:				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor	: Lisa S Holland			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	and attitudes they hold a captured in surveys (i.e. have Alzheimer's Diseas time, and thusly rely on a of diverse family and unpadult living with dementia	bout that care. However, of partners or adult children, see and Related Dementia (of more extended network of paid caregiver experiences)	the r ). Dis (ADF of ca s and t foc	range of caregivers may exsadvantages may be esper RD) who require more intelaregivers. This project seel d how this process may dif	lults through the care they provided the deep ond those most often cially present for older adults who notive and persistent care over the toenhance our understanding fifer for those caring for an older of caregivers who help someone
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 07/2024				
Data Col Period	07/2023 - 07/2024				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:			Pretest S	Start:
	Pretest End:			Recruitment S	Start:
	Staffing Complete:			GIT S	Start:
	SS Train Start:			SS Train	End:
	DC Start:			DC	End:
Other Project Team Members		3-10/23): Margaret Hudsor 4): Margaret Hudson, TBD			
Other Project Name					
Sample Mgmt System	NA				
Data Col Tool	NA				
Hardware	NA				
DE Software	NA				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Yes, R				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Cash, post (\$60 focus gr	oups; \$50 cognitive interv	view)		
Payment Method	Imprest Cash Fund from	ISR Business Office			
Donout Donied	Jan, 2024 (Care & Help S	Study)			Implementing
Report Period	On Track				· · ·
·	OII ITACK				
Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates	The first 2 of 4 additional groups each target a spe different kinds of caregiv focus group space, facilit	ecific type of caregiver (e.c ers. The PI and her resea tating the focus groups an d debriefing with the PI on	g., yo irch s nd pr	oung caregivers) to better of student are handling all recoviding audio recording and	24 & 1/31/24). The additional focuunderstand issues that arise for cruitment. SRO is setting up the distranscript (via Zoom), handling a next two focus groups will be he
Risk Level	The first 2 of 4 additional groups each target a spe different kinds of caregiv focus group space, facilit respondent payment, anin February (2/4 & 2/11).	ecific type of caregiver (e.gers. The PI and her reseatating the focus groups and debriefing with the PI on ager are working on a revision.	g., yourch sond property of the	oung caregivers) to better student are handling all red oviding audio recording an emes from each group. The	understand issues that arise for cruitment. SRO is setting up the d transcript (via Zoom), handling

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		2,897.14
	Est Cost at Completion (E.	\$AC):		35,842.84
	Total Budget:			42,636.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):		6,793.16
	Reason for Variance:		ng support and nearly all projec n, allowing for some efficiencies	
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		2,545.81
	Actual Dollars Used:			297.50
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		2,248.31
	Reason for Variance:	exper yet re The F befor proje	cted to be combined for reporting the additional funding. PI received booster funding to ce beginning the cognitive intervictions for cognitive interviewing in timeline (mid-to-late March	iew phase of the project; will be updated to reflect the
Measures		Units at Comple	ete RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Estimate at Complete.			

Project Name	(CARE Military) Concussion Assess Military (On Track)	ment, Research and Education (C	ARE) Consortium 2022 -		
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 1,338,017.70	Indirect Budget: 347,885.00	Total Budget: 1,685,902.70		
Principal	Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)				
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Micheal McCrea /Dr. Pasquina (Medical	College of Wisconsin/Uniformed Service	es Un)		
	Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University S	School of Medicine)			
Funding Agency	NCAA and DoD				
IRB	<b>HUM#</b> : 00202691		Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open		
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquha	arson	7/23/2021 - open		
	Budget Analyst: David Kellermeyer				
	Production Manager: Barbara Aghababiar	n-Homburg			
	Senior Project Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wal	rd			
	Production Manager 1: Hongyu Johnson				
	Production Manager 2: Keith Liebetreu				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The project follows academy cadets post-graphysical and psychological measures to enconcussion and repetitive head impact expositions.	able researchers to study the intermedian osure.	te and cumulative effects of		
	This project has an overall SRO involvement period of 13 months, beginning March 2022, with data collection taking place over approximately 12 months starting mid-March 2022. SRO provides consultation, respondent locating activities and data collection for respondents in the uniformed services sample.				
	The goal is to secure participation from app complete a study assessment once over the and contact respondents by phone to promp conduct approximately 1,425 telephone into follow-up interviews on the web.	e 13-month project period. SRO decent pt them to access the online data collecti	ralized field interviewers locate on questionnaire. SRO will		
	The estimate total cost for the overall scope \$294,254.00 indirect costs, using the NCAA funders).				
SRO Project Period	02/2022 - 03/2023				
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 08/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Star	t:		
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Star	t:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Star	t:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	i:		
	DC Start:	DC End	d:		
Other Project Team Members	Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Barb Hombur Ruyi Chen, David Kellermeyer	rg, Hongyu Johnson, James Koopman, K	eith Liebetreu, David Ackuaku,		
Other Project Name	CARE-CSI Military				
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)				
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone				
DE Software	N/A				
QC Recording Tool	N/A				
Incentive	Yes, R				
Administration	Other (Kinesiology)				
Payment Type	Check, post (\$150)				
Payment Method	Other (Kinesiology)				
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (CARE Military)		Implementing		
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	Project Management:				

- Worked with the PI team on the possible future Military project.
   worked with Financial analyst to modify costs for the project closeout.
   Prepared the PI monthly report

- 2. Care SMS system: N/A
- 3. Questionnaire Development N/A
- 4. Production:
- Production ended on 8/31/2023
- 5. Hiring and Training N/A
- 6. DMSS:
- has been preparing reports.
- 7. Locating N/A

Special Issues					
Cost as of Jan 31, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):			1,684,473.95
	Est Cost at Completion (E	E\$AC):			1,684,473.95
	Total Budget:				1,685,902.70
	Variance (Total Budget m	ninus- E\$AC):			1,428.75
	Reason for Variance:	Th	e project close	ject is in hibernation until ed on 8/31/2023. We have om previous months and	
Projections as of Jan 31, 20	024 Dollars Projected for Mon	nth:			0.00
	Actual Dollars Used:				-371.32
	Variance (Projected minu	ıs Actual):			-371.32
	Reason for Variance:			ount was carried over from s in December 2023.	m November 2023. There
Measures		Units at Com	plete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(CARE SALTOS M <sup>-</sup> 2022 (On Track)	ΓEC) Concussion	Assessment, Research an	d Education (CARE) Consortium
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone	Secondary: Web	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 3,718,	978.00	Indirect Budget: 966,936.00	Total Budget: 4,685,914.00
Principal	Dr. Steven Broglio (U	of M Kinesiology)		
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Michael McCrea (N	Medical College of Wi	sconsin)	
	Dr. Thomas McAllister	(Indiana University S	chool of Medicine)	
Funding Agency				
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> 00202691			Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnal	ee Ann Grey-Farquha	irson	
	Budget Analyst: Davi	d Kellermeyer		
	Production Manager:	Barbara Aghababiar	-Homburg	
	Senior Project Advis	or: Barbara Lohr War	d	
	Production Manager	1: Hongyu Johnson		
	Production Manager	2: Keith Liebetreu		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	Concussion Assessment unique past-CARE stupoints over the five-year and well-being outcom intermediate and cumulate decentralized field intermediate collection question invitations to complete.  This budget assumes a SRO involvement will beginning approximate taking place over approximate taking place over approximated at \$4,685,91 indirect cost rate of 26 those resources commutheir level of funding to or decrease respective.  The estimate of funding includes \$1,807,689 di	ent, Research and Edudy participants. Part ar project period. The es and a number of pulative effects of concrviewers will locate annaire. SRO will concept follow-up interviews an overall SRO involved in December 20 by May 2022. Wave eximately 12 months at for the overall scope 14. This includes \$3,7% (which is being use intended to SRO survey of the project, the scopely.  In the project, the scopely.  In great costs and \$470,0	ucation (CARE) study, with the gicipants will complete the same a project follows collegiate athlet shysical and psychological measussion and repetitive head impand contact respondents by phonological telephone interviews with paper on the web.  The ment period of approximately a serior to the serior to the serior taking plus 2 SRO involvement will begin instarting in May 2024.  The of work (based on the currently 18,978 direct and \$966,936 indicated for all funders). As additional data collection activities, or inverse of work (e.g., the number of instance of the same and the same activities or inverse of work (e.g., the number of instance of the same activities and the same activities or inverse of work (e.g., the number of instance of the same activities and the same activities are same activities.	the MTEC RFP is \$2,277,689. This articipants who fail to respond to
SRO Project Period	10/2021 - 08/2026	pport is deptember 1,	2021 tillough August 51, 2025.	
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 02/2026			
Security Plan	NA Bro Broduction Start			staat Starti
Milestones	Pre Production Start			etest Start:
	Pretest End		Recruitn	nent Start:
	Staffing Complete	:		GIT Start:
	SS Train Start	:	SS	Train End:
	DC Start	:		DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Donnalee Grey-Farquh David Ackuaku, David		g, Hongyu Johnson, Keith Leibe	treu, James Koopman, Ruyi Chen,
Other Project Name	CARE CSI, CARE SAL	TOS		
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)			
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)			
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phor	ne		
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	UM Group (Kinesiolo	gy)		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$150.00)			

#### Report Period Jan, 2024 (CARE SALTOS MTEC) Implementing Risk Level On Track

#### **Monthly Updates**

- 1. Project Management:
- -Management team has been continuously working with Datalys and QG along side with the PI team on resolving ongoing technical issues since the production was back on track on 12/6/2023. The SRO team is strategizing how to boost production using Interviewer challenges and also closely monitoring productivity. We may also extend data collection for DCP3 a month to end May 31, 2024.
  -SRO continued to work with the PI team to find solutions to resolve International TOA issues.
- -The management team worked with the PM and TLs on reviewing protocols with the interviewers.

#### 2. CARE SMS system:

- -The SRO team was an integral part of the testing of the system fixes for the consent issue
- -Per SRO request, QG fixed the result code for 'Text' in order to record contact attempt effort correctly.
- -SRO received additional samples that were transferred from Military to ISR Civilian pool internally.
- 3. Questionnaire Development

-n/a

#### 4. Production:

- Stats: as of 01/31/2024 (Total Released samples = 15046 (DCP3)

Total Completed lws = 1391 (RR = 9.3%)
Web = 1383

CATI = 8

- Locating Team: continued to use social media platforms to find potential respondents. As of 01/31/2024, Total current tracking=583
  - Total found = 212
  - Total Completed = 14

- Continued running and QCing reports daily.
- -resolved result code issues in report.

#### 6. Hiring and Training

-one iwer was on a leave of absence starting Jan 2024 until further notice.

Special Issues		
Cost as of Jan 31, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	1,886,260.05
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	4,676,564.63
	Total Budget:	4,685,914.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	9,349.37
	Reason for Variance:	The budget is for the next two years (ending 2026). The biggest drive of the overrun was higher interviewer hours and freight and general supply charges were lot higher than projected in January. We have been monitoring closely through each production waves. We will continue to adjust the overrun accordingly.
Projections as of Jan 31, 20	024 Dollars Projected for Month:	117,091.97
	Actual Dollars Used:	140,803.06
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	-23,711.09
	Reason for Variance:	higher actual interviewers' hours than projected in January.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(CCS) Community College Surve	y (Some Concerns)	
Project Mode	Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 560,774.00	Indirect Budget: 84,115.00	Total Budget: 644,889.00
Principal	Hana Lahr (Teachers College, Columbia	a University)	
Investigator/Clients	Veronica Minaya (Teachers College, Co	olumbia University)	
	Rachel Baker (University of Pennsylvan	ia)	
Funding Agency	Ascendium Education Group		
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> 00237400		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr		
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Bens	on	
	Production Manager 1: Rebecca Loom	nis	
	Production Manager 2: Steven Sonora	as	
Proposal #	no data		
Description	We will survey a selection of students e	nat influence first year community college stu ntering a community college for the first time ster (Spring 2024) and their third semester (F ate.	in the fall of 2023 and then
SRO Project Period	01/2023 - 03/2025		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 11/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	t:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	t:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	t:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	l:
	DC Start:	DC End	l:
Other Project Team Members	Marsha Skoman - Qualtrics Programme Ed Green - Data Manager Hueichun Peng - Web SMS Programme		
Other Project Name	How Community College Students Choo	ose Programs of Study	
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Other (Visa electronic gift cards)		
Payment Method	Other (VISA eGift cards)		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (CCS)		Planning
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	variables and prepare the data files diffe	data delivery. The PIs had several additional erently (e.g., providing long format in addition or the sample reconciliation, the final sample	to wide format files).

## Wave 1 Late TOA Efforts:

response rate).

- After Wave 1 closeout in November, we discovered the 193 respondents had not been sent TOAs, because they had not provided addresses. However, the PIs wanted to include them in the sample for Waves 2-3, so they asked us to send these respondents gift cards, if possible. SRO explored options for paying respondents without addresses and were informed that it was possible if we had a tier 2 payment designation approved by IRB. We secured approval and requested Visa egift cards, but HSIP reversed the IRB tier designation, saying that tier 2 is only meant for in-person cash payments and did not apply to any electronic payments. Upon further discussion with the Business Office, we were encouraged to try purchasing Amazon gift codes instead. Andrew H, Donnalee,

and Maureen had successfully purchased Amazon codes on other projects, so we followed their guidance on the process, which involved creating informal (e.g., not requiring a tax ID) business accounts with Amazon, but when we tried purchasing the codes, Amazon locked each of the individual accounts created by Becky, Jeffrey, Donnalee, and Ryan N. It turns out that Amazon updated the way they manage business accounts, including not providing direct support during setup (unlike when Donnalee and others set up their accounts 5-6 years ago). Also, Ryan N informed us that U-M does not like doing business with Amazon and will not provide support in working with them. We also tried Target electronic gift cards, but they require formal business accounts to purchase bulk cards. Ryan and the Business Office did not have other ideas for paying these respondents. Having exhausted our options, the Pls decided to try to send Amazon gift codes and were able to work with their payment office to purchase the codes and send them to the respondents within 24 hours.

#### Wave 2 IRB:

- The PIs submitted their protocol modifications for Wave 2 to the TC IRB, which was approved and then submitted to the U-M IRB-HSBS on 2/20. The main revisions for SRO were to send an invitation card with \$5 cash prepayment, increase the TOA from \$30 to \$40, and use a shortened consent form.

#### Wave 2 Survey:

- Marsha continued programming the Wave 2 English and Spanish surveys.
- Ed created test lines, and the team started testing the survey.

#### Wave 2 TOA Preparations:

- Given the challenges we faced with the HSIP Visa egift cards in Wave 1, the PIs insisted that they wanted to provide at least three TOA options for respondents to choose from in Waves 2-3, including Amazon gift codes, on account of the speed with which they can be processed. So, the PIs are considering sending those TOAs themselves, and SRO would send new Visa egift cards and cash. Note: Visa egift card reloads are also not an option, because HSIP/Dash does not send a notification to respondents when funds are added to their cards.
- Hueichun is setting up Web SMS to export the auto-formatted TOA request files, so that Becky can efficiently send them to the Business Office for processing. This should save a good deal of effort and make providing multiple TOA options more feasible.
- Hueichun continued programming Web SMS, including working with Ed and Jennie to set up the preload variables.

#### Special Issues

Wave 2 scope increase:

- Bill and Jeffrey are working on the scope increases proposed for Wave 2, which is planned to launch production on March 11 with the invitation card mailing.

#### IRF

- Also, we just submitted the Wave 2 protocol revisions to the U-M IRB-HSBS. We expect a fast turnaround, because this is a ceded application, the revisions have precedent in SRO, and Jeffrey had given Mary Donnelley a heads up and followed her guidance.

	,	
Cost as of Jan 31, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	325,128.28
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	729,707.41
	Total Budget:	644,889.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	-84,818.41

#### Reason for Variance:

In January, we continued exploring options for several scope changes. The PIs requested a scope increase for Wave 2 to add a invitation card mailing with \$5 cash prepayment and increase Wave 2 TOAs to \$40. The Teachers College IRB has approved these modifications to the protocol, and Bill is preparing the cost estimate, which will cover the current overrun, including funds to make up for the Wave 1 overrun and for Jeffrey to join the PIs at Lorain County Community College to shore up participation. The PIs have agreed to add ~\$85,000 to the SRO sub award for this work.

First, the PIs are skeptical about the effects of reminder calling on survey completion among the CCS respondents, who are very young and not accustomed to answering phone calls. Given their doubts, the PIs decided to reduce calling effort in Wave 2 and remove calling in Wave 3. We will conduct an experiment to test their effects in Wave 2, and if they prove effective and funds are sufficient, then we will possibly add calling back to the Wave 3 scope.

Second, we are adding an invitation card mailing with \$5 prepayment to the Wave 2 scope. The PIs originally wanted to send a "we're sorry the TOA was so difficult in Wave 1" holiday card with a \$5 make-up TOA. However, after some persuading, they decided to give it a more positive spin by framing it as a Wave 2 prepayment instead. Becky and Steven are working with PrintCopyMail to arrange the mailing.

Third, we are increasing the Wave 2 TOA from \$30 to \$40. The PIs have some extra funds and believe that the additional \$10 will improve Wave 2 response rates.

58,969.58 23.818.04

35,151.54

Projections as of Jan 31, 2024 Dollars Projected for Month:
Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

,

Reason for Variance:

Wave 2 production was originally planned to begin in January, but after experiences with working around the colleges' schedules in Wave 1, we decided to push Wave 2 production launch back to March 11. However, Jeffrey forgot to move the respondent payment funds from January to March, so that accounts for \$28,530 of the January underrun. There was also \$4,585 over-projected in indirect costs.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:		39%	
	Current Actual:	4,515	35.5%	
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(EDC-Endline) Every Dollar Counts Endline (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,382,700.00 Indirect Budget: 714,811.00	Total Budget: 3,097,511.00
Principal	Stephanie Chardoul (SRO)	
Investigator/Clients	Sarah Miller (Ross Business School)	
Funding Agency		
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00164105	Period of Approval: 12/23/2022-12/23/23
Project Team	Project Lead: Karin Schneider	
	Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek	
	Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg	
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis	
	Production Manager 1: Karin Schneider	
	Production Manager 2: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson	
Proposal #	no data	
Description	The overall project is funded by a consortium (currently consisting of both OpenF	Research Lab (Open) and NIH.

The overall project is funded by a consortium (currently consisting of both OpenResearch Lab (Open) and NIH. OpenResearch Lab (Open) (formerly Y Combinator Research) and the principal investigators are conducting an evaluation of Every Dollar Counts, a cash assistance gift program being administered by two non-profit organizations: CitySquare, based in Dallas, Texas, and Heartland Alliance, located in Chicago, Illinois (the Community Partners)

The purpose of this study is to explore how the program affects multiple dimensions of recipients' lives. Key outcomes of interest include health, subjective and material well-being, time use, financial health, labor market participation, social and civic engagement, and effects on children. SRO concluded the Baseline interviews in 2020, and the Midline interviews in July 2022. The EDC Endline project will reach out to all Baseline respondents for completion of a telephone interview, followed by an in-person interview (with cognitive tasks, anthropometric measurements and collection of dried blood spots) for those respondents still in the greater Chicago area or greater Dallas/Ft. Worth area. Data collection will take place between March 2023 and late September 2023. SRO assumes approximately 91% of the 2,990 Baseline respondents are located and willing to be interviewed again (2,720 90-minute telephone interviews). SRO assumes that in-person interviews will be completed with 2,003 respondents still living in the greater Chicago or Dallas/Ft. Worth and Waco area. About 400 respondents are known to currently reside outside of these areas; these respondents will not be asked to complete an in-person interview. The in-person interviews will include collection of consent for administrative data collection, collection of Social Security number, completion of self-administered on-line cognitive assessments, collection of blood pressure, height, weight, and dried blood spots. We assume that 1, 957 respondents will participate in the dried blood spot collection. Following collection of the dried blood spots, SRO interviewers will package and ship the dried blood spot cards to the laboratory chosen by ORL.

- Our budget assumes up to 2,720 telephone interviews are completed and allows for at least six telephone attempts on all non-resistant cases with working telephone numbers. SRO will track and monitor contact attempts to examine the efficacy of contact windows in the first replicate of the sample.
- The budget assumes approximately 2,003 cases complete the in-person component and 1,957 consent to the DBS collection.
- · Mileage costs for fieldwork are budgeted at 2,003 trips of no more than 120 miles per round trip.
- Cash incentives of \$15,000 are included in the budget estimate to facilitate in-person payments to reluctant and hard-to-reach participants and individuals who are asked to assist in the location of these participants. All other participant incentive payments are the responsibility of Open.
- Supplies/kits for DBS are included in the estimate consistent with our most recent project experience. Further discussion with the University of Washington laboratory may result in the refinement of the materials and method for drying the bio specimens and associated costs of project supplies.

SRO will conduct standard data cleaning and produce a preliminary and final dataset with documentation. Standard data cleaning does not include customization (such as derived variable or index creation, dataset merging, sample weighting, recoding, or coding of other-specify responses). We will ensure that all components of a case are present with a reference variable (SID, OID) to allow for merging and data analysis. Our main documentation is conveyed through data dictionaries and a questionnaire codebook.

We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended responses.

#### Deliverables

- SRO will provide daily, automated delivery of questionnaire data, cognitive tasks, and sample management system data for cases with a final disposition and sample management system data for all cases that have been released to interviewers.
- · Sample management data that will be delivered daily to Open will include the following case-level variables:
- o Contact attempts
- ? number by type (SMS, phone, email, in-person)
- ? date/time of last attempt
- o Appointments
- ? date/time of scheduled appointments
- ? occurrence of broken / missed appointments
- ? how appointment was made (self-scheduler/by interviewer)
- o Current incentive assigned to the sample line
- o SRO will work with Open during pre-production to finalize variables and format.
- We will work with Open between completion of active data collection and end of the funding period for Endline to reconcile any outstanding discrepancies in the data.
- SRO will also deliver:
- o Daily data collection progress reports
- o A final summary of field methods at the end of data collection
- o A full survey dataset with all participant contact information at the close of data collection.

SRO Project Period	01/1996 - 01/1996				
Data Col Period					
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 09/0	01/2022	Pretest Start	:	
	Pretest End:		Recruitment Start	: 02/01/2023	
	Staffing Complete: 02/2	27/2023	GIT Start	:	
	SS Train Start: 03/2	20/2023	SS Train End	: 03/24/2023	
	DC Start: 03/2	27/2023	DC End	: 08/19/2023	
Other Project Team Members	lan Ogden (SSS, Tech Coo Marsha Skoman (SurveyTra	n Ogden (SSS, Tech Coordinator); Anna Fuqua-Smith (SSI); Austin De Spirito (SSA); Jeff Smith (Tech Lead); larsha Skoman (SurveyTrak); Heuchun Peng and Shaowei Sun (Self-Scheduler); Peter Sparks & Kelly Liesko Blaise); Stephanie Windisch and Jennie William (Data Management); Ashwin Dey and Darnell Franklin (Webtrak,			
Other Project Name					
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Project specific	system (Self-Scheduler for Te	elephone)		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; Other (ArcGIS -	Survey 123)			
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone				
DE Software	N/A				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF	es, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	SRO Group; Other (PI Payment)				
	Cash, post (\$10 or \$20 For Dried Blood Spots); Other (\$20 Finders Fee)				
Payment Type	Cash, post (\$10 or \$20 For	Dried Blood Spots); Other (\$2	20 Finders Fee)		
		Dried Blood Spots); Other (\$2			
Payment Type Payment Method Report Period		, , , , , ,		Closing	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline)	, , , , , ,		Closing	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track	, , , , , ,		Closing	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track	n (reimbursed/reconciled via Te		Ü	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.	r (reimbursed/reconciled via Te		1,202,879.2	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct	r (reimbursed/reconciled via Te		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E	+ indirect):  \$AC):		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E	+ indirect):  \$AC):		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m.	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): None		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance:	+ indirect): \$AC): inus- E\$AC): None		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon	+ indirect): \$AC): Inus- E\$AC): None		1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon	+ indirect): \$AC): Inus- E\$AC): None	enrox)	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	+ indirect): \$AC):  None  th:	enrox)	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	+ indirect):  \$AC):  None  th:  See previous	months.	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0 -367.6	
Payment Type Payment Method  Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:	+ indirect):  \$AC):  None  th:  See previous	months.	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0 -367.6	
Payment Type Payment Method	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon. Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance: Current Goal:	+ indirect):  \$AC):  None  th:  See previous	months.	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0 -367.6	
Payment Type	Jan, 2024 (EDC-Endline) On Track Only activity is a credit.  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (E Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget m. Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	+ indirect):  \$AC):  None  th:  See previous	months.	1,202,879.2 1,202,879.2 3,097,511.0 1,894,631.7 0.0 -367.6	

Project Name	(HCAP 2022) Healthy	Cognitive Aging	g Project, 2022 (On Trac	k)	
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telep	hone		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 3,519,976	5.47	Indirect Budget: 1,267,191.	.53	Total Budget: 4,787,168.00
Principal	Kenneth Langa (SRC)				
Investigator/Clients	David Weir (SRC)				
Funding Agency					
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00099822				Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Maureen J	oan O'Brien			
-	Budget Analyst: Richard	Warren Krause			
	Production Manager: Ma	argaret Lavanger			
	Senior Project Advisor:	Evanthia Leissou			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description		e completion of a f	ace-to-face CAPI interview, d	lesigned to n	rovide a dementia
	older will be selected for the respondents after the HRS will be selected randomly. complete the 3,530 in-per interviewed.  The respondent questions	his effort. The quest 3 2022 interview has 1 to expected that son interviews. An arire length is expe	of 5000 respondents (one pestionnaire is a series of 15 coas been completed. The same the field team will carry out winformant interview will also be tested to be 60 minutes. The irron after the R interview, or by	gnitive tests a ple will not be vell-planned i be completed informant que	and will be administered to e clustered geographically; it regional trips in order to d for each of the respondents stionnaire is expected to be
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 12/2023			-	
Data Col Period	07/2022 - 11/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 04	4/01/2022		Pretest Start:	05/01/2020
	Pretest End: 05			itment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start: 07	7/13/2022	s	S Train End:	07/15/2022
	DC Start: 07		· ·		11/30/2023
Other Project Team Members		xi, Lisa VanHaverm			
Other Project Name	Harmonized Cognitive As	sessment Protocol			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone				
DE Software	Blaise 4.8 BIA				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (50); Cash	n, post (25)			
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPa	ay System			
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (HCAP 2022)				Implementing
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	The final HCAP iw was co the Rs who were complete		We extended into December	in order to at	ttempt to reach Informants for
	materials that are being ke	ept for next wave,	ommodate interviewer materia disposing of materials that we ome in. Some other minor co	will not need	d for future waves, and
Special Issues	projecting an overrun due	to the inflation over	ere postponed to Summer, 20 er 2-year delay, continued low 22, and a budget cap in 2020	/-level manag	

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direc	4,820,908.8			
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):				
	Total Budget:			4,787,168.0	
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		-16,127.4	
	Reason for Variance:	project h inflation cost ove	There was a budget cap in 2020 while goals remained at high level, project has stretched 2 additional years with low level of managemer inflation since budget created in 2018. The PI has approved a direct cost overrun of \$190,000, and up to 243,000 if needed. Money was provided from the parent that reduced the overrun.		
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month: 78				
	Actual Dollars Used:			16,461.6	
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):		-15,675.6	
	Reason for Variance:		Staff hours and travel hours were higher than projected due to last minute trips to boost production.		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	5641	68	4.8	
	Goal at Completion:	5641	68	4.8	
	Current Actual:	5841	70.8	4.87	
	Estimate at Complete:	5841	70.8	4.87	
	Variance:	+200	+2.4%	+.07	

Project Name	(Health and Well Bei			roject (formerly Health and
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes:	1	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,409,05	5.00	Indirect Budget: 1,349,072.0	Total Budget: 3,758,127.00
Principal	Kristine Ajrouch (Life Cou	urse Development	Program, SRC)	
Investigator/Clients	Toni Antonucchi (Life Co	urse Development	Program, SRC)	
	Laura Zahodne (Life Cou	rse Development	Program, SRC)	
Funding Agency				
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00146040			Period of Approval: 4/9/2020
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara L	ohr Ward		
	Budget Analyst: Christin	ne Evanchek		
	Production Manager: ∨	eronica Connors-E	urge	
	Senior Project Advisor.	Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1:	Taghreid Lovell		
	Production Manager 2:	lan Ogden		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	and 330 interviews with S selected based on an in- (content from the Social measurements. Social R	Social Relations sa person household Relations interview elations responder	mple members aged 65 or olde screening. The interview will co ), a 60 minute cognitive intervie	tive interview. An informant interview will
SRO Project Period	05/2019 - 03/2023			
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 03/2024			
Security Plan	No			
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 1	2/01/2022	P	retest Start:
	Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 02/01/2023			
	Staffing Complete: (	04/10/2023		GIT Start: 05/16/2023
	SS Train Start: (	05/18/2023	ss	S Train End: 05/25/2023
	DC Start: (	05/30/2023		<b>DC End</b> : 09/30/2023
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Raphael Nishimura, Johr			vin Dey, Kelly Liesko, Peter Sparks,
Other Project Name	Detroit Aging and Memor	ry Project (formerly	Health and Wellbeing in South	neast Michigan)
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8			
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone;	Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	Other (Weblog)			
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia			
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$75 response	ondent, \$25 inform	ant); Other (\$2 screener incen	tive)
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of c	ash (reimbursed/re	conciled via Tenrox)	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (Health and W	ell Being in SE MI		Implementing
Risk Level				
NISK LEVEI	Attention!			
Monthly Updates	The D-AMP project conti (super-high MENA) releathis will not make up for the transfer of the t	sed on Dec 7 shown he high interviewir	vs improved eligibility (42% ver eg costs to date.	HPI/HPS. The new sample design rsus previous releases at 10%) however

on January 4, we provided the PIs with updated production charts showing the deficits in production and high spending compared to % production completed. The PIs acknowledged the numbers. Also during the Jan 4 2024 meeting we discussed how best to use the remaining money on the project. We also reviewed suggestions from SDG. The PIs are resistant to considering scope reductions. They have stepped up community outreach efforts, and will try using influencers (hired by the PIs) to appeal to resistant households selected for D-AMP.

On Jan 11, SRO provided the research team with an estimate of monthly production and spending, which would result in about 240-290 completed main (new sample) interviews and informants (with a 70% RR on informants)

using the existing budget. The LCD team shared that they were going to meet with the D-AMP project officer on Jan 23. (Unfortunately we did not have enough advance notice to prepare ballpark projections for that meeting). Post-meeting, the LCD team said that the project officer would like to know what it would take to complete the project as designed, and SRO began working on ballpark budgets (delivered in early February). The PIs did not want to incorporate any suggested scope modifications in the budgets. On Feb 8, we gave the PIs a ballpark number for completion of the full sample (the original scope). \*From Feb 1 2024 going forward, D-AMP would need an estimated additional \$2 to 2.2 million direct cost. This estimate takes into account the approximately \$700,000 in direct cost remaining in the project. The PIs understood this number and asked a number of follow up questions, which were answered in email and also pasted in the Feb 15 meeting notes (uploaded to MPR). In the Feb 15 meeting, they mentioned that they would seek additional funding.

In mid-January, the research team approved moving forward with preparation for release of panel cases. The team also agreed to allow SRO to develop "Welcome Packets" for newly screened in sample households. SRO proposed the idea of allowing interviewers to offer a telephone interview first for a small sample of households. The IRB would not approve adding telephone as a mode of interviewing without a full-scale revision of all study materials, revising all study consent forms and re-printing and rekitting consent forms for 1000+ cases. The IRB did agree to allow SRO to pilot a small number of cases using a special consent forms. SRO began working on preparing a special set of pilot materials for submission to the IRB.

The study continues to experience interviewer attrition, including from the small group of on-staff interviewers training at the end of November/beginning December. The research team approved moving forward with a new recruitment effort to bring on screening interviewers.

The study still faces the issue of a community that is resistant to screening and resistant to participating in research. We still do not have a full complement of approved Arabic materials. We also continued to press the Pls to update and maintain the website for the study. Arabic translations were added to the study website in January.

#### Special Issues

We have been keeping the dire financial situation of the study in front of the PIs as frequently as possible, including revising the monthly activity report to emphasize the financial and production situation. (The financial situation was reviewed in September, November, and early January.)

NOTE: We are projecting that all of the project budget will be used for data collection, especially given the excessively high HPI. We are not projecting an overrun -- the assumption is that the work scope will be reduced in order to avoid overruns.

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	2,647,750.28
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	3,756,051.85
	Total Budget:	3,758,127.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	2,075.15
	Reason for Variance:	We expect that the full project budget will be used, given the very high HPI and low production on the project. We are not projecting an overrun - we are assuming that the work scope will be reduced to match the budget.
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:	174,264.70
	Actual Dollars Used:	131,246.86
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	43,017.84

Reason for Variance:

Respondent cooperation remains low, and interviewer attrition (or vacations) impacted the project. We lowered projections significantly, however production still came in lower than anticipated. We will continue to reduce the expected monthly cost of data collection to match the lower effort and yield as well as extended data collection period.

	F T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T						
asures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI			
	Current Goal:	930 main, 930 inf	60% scr, 74% main	10.38 w/screening			
	Goal at Completion:						
	Current Actual:	98 main, 70 inf	34% scr, 38.3% main	41,7 HPI w/screening			
	Estimate at Complete:						
	Variance:						

#### Other Measures

Meas

RR shown above are for the released sample.

Budgeted at 140 minutes, the D-AMP average interview length is 161 minutes.

Saliva participation rate is roughly 84% compared to 80% budgeted.

rimary: Mixed ponsored Project: irect Budget: 13. avid Weir (ISR-SI	982,815.00	Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 19,016,630.00
irect Budget: 13. avid Weir (ISR-Sl	982,815.00	Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00	
avid Weir (ISR-SI		Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00	
I <b>UM#:</b> HUM00061	RC)		
roiect Lead: Eva	1128		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 6/7/2023-6/6/2024
, <b></b>	nthia Leissou		
Budget Analyst: F	Richard Warren Krause		
roduction Mana	ger: Andrea Sims		
enior Project Ad	visor: Nicole G Kirgis		
roduction Mana	ger 1: Jennifer C Arrieta		
roduction Manag	ger 2: Theresa Camelo		
o data			
he study includes raves) a new coho eries of physical n self-administered	a representative sample ort of people aged 50 to 5 neasures and bio-marker	of people aged 50 years and olde 5 are screened in to the study to n s are collected with half of all living	r in the U.Ś Evéry six years (three naintain a representative sample. A g respondents each wave as well as
1/2021 - 12/2023			
3/2022 - 08/2023			
A			
Pre Production S	Start: 01/01/2021	Pretes	st Start: 11/01/2021
Pretest	<b>End:</b> 11/23/2021	Recruitme	nt Start: 08/01/2021
Staffing Comp	lete: 01/15/2022	Gi	IT Start: 02/21/2021
		SS Tra	ain End: 03/03/2022
			DC End: 05/10/2025
eborah Zivan (Pro- Project Manager), Stats/Sampling), Nasistant), Anthony ssistant), Austin I ominic Bonanni (I ech Team: Karl D wanson, David Bompie, Kelly Chata	oject Manager), Andrew H Daniah Buageila (Project /anessa Clarke (Project A / Romanowski (Project M De Spirito (Project Assista Project Assistant) inkelmann, Jeff Smith, Jin olt, Deb Wilson, Jennie W in, Brianna Sabol	Hupp (Project Manager), Ğary Heir t Manager), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project anager), Megan Hromco (Project ant), Cindy Huang (Budget Analyst m Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha	n (Project Manager), Erin McSpadde et Assistant), Paul Burton Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assistant). Kristen Cross (Project t), Andria Goedert (Project Assistant Skoman, Ashwin Dey, Pam
RS 2022 Main Iw	S		
urveyTrak; MSMS	3		
laise 5; SAQ			
aptop; [UM cell] P	hone; Paper and Pencil		
ther (Blaise 5 Co	ding Application); Externa	al vendor (DataForce)	
amtasia			
es, R; Yes, INF			
	0 (Panel)): Check, post (	\$50 (WBD)); Cash, post (\$20 (SAC	Q), \$100 (Baselines) )
heck through STr ayment of cash (r	ak RPay System; Check eimbursed/reconciled via	through other system (Rpay syste Tenrox) (Rpay system set up for I	m set up for MSMS); Interviewer
an, 2024 (HRS 20	22 Panel & Baselines)		Implementing
. ,			, ,
n Track			
	Production Manage of data  he Health and Rehe study includes raves) a new cohoreries of physical in self-administered equested.  1/2021 - 12/2023  3/2022 - 08/2023  A  Pre Production S  Pretest  Staffing Comp SS Train S  DC S  Pretest Dubuque (Preborah Zivan (Pre Project Manager), Stats/Sampling), Vssistant), Anthony ssistant), Anthony ssistant), Austin Elominic Bonanni (Freman Karl Dispersion of the Complex Carolina Section of the Complex Carolina Section of the Carolina Section o	he Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a he study includes a representative sample vaves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 5 beries of physical measures and bio-marker self-administered questionnaire. Additional equested.  1/2021 - 12/2023 3/2022 - 08/2023  IA  Pre Production Start: 01/01/2021  Pretest End: 11/23/2021  Staffing Complete: 01/15/2022  SS Train Start: 02/23/2022  DC Start: 03/07/2022  Perek Dubuque (Production Manager), Andrew Foroject Manager), Daniah Buageila (Project Manager), Vanessa Clarke (Project Assistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Assistant), Austin De Spirito (Project Assistant) cominic Bonanni (Project Assistant)  ech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, Jin wanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie Wanson, David Bolt, Deb W	Production Manager 2: Theresa Camelo of data he Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study condine study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and olde raves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to not reirise of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Serguested.  1/2021 - 12/2023 3/2022 - 08/2023  A  Pre Production Start: 01/01/2021 Pretest End: 11/23/2021 Recruitment Staffing Complete: 01/15/2022 Gill Staffing Complete: 01/15/2022 SS Train Start: 02/23/2022 SS Train Start: 02/23/2022 SS Train Start: 02/23/2022 SS Train Start: 03/07/2022 Levek Dubuque (Production Manager), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Gary Heir Project Manager), Danager), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Gary Heir Project Manager), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Stats/Sampling), Vanessa Clarke (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Sasistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Sasistant), Austin De Spirito (Project Assistant), Cindy Huang (Budget Analyst Irominic Bonanni (Project Assistant)  ech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha wanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie Williams, Rose Zybdel, Stephanie V mpie, Kelly Chatain, Brianna Sabol coding Lead: Carolyn Vieira-Martinez  IRS 2022 Main Iws  urveyTrak; MSMS  laise 5; SAQ  aptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil  ther (Blaise 5 Coding Application); External vendor (DataForce)  farmtasia  es, R; Yes, INF  A theck, prepaid (\$80 (Panel)); Check, post (\$50 (WBD)); Cash, post (\$20 (SAC)  theck through STrak RPay System; Check through other system set up for fusioness Office (Rpay system set up for MSMS)

end game protocol.

- 3. Baseline production has improved since implementing the high priority flagging, baseline end game strategy, and the release of additional screener sample leading to more baseline sample being generated. Interviewers continue to work less hours than committed but that has also improved. Field managers continue to work with interviewers who are not meeting expectations.
- 5. DMSS developed reports to better monitor high priority, end game, and screening effort rates at a weekly and biweekly level by PSU.
- 6. Different scenarios for goals, extending data collection beyond June 2024, and associated costs were reviewed with Dr. Weir. Additional changes to projections made based on discussions.
- 7. Planning for an end of May/early June new cohort training of approximately 80 interviewers began.
- 8. Based on the propensity model run in September, there are 4,920 baseline cases that have ever been flagged priority of which 798 (16%) have completed an interview. Newly generated baselines from screening continue to be flagged on a weekly basis.
- 9. The endgame protocol sample consists of households with resistance or 120+ since screened. The sample is mailed a letter offering an additional \$100 upon completion of the interview and interviewers follow-up with one attempt before final coding non-interview, unless the interview was started on the spot or an appointment has been scheduled. 4,744 baseline respondents have been mailed the end game letter of which 384 (8%) have completed the interview and 36 (1%) have scheduled an appointment. Weekly, new cases are flagged for end game once they meet the resistance or 120+ days since screened criteria.

\*The "measures" table reflects both Panel and Baseline combined as of 1/19 (note: Projections were updated recently taking into account actuals to date, staffing counts, and effort needed to reach goals).

#### Special Issues

- Did not meet the Panel response rate goal of 74% even with the additional five months of field time and responsive design strategies due to interviewer count and balancing effort with new cohort screening/baseline iws.
- Slow progress with baseline interviewing.
- Multiple Blaise issues that have impacted STrak and MSMS throughout data collection.
- 2022 New Cohort data collection projected to end in May 2025 in order to meet goal.
- Competing project team demands with HRS 2022 in data collection at the same time as 2023 mail surveys and HRS 2024 preproduction.
- High field staff attrition rate. Burden on staff with additional recruitment and training efforts while managing production.

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):  Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):				18,087,586.76	
					18,084,077.96	
	Total Budget:				19,016,630.00	
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):			932,552.04	
	Reason for Variance:	bu ind	idget is for the Pa	anel sample but the m	vious month. Note: This onthly updates and milestones adget is under the HRS 2022	
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mol	Dollars Projected for Month: 761.60				
	Actual Dollars Used:		24,026.0			
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):	-23,264.4			
	Reason for Variance:	pa pa	Check payments for the 2022 new cohort baselines implemented as part of the mode change to TEL strategy were mistakenly charged to panel account code. The charges will be moved to the new cohort account code.			
Measures		Units at Con	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	16,256	50%		11.4	
	Goal at Completion:	23,468	46%		7.9	
	Current Actual:	16,269	50%		11.4	
	Estimate at Complete:	22,186	44%		9.7	
	Variance:	1,282	2%		1.8	

#### Other Measures

Panel: Expected RR: 68% (original goal 74%), Final RR: 68% (Panel end date 9/2/23)

2022 Baselines generated from screener: Goal RR: 26% (6,450 iws) Current RR: 26.6% (2,801 completed) from 10,633 baselines spawned from screener as of 2/19/24.

2019 EGenX baselines: Goal RR: 70%, Current RR: 74.3%

Project Name	(HRS 2024) Health and Retirement S	tudy 2024 (Some Concerns)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 603,986.00	Indirect Budget: 217,435.00	Total Budget: 821,421.00
Principal	David Weir (ISR-SRC)		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	<b>HUM#</b> : HUM000611128		Period of Approval: 6/7/2023-6/6/2024
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou		
	Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause		
	Production Manager: Andrea Sims		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1: Derek Dubuque		
	Production Manager 2: Jennifer C Arrieta		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is The study includes a representative sample waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 5 series of physical measures and bio-marker a self-administered questionnaire. Additionarequested.	of people aged 50 years and older in the 55 are screened in to the study to maintain is are collected with half of all living respo	U.S Every six years (three n a representative sample. A ndents each wave as well as
SRO Project Period	05/2023 - 08/2025		
Data Col Period	05/2024 - 05/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 05/15/2023	Pretest Start	01/29/2024
	Pretest End: 02/18/2024	Recruitment Start	12/19/2023
	Staffing Complete: 03/15/2024	GIT Start	04/22/2024
	SS Train Start: 04/23/2024	SS Train End	04/29/2024
	DC Start: 05/06/2024	DC End.	: 05/31/2025
Other Project Team Members	Alex Warju (Production Manager), Gary Hei Buageila (Project Manager), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project I (Project Manager), Megan Hromco (Project Analyst), Dominic Bonanni (Project Assistar Tech Team: Karl Dinkelmann, Jeff Smith, Ji Swanson, David Bolt, Deb Wilson, Jennie V Empie, Kelly Chatain, Brianna Sabol, Kelly	(Project Assistant), Paul Burton (Stats/Sa Manager), Melissa Luker (Project Assista Assistant), Austin De Spirito (Project Assint) m Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Marsha Skoma Villiams, Rose Zybdel, Stephanie Windisc	ampling), Vanessa Clarke nt), Anthony Romanowski istant), Cindy Huang (Budget n, Ashwin Dey, Pam
Other Project Name	HRS 2024 Panel		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5; SAQ		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); Externa	al vendor (DataForce)	
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (\$100 (Interview)); Check, p	oost (\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SAQ), \$20 (SSA))	
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System; Intervie Cash Fund from ISR Business Office		ciled via Tenrox); Imprest
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (HRS 2024)		Planning
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	HRS 2024 pre-production activities continued development, SurveyTrak testing, Instrumer via MSMS DCA, pretest training material and 1/28), and production new hire recruitment.	nt testing via SurveyTrak, task rules testir nd bulk mailing preparations, pretest traini	g (without Blaise instrument)

HRS 2024 will utilize Blaise 5.14 for pretest and production. HRS requires the session data (the working database) as a deliverable and requested CBS to add this as a feature in Blaise. The tech team continued to meet weekly in January with CBS to try to address getting this feature and other issues stemming from the addition of this feature

to work in the DIM/MSMS offline world. Blaise 5.14 is working fine in SurveyTrak.

Pretest training: Ypsi Marriott 1/27-1/28
Pretest Data Collection: 1/29-2/17
Production training: Southfield Westin 4/22-4/29 (2 days GIT, 6 days Study Specific)
TEL, FTF, FTFe Production start (SurveyTrak): 5/6
Web Production Start (MSMS): 6/3

Special Issues	plan is to recruit @80 inter- -Blaise 5.14 issues related	-Resource (Field Staff) concerns for HRS 2024 preproduction and production while HRS 2022 data collection. The plan is to recruit @80 interviewers for start of 2024 production and potentially another HRS 2024 training in the fallBlaise 5.14 issues related to the feature added at HRS' request for saving session database online and offline that could impact Web Panel production.			
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):			484,328.00
	Est Cost at Completion (L	E\$AC):			1,085,062.3
	Total Budget:				821,421.0
	Variance (Total Budget m	ninus- E\$AC):			-263,641.3
	Reason for Variance:	\ 8 0	Will update thare awarded.	ne total budget once the 6 Variance sine last month 24 data collection and the	ion and pretest data collection. Separ renewal proposal funds is due to additiopnal supply deposit for Southfield Westin
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:				
	Actual Dollars Used:	195,072.11			
	Variance (Projected minu	67,851.30			
	Reason for Variance:			marily due to staff hours a ure projections have been	nd supplies that did not hit in updated.
Measures		Units at Co	mplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:			70%	
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(HRS HOC) Health and Retiren	nent Study – Historical Occupation C	Coding (Some Concerns)	
Project Mode	Primary: Data Processing			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 67,762.90	Indirect Budget: 24,394.16	Total Budget: 92,157.06	
Principal	David Weir (SRC)			
Investigator/Clients	Amanda Sonnega (SRC)			
Funding Agency	NIA			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:	
Project Team	Project Lead: Gloria J Baker			
	Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao			
	Production Manager: Carolyn Vieir	a-Martinez		
	Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia L	eissou		
	Production Manager 1:			
	Production Manager 2:			
Proposal #	no data			
Description	completed during 1994-2002 waves crosswalk between the 1980 and 20	text description of approximately 10,000 oct of data collection. The Survey Services Lab 10 occupation census codes as well ass occ data collection, using 2010 Census codes.	oratory (SSL) will complete a cupation re-coding for jobs reported	
SRO Project Period	09/2022 - 06/2024			
Data Col Period				
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest :	Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:		
	Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:	
	DC Start:	DC	End:	
Other Project Team Member	rs			
Other Project Name	HRS Historical Coding			
Sample Mgmt System	NA			
Data Col Tool	NA			
Hardware	Desktop			
DE Software	Other (Coding Application)			
QC Recording Tool	NA			
Incentive	Not used			
Administration	N/A			
Payment Type	N/A			
Payment Method	N/A			
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (HRS HOC)		Planning	
Risk Level	Some Concerns			
Monthly Updates	initial quote was provided by Eva, it instance of coding per SID.  -Qize (HRS grad student) developed 53,623 SIDs with an average of 2.18 industry.  -These updated numbers have been cost.  -Once a new budget has been deter	n to come up with a more accurate count of was discovered that it did not include industral am Excel chart which more accurately representances of coding per SID. This number is turned over to Carolyn in the SSL to provide mined, it will be submitted to HRS who will to tunding is currently scheduled to conclude	ry coding or more than once esented the actual numbers: ncludes both occupation and e an estimate for both time and then decide whether or not to move at the end of February.	

#### **Special Issues**

- PI with the most coding experience/knowledge left the organization shortly after start of project -SRO's staff member with the most HRS coding experience/knowledge informed project team in mid-January that he will be retiring the first week of February allowing for a very short transition of knowledge to the newly assigned
- coding lead.

  -Preliminary NIOCCS results are disappointing which may result in more SRO coding than originally anticipated.

  Will assess impact to budget and timeline once have final counts from HRS staff and HRS approves the cost
- -Consistent delays in the coding data delivery to SRO makes it difficult to really plan for coding resources. -Funding for the supplement ends at the end of February 2024.

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):			25,899.1	
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):				86,421.4
	Total Budget:			92,157.0	
	Variance (Total Budget mi	5,735.65			
	Reason for Variance:		Variance is due to salary as a result of moving Stan Hasper's hours to Carolyn Vieira-Martinez and fewer project management hours needed for coordinating with HRS staff during the planning phase.		
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:			11,274.0
	Actual Dollars Used:		1,515.		
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		9,758.1		
	Reason for Variance:  Due to initial project delay and further delay with HRS (ISR) staff, coding hours have not been utilized. Coding now estimated to begin in February 2024 so unused hours for project management, system set up, and coding will be pushed forward.				
Measures		Units at Con	nplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name Project Mode	(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screenin  Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 3				
•	• • •	Total of Modes. 3				
Project Type Budget	Sponsored Projects  Direct Budget: 21,264,149.00 Indirect	ct Budget: 7,655,093.00 Total Budget:				
Budget	Direct Budget. 21,204,149.00 maile	<b>ct Budget:</b> 7,655,093.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 28,919,242.00				
Principal	David Weir (SRC)					
Investigator/Clients	Helen Levy (SRC)					
	Ken Langa (SRC)					
Funding Agency						
IRB	HUM#:	Period of Approva	al:			
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou					
	Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause					
	Production Manager:					
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis					
	Production Manager 1: Andrew L Hupp					
	Production Manager 2: Theresa Camelo					
Proposal #	no data					
Description	The study includes a representative sample of US r waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and cohort was added as well as a minority oversample	nal, longitudinal study conducted every two years since of residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three are screened in to the study to maintain representative d completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby of both early and mid-baby boomers. In 2016, the late be early generation x cohort will be added along with a min	ee eness. In y boome baby			
SRO Project Period	02/2021 - 08/2024					
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 06/2024					
Security Plan	NA					
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:				
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:				
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:				
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:				
	DC Start: 04/19/2022	DC End:				
Other Project Team Membe	rs					
Other Project Name						
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other ((Blaise) Case Managen	nent App (CMA))				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5	Blaise 5				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil					
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 web instrument); N/A					
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia; N/A					
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF					
Administration	SRO Group					
Payment Type	Check, post; Cash, prepaid (\$2); Cash, post					
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer pa Cash Fund from ISR Business Office	Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest				
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (HRS2022-Screening)	Implementing				
Risk Level	Some Concerns					
Monthly Updates	reflect the priority of interviewers work in the field (b	as been on baseline production. Goals have been updat baseline high priority, baseline endgame, screening end ers are actually providing. Release 6 has gone through the have trips planned to unstaffed areas (currently 17 PSU	lgame, the web			
	protocol is either in the field, or waiting to go as we					
	protocol is either in the field, or waiting to go as we We have released 7,495 cases to the endgame pro 63 cases (10.7%) completed via the web, and 498 ( eligible for endgame and will be released this week.	stocol. 588 cases (7.8%) of cases have completed a scream (89.3%) of cases completed in-person. Another 2,051 ca	ases are			

Cost as of Feb 21, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direc	20,463,656.96			
	Est Cost at Completion (	36,528,654.91			
	Total Budget:	28,919,242.00			
	Variance (Total Budget n	-7,609,412.91			
	Reason for Variance:		Projections have been entered through March 2025 (projection of when we would meet the baseline production goal).		
Projections as of Feb 21, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:			1,325,432.34	
	Actual Dollars Used:			1,376,985.08	
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): -51,5				
	Reason for Variance:  Recharges accounted for ~\$7K of the variance. Travel was over by \$73,413. \$33,586 of this was from October training travel that was placed on p-cards and reconciled 3 months late. The unused training projections had dropped because we thought all the expenses would have been charged by now. Also, we were about \$40K over on travel on Main Data Collection, which is attributed to the iwers working more hours than expected.				
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	3,600/1,500 HHs	73%	3.0	
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:	3,727/3,244	41.5%	2.81	
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	, ,			
Budget	Direct Budget: 122,053.	00 Indire	ct Budget: 12,206.00	Total Budget: 134,259.00	
Principal	Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland)				
nvestigator/Clients	Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan )				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	onal Council of Applied Eco	nomic Research)		
Funding Agency	·	alth, Department of Health	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
RB	HUM#:	initi, Department of Fleature	and Human Oct vices	Period of Approval:	
Project Team		ea Broumand		r chod of Approval.	
Toject Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand  Budget Analyst: Ryan Neice				
	Production Manager:				
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul				
	Production Manager 1: Sarah Elisa Broumand				
	Production Manager 2:	Sarah Elisa Broumand			
Proposal # Description	no data			ti-topic, longitudinal survey of	
	completed in 2004-5; dat these households in 2011 includes methodological	a are publicly available thro 1-12 (N=42,152). SRC join: design, sample design, quo aining, production monitorir	ough ICPSR. A second round		
SRO Project Period	01/2019 - 03/2024				
Data Col Period	05/2022 - 10/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pretest S	Start:	
	Pretest End:		Recruitment S	Start:	
	Staffing Complete:		GIT S	Start:	
	SS Train Start:		SS Train	End:	
	DC Start:		DC	End:	
Other Project Team Members		enior Project Advisor (SPA) ct Manager yTrak			
	HelpDesk Team Emmanuel Ellis John  Data Manager Team Sarah E Jennie				
Other Project Name	J311110				
Sample Mgmt System	Other (SurveyTrak INTL)				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				
Hardware	Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))				
DE Software	Other (TBD)				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI				
ncentive	Yes, Other (TBD)				
Administration					
	Other (TBD)				
Payment Type	Other (TBD)				
Payment Method	Other (TBD)				
Payment Method  Report Period	Other (TBD)  Jan, 2024 (IHDS3)			Implementing	

	merges. There are some fu distributed to help with close	nostly focused on close out activit nds showing that are left but the e out related activities. At this mo (minimal compared to other SRO	budget will be balanced an ment the amount of data re	d those hours will be re-	
Special Issues	Wave 3 funding balance en	ded at -\$18,833.61 direct and in-	direct costs ( -\$12,072.53 [	Direct))	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		89,392.33	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	E\$AC):		129,266.74	
	Total Budget:			134,259.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):			
	5 6 1/ /	TOTAL Dudmat	ic \$124.250.00 Not corn/i	ing forward certain amount of	
	Reason for Variance:		ional funds that may be ne		
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mon	hours due addit			
		hours due addit		eded during closeout.	
	Dollars Projected for Mon	hours due addit		eded during closeout. 12,534.81	
	Dollars Projected for Mon	hours due addit  oth:  s Actual):  Due to staffing		12,534.81 9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be	
	Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minu	hours due addit  oth:  s Actual):  Due to staffing	ional funds that may be ne	12,534.81 9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be	
2024	Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minu	hours due addit  oth:  S Actual):  Due to staffing used, they will be	constraints some of the alloe re-allocated moving forw	9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be ward.	
2024	Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minu Reason for Variance:	hours due addit  oth:  S Actual):  Due to staffing used, they will be	constraints some of the alloe re-allocated moving forw	9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be ward.	
2024	Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minu Reason for Variance: Current Goal:	hours due addit  oth:  S Actual):  Due to staffing used, they will be	constraints some of the alloe re-allocated moving forw	9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be ward.	
2024	Dollars Projected for Mon Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minu Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	hours due addit  oth:  S Actual):  Due to staffing used, they will be	constraints some of the alloe re-allocated moving forw	9,074.42 3,460.39 ocated hours could not be ward.	

The LAST version of ALL data models was finally released.

Risk Level

**Monthly Updates** 

On Track

Project Name	(LHMS 2023	Fall) Life History Mail	Study Fall 2023 (On Track)	
Project Mode	Primary: Mail	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	371,587.00	Indirect Budget: 133,772.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 505,359.00
Principal	David Weir (SR	C)		
Investigator/Clients	Jaqui Smith (SF	RC)		
Funding Agency	NIH			
IRB	<b>НИМ#:</b> НИМ00	106904		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 9/29/2023-9/28/2024
Project Team	Project Lead:	Gary Hein		
	Budget Analys	t: Cindy Tsao		
	Production Ma	nager: Ruth B Philippou		
	Senior Project	Advisor: Evanthia Leisso	u	
	Production Ma	nager 1:		
	Production Ma	nager 2: Ruth B Philippou		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	collect retrosperevents, resident understand how A paper questic approximately 2 respondents ha receive reminde attempt to comp	ctive life histories of HRS p tial location, and education v individuals' pasts shape t unnaire will be mailed to a s 2,485 completed surveys a ve been designated to recest ers by phone to complete the	heir health and economic situation sample of approximately 4,601 HR re expected (54% response rate). I eive a reminder by postcard. The rate questionnaire. When a respond	nary need for information about ation like this allows researchers to s today.  S Respondents. From this sample, For the reminder protocol, 272
SRO Project Period	09/2023 - 04/20	<u> </u>		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 02/20			
Security Plan	NA	124		
Milestones		on Start: 09/01/2023	Droi	test Start:
willestories		est End:		ent Start:
	Staffing Co			GIT Start:
	_	-		
		in Start:	35 I	rain End:
Other Project Team Members	Gary Hein: Proj Cindy Tsao: Bu Ruth Philippou: Vanessa Clarke			DC End: 02/29/2024
Other Project Name	LHMS Fall			
Sample Mgmt System	SMS			
Data Col Tool	SAQ; Other (Bla	aise SMS)		
Hardware	Desktop; [UM c	ell] Phone; Paper and Pen	cil	
DE Software	Other (Weblog)			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Check, prepaid	(\$25)		
Payment Method	Check through	STrak RPay System		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (LHM	IS 2023 Fall)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	1- SAQs receive 2- Progress rep 3- SAQs shippe	rities and notable events:  ed and logged  orts prepared and delivere  d to DataForce for scannir  Qs and Fall data deliveries	ng	

	<ul><li>5- Crosswalk for data deliv</li><li>6- Thank you post cards ge</li><li>7 -Reminder calling data co</li></ul>	enerated and mailed				
Special Issues		eems high considerings, which also consis	ng the sample co ted of past way	onsists of past wave e non-responders, o	e non-responders and a few new current response rate (as of	
Cost as of Feb 14, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):			281,068.9	
	Est Cost at Completion (I	E\$AC):			315,931.2	
	Total Budget:				505,359.0	
	Variance (Total Budget n	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):				
	Reason for Variance:	re			neet original projections. Lower esulting in lower costs across the	
Projections as of Feb 14, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month: 34,69				34,694.8	
	Actual Dollars Used:					
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): 5,647					
	Reason for Variance:	S	SL hours did no	t meet projections.		
Measures		Units at Cor	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:				N/A	
	Goal at Completion:	2063	54%	6	N/A	
	Current Actual:	575	15%	6	N/A	
	Estimate at Complete:	625	16%	6	N/A	
	Variance:	1438	38%	6	N/A	
Other Measures	N/A					

Project Name	(LHMS 2023	Spring) Life History Ma	il Study Spring 2023 (Or	n Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Mail	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	293,540.00	Indirect Budget: 164,382.	00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 457,922.00
Principal	David Weir (SR	C)		
Investigator/Clients	Jaqui Smith (SF	RC)		
Funding Agency	NIH			
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00	229404		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 11/4/2022-11/3/2023
Project Team	Project Lead:	Gary Hein		
	Budget Analys	t: Cindy Tsao		
	Production Ma	nager: William Keating		
	Senior Project	Advisor: Evanthia Leissou		
	Production Ma	nager 1:		
	Production Ma	nager 2: William Keating		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	collect retrospe events, residen understand how A paper questic approximately 1 respondents ha receive reminde attempt to comp	ctive life histories of HRS patial location, and education vindividuals' pasts shape the annaire will be mailed to a single 242 completed surveys are been designated to receives by phone to complete the	articipants to address multidis over the entire life course. Infeir health and economic situa ample of approximately 2,288 e expected (54% response ra ive a reminder by postcard. T e questionnaire. When a resp	rement Study. The goal of LHMS is to sciplinary need for information about formation like this allows researchers to ations today.  B HRS Respondents. From this sample, ate). For the reminder protocol, 495. The remaining 1,793 respondents will condent is reached by phone, SRO will obstcards will be mailed to respondents who
SRO Project Period	04/2023 - 12/20	<u> </u>		
Data Col Period	06/2023 - 09/20			
Security Plan	NA	25		
Milestones		on Start: 04/01/2023		Pretest Start:
Milestories		est End:	Reci	ruitment Start:
	Staffing Co		71007	GIT Start:
	_	in <b>Start:</b> 07/11/2023		SS Train End: 07/11/2023
		OC Start: 06/20/2023		DC End: 09/26/2023
Other Project Team Members	Gary Hein: Proj Cindy Tsao: Bu Vanessa Clarke	ect Lead		<b>DO LINI</b> : 03/20/2020
Other Project Name	LHMS Spring			
Sample Mgmt System	SMS			
Data Col Tool	SAQ; Other (Bla	aise SMS)		
Hardware	Desktop; [UM c	ell] Phone; Paper and Penc	il	
DE Software	Other (Weblog)	·		
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Check, prepaid	(\$25)		
Payment Method	Check through	STrak RPay System		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (LHM	1S 2023 Spring)		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	1 -Logged retur 2- Weekly prog 3- Data delivery 4- Completed S		r scanning	s

6- Generated and mailed thank you care	as to	o respondents
--	-------	---------------

Special Issues					
Cost as of Feb 08, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):				230,487.89
	Total Budget:				457,922.00
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):			227,434.11
	Reason for Variance:	res hav res	ulting in ge /e also bee ponse rate	enerally lower costs ac en added to the projec was 54% but actual r	n budgeted sample of 2,288, cross all resources. Check voids tions. In addition, the budgeted esponse rate is much lower as the om past waves of LHMS.
Projections as of Feb 08, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mod	nth:		·	6,315.83
	Actual Dollars Used:				6,457.98
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):			-142.15
	Reason for Variance:	Mi	nute differe	ences in projections vs	expenses
Measures		Units at Com	plete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	1053		54%	N/A
	Goal at Completion:	1053		54%	N/A
	Current Actual:	355		18%	N/A
	Estimate at Complete:	360		18%	N/A
	Variance:	693		36%	N/A
Other Measures	N/A				1

Project Name	(MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4)) Michigan Concerns)	OVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study (Some
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 379,112.00	Indirect Budget: 98,569.00 Total Budget: 477,681.00
Principal	Nancy Fleischer (U-M School of Public Hea	alth (SPH))
Investigator/Clients		
Funding Agency	MDHHS - Releases 1 - 8	
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00234617	<b>Period of Approval:</b> 6/15/2023-6/14/2028
Project Team	Project Lead: Timothy Prand	
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers	
	Production Manager: Lisa J Carn	
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis	
	Production Manager 1: Narine Verdiyan	
	Production Manager 2:	
Proposal #	no data	
Description		niversity of Michigan School of Public Health (SPH) and the Michigan
Description	Department of Health and Human Services experiences with COVID-19 using a representely plan to document sociodemographic in	s. It is a public health surveillance study to learn about Michiganders' entative sample of confirmed cases within the state. Using survey data nequities in COVID-19 testing, treatment, and recovery.
		ample information provided, we will be re-contacting approximately 3,9 (or are estimated) to complete the Baseline survey to administer the
		get:\$841,375 Total used:\$649,836
SRO Project Period	08/2021 - 09/2023	
Data Col Period	01/2022 - 07/2023	
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 06/01/2023
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:
	SS Train Start: 07/28/2023	<b>SS Train End:</b> 07/29/2023
	DC Start: 09/14/2023	<b>DC End</b> : 06/30/2023
Other Project Team Members	Bill Lokers: Financial Analyst Megan Hromco: Production Assistant Hueichun Peng: Technical Lead / WSMS dl Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer (Blaise 5) Cheng Zhou: Web Component, ADT, Repo LihShwu Ke: DBA Architecture & Data Sect Sarah Broumand: Data Manager Deb Wilson: Help Desk	orts
Other Project Name		
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5	
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop	
DE Software	NA .	
QC Recording Tool	NA	
Incentive	Yes, R	
Administration	SRO Group	
Payment Type	Other (HSIP VISA Gift Card)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
Payment Method	NA	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (MI CReSS (Year 3 & 4))	Implementing

Risk Level	Some Concerns						
Monthly Updates	Final report delivered - 12/	eld and data has been deliver 19/2023 details in the Final Report - D					
	<ul> <li>Added an additional Hard</li> </ul>	eminder calling to releases 1	empt to get closer to the I	Response Rate achieved for FO1 5 to \$50.			
Special Issues	Proposal for Release 9-16	submitted 1/19/2024. Looks	promising.				
	releases Lower # of attempts curre - Continue to see mentions	s of the U of M data breach as	s to why Rs don't want to				
Cost as of Jan 20, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):		274,685.88			
	Est Cost at Completion (	E\$AC):		463,767.98			
	Total Budget:	Total Budget: 477,68					
	Variance (Total Budget n	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): 13,913.02					
	Reason for Variance:	Fewer hour	s billed to project than es	stimated/projected.			
Projections as of Jan 20, 2	2024 Dollars Projected for Mo	nth:		67,885.58			
	Actual Dollars Used:			59,189.70			
	Variance (Projected minu	ıs Actual):		8,698.88			
	Reason for Variance:	Fewer hou	rs by hourly staff due to v	racation/sick time.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI			
	Current Goal:	1900	77	3			
	Goal at Completion:	2030	80%	3			
	Current Actual:	1536	62.8	6			
	Estimate at Complete:	1850	73%	6.5			
	Variance:	-130	-7%	3.5			
Other Measures	3 3	s that completed the Main su who are eligible. MoCA is curr	, ,				

Project Name	(MTF Base Year 202	•		(
Project Mode	Primary: Class SAQ	Total of Modes	:: 1	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 6,267,9	988.00	Indirect Budget: 3,510,072.00	Total Budget: 9,778,060.0
Principal	Richard Miech (SRC)			
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency	National Institute on Dru	ug Abuse, one o	f the National Institutes of Health.	
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> 00217920			<b>Period of Approval:</b> from 7/20/22 No CR
Project Team	Project Lead: Rebecca	a Gatward		
	Budget Analyst: Dean	E Stevens		
	Production Manager:	Margaret Lavan	ger	
	Senior Project Adviso	r: Gregg Peters	on	
	Production Manager 1	1: Dominic Bona	nni	
	Production Manager 2	2:		
Proposal #	no data			
	time periods: lifetime, pointstitutes of Health (NIHIT is based on two interconductions) and continuous self-administered and (SRO interviewers) coothe schools).  (b) panels of high school members aged 19-30 as sample members are self-early in the year a new targets these panel mer spring and in around Jumembers are recruited.	ast year, and party, and conducted series and in-school sordinate and administration of graduates against invited to party ent questionnair wsletter is mailed mbers and other une a telephone from the 12th gr	sample of survey participants report their ist month. The survey is funded by the NIE and by the University of Michigan. Sof surveys using nationally representative surveys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (~45 ininister the data collection in schools (either add 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (now paticipate every other year/asked to completes (mail and web) at five-year interval. The dot panel members. If the newsletter is refer who have not participated for X years. The sonon-response effort begins for those invite adders who participate in the base year stand be found here http://www.monitoringth	DA, a component of the National e samples: 5,000) in 400 schools. Proctors er FTF or remotely without visiting virinarily surveyed by web). Panel e a web survey and the older e MTF panel study has three parts turned (undelivered) locating effor the web panel launches (web) in ed to participate. The panel ldy.
SRO Project Period	04/2022 - 03/2027			
Data Col Period	04/2022 - 03/2027			
Security Plan	Yes			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pretest S	tart:
	Pretest End:		Recruitment S	tart:
	Staffing Complete:		GIT S	tart:
	SS Train Start:		SS Train I	End:
	DC Start:		DC I	End:
Other Project Team Members	Brad Goodwin (+Ed Gre	een) Data Mana k, WebTrak and	MTF specific Apps. Programmer	
Other Project Name				
	SurveyTrak; Web SMS;	; Illume		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Web SMS;	; Illume		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool	•			
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware	Illume			
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel			
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel	II] Phone	by MTF Research staff)	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A	II] Phone	by MTF Research staff)	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A Yes, Other (Honorarium	II] Phone	by MTF Research staff)	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A Yes, Other (Honorarium ISR Group	II] Phone n paid to school	by MTF Research staff)	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A Yes, Other (Honorarium ISR Group NA	II] Phone n paid to school	by MTF Research staff)	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A Yes, Other (Honorarium ISR Group NA	II] Phone  n paid to school	by MTF Research staff)	Implementing
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type  Payment Method	Illume Laptop; Tablet; [UM cel Illume N/A Yes, Other (Honorarium ISR Group NA Check through other sy	II] Phone  n paid to school	by MTF Research staff)	Implementing

Some further topics will be covered during weekly team leader group conference calls.

- Production version of WebSMS and the Qualtrics surveys were created by 1/29.
- Interviewers began contacting school staff from 1/18 to confirm survey dates and make arrangements for a presurvey meeting with key staff.

As of today (2/19) the first school survey was successfully completed on 2/12 (to schedule). This is the first surveys to be completed in Qualtrics. So far, no issues have been identified. WebSMS is pulling in data from Qualtrics.

## **Special Issues**

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	1,923,544.18
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	6,802,569.67
	Total Budget:	9,778,060.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	2,975,490.33

Reason for Variance:

Projections for Funding period 2022 - 2027

Current projections result in an under spend for the five year grant period. The projected costs are based on completion of 325 schools in years 2024 - 2027 (we were projecting costs for 400 schools) and all in-person. Illume charges have been removed from projections from 2024 onwards. A portion of the hours for work involved in transitioning MTF surveys to Qualtrics are being charged to the Illume recharge

Primary reason for the under spend and plans for SRO funding: Projections for the five year period are based on current scope of work and the adapted protocol (introduced in 2021). The budget prepared for the proposal was based on the pre-pandemic design. The adapted protocol brings savings in the following categories: interviewer hours, travel, shipping and staff time (specifically hours required for loading and preparing tablets for shipping). For the past few years the number of schools recruited and passed to SRO has been lower than the number used for this budget (n=390).

In response, MTF (SRC) have reduced our funding for the first two years. We have received (from MTF) \$1,843,080 (Y1) and \$1,143,890 (Y2) a total reduction of \$815,581. Going forward, the current plan is to fund Year 3 and 4 based on the budgeted amount - if there is significant underspending MTF will reduce SRO's Year 5 award. SRO Finance group feels that we should continue to report/monitor using the original budgeted amount because this is not an official reduction in budget. We are keeping the client (via Nick Prieur) informed of actual and projected spend through a monthly cost report.

Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		137,573.6
	Actual Dollars Used:			68,395.68
	Variance (Projected minus	S Actual):		69,177.93
	Reason for Variance:		f variance is salary costs (IWER her than actuals. Projections for	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Due leat Made	(MTF Early Panel Pilot) Monit Ages 19-65 - Administrative S	Supplement #1 (8/10th Grade Panel) (O	n Irack)
Project Mode	Primary: Web		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 184,954.00	Indirect Budget: 103,575.00	Total Budget: 288,529.00
Principal	Megan Patrick (ISR, SRC)		
nvestigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	<b>HUM#</b> : 00244359		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey	Farquharson	
	Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Rebecca	Gatward	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description		ers survey specifications for each of the 2 wave	es of data collection, SRO will
	complete, SRO will launch the 2024 cases per wave of data collection. including e-mail address to SRO. S data collection.  This budget assumes an overall SR collections taking place during a 4-i	act integration testing with the sample manages and 2025 Web survey data collections with a The Principal Investigator will identify the samp RO will conduct reminder calling with an estimate of the conduction of the	an estimated sample size of 600 ple and deliver contact information nated 300 cases for each wave or cing in March 2024 with the data
	2025 (for Wave 2).		
SRO Project Period	12/2023 - 12/2025		
Data Col Period	04/2024 - 08/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest S	Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	Start:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:
	DC Start:	DC .	End:
Other Project Team Members		e Grey-Farquharson (Lead), Hueichun Peng, S	Shaowei Sun, Hongyu Johnson,
	Brad Goodwin, Edward Green		
Other Breiset News			
•	Web CMC		
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware	Other (Qualtrics) NA		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software	Other (Qualtrics) NA NA		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software	Other (Qualtrics) NA		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool	Other (Qualtrics) NA NA		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool	Other (Qualtrics) NA NA NA		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)  Other (Tango Card)		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type  Payment Method	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)  Other (Tango Card)  Other (Post (by MTF Staff))		Initiation
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type  Payment Method  Report Period	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)  Other (Tango Card)		Initiation
Payment Type	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)  Other (Tango Card)  Other (Post (by MTF Staff))  Jan, 2024 (MTF Early Panel Pilot)  On Track  1. Project Management	on testing the survey forms (both survey forms	
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive  Administration  Payment Type  Payment Method  Report Period  Risk Level	Other (Qualtrics)  NA  NA  NA  Yes, R  ISR Group (MTF Staff)  Other (Tango Card)  Other (Post (by MTF Staff))  Jan, 2024 (MTF Early Panel Pilot)  On Track  1. Project Management -Worked with the MTF study team of	e	

Cost as of Jan 31, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):		7,568.14
	Est Cost at Completion (Es	\$AC):		282,263.76
	Total Budget:			288,529.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):		6,265.24
	Reason for Variance:		er 2023 was projected. Proje ed in January.	ections will be
Projections as of Jan 31, 2024	4 Dollars Projected for Mon	th:	·	7,187.00
	Actual Dollars Used:			5,929.69
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			
	Reason for Variance:	Not everyone January.	charged as projected becau	se some work moved into
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(MTF Panel	2022-27) Monitoring th	e Future Panel 2022-2027 (On	Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Pro	jects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	2,496,935.00	Indirect Budget: 1,398,282.00	Total Budget: 3,895,217.00
Principal	Megan Patrick	(UM-SRC)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> 002179	20		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquha	ırson	
	Budget Analys	st: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Ma	anager: Lloyd Fate Hemingw	ay	
	Senior Project	t Advisor: Rebecca Gatward		
	Production Ma	anager 1:		
	Production Ma	anager 2:		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	This project is a	a continuation of MTF Illume	Web 2021.	
	surveys as part complete, SRO identified by the Web survey da funded Winter I	of the systems integration p will launch the 2021 Web su Principal Investigator who w ta collection will replace aspe	all previously programmed in Illume rocess. All 12 surveys will be launch urvey data collection with an estimate will deliver the contact information incects of the standard mail-based data in-Response follow-up calling will income activities.	ed in 2021. After testing is and sample size of 20,000 cases cluding e-mail address to SRO. The collection. Both the separately
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 03/20	)27		
Data Col Period	04/2022 - 10/20	026		
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Producti	on Start:	Pretest	Start:
	Pres	test End:	Recruitment	Start:
	Staffing C	omplete:	GIT	Start:
	SS Tra	ain Start:	SS Train	End:
		DC Start:	DC	End:
Other Project Team Members			arquharson, Lloyd Hemingway, Dave Dey, Hongyu Johnson, Brad Goodwi	
Other Project Name	MTF			
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS			
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtric	s)		
Hardware	NA			
DE Software	NA			
QC Recording Tool	NA			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	ISR Group (MT	F Staff)		
Payment Type	Check, prepaid	; Check, post		
Payment Method	Other (MTF St	aff handles this)		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (MTF	Panel 2022-27)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates		t ics surveys (for production 20	024)	
		dating 2023 Web SMS speci gram Web SMS for 2024 pro		
	3. Web Data Co	ollection - N/A		
	<ul><li>4. Winter Locat</li><li>5. NR Production</li><li>6. RLM: n/A</li></ul>	ion -N/A		

Cost as of Jan 31, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):			1,278,976.95	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):				
	Total Budget:				3,895,217.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	inus- E\$AC):			3,370.22	
	Reason for Variance:				eb Illume as well as Non- oject. WL projections are to	
Projections as of Jan 31, 2	2024 Dollars Projected for Mon	nth:			53,696.24	
	Actual Dollars Used:	Actual Dollars Used:			45,903.66	
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			7,792.58	
	Reason for Variance:	R			eb Illume as well as Non- oject. WL projections will be	
Measures		Units at Con	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Garroneriotaan					
	Estimate at Complete:					

Project Name	(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Stud Primary: Face to Face Secondary: T	•	Total of Modes: 2	···/
Project Mode		relepriorie	Total of Wodes. 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		<b>5</b>	<b>- -</b>
Budget	Direct Budget: 828,581.00		Budget: 464,004.00	Total Budget: 1,292,585.0
Principal	Narayan Sastry (University of Michigan)	)		
Investigator/Clients	Elizabeth Fussel (Brown University)			
Funding Agency	NICHD, with supplemental funding being	g sought from	NIA	
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00197300			Period of Approval: 4/5/2022-3/22/24
Project Team	Project Lead: Camila Kendall			
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em			
	Production Manager:			
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A C	Chardoul		
	Production Manager 1: Camila Kendal	.II		
	Production Manager 2:			
Proposal #	no data			
	frame, sample design, questionnaire and baseline data collection (in 2023). DMSS responsive design, panel maintenance is Spanish instrument for use specifically in training, Pretest and Main Data collection reports for production and quality control train the research team on using these rencrypted and transmitted daily via Survey.	S will provide issues, and crin PR. SRO with and will travol monitoring the reports. All dates.	assistance with sample deseation of sample weights. Sill assist with the preparationed to PR to be on-site for the will be programmed throught will be collected by ETI's	sign and implementation, SRO will update the PSID-21 in of training materials for Listing lese trainings. SRO will define bugh the SurveyTrak system, and interviewers in PR and will be
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 12/2023			
Data Col Period				
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2021		Pretest S	Start:
	Pretest End: Recruitment Start:			Start:
	Staffing Complete: GIT Start:			Start:
	SS Train Start:		SS Train	End:
	DC Start:		DC	End:
Other Project Team Members	Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye & Camila Kenda Raphael Nishimura Sampling Tech Team: Marsha Skoman (Tech Lea Lieske (Programming Support), Valyn D Emmanuel Ellis (Help Desk), Cheng Zho Spanish Testing and Project Support: Li	ad & STrak Pro Dall (Data Man Jou (Database	ogrammer), Jude Purillo (Le ager), Jennie Williams (Dat setup), Lihshwu Ke (Datab	a Management Support), ase set up)
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8			
Hardware	Laptop			
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia			
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	Other (ETI (Puerto Rican Survey Firm))	)		
Payment Type	Check, post (Varies by study phase); Ca	ash, post (Var	ies by study phase)	
Payment Method	Other (Via ETI Systems)			
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (PR-PSID)			Initiation
Risk Level	Some Concerns			
	SRO, ETI, and PIs coordinated to develo			
Monthly Updates	Letter mail date (2/1). Determined that a complete 75 IWs.	ali 120 recruite	ed lines would be loaded as	the pretest sample. Goal is to
Monthly Updates		ed and ready -	SRO raised concerns as	they loaded the laptops before all

with Spanish resources to finalize translations of training materials. SRO coordinated with ETI to get training materials printed.

Met with ETI on 1/29 for pre-training planning day -- determined that all of the laptops had been loaded incorrectly by ETI's contractor. SRO worked with HD to ensure that all laptops were loaded properly for training.

SRO successfully trained 5 ETI interviewers, and 2 project manager supervisors on GIT and PSID concepts, in Spanish. All interviewers were certified in both the Family Listing and the full PSID interview. 1 SRO Project Co-Lead worked with ETI from PR on 2/8-2/9: Was able to recertify an interviewer on the Family Listing, certify the last project manager on the Family Listing, observe an in-person interview, and assist with troubleshooting tech problems.

	problems.	obiens.				
Special Issues	Slight overrun. Much of the	Slight overrun. Much of the Post Collection Processing that is planned is new for SRO.				
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):			558,727.31	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	E\$AC):			1,368,730.40	
	Total Budget:				1,292,585.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m	-76,145.40				
	Reason for Variance:	Bi ad	iggest driver	ons for tech lead, and data	~15K between Dec-Jan. gual Training support. Also managers to support Prod	
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:				43,766.67	
	Actual Dollars Used:				38,422.94	
	Variance (Projected minu	is Actual):			5,343.73	
	Reason for Variance:	А	ctuals for pro	grammers were less than	projected.	
Measures		Units at Cor	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(PSID CDS 2023) PSID Childhood Developm	ent Supplement 2023	(On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Secondary: Face to Face Total	al of Modes: 4	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 0.10 Indirect	Budget: 0.10	Total Budget: 0.20
Principal	Narayan Sastry (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00166316		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager: Sarah Crane		
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	A 2023 wave of the Childhood Development Supplen from September 2023 – May 2024 and Phase 2: from of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Co Approximately 3,700 families will be included, with so the CDS Phase 1, families are asked to complete phore Adolescent 12 - 17 phone/web interview (including are visited in person (where possible) and asked to complete physical measurements, educational assessments, soforms. CDS interviewing will be conducted by a mix of interviews will be handled by SurveyTrak and Blaise 5.	n June 2024 - January 202 ore 2023 families we interviewe Core families containing one coverscreen and PCG on IVR component in phone olete Child 8 - 11 interviewed aliva collection, time diaries of SSL and Field interviewed	25. The sample for CDS is comprised iew and their primary caregivers. In several CDS children. As part of interviews followed by mixed a mode). In Phase 2 families will be so (via Video if out of area), provide as, school and birth record linkage ers. Coverscreen and PCG
SRO Project Period	08/2022 - 01/2025		
Data Col Period	09/2023 - 01/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2022	Pretes	t Start: 04/24/2023
	Pretest End: 05/14/2023	Recruitment	t Start: 07/01/2023
	Staffing Complete: 09/01/2023	GIT	<b>Start:</b> 12/12/1999
	SS Train Start: 01/18/2024	SS Trai	n End: 01/22/2024
	DC Start: 01/22/2024	D	<b>C End</b> : 07/31/2024
Other Project Team Membe	ers		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other (WSMS)		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5; Other (IVR)		
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	Other (Time Diary Coding)		
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (PCG)		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post (75 + interventions); Other (ePay)		
Payment Method	Check through other system (PSID RAPS); Interview RAPS)	er payment of cash (reimb	ursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PSID
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (PSID CDS 2023)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	(MPR had just ate 30 minutes of my work could it intermittently? Below is the 2nd abridged version):	act more like Google Docs	s and save instantaneously or
	CDS data collection launched in January. So far we Phase 2 (below).	have no concerns to repor	t other than IVR and a note about
	Staff:	ainina)	
	48 interviewers + 2 locators (no attrition after the tra CDS staff is shared with other projects (PSID Saliv		

-- Release 1: 2260 (34 Spanish) -- Release 2: 759 (66 Spanish) -- Release 3: 144 (forthcoming)

Data collection (goal / actual): - CS: 898 / 908 - PCG: 360 / 471 - Adolescents: 142 / 111

environment which prevent a few survey programming expect to launch IVR week Phase 2: Pls revisited the	IVR: we have not launched IVR data collection yet. There were lingering issues with the testing / training environment which prevented us from fully training iwers those issues were resolved as of 2/23. There were also a few survey programming errors uncovered by the PSID IDPM team which are still being programmed. We expect to launch IVR week of 2/25.  Phase 2: Pls revisited the prospect of at-home visits with some portion of the CDS23 sample. Scope discussions are in progress right now but there is a desire to start the work in the Fall of 2024.				
Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):		0.00		
Est Cost at Completion (I	E\$AC):		0.00		
Total Budget:			0.20		
Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		0.00		
Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance:  Since start in Aug 2022 through Jan 2024 Cl \$1.01M direct and spent \$936K direct. Our 22 through end of Phase 1 (Aug-24) and clo \$3.6M direct. There is however a strong pos Phase 2 (at home) will be added.				
Dollars Projected for Month:					
Actual Dollars Used:	0.00				
Variance (Projected minu	0.00				
Reason for Variance:  Prior to January, monthly costs were difficult to analyze due to fund transfers across the funding sources. In January, we projected to spend \$229 and spent \$141K however, another \$60K is going to be added to January after recertification.					
	Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
Current Goal:	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Goal at Completion:	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Current Actual:	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Estimate at Complete:	n/a	n/a	n/a		
	environment which prevent a few survey programming expect to launch IVR week Phase 2: Pls revisited the are in progress right now b  Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (I  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget n  Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mon  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minu  Reason for Variance:  Current Goal:  Goal at Completion:  Current Actual:	environment which prevented us from fully training iwe a few survey programming errors uncovered by the Prexpect to launch IVR week of 2/25.  Phase 2: Pls revisited the prospect of at-home visits are in progress right now but there is a desire to start.  Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):  Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):  Reason for Variance:  Since stare \$1.01M or 22 through \$3.6M dimediates \$1.01M or 22 through \$3.6M dimediat	environment which prevented us from fully training iwers those issues were rest a few survey programming errors uncovered by the PSID IDPM team which are stexpect to launch IVR week of 2/25. Phase 2: Pls revisited the prospect of at-home visits with some portion of the CD are in progress right now but there is a desire to start the work in the Fall of 2024.  Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):  Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):  Reason for Variance:  Since start in Aug 2022 through Jan. \$1.01M direct and spent \$936K direct 22 through end of Phase 1 (Aug-24) \$3.6M direct. There is however a strong Phase 2 (at home) will be added.  Dollars Projected for Month:  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus Actual):  Reason for Variance:  Prior to January, monthly costs were transfers across the funding sources spend \$229 and spent \$141K however added to January after recertification.  Units at Complete  RR  Current Goal:  n/a  n/a  Current Actual:  n/a  n/a		

Project Name	(PSID TAS 2023 Context (On Tr		hood within its Life Course & In	tergenerational Family
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Project	ts		
Budget	Direct Budget: 93	34,249.00	Indirect Budget: 523,179.00	Total Budget: 1,457,428.00
Principal	Narayan Sastry (L	J-M PSC SRC)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> 00112629			Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Eliz	zabeth Ohryn		
	Budget Analyst:	Ivanna Iavorska-Em		
	Production Mana	nger: Narine Verdiyan		
	Senior Project A	dvisor: Stephanie A Cha	rdoul	
	Production Mana	nger 1: Daric Thorne		
	Production Mana	ger 2:		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	TAS 2023 is the 1	Oth Wave of TAS study, p	part of the PSID Suite of projects.	
	of Income Dynami the same sequent Respondents will	cs (PSID) are invited to t ial treatment - non-responde oe offered a up to \$145 for rventions. Phone intervi	ars who are part of families who partic ake part in a 60-minute web survey. In nders to web survey are called as part or completing the interview which inclu ews will be completed by Survey Rese	2023, all respondents go through of the non-response follow up. des a \$75 base payment and
SRO Project Period	05/2023 - 07/2024			
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 05/2024			
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production	<b>Start:</b> 05/01/2023	Pretest S	tart:
	Pretes	End:	Recruitment S	tart: 07/23/2023
	Staffing Com	plete:	GIT S	tart: 10/03/2023
	SS Train	<b>Start:</b> 10/02/2023	SS Train I	<b>End</b> : 10/06/2023
	DC	<b>Start:</b> 10/03/2023	DC I	End: 05/31/2023
Other Project Team Members  Other Project Name	Data Manager - R Swanson and Dar Camila Kendall; P	ose Zybel; Blaise Progra nell Christian; Help Desk	iaye; TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, mmer - Youhong Liu; Portal - Jude Pel Support - Kyle Goodman; Testing Coo t - Jaime Koopman, Sarah Crane, Xior ri Chen	rillo MSMS Programmers - Pam ordinator and project support -
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5			
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop;	TIM celli Phone		
DE Software	NA	.c conj r nono		
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia			
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Check, post (\$75)	Other (ePav)		
Payment Method	. , , ,	ner system (PSID RAPS):	Other (ePay)	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (PSID 1	AS 2023)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	Summary of Janua	ary Activities		
	Production	continues clightly shood	of goals with 1483 total completes, whi	ah ia F2 ahaad of the augustine

- TAS production continues slightly ahead of goals with 1483 total completes, which is 52 ahead of the cumulative goal at the end of January. Rel1 has a response rate of 83% and Rel2 has a response rate of 70%.

  - Respondents continue to receive sequenced (planned) system emails and iwer sent text messages. Message
- frequency is ~1X a week for Rel1 and ~2x a week for Rel2.
- All Interim Rel1 CPs received a mailed communication offering the CP \$20 if R completes by mid-February. The mailing included a sealed letter to R with an invitation letter for the CP to provide R. CPs will also be sent two emails and text messages communicating this offer, which must be sent outside of DCA but logged as a contact

## attempt in DCA.

- The SSL moved to remote work over 4 different days due to weather. TAS was able to seamlessly transition for these days to ensure production continued while inclement weather was an issue for interviewers.
- Other current R protocols include: locating, assigned sample calling (respondents without a cell), and suspend follow up.

## Staffing and Training

- No interviewer attrition. The project expects to meet production goals with the current number of interviewers and is no longer planning a February text training.

### Technical

- The project released cases on 1/2/24 where R was less than 18 at the start of TAS production but turned 18 by the end of 2023. Due to the Blaise specification and programming these cases remained locked out of the instrument despite being released. A data model update was required to fix this access issue. The fix was programmed, tested in CTT, tested in INT 2, and promoted in four business days.
- Pooled delivery rules were reviewed to ensure appropriate case delivery and calling for non-response calls. Rules were adjusted by adding a customizable sort order to ensure Rel 1 hits the top of the queue as other releases start non-response calling.
- Reports are now correctly displaying completed in the correct week. An MSMS Admin event set the first finalized date to 11/30 for ~150 Rel 2 cases. TAS switched to the Last Finalized date for the correction.

## Management

- The project planned the contact protocol for the final two project releases, which will take place in February 2024.
- An IRB submission made in mid-December was not approved by mid-January. This prompted TAS to recommend the PI check in with IRB, which prompted a quick review and ultimate approval of the amendment.
- TAS continues to rely heavily on SSA support for its mailing schedule. With some extended OOTO time, SSA training continues to ensure all tasks are sufficiently covered.

Special Issues						
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direc	666,882.2				
	Est Cost at Completion (	E\$AC):		1,346,723.0		
	Total Budget:			1,457,428.0		
	Variance (Total Budget n	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):				
	Reason for Variance:	allocatior added or review is	is removed. While some force Rel4 numbers are known	wer than projected with some urther mailing projections may be wn, another area requiring further ement to ensure the project is g worked.		
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mo	nth:		136,402.97		
	Actual Dollars Used:			104,695.97		
	Variance (Projected mine	us Actual):		31,707.00		
	Reason for Variance:	Technolo	Technology costs came in lower than projected.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:		89%			
	Current Actual:	1483	75%	1.9		
	Estimate at Complete:			3.7		
	Variance:					

Project Name	(PSID23) Pane	el Study of Income Dy	mannes core 2025 (On Trac	<b>ж</b> )
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 6	6,235,802.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 6,235,802.00
Principal	Katherine McGo	nagle (UM-SRC-PSID)		
Investigator/Clients	Narayan Sastry (	(UM-SRC-PSID)		
	Esther Friedman	(UM-SRC-PSID)		
Funding Agency				
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM000	62417		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 3/22/22-3/21/24
Project Team	Project Lead: R	achel Anne Orlowski		
	Budget Analyst	t: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Mar	nager: Stacy Quisenberry		
	Senior Project	Advisor: Stephanie A Cha	ardoul	
	Production Mar	nager 1: Daric Thorne		
	Production Mar	nager 2: Shonda R Kruge	r-Ndiaye	
Proposal #	no data			
	every two years. (immigrant) sampapprox. 9,650 cochanges (marriar employment and fertility; COVID-1 composition and administered via telephone (which During the 2023 completed to be are adults related trained on both the same MSMS pro	The sample is comprised ple added in 1997/1999 at a pumpleted interviews expect ges, divorces, births, deat l pensions; and wealth. The legislation of the sample sample sample sample with a will be a first for the student wave, saliva samples will eligible for saliva collection do to Child Development Sinhe interview and saliva conject.	of respondents from the 4,800 of ad 2017/2019. The total 2023 sated. Most of the information colle hs, people moving in and out); in the are are also questions about houd, healthcare, and school. The with each other and how they chat the expectation that more survey by).  be collected for the first time on n. Saliva sample participants that applement (CDS) children but do lilection protocols. Both data collection into Adulthood (TAS) will follow	ample size will be approx. 11,200, with ected is about family composition and
SRO Project Period	03/2022 - 09/202	24		
Data Col Period	03/2023 - 03/202	24		
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production	n Start: 03/01/2022	Pr	etest Start: 10/11/2022
	Prete	st End: 10/31/2022	Recruit	ment Start: 09/19/2022
	Staffing Co.	mplete: 04/21/2023		GIT Start: 06/05/2023
	SS Trail	n <b>Start:</b> 03/08/2023	SS	Train End: 06/11/2023
		C Start: 03/23/2023		<b>DC End:</b> 03/31/2024
Other Project Team Members	TSG Tech Leads Site Programmer Christian; Self Sc Production Tech Carolyn Vieira-M Project/Productio Mapping, & Sam	s - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smitl r - Ashwin Dey; Blaise Pro cheduler Programmer - Pe Support - Sarah Broumar lartinez; DCO Production on Support - Saujanya Acl ple Assignment - Ji Qi & V	grammer - Jude Perillo; MSMS eter Sparks; Help Desk - David B nd; Testing Coordinator - Camila Manager: Lorraine Bird; Saliva P narya, Mat Luna, Janet McBride,	ager - Brad Goodwin & Ed Green; 68ID Programmers - Pam Swanson & Darnell Bolt, Andrea Pierce, & Deb Wilson; Kendall; SSL Production Manager:
Other Project Name				
Other Project Name	PSID Core 2023			
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS			
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool	MSMS Blaise 5	1 Dhana		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell]	] Phone		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell]	] Phone		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] N/A Camtasia			
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Oth	ner (Locator, Proxy)		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Oth ISR Group (PSIE	ner (Locator, Proxy)		
Sample Mgmt System  Data Col Tool  Hardware  DE Software  QC Recording Tool  Incentive	MSMS Blaise 5 Laptop; [UM cell] N/A Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, Oth ISR Group (PSIE Check, post (Var	ner (Locator, Proxy) D) ries); Cash, post (Varies);	Other (electronic, postJP Morç	gan) PS); Interviewer payment of cash

Report Period	Jan, 2024 (PSID23)			Implementing		
Risk Level	On Track					
Monthly Updates	Summary of January 2024	1 Activities Forthcoming				
Special Issues	Saliva data collection (incl continue into March.	uding FTF visits) starting late	r than originally planned.	Saliva FTF effort expected to		
Cost as of Feb 21, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direc	et + indirect):		5,058,349.29		
	Est Cost at Completion (	E\$AC):		5,762,010.2		
	Total Budget:	Total Budget:				
	Variance (Total Budget r	minus- E\$AC):		473,791.7		
	Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance:  Review of projections not complete.  Note: CRS has the main iw and saliva budgets loaded. Unable to separate Core IDC costs from Contact Update IDC costs. Cost values only reflect Direct Costs.				
Projections as of Feb 21, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month: 457,47					
	Actual Dollars Used:	Actual Dollars Used:				
	Variance (Projected min	83,647.70				
	Reason for Variance:	Note: Una	costs not complete. ble to separate Core IDC st values only reflect Direct	costs from Contact Update IDC		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:	9646	89%	6.58		
	Current Actual:	9188	86%	5.7		
	Estimate at Complete:	8956	85%	5.73		
	Variance:	690	4%	0.85		
Other Measures	Notes for Measures Above From Dashboard. Units Co	e: ompleted = 9188 iws (2475 CA	ATI27%, 6713 web73%	). Sample Invited = 10,928.		
		•		equire any interviewer effort. 7 = non-sample/non-iw. Additional		

Project Name	(SCA 2024) Surveys of Consu	mer Attitudes (On Track)				
Project Mode	Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1					
Project Type	Sponsored Projects					
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 680,222.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 680,222.00			
Principal						
Investigator/Clients						
Funding Agency						
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:			
Project Team	Project Lead: Theresa Camelo					
	Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens					
	Production Manager: William Keat	ing				
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R	Kruger-Ndiaye				
	Production Manager 1:					
	Production Manager 2:					
Proposal #	no data					
Description	contiguous United States. The SCA  The objectives of the surveys are to circumstances and to determine wh expectations occur in advance of be indicators of aggregate economic according to the survey of the survey	are a series of nationally representative so is designed to measure changes in consu- learn what consumers think about econor y they think and behave as they do. Since shavior, measures of consumer attitudes a ctivity. The survey measures are not inten- me. The SCA is intended to measure change.	umer attitudes and expectations.  mic events under varying echanges in attitudes and and expectations can act as leading ded to establish the absolute level of			
SRO Project Period	01/2024 - 06/2024					
Data Col Period						
Security Plan	NA					
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Prete	st Start:			
	Pretest End:	Recruitme	nt Start:			
	Staffing Complete:	G	IT Start:			
	SS Train Start:	SS Tr	ain End:			
	DC Start: 01/02/2024		<b>DC End:</b> 06/30/2024			
Other Project Team Membe	ers					
Other Project Name						
Sample Mgmt System	SMS					
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8					
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone					
DE Software	NA					
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CXM					
Incentive	Not used					
Administration	N/A					
Payment Type	N/A					
Payment Method	N/A					
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (SCA 2024)		Initiation			
Risk Level			muadon			
		On Track				
Monthly Updates	1/28/2024.	on Tuesday 01/02/2024, and ended on, 1				
	We completed 601 interviews in Jar	nuary 2024 (320/181/100), 1 interview abo	ve our goals of 600 (320/181/100).			
		ras 3.26 (.06 higher than our budgeted goo oal, this was the lowest HPI achieved in so	everal months (due in part to the			
		to the University by the National Champio	n Wolverines),			
Special Issues		to the University by the National Champio	n Wolverines),			
Special Issues Cost as of Feb 13, 2024			n Wolverines), 84,851.			

	Total Budget:			680,222.00	
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):		44,948.55	
	Reason for Variance:				
Projections as of Feb 13, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mo	nth:		113,370.33	
	Actual Dollars Used:	84,851.26			
	Variance (Projected minu	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			
	Reason for Variance:	Budgeted tr	aining was postponed.		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	600		3.2	
	Goal at Completion:	600		3.2	
	Current Actual:	601		3.26	
	Estimate at Complete:	601		3.26	
	Variance:	+1		+.06	

Project Name	(SRS 2021) Social R	elations 2023 (A	tention!)		
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 1	•		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 3,767,0	57.11	Indirect Budget: 2,109,553	3.00	Total Budget: 5,876,610.11
Principal	Toni Antonucci (ISR)				
Investigator/Clients	Kristine Ajrouch (ISR)				
g	Laura Zahodne (ISR)				
Funding Agency	NIH				
IRB	HUM#:				Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara	I ohr Ward			- Criou Crippiorum
. rojour roum	Budget Analyst: Christ				
	Production Manager:		urae		
	Senior Project Adviso		uige		
	Production Manager 1				
Drawagal #	Production Manager 2	: ian Ogden			
Proposal # Description	no data	20	aged 35 years or older residii		
	members aged younger one selected responder Social Relations intervieus blood pressure, grip stre programmed for the D-A	than 65 years of ag nt per household. Thew), a 60 minute cog ength) and saliva co Amp project, with the	e. The project involves scree e interview will consist of a 6 nitive interview and a series lection. The SRS 2023 proje only new programming bein	ening up to 69 0 minute core of physical m ct will use the og that for a s	e interview (content from the leasurements (height, weight,
SRO Project Period	09/2021 - 05/2023				
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 04/2024				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	09/01/2022		Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:		Recr	uitment Start:	02/01/2023
	Staffing Complete:	04/10/2023		GIT Start:	05/16/2023
	SS Train Start:	05/18/2023		SS Train End:	05/25/2023
	DC Start:	05/30/2023		DC End:	12/31/2024
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronic Raphael Nishimura, Joh		Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, Ash	nwin Dey, Ke	lly Liesko, Peter Sparks,
Other Project Name	Social Relations 2022, I	DAWN, Social Relat	ons 2023		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	e; Paper and Pencil			
DE Software	Other (Weblog)				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI				
Incentive	Yes, R				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$75 resp	oondent, \$25 informa	ant); Other (\$2 screener ince	entive)	
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of	cash (reimbursed/re	conciled via Tenrox)	,	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (SRS 2021)				Implementing
Risk Level	Attention!				тиристину
		udy continues to be	woll habind ashadula in tarre	o of complet	ng porophore and acces
Monthly Updates	Screening continues to	be very difficult, with	well behind schedule in term some areas being very host gher than were budgeted, an	tile to minority	

The Social Relations Study continues to be well behind schedule in terms of completing screeners and cases. Screening continues to be very difficult, with some areas being very hostile to minority interviewers (or to any interviewers). Hours per screener are far higher than were budgeted, and the project continues to be hampered by excessive attrition, resulting in far fewer interviewer hours than needed to support the level of production needed. The production deficit is related to having fewer interviewers than needed, and higher hours per screen than budgeted. The interview length is 130 minutes (compared to 140 budgeted) and saliva cooperation is around 84% (slightly higher than budgeted).

On January 4, we provided the PIs with updated production charts showing the deficits in production and high spending compared to % production completed. The PIs acknowledged the numbers. Also during the Jan 4 2024 meeting we discussed how best to use the remaining money on the project. We also reviewed suggestions from

SDG. The PIs are resistant to considering scope reductions. We have been and continue to be very clear that the project is in financial trouble and they will not achieve the budgeted number of interviews without significant additional funding (including highlighting this in monthly reports).

On Jan 11, SRO provided the research team with an estimate of monthly production and spending, which would result in about 1,477 completed main using the existing budget. This would involve extending the project until November. The LCD team shared that they intended to set up a meeting with the SRS project officer. The Pls asked for a ballpark budget to complete the full work scope --the Pls did not want to incorporate any suggested scope modifications in the budgets. On Feb 15 we gave the Pls a ballpark number for completion of the full work scope. \*From Feb 1 going forward, SRS would need an estimated additional \$3.8 million in direct costs, assuming the current hours per screen and hours per interview. This estimate takes into account the approximately \$2.18 million in direct costs remaining as of February 1, 2024.\* The Pls indicated that they would seek additional funding for the project. The Feb 15 meeting notes are uploaded to MPR.

In mid-January, the research team approved moving forward with preparation for release of panel cases. The study continues to experience interviewer attrition, including from the small group of on-staff interviewers training at the end of November/beginning December. The research team approved moving forward with a new recruitment effort to bring on screening interviewers.

Projections for the study have been reviewed and revised. Since production is low, we are not spending at the rate that had been anticipated, and this will allow us to extend the production period. The PIs approved (and welcomed) extending the production period, and also approved moving forward with the release of the panel sample.

## Special Issues

We have been keeping the dire financial situation of the study in front of the PIs as frequently as possible, including revising the monthly activity report to emphasize the financial and production situation. The PIs acknowledged the poor study outcomes in January and asked for updated projections to present to the program officer. Projections for completing the full work scope were given to the PIs on 2/15/2024.

NOTE: We are projecting that all of the project budget will be fully used for data collection, however we will not be able to take the budgeted number of interviews given the very high HPI.

Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	2,471,086.18
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	5,875,415.56
	Total Budget:	5,876,610.11
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	1,194.55
	Reason for Variance:	We are projecting that the entire budget will be used for data collection. We are not projecting an overrun. We are assuming that the work scope will be decreased to match the budget available.
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:	359,538.41
	Actual Dollars Used:	202,546.46
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	156,991.95
	Reason for Variance:	Production is far lower than expected or budgeted due to excessive

interviewer attrition and very high HPI. This means that interviewer travel, respondent payments and costs associated with production are all running lower than expected. We substantially reduced interviewer hour projections (and all related costs), however actuals keep coming in lower than expectations due to increased attrition or lower iwer effort. We are making more adjustments to try to get projections in

line with spending.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	3644	88% scr, 75% main	10.4 with screening
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:	596	24% scr, 48% main	21 with screening
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

## Other Measures

RR shown above reflect completion based on the released sample. Current length 129 minutes, budgeted 140 minutes. Saliva participation rate is 84%, budgeted at 80%

Project Name		EARTH) STARRS-LS VA - Housing HEARTH) Project Qualitative Inter		sessment Risk,
Project Mode	Primary: Qualitative	Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 57,65	5.00 Indirect Budget:	32,287.00	Total Budget: 89,942.00
Principal	James Wagner (Unive	rsity of Michigan - Survey Research Cen	er)	
Investigator/Clients	Ronald Kessler (Harva	ard Medical School)		
Funding Agency		ns Affairs to Harvard Medical School; Unit with the Harvard Medical School for per	,	
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM0023558	1		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 6/7/2023 - 6/6/2024
Project Team	Project Lead: Margar	et Lee Hudson		
	Budget Analyst: Willi	am Lokers		
	Production Manager	Derek Dubuque		
	Senior Project Advis	or: Lisa S Holland		
	Production Manager	1: Meredith A House		
	Production Manager	2: Austin De Spirito		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	Qualitative Interviews Harvard Medical Scholong-term prospective among US Army soldi of research in STARR soldiers were still on a developed successful VHA leadership, who target recently separa homelessness. As pai be created based on a intake form can then be	boration with VA HEARTH (Housing Empise part of a larger project led by STARRS of (HMS). The Army Study to Assess Risstudy (funded so far for close to 15 years ers and, in recent years, Veterans who we's has been the development of machine ctive duty to predict homelessness after I models of this sort and published the resupproached the HMS STARRS team to elied Veterans for intensive transitional series to fithe larger project, the HMS STARRS short set of self-report questions that cole used by VA HEARTH social workers in RS-LS respondents) to determine which	site principal investiga k and Resilience in Sei of risk and protective ere soldiers at the begi learning models using pecoming a Veteran. These publications stablish a collaboration vices interventions desteam will be updating to the samples of transi	tor, Dr. Ron Kessler, at the vicemembers (STARRS) is a factors for suicidal behaviors nning of the study. One line information obtained while he HMS STARRS team is came to the attention of to use these models to igned to prevent the risk prediction models to a participant intake form. This tioning service members
	respondents who were they became Veterans aspect of the research qualitative interview do for soldiers at high rist from HMS, the U-M S respondents for the qualitative into the qualitative decidentified/c respondents. The HM	were developed by working with a sample interviewed initially when they were on a series of the seri	active duty and then follower and became homeless ruited to participate in was VA might be able to vice and becoming Vesearch Operations (SR ws, transcribe and de-indentified/coded surveynt analysis of these tra	lowed and reinterviewed afters after separation. A second a follow-up focused improve transitional services terans. With a subcontract O) will recruit STARRS-LS dentify the interviews, and data files for these
SRO Project Period	05/2023 - 02/2024			
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 02/2024			
Security Plan	Yes			
Milestones	Pre Production Star	·	Pretest Start:	
······································	Pretest End		Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete		GIT Start:	
	SS Train Star		SS Train End:	
Other Project Team Members	Margaret Hudson - Pr Derek Dubuque - Sch Austin De Spirito - Inte 4 temp research techr	RRS LS Survey Director Dject Manager	DC End:	
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	Other (Excel)			
Data Col Tool	NA			
Hardware	NA			
DE Software	NA			
QC Recording Tool	NA			
Incentive	Yes, R			
	, · ·			

Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	NA				
Payment Method	NA				
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (STARRS-LS VA	HEARTH)		Implementing	
Risk Level	On Track				
Monthly Updates	<ul> <li>? The final 4 batches of email invitations (~100) were sent in the first 2 weeks of the month and interviews continued to be scheduled and conducted, tailing off by the 3rd week of the month.</li> <li>During the month, we began implementing a text reminder message to respondents if they were more than five minutes late for their appointment and found it was successful in helping respondents keep their appointments.</li> <li>? After consulting with Ron Kessler, Katie Koh, and Nancy Sampson, on January 23, the HEARTH team agreed that we would end additional recruitment efforts, working only to schedule the remaining recently interested Veterans.</li> <li>• The online form for Veterans to express interest in participation closed on January 31. The scheduling calenda will remain open for interviews through February 11.</li> <li>? As of January 31, 54 interviews have been completed and 2 more are scheduled for early February.</li> <li>? As of the end of January, 25 redacted transcripts and two coded transcripts had been transferred to Harvard.</li> <li>? A. DeSpirito and M. Hudson continue to work on redacting the transcripts for the interviewers to code.</li> <li>? M. Hudson conducted an audit of the completion of the various deliverables for each completed interview (e.g., transcript file, coded file, R pay) and sent follow-ups to the interviewers on pieces that had yet to be completed.</li> <li>? The interviewers continue to code transcripts, with a goal of coding as many as possible by the end of February.</li> <li>? M. Hudson continues to join a weekly meeting of the Pls and student interviewers to check in.</li> </ul>				
	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue	<ul><li>ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goa</li></ul>	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. ossible by the end of February.	
Special Issues	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue	<ul><li>ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goa</li></ul>	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. ossible by the end of February.	
Special Issues Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goon in a weekly meeting of the PIs	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. ossible by the end of February.	
·	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Place indirect):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54	
·	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct +	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Place indirect):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54	
·	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Planscripts.  Findirect):  SAC):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54	
·	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Planscripts.  Findirect):  SAC):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00	
·	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plan indirect):  SAC):  hus-E\$AC):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min  Reason for Variance:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plan indirect):  SAC):  hus-E\$AC):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. cossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plant indirect):  GAC):  hus-E\$AC):	interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as p	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$ Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont Actual Dollars Used:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Planing	e interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as personal student interviewers and student interviewers gement (Holland, House,	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. s to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10  16,322.60  12,622.29	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16,	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Planting of the Planti	e interviewers on pieces that of coding as many as personal student interviewers and student interviewers gement (Holland, House,	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. In the complete displaying the strong	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$  Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plant indirect):  SAC):  h:  Actual):  Senior mana than projecte	gement (Holland, House, d.	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. Is to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10  16,322.60  12,622.29  3,700.31  Hudson) charged hours fewer	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$ Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plant indirect):  SAC):  h:  Actual):  Senior mana than projecte	gement (Holland, House, d.	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. Is to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10  16,322.60  12,622.29  3,700.31  Hudson) charged hours fewer	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$ Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plant indirect):  SAC):  h:  Actual):  Senior mana than projecte	gement (Holland, House, d.	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. Is to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10  16,322.60  12,622.29  3,700.31  Hudson) charged hours fewer	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024  Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	transcript file, coded file, R p ? The interviewers continue ? M. Hudson continues to joi  Total Cost to Date (direct + Est Cost at Completion (E\$ Total Budget:  Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance:  Dollars Projected for Mont  Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:  Current Goal:  Goal at Completion:	ay) and sent follow-ups to the to code transcripts, with a goan a weekly meeting of the Plant indirect):  SAC):  h:  Actual):  Senior mana than projecte	gement (Holland, House, d.	hat had yet to be completed. lossible by the end of February. Is to check in.  55,455.54  69,042.90  89,942.00  20,899.10  16,322.60  12,622.29  3,700.31  Hudson) charged hours fewer	

Project Name	(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Longitudinal Study (On Track)	o Assess Risk and Resilience in	Servicemembers-				
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2					
Project Type	Sponsored Projects						
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 8,809,515.00	Indirect Budget: 4,920,601.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 13,730,116.00				
Principal	James Wagner (University of Michigan)						
Investigator/Clients	Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University	ersity of the Health Scienc)					
	Murray Stein / Ron Kessler (University of	California San Diego / Harvard)					
Funding Agency	Department of Defense						
IRB	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00180765		<b>Period of Approval:</b> 4/5/23 - 4/4/24				
Project Team	Project Lead: Meredith A House						
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers						
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou						
	Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland						
	Production Manager 1: Jeffrey Albrecht	Production Manager 1: Jeffrey Albrecht Jr					
	Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewando	Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps					
Proposal #	no data						
	Servicemembers). Army STARRS is a mugenerate actionable evidence-based reco about the determinants of suicidality. The DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain p enable science-based answers to questio of 2025.  For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to re (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study (I group of approximately 73,000 eligible pe consent to link administrative data to their To date, we have completed 2 waves of Sindividuals and completed approximately 1 interview were asked to participate in W the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regardless and control of the	mmendations to reduce US Army suicid goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (Stroductivity of the Army STARRS data and a related to health, resilience, and mare seinterview respondents from the All Arm PPDS) samples using a web-phone multisons who had been interviewed in one survey data.  TARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 particities ave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are coverurdless of whether they completed the interviews of the suicides.	es and increase basic knowledge STARRS-LS) are to enhance and systems established, and appower management for the Army by Study (AAS), New Soldier Study timode study. We started with a of those three surveys and gave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 pants that completed a full Wave ed in this application, will include iterview.				
	In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS the Army STARRS Research Data Enclay primary Army STARRS data as well as conditionally, STARRS-LS will continue to survey data (from the original Army STAR	re, allowing members of the research te ded historical administrative data receiv receive administrative data updates and	am and collaborators to analyze red from the Army and DoD.  I link coded administrative data to				
SRO Project Period	05/2020 - 04/2025						
Data Col Period	11/2022 - 04/2024						
Security Plan	Yes						
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 04/01/2022	Pretest S	tart:				
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart: 07/23/2022				
	Staffing Complete: 10/01/2022	GIT S	tart:				
	SS Train Start: 11/14/2022	SS Train I	<b>End</b> : 11/17/2022				
	DC Start: 11/07/2022	DC I	<b>End</b> : 04/15/2024				

Other Project Team Members

Ryan Yoder, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Steven Sonoras, Andrew Piskorowski, Rose Zdybel, Ji Qi, Makenna Harrison, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Jim Rodgers, Marcus Blough, Nick Hinkle-DeGroot, Pete Westhead

Other Project Name	STARRS-LS Continuation
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone
DE Software	N/A
QC Recording Tool	Other (Blaise CARI)
Incentive	Yes, R

Administration	SRO Group	
Payment Type	Check, post (\$50-\$100)	
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS)	
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4)	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	

Activities for January 2024 include: Project Management and Planning:

**Monthly Updates** 

- ? We sent weekly production updates to the PIs, and reported on progress on the call with the Army/M&RA. ? Meredith created the agenda/notes and facilitated the weekly meeting with the STARRS project managers.
- ? We carried out bi-weekly meetings with M&RA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities.
- ? James and Meredith participated in discussions with the Pls/research team about development of the 5-year research plan for 2025-2030.
- o In the previous 5-year research plan, the GSC included a request to conduct a pilot study of a subsample from the original STARRS baseline sample of approximately 72,000 soldiers to determine participant count of a separate contact effort. We provided a summary of how that piloting activity was handled and resolved for inclusion in the current 5-year plan.
- ? James and Meredith participated in discussions with the Pls/research team about a possible web-based design, interviewing new recruits prior to basic training, for a new NSS.
- o To help inform design options for a web-based approach, Meredith sent an inquiry to Scott asking whether the Army would be able/allowed to share recruits' name, address, cell phone and email address with the STARRS research team so that we could make contact for the NSS survey administration. Scott did not initially believe that contact information could be shared, but he did not have a definitive answer and continued to look into the matter.
- o The team worked on completing a continuing review for the current STARRS-LS IRB protocol, due by April 4.
- o We started planning for the Wave 5 IRB protocol. The current target date for submission is May 17.
- ? STARRS-affiliated, but not STARRS funded, work:
- o VA/HEARTH project:
- ? After consulting with Ron, Katie Koh, and Nancy, on January 23, the HEARTH team agreed that we would end additional recruitment efforts, working only to schedule the remaining recently interested Veterans.
- The online form for Veterans to express interest in participation closed on January 31. The scheduling calendar will remain open for interviews through February 11.
- ? As of January 31, 54 interviews had been completed and 2 more had been scheduled.
- During the month, we began implementing a text reminder message to respondents if they were more than five minutes late for their appointment and found it was very successful in helping respondents keep their appointments. ? As of the end of January, 25 redacted transcripts and two coded transcripts had been transferred to Harvard. The student interviewers continued to work on coding their interviews.

- ? Annual DoD security training: Completed training renewal materials were due on January 31. As of the end of the month, there were only a handful of users who had not yet completed their training.
- ? Annual IA security review:
- o U-M sent vulnerability scans to AAG on January 30 and continued to work on gathering other documents to be
- o On January 10, we learned the M&RA/AAG site visit will be postponed to later in February or early March due to the ongoing Continuing Resolution.
- ? 2023 repeat NDI search:
- o On January 31, we notified Enclave users that the results from the CDC-NDI death record search through the year 2021 were loaded to the Enclave.
- ? Standard Enclave activities continued. These include maintaining security requirements; processing background checks and Great Lakes Cluster (GLC) user access requests, drop box requests, ID swap and data transfer requests; managing software acquisition and updates; and providing user support as needed. Of particular note
- o The primary Windows Enclave server was successfully upgraded on January 18.
- o A planned IT outage for all of ISR was completed on January 27 from 5am to 10am.
- o The DUA with the VA was finalized and the two VA fellows were successful in gaining access to the U-M Enclave.
- ? Biomarker group request for assistance:
- o Work continued on Dr. Stein's request to send new variables to the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) server. The team worked to finalize the data transfer memo and establish an account for accessing the PGC's new server. When ready, we will be able to upload the file to it directly.

## Public Use Data:

- ? Biosample flags, administrative variables, and inventory document:
- o SRO delivered biosample flag and administrative data materials to ICPSR on January 15; ICPSR confirmed they did not have any questions about the materials. We are targeting early June for the biosample flags and administrative data to be released. The inventory document will follow.
- ? We awaited decisions from the Army/GSC on producing the genetic and bioassay files for public use and placing data in the NIH National Data Archive and Public use release for GWAS.

## Wave 4 Production Updates:

- ? Wave 4 production statistics, as of February 1, 2025, are as follows:
- o Replicates released: 13 of 14 released with 13,559 sample lines.
- o Completed interviews: 9,891 (9,299 web; 592 phone)
- o Replicate 11 ended production on January 7 with a final response rate of 74.1%, coming close to the wave average and surpassing the Wave 3 response rate of 72.7%.
- o Replicate 12 transitioned from Phase 3 to Phase 4 on January 17. Throughout the month, Replicate 12 trended behind the W4 average response rate due, in part, to lost calling days during the holidays. However, it performed about the same or better than it did in Wave 3. As of February 1, the response rate was 68.8%.
- o Replicate 13 transitioned from Phase 2 to Phase 3 on January 25. As of February 1, the response rate was 45.6% and tracking with the average rate. Rep 13 was performing about 1.5 percentage points higher than during

Wave 3 at this same point in the protocol. o The response rate for completed replicates (Reps 1-11) is 75.4%.

Goal at Completion:

Estimate at Complete:

Current Actual:

Variance:

10,800

9,891

10,800

75

75

75.3 (Reps 1-12)

10.2

11.3

12

-1.8

Safety Plan Results: ? The Wave 4 Safety Plan rate is 12.8% as of February 1.

	! The wave 4 Salety Flatitate is 12.0% as of February 1.				
Special Issues	No changes this month to the areas of risk and mitigation strategies.				
Cost as of Dec 31, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct -	- indirect):		9,904,956.65	
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$	SAC):		13,724,254.40	
	Total Budget:			13,730,116.00	
	Variance (Total Budget mil	nus- E\$AC):		5,861.60	
	Reason for Variance:	take place i		00 in costs for Wave 5 work to nal projected variance from an 334,664.	
		budget subi	d the overall 5-year budget ar mitted to USUHS in Novembe 19,590 to \$13,730,116, an inc	er. This increased the budget	
		We have re i. Year 1 \$2 ii. Year 2 \$2 iii. Year 3 \$ iv. Year 4 \$ Total = \$11	We arrive at an increase of \$840k as follows: We have received \$11.7M in funding so far: i. Year 1 \$2,478,561 ii. Year 2 \$2,737,646 iii. Year 3 \$3,470,063 iv. Year 4 \$3,015,794 Total = \$11,702,064 b. That leaves us with \$1,187,526 left for year 5total funding of		
		\$12,889,59 c. For year 5 year 1 \$1 d. \$2,028,2 difference (i	0 less \$11,702,064. 5 we need \$2,028,203wave ,119,000. 03 - \$1,187,526 = \$840,677 v the increase of \$840,526). Bi tment or rounding happening	e 4 year 5 \$909,203 plus wave	
		After updati \$5,862.	ng the budget amount, our no	ew projected underrun is	
Projections as of Dec 31, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mont	h:		298,820.32	
	Actual Dollars Used:		258,700.3  40,119.9  Underspent due to the December holidays (despite having reduced some projections) and due to projections (~80 hrs) still included (but not spent) for staff member who left the project.		
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):			
	Reason for Variance:	some proje			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	10,800	75	10.2	
				+	

# **Developmental/Initiative Projects Dashboard**

## NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects

Project	Туре	Phase	Project Lead	Jan
TSME24 DCO System Support (483248)	Initiatives	Implementing	Vivienne Y Outlaw	
TSME24 MSMS performance work (425267)	Initiatives	Initiation	Jim Rodgers	
TSME24 MSMS Working Group (425197)	Initiatives	Initiation	Kelly A Chatain	
TSME24 QC Systems (483249)	Initiatives	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand	
TSME24 SRO System Maintenance - General (483910)	Initiatives	Implementing	Jeffrey L Smith	
TSME24 SSL Autoscheduler interface development (42	Initiatives	Implementing	Debbie Seale	
TSME24 STrak: Migration to SQL Anywhere V17 (	Initiatives	Initiation	Lawrence Daher	

Project Name	(TSME24 DCO System Support (48 Concerns)	33248)) TSME24 DCO System Support	(483248) (Some
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 35,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 35,000.00
Principal	-		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	To be determined		
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
······································	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 DCO System Suppo	rt	Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns		-
Monthly Updates	* Launch the TeamTailor (TT) Geocoding download data, geocode data, upload da applicant address info. DMSS (Wen) progeocoded info (Homearea set of info) to tuned the whole work flow many times. U		Tailor APIs to download job lodule uploads the process and have fine so we wish TT can offer
Special Issues		n February to discuss getting connected to the lata and reporting. The team will need signific	
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		19,073.9
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		34,440.3
	Total Budget:		35,000.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		559.6
	Reason for Variance:	Pending costs related to the implementation still working on the integration of FRED with	

Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		7,583.29
	Actual Dollars Used:			10,232.89
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		-2,649.60
	Reason for Variance:	Delay in the sta	art of mapping and reporting	g for new ATS, TeamTailor
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			
Other Measures	X	'		

Project Name	(TSME24 MSMS Working Group (42	5197)) TSME24 MSMS Working Gro	up (425197) (On Track)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 15,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 15,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Kelly A Chatain		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	To be determined		
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 MSMS Working Group		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Kelly Chatain worked on MSMS Onboardin	g Initiative.	
Special Issues			
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		1,998.02
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		8,890.92
	Total Budget:		15,000.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		6,109.0
	Reason for Variance:	No hours charged.	
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:	-	1,378.58
			00.04
	Actual Dollars Used:		60.92
	Actual Dollars Used:  Variance (Projected minus Actual):		1,317.66

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME24 QC Systems (483249)) TSI	ME24 QC Systems (483249) (On Tra	ck)
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	<b>Direct Budget</b> : 49,500.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 49,500.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	To be defined		
·			
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period	NA		
Security Plan	NA Pro Production Starts	B	
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 QC Systems		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Most of the work was put on hold as we wa Cheng Zhou has started to troubleshoot sm remaining hours are mostly attending meet	nall issues to help him learn the code and s	ates of the Olive software. serve as a back up. The
Special Issues			
Cost as of Feb 16, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		18,074.0
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		44,248.3
	Total Budget:		49,500.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		5,251.6
	Reason for Variance:	Some tasks have been put on hold, hour	s will be pushed forward.
Projections as of Feb 16, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:		10,497.1
	Actual Dollars Used:		6,001.8
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		4,495.2
	Reason for Variance:	Some tasks have been put on hold, hou	re will be pushed forward

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME24 SRO System Maintenance - General (483910) ) TSME24 SRO System Maintenance - General (483910) (On Track)		
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 1.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 1.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jeffrey L Smith		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Pending input from Manager		
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
micstories	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 SRO System		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	New laptops evaluation (help with tech issu Adding SurveyTrak supplemental apps cod PB/ST Meetings ( this time includes time fo SurveyTrak spec model (Google doc version	e to Git r Marsha, Pam, Ashwin, Jeff and Holly)	
Special Issues	No issues		
Cost as of Jan 01, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		17,844.24
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		25,810.23
	Total Budget:		1.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		-5,819.24
	Reason for Variance:	See below	
	Reason for variance:		
Projections as of Jan 01, 2024			1,593.20
Projections as of Jan 01, 2024			·
Projections as of Jan 01, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:		1,593.20 1,190.85 -402.35

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Mode Project Type Budget Principal Investigator/Clients Funding Agency IRB Project Team	Primary: Not Available Developmental Initiatives Direct Budget: 5,000.00  HUM#: Project Lead: Debbie Seale Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Grant D	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 1.00  Period of Approval:
Budget Principal Investigator/Clients Funding Agency IRB Project Team	Direct Budget: 5,000.00  HUM#: Project Lead: Debbie Seale Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska Production Manager:	<b>V</b>	<u> </u>
Principal Investigator/Clients Funding Agency IRB Project Team	HUM#: Project Lead: Debbie Seale Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska Production Manager:	<b>V</b>	<u>-</u>
Investigator/Clients Funding Agency IRB Project Team	Project Lead: Debbie Seale  Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska  Production Manager:	ı-Em	Period of Approval:
Funding Agency IRB Project Team	Project Lead: Debbie Seale  Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska  Production Manager:	ı-Em	Period of Approval:
IRB Project Team	Project Lead: Debbie Seale  Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska  Production Manager:	ı-Em	Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Debbie Seale  Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska  Production Manager:	ı-Em	Period of Approval:
·	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska Production Manager:	ı-Em	
Proposal #	Production Manager:	ı-Em	
Proposal #			
Proposal #	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D		
Proposal #	<u> </u>	Benson	
Proposal #	Production Manager 1: Elizabeth	n Ohryn	
Proposal #	Production Manager 2:		
opoca.	no data		
Description		ementation of the SSL autoscheduler. Two prin	mary components are outstanding:
Безаприон	<ol> <li>Interface for SSL staff to be abled</li> <li>Implementation of a "freeze and protocol, which allows us to optim</li> </ol>	le to load and complete the initial scheduling red re-run" protocol. The focus in this fiscal year ize shift assignments. Getting the first part set of DMSS will take additional programming ef	un without the assistance of DMSS; will be on the freeze and re-run t up to allow SSL staff to run the
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest	Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment	Start:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Trair	n End:
	DC Start:	DO	C End:
Other Project Team Members	Wen Chang; Hueichun Peng; Ji Q	ui	
Other Project Name	J. J.		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive			
	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 SSL Autosch	eduler	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
		prototype of the interface in the next few week	ra with a P Chiny application to
Monthly Updates	follow after that test.	orototype of the interface in the next few week	s with a K Shirry application to
Special Issues			
Cost as of Jan 19, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indi	rect):	0.0
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		0.0
	Total Budget:		1.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus-	E\$AC):	0.0
	Reason for Variance:		
Projections as of Jan 19, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:		0.0

Reason for Variance:

Reason for Variance.				
		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME24 STrak: Migration to SQL Anywhere V17 () TSME24 STrak: Migration to SQL Anywhere V17 (483227) (Some Concerns)		
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10.00 Indirect	ct Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Lawrence Daher		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	To be defined		
SRO Project Period	07/2023 - 06/2024		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Jan, 2024 (TSME24 STrak: Migration to		nitiation
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	Created and began testing full database backup pro Created incremental backup procedure. began work on creating a reporting database.	ocedure.	
Special Issues	-		
Cost as of Feb 13, 2024	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		3,262.3
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		17,044.0
	Total Budget:		10.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		12,955.9
	Reason for Variance:		
Projections as of Feb 13, 2024	Dollars Projected for Month:		2,756.34
	Actual Dollars Used:		488.48
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		2,267.86
	Reason for Variance:		

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			