Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative February 2023



Sponsored Data Collection Projects and Development Initiative Projects

(AFHS) American Family Health Study

(AFHS-Additional work) American Family Health Study - additional work

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(BHM Library Project) Developing a Model of Black History Month Programming in Public Libraries

(CARE Military) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022 - Military

(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium 2022

(CRUSE - AHDFS) Survey on Crypto-Asset Adoption and Use / American Household Digital Finance Survey

(DCUS) Daily Cannabis Use Study

(ECHO (Year 7)) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes

(EDC-Endline) Every Dollar Counts Endline

(EDC-SS Phases 3-4) Every Dollar Counts Semi-Structured, Phases 3-4

(HCAP 2022) Healthy Cognitive Aging Project, 2022

(Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan)

(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews

(HRS HOC) Health and Retirement Study – Historical Occupation Coding

(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screening

(IHDS3) India Human Development Survey Wave 3

(MI CReSS (Year 3)) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study

(MTF Base Year 2022_27) Monitoring the Future Base Year 2022-2027

(MTF Panel 2022-27) Monitoring the Future Panel 2022-2027

(PR-PSID) Puerto Rico Panel Study of Income Dynamics

(PSID CDS 2023) PSID Childhood Development Supplement 2023

(PSID23) Panel Study of Income Dynamics Core 2023

(PSID23 Online Contact Update) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2023 Online Contact Update

(QoL & Hearing Loss) Quality-of-Life for Amish Children with Hearing Loss

(SRS 2021) Social Relations 2023

(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL(483910)) TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL(483910)

(TSME23 DCO Tech System Support FY2023) TSME23-DCO Tech System Support (483248) 2023

(TSME23-PIPPA) PIPPA 2.0 (425198) FY23

(TSME23-QC-Systems (483249)) TSME23-QC-Systems (483249)

(TSME23-SelfSchedUI (483424)) Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS

(WJ-RAP) Woodcock-Johnson Remote Administration Project

Sponsored Projects Dashboard

NonArchived Sponsored Projects

Project	Туре	Phase	Project Lead	Jan	Feb
AFHS	Sponsored	Closing	Rebecca Gatward		
AFHS-Additional work	Sponsored	Implementing	Rebecca Gatward		
BFY	Sponsored	Implementing	Piotr Dworak		
BHM Library Project	Sponsored	Initiation	Karin Schneider		
CARE Military	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson		
CARE SALTOS MTEC	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson		
CRUSE - AHDFS	Sponsored	Implementing	Piotr Dworak		<u></u>
DCUS	Sponsored	Initiation	Gary Hein		
ECHO (Year 7)	Sponsored	Implementing	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye		
EDC-Endline	Sponsored	Implementing	Barbara Lohr Ward		
EDC-SS Phases 3-4	Sponsored	Closing	Karin Schneider		
HCAP 2022	Sponsored	Implementing	Maureen Joan O'Brien		
Health and Well Being in SE MI	Sponsored	Implementing	Barbara Lohr Ward		•
HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou	<u></u>	<u></u>
HRS HOC	Sponsored	Planning	Gloria J Baker		
HRS2022-Screening	Sponsored	Implementing	Evanthia Leissou		
IHDS3	Sponsored	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand		
MI CReSS (Year 3)	Sponsored	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand		
MTF Base Year 2022_27	Sponsored	Implementing	Rebecca Gatward		
MTF Panel 2022-27	Sponsored	Implementing	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson		
PR-PSID	Sponsored	Implementing	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye		
PSID CDS 2023	Sponsored	Initiation	Piotr Dworak		
PSID23	Sponsored	Implementing	Rachel Anne Orlowski		
PSID23 Online Contact Update	Sponsored	Closing	Camila Kendall		
QoL & Hearing Loss	Sponsored	Implementing	Margaret Lee Hudson		
SCA 2023	Sponsored	Initiation	Theresa Camelo		
SRS 2021	Sponsored	Implementing	Barbara Lohr Ward		•
STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4	Sponsored	Implementing	Meredith A House		
WJ-RAP	Sponsored	Implementing	Hongyu Johnson		

Project Name	(AFHS) American Family Health Study				
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 1,596,238.00	Total Budget: 2,490,133.00			
Principal	Brady West (Survey Research Center)				
Investigator/Clients	William Axinn, Mick Couper and James Wagner (Survey Research Center)				
Funding Agency	National Institutes of Health (NIH)				
IRB	HUM#: 00167171	Period of Approval:			
Project Team	Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward				
	Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens				
	Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway				
	Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson				
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to e national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representa administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (inte	tive sample using a self-			

administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study.

We plan to screen around 42,120 randomly selected U.S. households by mail and web to identify an eligible population (aged 18-49 years). The screening work will be divided across two successive nine month periods (n=21,060 per effort). Each 'replicate' is a fully representative sample of U.S. households. Eligible respondents will be invited to complete three modules of content (administered separately or one instrument), either online or a paper questionnaire. The plan is obtain at least one returned module from 4.000 respondents.

There will be a two month gap between replicates. The first replicate will begin in September 2019 and the second in around July 2020.

The sample (addresses) will be selected via Market Systems Group (MSG). The following response assumptions have been made: at the screening stage, we estimate a response rate of 50% (around 21,000). Of these we expect approximately 12,000 addresses to contain an eligible person. In households with more than one eligible person we will randomly select one person.

The contact protocol for the screener and main data collection is designed to, initially, push completion by web. Addresses or selected participants will only be mailed a paper screener or questionnaire after reminders encouraging completion online. This is a recent change to the protocol and was made after the SRO budget proposal was provided to the PI.

The main interview consists of three modules which will replicate almost all NSFG content. The PI group is leading the process of reviewing and translating the content to web format and will provide specifications for programming to SRO.

The following revisions have been made to the study design as detailed in the latest budget proposal (SRO scope

- o We will use an external vendor to scan returned paper screeners, rather than manual data entry. This vendor will also format the paper forms, print materials and be responsible for most of the respondent mailings.
- o The original study design did not include web as a mode of data collection for screening. Additional funding from SRC has been obtained by the Principal Investigator to cover the development of a web screener and associated data management and reporting costs.
- o Telephone reminder calls remains one of the steps in the non-response protocol for the main data collection. However, it is likely that this effort will be targeted to a subset of the selected sample, rather than across the entire
- o The amount budgeted for the TOA for the screener was \$2 and \$5 50% of the sample to receive each amount. The TOA amount will now be \$2 for the full sample. We may provide an additional TOA of \$5 to a subset of the non-responders as part of one of the follow-up reminder mailings (depending on available budget).
- o The eligibility age range for the study has been changed from 15-49 to 18-49. This eliminates the need to contact to parents/guardians of all eligible respondents who have not reached the age of majority to gain consent to participate in the study. There are three states where the age of majority is above 18 years of age - in these states we will adjust the selection protocol as necessary.
- o A further change to the study protocol is around completion of the main modules. In some circumstances we will provide Respondents the option to move directly to complete the main modules after completing the screener without being sent an invite in the mail/email to do so. This will only happen if the selected R is also the person who completed the screener.
- o An experiment around completion of the modules has been introduced to the protocol. Twenty per cent of the selected eligible respondents will be invited to complete the full 60 minute survey as one instrument, rather than completing three separate modules.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period	01/2019 - 03/2022 05/2020 - 04/2022
Security Plan	NA NA

Milestones	Pre Production Start: 09/0	1/2018	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:		Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:		GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:		SS Train End:	
	DC Start: 04/2	1/2020	DC End: (04/30/2022
Other Project Team Members	SRO Team: Andrew Hupp, I Wilson, Wen Chang	_aura Yoder, Rose Zdybel, Ll	oyd F Hemingway, Jim Rodge	ers, Colette Keyser, Deb
Other Project Name	During the budget proposal National Family, Fertility and		as 'A More Efficient Web-Bas	sed Approach to Collecting
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5			
Hardware	Desktop			
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 (for Mail que	estionnaires)); External vendo	r (TBD)	
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Check, post (Modules 1 - \$2 for a subset during NR follow		e 3 - \$30); Cash, prepaid (Sc	reener IW - \$2 Potentially \$5
Payment Method			r system (Info. from MSMS ar ss Office (Info. from MSMS ar	
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (AFHS)			Closing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	February update Since last month the oversp	end on the main AFHS projec	ct decreased due to a refund f	for voided cheques.
Monthly Updates	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has been	n the two additional compone n completed. Any hours spen	ct decreased due to a refund for this, AFHS Panel Feasibility S ton AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in	Survey and AFHS non- list for Me, Colette and Dean)
Monthly Updates Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel	n the two additional compone n completed. Any hours spen HS Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju	Gurvey and AFHS non- list for Me, Colette and Dean) In MPR.
,	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel	n the two additional compone n completed. Any hours spen HS Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fe	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin	Gurvey and AFHS non- list for Me, Colette and Dean) In MPR.
Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spended of the seasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect):	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin	Gurvey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget.
Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct)	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spended of the seasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect):	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12
Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct - Est Cost at Completion (Est	the two additional component completed. Any hours spening the Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): \$AC):	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12
Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget:	the two additional component completed. Any hours spenished Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): ### ACD: February 20: AFHS project	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed
Special Issues	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi	the two additional compone in completed. Any hours spensively reasonable to the feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): ### Indirect: #### February 20: AFHS project cheques. The	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin und the overspend on the ma 23 update - Since last month at decreased by \$560 due to	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16,	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mit Reason for Variance:	the two additional compone in completed. Any hours spensively reasonable to the feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): ### Indirect: #### February 20: AFHS project cheques. The	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin und the overspend on the ma 23 update - Since last month at decreased by \$560 due to	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds.
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16,	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance:	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spenders the Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to full time indirect): ### ACD: ### February 20: AFHS project cheques. The project cheques the project cheques are project cheques. The project cheques the project cheq	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility S t on AFHS tasks (projected ju are reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded usin und the overspend on the ma 23 update - Since last month at decreased by \$560 due to	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds.
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16,	Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has been are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct - Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget min Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month Actual Dollars Used:	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spending the Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fine indirect): ### Indirect February 20% AFHS project cheques. The characteristics of the feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fine indirect #### Indirect February 20% AFHS project cheques. The characteristics ###################################	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses and the second of the follow-up of the follow-up of the funded using the funded of the follow-up of the funded using the funded	Burvey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16,	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spending the Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fine indirect): ### Indirect February 20% AFHS project cheques. The characteristics of the feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fine indirect #### Indirect February 20% AFHS project cheques. The characteristics ###################################	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses and the second of the follow-up of the follow-up of the funded using the funded by \$560 due to be overspend will be funded using the funded using the funded using the funded by \$560 due to be overspend will be charged to the fork are being funded by sequest decreasing due to reversed.	g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the estering funds. The
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spend HS Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fine indirect): ### **AC** **Thus-E\$AC** **February 20: AFHS project cheques. The cheques. The cheques. The cheques. The cheques is additional work additional work additional work additional work additional work is sued 12 m.	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses and the second of the follow-up of the follow-up of the funded using the funded by \$560 due to be second of the funded using the funded using the funded using the funded by \$560 due to be second of the funded using the funded by \$560 due to the funded by sequence of the funded by sequ	Burvey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the estering funds. The respondent checks that were
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Since last month the oversp Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beer are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget mi Reason for Variance: Dollars Projected for Month Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Reason for Variance:	the two additional component completed. Any hours spensible of the seasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): **February 20:* **ACV:* **February 20:* **AFHS project cheques. The seasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): **February 20:* **AFHS project cheques. The seasibility additional wat additional water additional wate	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses and the second of the follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses overspend will be funded using the funded by \$560 due to discoverspend will be funded using the funded using the funded using the funded by \$560 due to discoverspend will be charged to the funded by sequits and funded by se	Survey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the estering funds. The respondent checks that were
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Current Goal: Since last month the oversponse follow-up has been are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct - Est Cost at Completion (Est Cost at Cost	the two additional compone of completed. Any hours spending the Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to final feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to final feasibility. **February 20:* **ACD:* **February 20:* AFHS project cheques. The cheques. The cheques. The cheques. The cheques is additional work overspend is issued 12 m. **Units at Complete* 2000 (main IWs)	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the mass and spend will be funded using overspend will be charged to thork are being funded by sequestions ago and not cashed. RR 33.3%	Burvey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the estering funds. The respondent checks that were
Special Issues Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Current Goal: Current SRO focus: Work or response follow-up has beel are being charged to the AF The additional scope (panel separate short code). The P Total Cost to Date (direct - Est Cost at Completion (Est Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus Reason for Variance: Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	the two additional compone in completed. Any hours spens HS Feasibility budget. These feasibility and non-response I group have also agreed to fee indirect): ### Indirect: ### February 20: AFHS project cheques. The cheques. The cheques. The cheques is sued 12 m Units at Complete 2000 (main IWs)	nts, AFHS Panel Feasibility Ston AFHS tasks (projected juare reported on separately in follow-up) will be funded using und the overspend on the masses and the second of the follow-up of the fol	Survey and AFHS non- ist for Me, Colette and Dean) in MPR. g sequestered funds (on a in AFHS budget. 2,508,608.12 2,490,133.00 -18,475.12 the overspend on the main a refund for uncashed sing sequestering funds. 0.00 -873.60 873.60 ne short code for the 'AFHS main AFHS and the estering funds. The respondent checks that were HPI NA NA

Project Name	(AFHS-Addition	onal work) Ameri	can Family Health Study - additio	onal work
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Mail	Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Proje	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	50,265.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 50,265.00
Principal	Brady West (SR	C)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency	Internal UM sequ	uestering funds		
IRB	HUM#: HUM001	67171		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: R	ebecca Gatward		
	Budget Analyst	: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Mar	nager: Lloyd Fate He	emingway	
	Senior Project	Advisor: Grant D Be	enson	
	Production Mar	nager 1:		
	Production Mai	nager 2:		
Proposal #	no data			

Description

The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to evaluate the feasibility of collecting national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representative sample using a selfadministered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study. The research design for the AFHS has seven clear steps. The additional work covered by this funding is to conduct step 7 (below):

Step 1: finalize the design of the national web-based survey, using as much National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) content as possible.

Step 2: field the national web-based sequential mixed-mode survey over a two-year period, with the goal of collecting 4,000 completed surveys from a nationally representative sample.

Step 3: conduct statistical analyses providing full-sample comparisons of estimates between our survey and the corresponding public-use data files for the NSFG from a similar time period.

Step 4: conduct statistical analyses comparing estimates within key socio-demographic subgroups of the population - gender, race, and age groups.

Step 5: examine changes in the gap in estimates (between the NSFG and our national web survey) across phases of the sequential mixed-mode design protocol.

Step 6: compare costs, response indicators, and measures of effort across socio-demographic subgroups for different data collection modes and modules of the web-based survey.

Step 7: further methodological research involving AFHS participants and non-respondents to inform the design of a

The first component of this step involves AFHS respondents from the second sample replicate (n=~1370). These respondents will be invited to participate in a brief follow-up survey that aims to collect responses to a small number of additional questions (12) related to health, relationships, and finances, as well as gauge the interest of the respondent in becoming a panel member. These results will primarily be used to inform the design of a largerscale AFHS study (research proposal); additional substantive analyses of the questions will also be conducted.

All AFHS participants from the second sample replicate (n=~1370) will be invited to participate in the panel feasibility follow-up survey. The initial invitation to participants will be by letter, which will be mailed along with a \$2 token of appreciation. We will use addresses sampled for sample replicate 2 from the GENESYS system of Marketing Systems Group (MSG) - unless we received an updated address from the participant. We will also send the invitation by email for those participants who have provided a valid email address (84%).

Non-response contact protocol:

7 days after the initial invitation is mailed, participants will be contacted again by email (if available).

14 days - we will send a further reminder by email or text message.

21 days - email sent.

28 days - paper copy of the survey along with a \$5 cash token to remaining non-responding participants.

42 days - depending on the response rate we will telephone the participant to invite them to complete the follow-up

Participants will be mailed a \$10 check after completing the survey.

This additional survey has been added to the existing AFHS MSMS project as an additional task. The existing task rules have been updated to include this data collection task but the non-response contact protocol will not be driven by task rules. We will manually change the status of the mail, text, email tasks as needed for relevant cases.

Status:

- · Currently testing the full process from opening the survey link to data being updated in MSMS.
- IRB amendment has been submitted and we have responded to requested changes following the first review.
- · Sample address file has been provided to DataForce who will print the paper surveys, mail the initial invites, scan any returned paper surveys, deliver data to SRO and mail the final reminder letter with paper survey.

*****AFHS Non-response Follow-up*******

The second additional component is to gather information, using open-ended interviews, from AFHS nonrespondents, to better understand why people did not participate in the study.

We identified the sampled addresses in AFHS Replicate 2 that: 1) did not respond to the screening invitation, 2) were located in Census Block Groups with more than 10% African-American or Hispanics, and 3) were in the top quartile of predicted probabilities of having a Spanish-speaker present. Then, based on the locations of available in-person interviewing staff from our organization, we sub-selected around 40 addresses from this list.

	participate in a short non-response follow-u	to recruit a household member at each of these addre p (an open-ended interview). If these face-to-face atte contact attempts by telephone (for addresses where a ches (for example, MSG and Experian)).	mpts are not
SRO Project Period	06/2022 - 09/2023		
Data Col Period	06/2022 - 08/2022		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members	Grant Benson Senior Project Advisor Wen Chang DMSS (inc.Dashboard) Raphael Nishimura DMSS (sample selection Rebecca Gatward Survey Director James Rodgers Technical lead/MSMS lead Lloyd Hemingway Production Manager Dean Stevens Financial Analyst Andrew Hupp MSMS and Web survey expended the survey expended the survey of the survey expended the survey of the survey expended the survey expended the survey of the survey expended the survey expenses the survey expense	ertise	
Other Project Name	'A More Efficient Web-Based Approach to	Collecting National Family, Fertility and Reproductive I	Health Data'.
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS; Project specific system (For non-re		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5	, , ,	
Hardware	Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	External vendor (Data Force)		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, Other (for non-response follow	y-up we will use a non-monetary incentive.)	
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$10); Other (Non-monetary in	centive)	
Payment Method	Check through other system (RPay (MSMS	,	
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (AFHS-Additional work)	Implemen	ting
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	and preparation of a data file to pass to ICF at the PAA conference in April. This work in missing values are appropriately assigned The PI group are expecting to submit a new	ing to queries from a group of students working on dat PSR for review and further work (although an initial file acludes creating a draft codebook and merging 'IsVisite as 'refusal' or 'does not apply' for question and comput or research proposal for the AFHS Panel in July 2023. Saiting updated sample numbers to match a revised design.	will be 'launched' ed' data so ed variables. SRO are working
Special Issues	The state of the s	O TELEVISION CONTROL OF THE CONTROL	- J
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		54,246.30
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		61,163.43
	Total Budget:		50,265.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		-10,898.43
	Reason for Variance:	AFHS Feasibility Pilot Estimate – Expanded SRO # (Estimated costs at \$47,000 - \$53,000 direct costs. T assumes that funding would be provided from UM introduced sequestering funds and includes no indirect costs). The total cost at completion for the feasibility work is budgeted estimate (\$47k-\$53k). Hours for Colette an added to provide minimal support (up to 6hrs/mth) urraises the amount to be covered by sequestering fundiditional ~\$7,000. An updated summary of costs to be funded by sequestrateched.	This estimate ternal still within the lid I have been htil Sept'23 which ds by an
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:		985.51
	Actual Dollars Used:		421.56
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		563.95
	Reason for Variance:	Colette and I have up 6 hrs projected a month between	en now and

Initially, face-to-face attempts will be made to recruit a household member at each of these addresses to

September 2023 for any adhoc requests and meeting attendance (me) - we will only use these hours as needed and hours used will vary by month.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(BFY) Baby's First Years				
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of M	odes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 5,056,486.00	Indirect Budget: 1,930,263.73	Total Budget: 6,986,749.73		
Principal	Dr. Greg Duncan (University of Califo	ornia - Irvine)			
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers Colleg	e Columbia University)			
	Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University	of Wisconsin)			
Funding Agency	National Institute of Child Health and	Human Development (NICHD)			
IRB	HUM#: HUM00137963		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak				
	Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer				
	Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge				
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul				
	Production Manager 1: Margaret La	ıvanger			
	Production Manager 2: Michelle Sm	nith			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	University of Michigan Survey Resea Baby's First Years a longitudinal ra	rch Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recrundomized control trial study.	it and interview participants for		

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- · Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old:
- · Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019 Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021

Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

10/2017 - 12/2020

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period	04/2018 - 12/2020	
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2017	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018
	Staffing Complete: 02/07/2018	GIT Start: 03/19/2018
	SS Train Start: 03/20/2018	SS Train End: 03/22/2018
	DC Start: 05/07/2018	DC End: 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members Stephanie Chardoul (SPA)

Piotr Dworak (Lead)
Tony Romanowski (PM)
Daric Thorne (PM/SSA)
Barb Homburg (PM)
Peggy Lavanger (PM)
Jim McClure (DCS)
Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant)
Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant)
Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)
Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager)
Dave Dybicki (Blaise)
Colette Keyser (Blaise)
Tricia Blanchard (MSMS)
Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project Name

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Sample Mgmt System	MSMS	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5	
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	
DE Software	N/A	
QC Recording Tool	Other (to be specified)	
Incentive	Yes, R	
Administration	SRO Group	
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (50)	
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash	(reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (BFY)	Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Updates Project updates:

Note: BFY Age 3 main data collection has ended on June 30, 2022 with 922 completes exceeding the goal of 907 (and the proposal goal of n=860).

Between July 2022 and April 2023 interviewers continue conducting the Age3 + 3-month, +6-month, and +10-month check-in calls or visits to confirm and update contact information and invite respondent to a lab visit conducted by the PI research teams at Age 4. At Age 3 + 10-month we handing the cases off to the local lab research teams. The process continues to run smoothly; as of 2/17 we have handed off 743 of 1,000 cases.

Pls confirmed they will require U-M Interviewers assistance through the end of the Age 4 which they are planning to extend through July 31, 2023. Pls have also reached out to project SPA to discuss ongoing assistance with locating in Ages 5 through 8.

The details of this engagement are being worked out but will require retaining the current data collection staff at the current fraction to support this effort in the next 4 years.

We also continue to follow the plan outlined during the September BFY advisory board meeting wherein our SRC iwers help Lab teams achieve their response rate targets. We are conducting line-by-line reviews and guide RAs/Site Coordinators in strategies for those cases, providing in-service training similar to the training offered to our Iwers (e.g., addressing R concerns, etc.), and directly reaching out to and locate some hard-to-reach Rs. We have worked on ~ 50 cases so far with a goal to find and invite to the lab and will ingest more around the holidays.

The goal is to confirm contact information with at least 95% of cases. So far...

- @ 3 month check-in 96% were confirmed
- @ 6 month 92% were confirmed
- @ 10 month 96% were confirmed and 844 cases were delivered to the lab

We are currently preparing for a locating trip to NOLA to assist the site which is struggling the most in reaching the lab cooperation goals.

Age 3 Staffing: 12 iwers in total

NE: 1 OS + 1 NH (Trained 9/15)

MN: 2

NY: 4 OS (1 consolidated in October 2022)

NOLA: 1 (1 June resignation) TLs: 1 (-1 has gone to HRS)

Technical system:

All tech systems are working as needed.

Finances:

A notification was sent to the PIs on 1/20 estimating the total expected underrun may reach ~ \$300K. PIs are working on extending the PG end date to allow for spending the total funding and considering how to allocate the money.

Special Issues					
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				6,447,088.3
	Est Cost at Completion (I	E\$AC):			6,711,984.20
	Total Budget:				6,986,749.73
	Variance (Total Budget n	ninus- E\$AC):			274,765.4
	Reason for Variance:	of ur near fund invol	In January, we have adjusted the budget to realize all potential source of underrun given the current scope and communicated underrun nearing ~ \$300K to the PIs. This resulted in extending the Valhalla funding period through 12/31/2023 allowing potential extension of U-involvement with the project. However, the decision about how to us the underrun still rests with the PIs.		
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mod	nth:	51,663.71		
	Actual Dollars Used:		42,495.37		
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			9,168.34	
	Reason for Variance:		hours were accurately pe project management to		ontinue to have savings
Measures		Units at Comp	lete RF	₹	HPI
	Current Goal:	907	91%	7.0	
	Goal at Completion:	907	91%	7.0	
	Current Actual:	921	92%	5.7	
	Estimate at Complete:	926	92%	6.0	
	Variance:				

Project Name	(BHM Library Project) Developing a Libraries	Model of Black History Month Programming in Pul	olic
Project Mode	Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 126,712.00	Indirect Budget: 70,959.00 Total Budget: 19	97,671.00
Principal	Deborah Robinson (ISR)		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	НИМ#:	Period of Appro	val:
Project Team	Project Lead: Karin Schneider		
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	implementation of up to two pilot surveys at on scale development throughout the pilot p working dataset (with weights to account fo	by working with you to design the sample. SRO will support of the larger national survey of libraries. We will provide conchase and provide statistical support to finalize the scales and the stratified sample design). In total, the SRO period of per, starting in February of 2023, with data collections for the pil ately 12 months, starting in late 2023.	sultation d provide a rformance
SRO Project Period	02/2023 - 02/2025		
Data Col Period	10/2023 - 09/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name	Developing a Model of Black History Month	Programming in Public Libraries	
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (BHM Library Project)	Initiation	
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Still in development phase we have done	some work to get the sample files ready.	
Special Issues	None		
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		3,974.10
, -	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		191,134.24
	Total Budget:		197,671.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		6,536.76
	Reason for Variance:	Less start-up activity conducted than was actually planned.	Raphael
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023		Less start-up activity conducted than was actually planned. just started to revise the sample files for selection.	9,764.74
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Reason for Variance:		

Reason for Variance:

Less start-up activity conducted than was actually planned. Raphael just started to revise the sample files for selection.

	just started to revise the sample mes for selection.					
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(CARE Military) Concussion Assess	ment, Research and Education (C	ARE) Consortium 2022 -
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 1,131,747.00	Indirect Budget: 294,254.00	Total Budget: 1,426,001.00
Principal	Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)		
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Micheal McCrea /Dr. Pasquina (Medica	College of Wisconsin/Uniformed Service	es Un)
	Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University S	School of Medicine)	
Funding Agency	NCAA and DoD		
IRB	HUM# : 00202691		Period of Approval:
Dunings Toom	Project Loads Deposits of Arm Cross Formula		7/23/2021 - open
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquha	arson	
	Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert		
	Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberry		
	Senior Project Advisor: Barbara Lohr Wa	ra	
	Production Manager 1: Hongyu Johnson		
	Production Manager 2: Keith Liebetreu		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The project follows academy cadets post-group physical and psychological measures to en concussion and repetitive head impact expension.	able researchers to study the intermediat	
	This project has an overall SRO involvementaking place over approximately 12 months locating activities and data collection for res	starting mid-March 2022. SRO provides	consultation, respondent
	The goal is to secure participation from app complete a study assessment once over the and contact respondents by phone to prom conduct approximately 1,425 telephone into follow-up interviews on the web.	e 13-month project period. SRO decention of them to access the online data collection.	ralized field interviewers locate on questionnaire. SRO will
	The estimate total cost for the overall scope \$294,254.00 indirect costs, using the NCA4 funders).		
SRO Project Period	02/2022 - 03/2023		
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 02/2023		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	t:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Star	t:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	t:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	<i>t:</i>
	DC Start:	DC End	1 :
Other Project Team Members	Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Stacy Quiser Edgar, David Ackuaku, Carl Remmert	berry, Hongyu Johnson, Keith Leibetreu,	James Koopman, Minako
Other Project Name	CARE-CSI Military		
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)		
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone		
DE Software	N/A		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	Other (Kinesiology)		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$150)		
Payment Method	Other (Kinesiology)		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (CARE Military)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Project Management:		
Monthly updates	Project Management: SRO is expecting to receive additional fund	\$260K total to continue military data coll	ection beyond May 2023. T

Sample size will not be increased per the initial agreement with the additional funding.

IRB approved to grant the locating team to make calls directly to respondents via Social Medias.

Donnalee continues the dialogue with UM PI to discuss endgame strategies and to plan for the next round of data collection.

We also continue to discuss the locating reports with the Locating Team for its accuracy.

2. Care SMS system update:

A decision of a downtime (break) between the current wave and the next wave is two weeks instead of one month as previously proposed. SRO is working a plan to make the transition.

Target groups have been flagged and assigned strategically to the interviewers.

SRO has been coordinating system testing with QG to prepare the upcoming production period.

SRO requested QG to send bulk emails to specific group of respondents due to the diligent work of the SRO project staff.

3. Questionnaire Development:

SRO updated modified questionnaire to the PI staff for programming.

4 Production

SRO continue to strategize towards the goal of 3000 military cases by the end of data collection in April 2023.

SRO continue to find ways to launch more interviewer production challenges for the next few month.

A plan to extend the effort of sending the emails to respondents by using UM Gmail by all interviewers is in the work.

Production managers and TLs continue to conduct biweekly field calls for additional training as needed.

Production Stats as of 02/28/2023:

12792 Released: Total Comp lws-2706 -25.8% (Web-2666 -25.4%, CATI-40 -0.4%)

5. Hiring and Training:

We start to prepare the remote Interviewer refresh training in May 2023 (May 17 through May 19) that will focus on reinforcing field protocols and explaining system and survey questionnaire updates.

6. DMSS:

A new data manager has joined the SRO project team.

SRO has been working with the Datalys to investigate some missing key components in the daily data delivery reports since January 2023.

SRO started data clean up conversation with Datalys

7. Locating:

Two current interviewers joined the Locating Team. The Locating team continues to use the full spectrum of messages approved by IRB to communicate with the respondents.

Military find rate: 445 found/ 1061 (ever Loc)= 41.94%

Special Issues					
Cost as of Feb 28, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):			1,069,302.5
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$	\$AC):			1,373,899.16
	Total Budget:	Total Budget:			1,426,001.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	nus- E\$AC):			52,101.84
	Reason for Variance:	rep		been making adjustment	lected to the current cost t to reduce the underrun
Projections as of Feb 28, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month: 15				152,896.87
	Actual Dollars Used:			104,440.7	
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):			48,456.13
	Reason for Variance:				
Measures		Units at Com	olete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(CARE SALTOS MTEC) Co 2022	oncussion	Assessment, Research ar	nd Education (CARE) Consortium
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone Second	dary: Web	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget : 3,718,978.00		Indirect Budget: 966,936.00	Total Budget: 4,685,914.00
Principal	Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kine	esiology)		
Investigator/Clients	Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical C	College of Wis	sconsin)	
	Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana	University S	chool of Medicine)	
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: 00202691			Period of Approval: 7/23/2021 - open
Project Team	Project Lead: Donnalee Ann C	Grey-Farquha	rson	
	Budget Analyst: Carl S Remn	nert		
	Production Manager: Stacy C	Quisenberry		
	Senior Project Advisor: Barba	ara Lohr War	d	
	Production Manager 1: Hong	vu Johnson		
	Production Manager 2: Keith	,		
Proposal #	no data	Liobotiou		
	points over the five-year project and well-being outcomes and a intermediate and cumulative ef decentralized field interviewers data collection questionnaire. Sinvitations to complete follow-utself the first budget assumes an overa SRO involvement will begin in beginning approximately May 2 taking place over approximatel. Currently, the total cost for the estimated at \$4,685,914. This indirect cost rate of 26% (which those resources committed to their level of funding to the project of the estimate of services.)	et period. The anumber of prifects of concis will locate an SRO will conding interviews of all SRO involved printerviews overall scope includes \$3,7 in is being use SRO survey of period by the SRO survey of period by the Ets and \$470,0	e project follows collegiate athle hysical and psychological meaussion and repetitive head impand contact respondents by phoruct telephone interviews with pointhe web. ement period of approximately 21 with data collection taking p 2 SRO involvement will begin istarting in May 2024. e of work (based on the current 18,978 direct and \$966,936 ind of for all funders). As additional lata collection activities, or inverse of work (e.g., the number of incompartment of Defense through 100 indirect costs budgeted at till	e set of study assessments at two time etes post-graduation to assess health sures to enable researchers to study the act exposure. Specifically, SRO ne to prompt them to access the online participants who fail to respond to 44 months over two waves. Wave 1 place over approximately 12 months, in November 2023 with data collection bly committed funding from all sources) is direct costs, using the NCAA's published all sources of funding are identified and earsely if a funder withdraws or reduces interviews to be collected) will increase the MTEC RFP is \$2,277,689. This he 26% indirect cost rate. The
SRO Project Period	10/2021 - 08/2026			
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 02/2026			
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pr	etest Start:
	Pretest End:		Recruit	ment Start:
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:		SS	Train End:
	DC Start:			DC End:
Other Project Team Members			perry, Hongyu Johnson, Keith L	Leibetreu, James Koopman, Minako
Other Project Name	CARE CSI, CARE SALTOS			
Sample Mgmt System	Other (non-SRO)			
Data Col Tool	Other (non-SRO)			
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone			
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	UM Group (Kinesiology)			
Payment Type	Check, post (\$150.00)			

Report Period Feb, 2023 (CARE SALTOS MTEC) Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Updates

1. Project Management:

SRO is strategizing to prioritize target groups to reach the goals of production.

Donnalee continues the dialogue with UM PI to discuss endgame strategies and to plan for the next round of data collection.

Donnalee is still waiting for QG to deliver the data on Social Media platforms performance in order to evaluate the efficiency of using them.

SRO continues to discuss the locating reports with the Locating Team for its accuracy.

SRO is working with the CARE team on managing sample transferring situation in order to maintain sample accuracy.

IRB approved to grant the locating team to make calls directly to respondents via Social Medias.

2. Care SMS system update:

A decision of a downtime (break) between the current wave and the next wave is two weeks instead of one month as previously proposed. SRO is working a plan to make the transition.

SRO continues to work closely with QuesGen on system updating, modifying, and testing activities (such as the ability to see all the note data by using the hover feature) as well as finding ways to improve respondent Payment tab functionality in the system. In the meantime, SRO continues to encounter and resolve system related issues brought by respondents and interviewers. SRO has been coordinating system testing with QG to prepare the upcoming production period.

SRO requested QG to send bulk emails to specific group of respondents due to the diligent work of the SRO project staff.

Target groups have been flagged and assigned strategically to the interviewers.

3. Questionnaire Development:

SRO updated modified questionnaire to the PI staff for programming.

4. Production:

SRO continue to strategize towards the goal of 3200 military cases by the end of data collection in April 2023.

SRO continue to find ways to launch more interviewer production challenges for the next few month.

A plan to extend the effort of sending the emails to respondents by using UM Gmail by all interviewers is in the work.

Production managers and TLs continue to conduct biweekly field calls for additional training as needed. Production Stats as of 02/28/2023:

13044 Released: Total Comp lws-2814 - 26.7% (Web-2773 -26.3%, CATI-41-0.4%)

5. Hiring and Training:

One TL left the project for her other project commitment. We continue to negotiate with other projects regarding sharing and/or releasing interviewers. These changes will become effective in spring 2023.

We start to prepare the remote Interviewer refresh training in May 2023 ((May 17 through May 19) that will focus on reinforcing field protocols and explaining system and survey questionnaire updates.

6. DMSS:

A new data manager has joined the SRO project team.

SRO has been working with the Datalys to investigate some missing key components in the daily data delivery reports since January 2023.

SRO started data clean up conversation with Datalys

7. Locating

2 current interviewers joined the Locating Team. The Locating team continues to use the full spectrum of messages approved by IRB to communicate with the respondents.

Civilian find rate: 262 found/ 792 (ever loc) = 33.21%

Special Issues				
Cost as of Feb 28, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		970,049.64
	Est Cost at Completion (E	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):		
	Total Budget:			
	Variance (Total Budget mi			
	Reason for Variance:			
Projections as of Feb 28, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:			77,313.11
	Actual Dollars Used:			87,536.90
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		-10,223.79
	Reason for Variance:			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(CRUSE - AHDFS Finance Survey) Survey on Crypto	-Asset Adoption and Use	/ American Household Digital
Project Mode	Primary: Web Se	condary: Focus Group	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 492,	515.00	Indirect Budget: 142,829.00	Total Budget: 635,344.00
Principal	Vikramaditya Khanna	a (UM Law School)		
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#: HUM002142	34		Period of Approval: 1/1/2022-12/31/2022
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr	Dworak		
	Budget Analyst: Wi	lliam Lokers		
	Production Manage	er:		
	Senior Project Adv	isor: Lisa S Holland		
	Production Manage	er 1:		
	Production Manage	er 2: lan Ogden		
Proposal #	no data			
	ORIGINAL DESCRIF SRO will conduct two adoption of crypto-as assets. SRO will scre adults who hold or hi web survey, along w conduct reminder ca This budget assume taking place in May of September 2022. Th budgeted at the Univ	PTION: Description	ups and web-based data collect outlation and more specifically at representative sample of appro. All who report crypto-asset hol ble of those who have not held of is to encourage them to particip vement period of 12 months cor the web data collection taking p	sponsored activity. IDC revised to 29%. Ition to study awareness, use and mong those more informed about cryptoximately 40,980 U.S. households for dings will be invited to participate in the strypto-assets. SRO interviewers will atte in the survey. Inmencing in May 2022 with focus groups place during a 5-month period beginning 1,105,619 direct, \$619,147 indirect),
SRO Project Period	\$635,344 (\$625,726 05/2022 - 04/2023	5 + \$9,618).		
Data Col Period	10/2022 - 02/2023			
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Sta	art: 08/01/2022	Pr	etest Start:
	Pretest Er	nd:	Recruit	ment Start: 09/14/2022
	Staffing Comple	te: 10/14/2022		GIT Start: 11/29/2022
	SS Train Sta	art: 11/29/2022	ss	Train End: 11/29/2022
	DC Sta	art: 11/02/2022		DC End: 03/01/2023
Other Project Team Members	Karin Schneider con Guerra.	ducted focus group. Su	rvey phase led by Piotr Dworak	a. Team include Ian Ogden and Xiomara
Other Project Name	American Household	Digital Finance Surve	y	
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8			
Hardware	Other			
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$2);	Other (survey complete	es paid by check)	
Payment Method	Check through other	system (focus groups	recd e-gift card (Tango))	
 Report Period	Feb, 2023 (CRUSE -	AHDFS)		Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns			py
		pronoced recommon reta	of 15% but is falling shy of the	goal of 1546 interviews. This is
Monthly Updates				goal of 1546 interviews. This is ed 95 - 97% occupancy rate. Crypto

data collection so far yielded 1237 interviews about 300 less than the proposal goal of 1,546. SPA and the samplers agreed that the best strategy is to augment the sample by additional 4671 lines (added to 10240) to reach the goal. Estimated cost of sample purchase, and additional mailings will be covered by the current underrun. The plan was approved by the PI agreeing to a slight extension to the project timeline to allow the mailings to play out. We estimate 8% return on the invitation and Reminder 1 mailing which should be enough to achieve the study goal. Release 5 sample size and mailing dates are listed below.

Prior to Release 5 Coinbase approved incentive increases from \$30 - \$50, however, higher incentive, and our redesigned end-game mailing sent out on 2/20 to $\sim 9,100$ cases yielded only ~ 150 additional completes. The mailing was affected by weather disruptions and slower delivery.

Crypto Releases and invitation dates:

1: 507 11/3/2022, moved to SSL non-response follow up on 11/29 2: 2,049 11/10/2022, moved to SSL NRFU on 12/07 3 & 4: 7,684 11/15/2022, moved to SSL NRFU on 12/13 5 3/27: 4671, Invitation mailing 3/27, reminder 1 mailing 4/3 Total: 14,911

On March 25, SSL is winding down Crypto shifts. Between 3/25 and 4/14 we will have reduced staff of 1 TL and 2 interviewers who will continue supporting Rs who call-in with issues and do limited calling to priority cases (suspends, cases previously reached via the appended phone numbers, etc.)

SSL effort is excellent with high dials per hour, texting, calling priority lines, however, the number of surveys completed after the calls is very low (only ~ 20 per month).

Sample balancing:

The sample continues to be well-balanced on key income / geo distribution and the Crypto use levels track known indices. In the partial unweighted data 15% of respondents report currently owning and 18% report currently or ever owning Crypto (coin or asset). Pew reported a similar range -- 16% -- of "ever" investors in August 2022. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2022/08/23/46-of-americans-who-have-invested-in-cryptocurrency-say-its-done-worse-than-expected/

Finance:

Crypto continues to build underrun attributable to lower billings by staff at all levels and inability to fill the projected iwer hours. The underrun will sufficiently cover the sample augment and additional mailings.

Special Issues					
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direc	403,021.89			
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		540,664.11	
	Total Budget:			635,344.00	
	Variance (Total Budget n	minus- E\$AC):		94,679.89	
	Reason for Variance:	well allov	errun is mostly due to savings in as not reaching the projected iw wed us to extend the lab involven tional sample required to meet th	er hours. Lower actual hours nent through mid-March and fund	
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mo	nth:		114,487.96	
	Actual Dollars Used:			41,456.50	
	Variance (Projected minu	us Actual):	73,031.4		
	Reason for Variance:	to be will l	e paid in February. The \$26K inv	projecting vendor/Dataforce costs oices are being processed and a cost of additional mailings to the	
Measures		Units at Comp	lete RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:	1529	14.9%		
	Goal at Completion:	1529	14.9%		
	Current Actual:	1237	14.7%		
	Estimate at Complete:	1529	14.9%		
	Variance:				

Project Name	(DCUS) Daily	Cannabis Use Study		
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Total of Modes: 1		
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	41,311.46	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 41,311.46
Principal	Brady West (ISF	₹)		
Investigator/Clients	Sean McCabe (ISR)		
Funding Agency	National Institut	es of Health (NIH)		
IRB	HUM# : HUM002	229404		Period of Approval: Pending
Project Team	Project Lead:	Sary Hein		
	Budget Analys	t: David Kellermeyer		
	Production Ma	nager:		
	Senior Project	Advisor: Rebecca Gatward	1	
	Production Ma	nager 1: Rebecca Loomis		
	Production Ma	nager 2:		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	associations for them to into gro asked to comple up survey of 15	longer-term use behaviors. ups of 25 'light' users and 2 ete a baseline survey of abo 20 minutes. Respondents v	We will recruit 50 cannabis use 5 'heavy' users based on a screeut 10 or 15 minutes, 28 daily su	and heavy cannabis users, and their rs via the MICHR database and assign ener survey. Selected candidates will be rveys of about 5 minutes, and a follow ating (\$2 per daily survey completion for v up survey completion).
SRO Project Period	01/2023 - 05/20	23		
Data Col Period	04/2023 - 05/20	23		
Security Plan	Yes			
Milestones	Pre Production	on Start: 01/17/2023	Pre	etest Start:
	Prete	est End:	Recruitr	ment Start:
	Staffing Co	omplete:		GIT Start:
	SS Tra	in Start:	SS	Train End:
		C Start:		DC End:
Other Project Team Members	Rebecca Gatwa Rebecca Loomi	urd - SPA s - Project Manager ver - Budget Analyst tats lead an		
Other Project Name	Transitions in D	aily Motives for Cannabis U	se and Their Associations for Lo	onger-Term Use Behaviors
Sample Mgmt System	Other (Manual)			
Data Col Tool	Other (Qualtrics)		
Hardware	[UM cell] Phone			
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, R			
Administration	SRO Group			
Payment Type	Other (Tango C	Code)		
Payment Method	Other (E-mail v	<u>, </u>		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (DCL	JS)		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	1- Project charte 2- Writing and s instruments, de	ubmitting the initial IRB app	lication, including writing project and security plan, and writing e-	
Special Issues			-	
Cost as of Mar 17, 2023	Total Cost to D	Pate (direct + indirect):		4,126.92
	Est Cost at Cor	mpletion (E\$AC):		26,593.95

	Total Budget:			41,311.46
	Variance (Total Budget r	ninus- E\$AC):		22,597.5
	Reason for Variance:	Difference	s in between proposed an	d projected scope:
		l'II be Íead Intermedia 2- I'm prog hours base 3- Work so	ing the project and I was be te. gramming all of these instreed on specification are low	uments myself, so programming er.
Projections as of Mar 17, 2023	17, Dollars Projected for Month:			3,618.06
	Actual Dollars Used:			3,618.06
	Variance (Projected mine	us Actual):		0.00
	Reason for Variance:	None		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	50	75%	N/A
	Goal at Completion:	50	75%	N/A
	Current Actual:	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Estimate at Complete:	50	75%	N/A
	Variance:	0	0%	N/A

Project Mode	(ECHO (Year 7)) Envi			
•	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 384,033.	.00 Indire	ct Budget: 215,058.00	Total Budget: 599,091.00
Principal	Nigel Paneth (Michigan S	State University)		
Investigator/Clients	Michael Elliott (University	y of Michigan)		
	Jean Kerver (Michigan S	tate University)		
Funding Agency	NIH			
IRB	HUM#: HUM00139050			Period of Approval: 10/2/2021-8/13/2022
Project Team	Project Lead: Shonda R	R Kruger-Ndiaye		
	Budget Analyst: William	n Lokers		
	Production Manager:			
	Senior Project Advisor	: Evanthia Leissou		
	Production Manager 1:	Ian Ogden		
	Production Manager 2:	Sharon K Parker		
Proposal #	no data			
Description	birth weight and childhoo assess the effects of perpregnancy, and pregnancy. The study includes two sample. This existing sar Research in Child Health Archive for Research in C1,000 women from 20 pr Rapids, Novi, Saginaw, FSRO's work scope is diviquestionnaire developme State University-employe SRO developed systems follow up interviews and sample will be administer For the MARCH sample, During a follow up phone	od obesity. The project will obsistent organic pollutants a cy infection and inflammation ample cohorts: a cohort from the cohort includes mother (ARCH) study in the Lans Child Health), will consist obenatal clinics affiliated with Port Huron and Traverse Colded into two phases. During the and designed technical and designed technical and is conducting data cohome visits are also in SRC red via REDCap by the MS expectant mothers are received.	collect survey data and a serind heavy metals, maternal non on the health of children. In previous, ongoing data copers and children recruited in 2 ing area. The newly recruited f 1,100 pregnant women: 1) at 10 hospitals located in Annotaty, and 2) 100 women from compart of the compart of	llection efforts as well as new 011 or later for the Archive for a sample cohort, MARCH (Michiga a statewide probability sample of Arbor, Dearborn, Detroit, Grand one clinic in Flint. Insistance with prenatal eccruitment conducted by Michigar ted project staff. During Phase 2, -month. The MARCH age 4-5 ow up protocols with the MARCH
	In addition, women are a three trimesters. The sample recruitment a hospital-employed) intervadministered during recruand storage of blood and	sked to give blood samples and administration of prena viewers using SRO's techn uitment, the sample manag I urine samples. When bab	is in the first and second trime atal interviews is conducted b ical systems and laptops. SR gement system(s), and the sy	y MSU-employed (and other O programmed all questionnaires stem to keep track of the collection am obtains hospital birth records
	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed u	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed tee	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provide th. Children from the MARCH
SDO Brokest Basi's 1	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed u done during in-home visi	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed tee	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provide th. Children from the MARCH
•	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed u done during in-home visi 01/2017 - 08/2023	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed tee	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provice th. Children from the MARCH
Data Col Period	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed udone during in-home visi 01/2017 - 08/2023	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed tee	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provide th. Children from the MARCH
Data Col Period Security Plan	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed under during in-home vision 01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 08/2023 NA	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when then the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed teamental assessments at 4-5 y	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provideth. Children from the MARCH ears old. The assessments will be
Data Col Period Security Plan	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed under during in-home visi 01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 08/2023 NA	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when then the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed teamental assessments at 4-5 y	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provide th. Children from the MARCH rears old. The assessments will be
SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestones	when the baby is 3-6 mo and yearly after that until a child fecal sample and cohort will be assessed under during in-home vision 01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 08/2023 NA	nths old. Mothers are inten the child is 4 years old. W toenail clippings, and at ag using standardized develop	viewed again by MSU when then the children are 3 month ge 4 they will provide shed teamental assessments at 4-5 y	interview with the mother is done he children are 9-12 months old, s old, mothers are asked to provide th. Children from the MARCH rears old. The assessments will be

DC End:

DC Start:

Other Project Team Members Ian Ogden: Project Manager

Tan Ogden: Project Manager Steven Sonoras: Project Manager Nahid Sultana: Project Manager William Lokers: Financial Analyst Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Mark Simonson: Data Manager (Phase 1) Brad Goodwin: Data Manager (Phase 2) Jennie Williams: Data Manager (Phase 3) Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Systems Programmer

Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer Hueichun Peng: CAI Programmer-Illume Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Shaowei Sun: Biospecimen Logging Application Programmer

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project Name

•		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Illume; Project specific system (REDCap)	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; Illume	
Hardware	Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil	
DE Software	Illume; Other (Biospecimen Logging Application)	
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia	
Incentive	Yes, R	
Administration	SRO Group	
Payment Type	Check, post (\$20 (3-Month IW), \$20 (Biospecimen)); Cash, prepaid	
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System	
Damant Davied	Feb 2022 (FCIJO (Veer 7))	lmplamanting
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (ECHO (Year 7))	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	
Monthly Updates	[Phase 1: Recruitment and Prenatal Surveys]	

 $The \ MARCH \ recruitment \ target \ was \ 1,110 \ mothers \ / \ live \ births. \ Recruitment \ is \ done \ and \ the \ final \ count \ is \ 1,113.$

[Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection Summary as of 3/20/23]

3-month sample released: 1018 3-month interviews completed: 730

Average attempts / Iw: 7.6 Iw length: 36 min Response Rate: 72%

3-month biospecimen collected: 414

[Phase 3: Age 4 REDCap Survey as of 3/20/23]

Age-4 sample released: 320 REDCap surveys completed: 218

Response Rate: 68%

[Phase 3: Age 4 In-Person Visit Protocols as of 3/20/23]

Age-4 IPV sample released: 170 In-person visits completed: 50

Special Issues

There is still interest on the part of the U-M PI to roll any unused SRO funds into blood spot analysis. Ny the end of April SRO must specify an estimate of funds that could be deobligated. SRO is still working with MSU to define close out procedures for each component.

Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	253,256.99
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	516,140.11
	Total Budget:	599,091.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	82,950.89

Reason for Variance:

We're now projecting hours that would allow us to complete (but not significantly exceed) our originally-budgeted Y7 work scope of 144 3 mo iws and 50 Age 4 in-person iws. The biggest driver of our projected underrun is management, which is reflective of staffing constraints in PDMG and the lean/distributed leadership model we adopted. Several

non-sal categories also came in low. The largest of these was hosting due to our canceled iwer training.

	ado to our ourror a uning.			
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mo	onth:		39,831.4
	Actual Dollars Used:			35,575.9
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			
	Reason for Variance:	d travel came in lower than p pressed due to ooto/vacation		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	See Monthly Updates		
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(EDC-Endline) Every Dollar Counts Endline				
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,382,700.00 Indirect Budget: 714,811.00	Total Budget: 3,097,511.00			
Principal	Stephanie Chardoul (SRO)				
Investigator/Clients	Sarah Miller (Ross Business School)				
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#: HUM00164105	Period of Approval: 12/23/2022-12/23/23			
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara Lohr Ward				
	Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek				
	Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg				
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis				
	Production Manager 1: Karin Schneider				
	Production Manager 2: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The overall project is funded by a consortium (currently consisting of both Oper	Possarch Lab (Open) and NIH			

Description

The overall project is funded by a consortium (currently consisting of both OpenResearch Lab (Open) and NIH. OpenResearch Lab (Open) (formerly Y Combinator Research) and the principal investigators are conducting an evaluation of Every Dollar Counts, a cash assistance gift program being administered by two non-profit organizations: CitySquare, based in Dallas, Texas, and Heartland Alliance, located in Chicago, Illinois (the Community Partners).

The purpose of this study is to explore how the program affects multiple dimensions of recipients' lives. Key outcomes of interest include health, subjective and material well-being, time use, financial health, labor market participation, social and civic engagement, and effects on children. SRO concluded the Baseline interviews in 2020, and the Midline interviews in July 2022. The EDC Endline project will reach out to all Baseline respondents for completion of a telephone interview, followed by an in-person interview (with cognitive tasks, anthropometric measurements and collection of dried blood spots) for those respondents still in the greater Chicago area or greater Dallas/Ft. Worth area. Data collection will take place between March 2023 and late September 2023. SRO assumes approximately 91% of the 2,990 Baseline respondents are located and willing to be interviewed again (2,720 90-minute telephone interviews). SRO assumes that in-person interviews will be completed with 2,003 respondents still living in the greater Chicago or Dallas/Ft. Worth and Waco area. About 400 respondents are known to currently reside outside of these areas; these respondents will not be asked to complete an in-person interview. The in-person interviews will include collection of consent for administrative data collection, collection of Social Security number, completion of self-administered on-line cognitive assessments, collection of blood pressure, height, weight, and dried blood spots. We assume that 1, 957 respondents will participate in the dried blood spot collection. Following collection of the dried blood spots, SRO interviewers will package and ship the dried blood spot cards to the laboratory chosen by ORL.

- Our budget assumes up to 2,720 telephone interviews are completed and allows for at least six telephone attempts on all non-resistant cases with working telephone numbers. SRO will track and monitor contact attempts to examine the efficacy of contact windows in the first replicate of the sample.
- The budget assumes approximately 2,003 cases complete the in-person component and 1,957 consent to the DBS collection.
- Mileage costs for fieldwork are budgeted at 2,003 trips of no more than 120 miles per round trip.
- · Cash incentives of \$15,000 are included in the budget estimate to facilitate in-person payments to reluctant and hard-to-reach participants and individuals who are asked to assist in the location of these participants. All other participant incentive payments are the responsibility of Open.
- · Supplies/kits for DBS are included in the estimate consistent with our most recent project experience. Further discussion with the University of Washington laboratory may result in the refinement of the materials and method for drying the bio specimens and associated costs of project supplies.

SRO will conduct standard data cleaning and produce a preliminary and final dataset with documentation. Standard data cleaning does not include customization (such as derived variable or index creation, dataset merging, sample weighting, recoding, or coding of other-specify responses). We will ensure that all components of a case are present with a reference variable (SID, OID) to allow for merging and data analysis. Our main documentation is conveyed through data dictionaries and a questionnaire codebook.

We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended responses.

- · SRO will provide daily, automated delivery of questionnaire data, cognitive tasks, and sample management system data for cases with a final disposition and sample management system data for all cases that have been released to interviewers.
- Sample management data that will be delivered daily to Open will include the following case-level variables:
- o Contact attempts
- ? number by type (SMS, phone, email, in-person)
- ? date/time of last attempt
- o Appointments
- ? date/time of scheduled appointments
- ? occurrence of broken / missed appointments
- ? how appointment was made (self-scheduler/by interviewer)
- o Current incentive assigned to the sample line
- o SRO will work with Open during pre-production to finalize variables and format.
- We will work with Open between completion of active data collection and end of the funding period for Endline to reconcile any outstanding discrepancies in the data.
- SRO will also deliver:
- o Daily data collection progress reports
- o A final summary of field methods at the end of data collection
- o A full survey dataset with all participant contact information at the close of data collection.

SRO Project Period	01/1996 - 01/1996	
Data Col Period		
Security Plan	NA	
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 09/01/2022	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 02/01/2023
	Staffing Complete: 02/27/2023	GIT Start:
	SS Train Start: 03/20/2023	SS Train End: 03/24/2023
	DC Start: 03/27/2023	DC End: 08/19/2023
Other Project Team Members	Ian Ogden (SSS, Tech Coordinator); Anna Fuqua-S Marsha Skoman (SurveyTrak); Heuchun Peng and S	mith (SSI); Austin De Spirito (SSA); Jeff Smith (Tech Lead); Shaowei Sun (Self-Scheduler); Peter Sparks & Kelly Liesko ata Management); Ashwin Dey and Darnell Franklin (Webtrak,
Other Project Name		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Project specific system (Self-Scheduler	for Telephone)
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; Other (ArcGIS - Survey 123)	
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone	
DE Software	N/A	
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI	
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF	
Administration	SRO Group; Other (PI Payment)	
Payment Type	Cash, post (\$10 or \$20 For Dried Blood Spots); Oth	er (\$20 Finders Fee)
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled	via Tenrox)
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (EDC-Endline)	Implementing
•	,	in plantaling
Risk Level	On Track	policining
Risk Level Monthly Updates	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decision) work.	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following:
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decision). During February 2023, SRC activities on the EDC M. Task 1 Midline: Management, Budget and Work Planer et al. (Neverlaged Late of the Neviewed cost reports, expenses, investigated late of the Investigated/transferred late charges of Prepared financial statements. Task 1 Endline: Management, Budget and Work Planer et al. (Neverlaged Late of the Neverlaged Late of	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following:
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decisi work. During February 2023, SRC activities on the EDC M Task 1 Midline: Management, Budget and Work Plant • Reviewed cost reports, expenses, investigated late • Investigated/transferred late charges • Prepared financial statements. Task 1 Endline: Management, Budget and Work Plant • Participated in project meetings with ORL to discustion • Financial on Reviewed monthly project expenses for Midline and • Programming/Specification on Reviewed/specified further Endline questionnaire expenses of Conducted repeated rounds of questionnaire testing	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following: charges. n ss scope, cost projections and schedule for EDC Endline de Endline. Updated projections and produced reports. edits for telephone, provided to programmer and, managed bug log
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decisi work. During February 2023, SRC activities on the EDC M Task 1 Midline: Management, Budget and Work Plater Reviewed cost reports, expenses, investigated later Investigated/transferred late charges Investigated/transferred late charges Prepared financial statements. Task 1 Endline: Management, Budget and Work Plater Prepared in project meetings with ORL to discust Financial Reviewed monthly project expenses for Midline and Programming/Specification Reviewed/specified further Endline questionnaire testing of Conducted repeated rounds of questionnaire testing of Conducted testing of the self-scheduler, managed of Facilitated ORL testing of the questionnaire of Tested SurveyTrak and the self-scheduler of Researched errors in skip patterns, MQDS Training preparation Finalized training agenda for a virtual telephone training deciries and the self-scheduler, training ended the segan writing/revising manual chapters, training ended the self-scheduler of the segan writing/revising manual chapters, training ended the self-scheduler of the segan writing/revising manual chapters, training ended the self-scheduler of the segan writing/revising manual chapters, training ended the self-scheduler of the	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following: charges. n ss scope, cost projections and schedule for EDC Endline definition. Updated projections and produced reports. edits for telephone, provided to programmer not managed bug log bug log saining
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decision) work. During February 2023, SRC activities on the EDC M Task 1 Midline: Management, Budget and Work Plant Reviewed cost reports, expenses, investigated late Investigated/transferred late charges Investigated/transferred late charges Prepared financial statements. Task 1 Endline: Management, Budget and Work Plant Participated in project meetings with ORL to discust Financial Reviewed monthly project expenses for Midline and Programming/Specification Reviewed/specified further Endline questionnaire testing of Conducted repeated rounds of questionnaire testing of Conducted testing of the self-scheduler, managed of Facilitated ORL testing of the questionnaire of Tested SurveyTrak and the self-scheduler of Researched errors in skip patterns, MQDS Training preparation of Finalized training agenda for a virtual telephone training preparation of Finalized training agenda for a virtual telephone training agenda for a virtual telephone training preparation of Finalized training agenda for a virtual telephone training agenda for a virtual telep	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following: charges. n se scope, cost projections and schedule for EDC Endline de Endline. Updated projections and produced reports. edits for telephone, provided to programmer and, managed bug log bug log saining exercises
	On Track NOTE: The EDC cost-to-complete projection is now to place in-person work on hold (Jan 24, 2023 decision). During February 2023, SRC activities on the EDC M Task 1 Midline: Management, Budget and Work Planer Reviewed cost reports, expenses, investigated later Investigated/transferred late charges • Investigated/transferred late charges • Prepared financial statements. Task 1 Endline: Management, Budget and Work Planer Programming/Specification • Reviewed monthly project expenses for Midline and Programming/Specification • Reviewed/specified further Endline questionnaire on Conducted repeated rounds of questionnaire testing of Conducted repeated rounds of questionnaire testing of Excilitated ORL testing of the questionnaire on Researched errors in skip patterns, MQDS • Training preparation • Finalized training agenda for a virtual telephone trainer on Began writing/revising manual chapters, training endordered supplies for training materials printing on Began formatting materials for printing	showing a large underrun, due to the research team's decision on). They have not yet received NIH funding for in-person idline and EDC Endline project included the following: charges. n ss scope, cost projections and schedule for EDC Endline de Endline. Updated projections and produced reports. edits for telephone, provided to programmer and an anaged bug log bug log saining sercises and production

Task 4: CAI Programming
• Continued programming Endline telephone questionnaire changes resulting from testing, further specification development.

- Task 5: Systems Programming
 Sample Management Systems
 o Finalized SurveyTrak specifications for Endline Telephone
 o Conducted interative rounds of testing in SurveyTrak, resolved bugs and re-tested
 o Prepared testing preload for SurveyTrak, Self-Scheduler and Blaise applications
 o Updated QR codes for texts and emails

- o Prepared additional testing lines for SurveyTrak and Blaise
- Self-Scheduler
- o Prepared preload and sample lines for testing
- o Conducted testing; made adjustments to fix bugs
- Reporting systems
- o Updated data dictionaries for preload & deliverables

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- · Reviewed/revised virtual training agenda for interviewers and team leaders; made adjustments as necessary
- Began work on training materials

Task 8: Main Data Collection

· No activity this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

· No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

· No activity this month

Special Issues

Areas Requiring Attention:

Current Updates:

Goal at Completion:

Current Actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

- Work on the in-person portion of the data collection was officially put on hold on Jan 24, 2023.
- We are projecting a large underrun on the EDC Endline project. Projections for in-person work have been removed from the financial system, given the uncertainty as to whether that part of the project will move forward. The cost reports now reflect only the telephone survey work. A contingency for cancellation of the training contract has been included in the cost projection.
- We continue to project a substantial underrun for the Midline project
- o The project hired and trained a lower number of interviewers than anticipated, and the trainings were shorter than had been budgeted. This will result in lower than anticipated hosting and training travel costs.
- o Hours per interview are exceptionally low due to heavy use of the self-scheduler. Final overall HPI: 3.01.

Approved EDC Endline Work Scope Changes

- Per agreement with ORL, SRO staff continued to work to prepare the Endline in-person survey instruments through mid-January 2023, although funding is as yet unknown.
- Development of the self-scheduler for the in-person survey centralized sites was halted (Nov 2022).
- The Endline in-person survey launch will be delayed due to uncertainty regarding funding (December 9, 2022). o The March training is being converted to a virtual training for on-staffers. The entire team will work exclusively on the telephone instrument until the (delayed) in-person training.
- o SRO scheduled an in-person training in May for new-hires and on-staff interviewers who will work on the inperson survey.
- o SRO signed a hotel/hosting contract for the May (May 5-12, 2023) in-person training. ORL understands that it will be committed to paying a penalty for cancellation or change of the contract. There is a 25% penalty for changes through March 5, at which point the penalty will increase.
- SRO staff will work in January to purchase supplies for the in-person survey and for kitting. This is necessary in order to accommodate the lead time for kitting (6 to 8 weeks) before the in-person survey launches.
- Work on the in-person survey was officially put on hold on Jan 24, 2023.

Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct -	+ indirect):			250,395.10
	Est Cost at Completion (ES	\$AC):			1,712,881.61
	Total Budget:				3,097,511.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):			1,384,629.39
	Reason for Variance:	or m	n hold beca	n component of the survey da use NIH has not awarded fun cision on Jan 24, 2023 to put ld.	ds. The research team
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:			80,315.69
	Actual Dollars Used:				71,879.62
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			8,436.07
	Reason for Variance:	A: or Pi ar	ssistant houn hold on rojections a	ariance is in salary categories urs for recruitment, however rely on-staffers will be used for the being updated. Programmagain due to the fact that the ist on hold.	new hire recruitment was put the Telephone survey. ing was lower than
Measures		Units at Con	nplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	2721		91%	3.0

Project Name	(EDC-SS Phases 3-	4) Every Dollar Counts	Semi-Structured, Finases	3 3-4	
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone	Secondary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 2		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 314,566.00 Indirect Budget: 94,369.00 Total Budget: 408,935.0				
Principal	Jessica Wiederspan (OpenResearchLab)				
Investigator/Clients	Elizabeth Rhodes (Ope	enResearchLab)			
Funding Agency	OpenResearchLab				
IRB	HUM#: HUM00164105	i		Period of Approval: 1/1/2022-12/31/2022	
Project Team	Project Lead: Karin So	chneider			
	Budget Analyst: Mega	an Gomez-Mesquita			
	Production Manager:	Barbara Aghababian-Homb	ourg		
	Senior Project Adviso	or: Nicole G Kirgis			
	Production Manager	1:			
	Production Manager	2:			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	same respondents are hour iws). Phases 3 and January 2022. Phase calendar year. SRO sa	asked to do six qualitative ind 4 are separated by eight 4 is planned as in-person stample is 129 cases. 122 we ORL (PI) team as incarcera	nterviews over the course of the months. Phase 3 conducted barting in August 2022 and runiere successfully interviewed for	DC Baseline/Midline studies. The te three-year EDC program (1-1.5 by phone from November 2021 - ning through the end of the Phase 3 (two cases are presently	
SRO Project Period	10/2021 - 01/2023				
Data Col Period	11/2021 - 12/2022				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start	:	Pretest S	Start:	
	Pretest End:		Recruitment S	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete: GIT Start:			Start:	
	SS Train Start	:	SS Train	End:	
	DC Start	:	DC	End : 01/31/2023	
Other Project Team Members	Ashwin Dey, Becky Lo	omis, Andrea Pearce, Marsl	na Skoman, Peter Sparks, Ste	phanie Windisch	
Other Project Name	"Phase 3/4" is often ref	ferred to as "Round 3/4"			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phon	e			
Hardware DE Software	Laptop; [UM cell] Phon	е			
		е			
DE Software	N/A	е			
DE Software QC Recording Tool	N/A DRI-CXM	e			
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R	e			
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA	e ystem (API through STrak to	PI's payment system)		
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA	ystem (API through STrak to	PI's payment system)	Closing	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy	ystem (API through STrak to	PI's payment system)	Closing	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy	ystem (API through STrak to	PI's payment system)	Closing	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Properties)	ystem (API through STrak to	PI's payment system)	Closing	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Pt On Track No updates, project clo	ystem (API through STrak to 	PI's payment system)	Ţ	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Proportion of the contract of t	ystem (API through STrak to nases 3-4) psing.	PI's payment system)	316,110.31	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Proportion of the content of the	ystem (API through STrak to nases 3-4) psing.	PI's payment system)	316,110.31 316,110.31	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Ph On Track No updates, project clo None Total Cost to Date (di Est Cost at Completic	ystem (API through STrak to nases 3-4) psing. irect + indirect): on (E\$AC):	PI's payment system)	316,110.31 316,110.31 408,935.00	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Proportion of the contract of t	ystem (API through STrak to nases 3-4) psing. irect + indirect): on (E\$AC): et minus- E\$AC):	PI's payment system) revious months' report - traveleted, but project did all phone i	316,110.31 316,110.31 408,935.00 92,824.69 was originally planned and	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Ph On Track No updates, project clo None Total Cost to Date (di Est Cost at Completic Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget)	ystem (API through STrak to mases 3-4) osing. irect + indirect): on (E\$AC): et minus- E\$AC):	revious months' report - travel	316,110.31 316,110.31 408,935.00 92,824.69 was originally planned and	
DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	N/A DRI-CXM Yes, R SRO Group NA Check through other sy Feb, 2023 (EDC-SS Ph On Track No updates, project clo None Total Cost to Date (di Est Cost at Completic Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget) Reason for Variance:	ystem (API through STrak to mases 3-4) osing. irect + indirect): on (E\$AC): et minus- E\$AC):	revious months' report - travel	316,110.31 316,110.31 408,935.00 92,824.69 was originally planned and nterviewing.	

Reason for Variance:

We should not have projected as much as we did for this last month of activity.

	activity.					
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	117	97	5		
	Goal at Completion:	117	97	5		
	Current Actual:	117	97	6.7		
	Estimate at Complete:	117	97	6.7		
	Variance:			1.7		

Project Name	(HCAP 2022) Healthy	Cognitive Agin	g Project, 2022		
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telep	hone		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 3,300,00	0.00	Indirect Budget: 1,188,000	0.00	Total Budget: 4,488,000.00
Principal	Kenneth Langa (SRC)				
Investigator/Clients	David Weir (SRC)				
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#: HUM00099822				Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Maureen	Joan O'Brien			
	Budget Analyst: Richard	d Warren Krause			
	Production Manager: N	argaret Lavanger			
	Senior Project Advisor	Evanthia Leissou			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	assessment of HRS responder will be selected for respondents after the HR will be selected randomly complete the 3,530 in-peinterviewed. The respondent question	ondents. A sample this effort. The que is 2022 interview has to expected that is expected. Ar anire length is expensive services.	the field team will carry out	er household) ognitive tests a nple will not be well-planned r be completed informant ques	who are 65 years of age or and will be administered to e clustered geographically; it regional trips in order to for each of the respondents estionnaire is expected to be
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 12/2023				
Data Col Period	07/2022 - 09/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: (04/01/2022		Pretest Start: (05/01/2020
	Pretest End: (05/21/2020	Recr	uitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:			GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	07/13/2022	;	SS Train End: (07/15/2022
	DC Start: (07/18/2022		DC End: (08/31/2023
Other Project Team Members	PDMG: Tony Romanows Valyn Dall, Peter Sparks			Cross. TSG:	Jeff Smith, Brad Goodwin,
Other Project Name	Harmonized Cognitive As	ssessment Protoco			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone				
DE Software	Blaise 4.8 BIA				
QC Recording Tool	NA				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	NA				
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (50); Cas	h, post (25)			
Payment Method	Check through STrak RF	ay System			
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (HCAP 2022)				Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns				,
Monthly Updates	HCAP production has be including 1,490 R and 1,3	243 Inf. There are been completed (1		60 Inf). The o	

Given the overrun due to the budget cap for 2020 that resulted in lowering lwer hours. We have shared 3 different cost to close scenarios with the PI, showing effort and RRs of the 3 sample groups, and estimated yield and cost. CRS will be updated to reflect updated costs once the PI has reviewed the scenarios. Field goals will be updated as well (MO). HCAP and HRS staff have been working together to determine a more accurate estimated HCAP sample count, and put checks in place to ensure that the HCAP flag is removed from HRS preload who are

All cost scenarios assume the project ends in August 2023 as projected, and that there will not be another

interviewer training. If another training is needed, cost to close would need to be updated. At this point we are not expecting the need for an additional training, but it is dependent on Iwer retention for the next 6 months.

One area the project has seen efficiencies is in project management. We are currently \$368,600 under what was projected. We have been discussing other ways to cut costs to decrease the overrun. The PIs have suggested removing one sample type, the Recontacts. This group did not complete their HCAP 2016 interview. 208 Recons have already been released to HCAP and we have done 78 interviews. They require more contacts per interview than the other sample, about 6 contacts average. The PIs are very interested in HRS Proxy sample, so we are prioritizing those sample lines, both R and Inf. Since some Recons are Proxies, we will need to consider keeping the Recon Proxies in the sample.

The IRB amendment to allow verbal phone consent for informants is under review. We have updated the consent screens in Blaise and are testing these, and created an informant brochure that will either be mailed to the Inf by the Iwer or left at the Inf's home if they reside with the R. Also included in this amendment is non-monetary TOAs.

Special Issues		Production training and production launch were postponed to Summer, 2022 due global pandemic. We are projecting an overrun due to the factors outlined in the 'Monthly Updates' section.				
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		2,543,322.46		
	Est Cost at Completion (E	E\$AC):		4,926,655.11		
	Total Budget:			4,488,000.00		
	Variance (Total Budget m	ninus- E\$AC):		-438,655.11		
	Reason for Variance:	Reason for Variance: Cost to close will be updated once PI has re scenarios. This will be discussed at Exec or decided so that this can be updated by the				
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:					
	Actual Dollars Used:	256,589.42				
	Variance (Projected minu	Variance (Projected minus Actual): 44,32				
	Reason for Variance:					
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	2661				
	Goal at Completion:	6338	69%			
	Current Actual:	2402	57.2%	4.77		
	Estimate at Complete:		69%			
	Variance:					
Other Measures	Goals and actuals include R	. + Inf iws.	'	'		

Project Name	(Health and Well Being in SE MI) Detroit Aging and Memory Project (formerly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan)				
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of Mode	es: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget: 2,409,055.00	Indirect Budget: 1,349,072.00	Total Budget: 3,758,127.00		
Principal	Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, SRC)				
Investigator/Clients	Toni Antonucchi (Life Course Development Program, SRC)				
	Laura Zahodne (Life Course Developme	ent Program, SRC)			
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM# : HUM00146040		Period of Approval: 4/9/2020		
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara Lohr Ward				
	Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek				
	Production Manager: Veronica Conno	rs-Burge			
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgi	s			
	Production Manager 1: Taghreid Love	II			
	Production Manager 2: lan Ogden				
Proposal #	no data				
Description	and 330 interviews with Social Relations selected based on an in-person househ (content from the Social Relations interviews measurements. Social Relations response	entified Arab Americans aged 65 or older resistantly apple members aged 65 or older. The Alold screening. The interview will consist of view), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a lidents will only complete the cognitive interers. Interviews will be conducted in English	arab American sample will be a 60 minute core interview a series of physical view. An informant interview will		
SRO Project Period	05/2019 - 03/2023				
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 03/2024				
Security Plan	No				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 12/01/2022 Pretest Start:				
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 02/01/2023			
	Staffing Complete: 04/10/2023	GIT Sta	nrt: 05/16/2023		
	SS Train Start: 05/18/2023	SS Train E	nd: 05/25/2023		
	DC Start: 05/30/2023	DC Ei	nd:		
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Connors-Burg Raphael Nishimura, John Gawlas, Valyi	ge, Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, Ashwin Dey, n Dall	Kelly Liesko, Peter Sparks,		
Other Project Name	Detroit Aging and Memory Project (form	erly Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Mid	chigan)		
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pel	ncil			
DE Software	Other (Weblog)				
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	SRO Group				
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$75 respondent, \$25 info	ormant): Other (\$2 screener incentive)			
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of cash (reimburse	,, ,, ,,			
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (Health and Well Being in SE		Implementing		
Risk Level	Attention!				
Monthly Updates	Task 1: Management, Budget and Work • Held meetings with the DAWN research and SRS. • Prepared schedule for project launch • Financial o Prepared cost reports and reviewed monormalized projections and entered them • Procurement	ch team to discuss study planning, budget, monthly expenses. In into the cost reporting system as for kitting. Received and evaluated proposelection to UM Procurement.	scope and schedule for D-Amp		

- o Continued evaluation of questionnaire changes, updating Blaise specifications for programmers.
- o Conducted final revisions to the combined screener specification, met with programmers and submitted specification for programming.
 - o Finalized consent sequence for main questionnaire. Presented design to LCD team.
 - Training preparation
- o Met with production managers to discuss training plans. Reviewed and revised study-specific training agenda.

Task 2: Sampling

- Reviewed final screener specification, provided feedback.
- Began reviewing potential dashboard designs.
- · Worked with UMTRI to obtain MDOS sample.

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

• See Task 1 for activities completed by the management team

Task 4: CAI Programming

Began programming combined screener.

Task 5: Systems Programming

· Began updating D-Amp sample management system.

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- Facilities
- o Finalized contract for May 2023 training space
- o Finalized RFP for July training space. Contacted potential sites to assess availability.
- · Interviewer recruitment
- o Reached out to on-staffers who worked on D-Amp in 2019.
- o Evaluated applications for team leader, production coordinator
- o Finalized postings for new hire interviewers, submitted to HR for approval
- o Finalized flyers for new-hire postings
- o Posted open positions in a variety of locations, began screening applicants

Task 8: Main Data Collection

· See Task 1 for procurement activities

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

Variance:

· No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

· No activity this month

Special Issues	 Interviewer recruitment is not going well. We are working on a design for a bonus program for new hires. We are also asking the LCD team to help spread the word about open interviewer positions. The project is well behind schedule on technical development due to understaffing. The project is currently understaffed, and the timeline for launch is *very* short. We are continuing to work to add staff to the project to assist in various ways. The Pls have not processed a number of IRB changes that were requested last year. This may impact printing, kitting and study preparation, leading to more staffing needed at SRO so the project can launch on time. The Pls indicated that there would be a number of questionnaire changes, which were not anticipated in the budget. 					
Cost as of Mar 17, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direc	t + indirect):		1,057,069.8		
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		3,757,998.03		
	Total Budget:	Total Budget:				
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):					
	Reason for Variance:	nt.				
Projections as of Mar 17, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mo	47,071.76				
	Actual Dollars Used:	30,033.16				
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):					
	Reason for Variance:	et is understaffed. We are days to adjust projection	working to both add staff to the s.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	930	varies	11.65 w/screen, inf		
	Goal at Completion:	930				
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:	930				

Other Measures

.75 hour per completed screener (.45 hour per sample line), 60% cooperation rate with screening

Project Name	(HRS 2022 Panel & Baselines) Health and Retirement Study 2022 Main Interviews				
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects				
Budget	Direct Budget : 13,982,815.00	Indirect Budget: 5,033,815.00	Total Budget: 19,016,630.00		
Principal	David Weir (ISR-SRC)				
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency					
IRB	HUM#: HUM000611128		Period of Approval: 9/8/2021 to 9/7/2022		
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou				
	Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Kra	use			
	Production Manager: Andrea Sims				
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kir	gis			
	Production Manager 1: Deborah Ziva	an			
	Production Manager 2: Jennifer C Ar	rrieta			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992. The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain a representative sample. A series of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records is requested.				
SRO Project Period	01/2021 - 05/2023				
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 04/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 01/01/2021	Pretest St	art: 11/01/2021		
	Pretest End: 11/23/2021	Recruitment St	art: 08/01/2021		
	Staffing Complete: 01/15/2022	GIT St	art: 02/21/2021		
	SS Train Start: 02/23/2022	SS Train E	ind: 03/03/2022		
	DC Start: 03/07/2022		ind: 07/29/2024		
Other Project Team Members					
Other Project Name	Coding Lead: Carolyn Vieira-Martinez HRS 2022 Main Iws				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS				
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5				
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pe	encil			
DE Software		xternal vendor (DataForce Scanning SAQs)			
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia				
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF				
Administration	NA				
		\$50 (M/PD) \$20 (SAO))			
Payment Type	Check, prepaid (80.00); Check, post (\$		ot up for MOMO\- lat '		
Payment Method		Check through other system (Rpay system set via Tenrox) (Rpay system set up for MSM for MSMS)			
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (HRS 2022 Panel & Baselir	nes)	Implementing		
Risk Level	Some Concerns		pioniding		
Monthly Updates	Throughout the month of February,	on production monitoring, logging, weekly m	ailings (SSA and SAQ), payment		

- Minimal technical updates made to SurveyTrak, Weblog, WebTrak, MSMS, and reports. - Limited effort protocol continues with minimal impact to the field staff (504 SIDs pulled from field as of 3/16/23). - Recruitment for April training (4/19 to 4/25) continued (wrapping up in early March). 130 trainees (126 new hires and 4 on-staffers) are scheduled to attend. - Web panel CATI follow up in process. A new issue was identified for cases started via Web suspended in Section A and resumed via CATI due to Blaise session. Short term fix implemented for 2022. SRO is coordinating a meeting with CBS to discuss long term solutions. - Priority/Increased token flagging of Panel and EgenX 2019 sample and non-priority Panel eFTF mode switch protocols were implemented. Interviewer effort and completion rates increased on the high priority cases. *As of 3/16/23, the "measures" table reflects both Panel and Baseline interviews combined **Special Issues** - Concerns about meeting Panel interviewing goals even with the additional three months of field time due to current interviewer count and balancing effort with new cohort screening/baseline iws. Project team is working with client on responsive design options to implement to help alleviate this concern. - Multiple Blaise issues that have impacted STrak and MSMS throughout data collection. 13,700,353.25 Cost as of Mar 16, 2023 Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 19,298,497.98 19,016,630.00 Total Budget: -281,867.98 Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC): CRS is projecting the contingent fringe benefits with the newly Reason for Variance: approved 10.4% rate to accommodate ACA costs, the \$400 signing bonus for field staff, Field interviewer and SurveyTech base rate increase, an extra day added to February production training, the increase in per mile reimbursement for travel. CRS is now using the actual lwer rates for projecting lwer costs and travel projections were removed from the recruitment task. The Casic recharge rate and Field Ops rate increases, increased mileage costs for remaining interviews, the Field Iwer and SurveyTech rate increases have been updated in CRS, the increase in recharges to the lwer-Trainee hours as well as the reduction in the CAPI rat. The additional interviewer hours needed to reach panel interview goals have been applied to CRS. Projections as of Mar 16, Dollars Projected for Month: 786,946.66 2023 Actual Dollars Used: 682.831.98 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 104,114.68 Reason for Variance: February salary costs were below projections by 3%. Non-salary costs were significantly under projections due to unused costs for travel, respondent payments, and advertising. The unused costs were pushed forward to future months. HPI **Units at Complete** RR Measures **Current Goal:** 12.841 50% 8.5 62% Goal at Completion: 22.661 8.2

12,912

22,661

2022 Baselines generated from screener: Goal RR: 44%, Current RR: 18.1%

n

2019 EGenX baselines: Goal RR: 70%, Current RR: 58.2%

50.4%

62%

0

9.5

11.7

3.5

Current Actual:

Variance:

Other Measures

Estimate at Complete:

Panel: Goal RR: 74%, Current RR: 55.8%

Primary: Data Processing		
Sponsored Projects		
Direct Budget: 67,762.90	Indirect Budget: 24,394.16	Total Budget: 92,157.06
David Weir (SRC)		
Amanda Sonnega (SRC)		
NIA		
HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Lead: Gloria J Baker		
·		
	a-Martinez	
•	Ameta	
completed during 1994-2002 waves crosswalk between the 1980 and 20 during the 1994-2002 waves of HRS	of data collection. The Survey Services Lab 10 occupation census codes as well ass occ data collection, using 2010 Census codes.	oratory (SSL) will complete a cupation re-coding for jobs reported
09/2022 - 06/2024		
NA		
Pre Production Start:	Pretest :	Start:
Pretest End:	Recruitment	Start:
Staffing Complete:	GIT	Start:
SS Train Start:	SS Train	End:
DC Start:	DC	End:
<u> </u>		
NA		
Not used		
N/A		
N/A		
N/A		
Feb, 2023 (HRS HOC)		Planning
On Track		<u> </u>
-Coordinated meetings and commun	nication between HRS and PIs to keep progr	ess moving forward in order to
- Delay of original coding work scope - PI with the most coding experience - SRO's staff member with the most he will be retiring the first week of Fe	e to now begin in late Spring 2023 /knowledge left the organization shortly afte HRS coding experience/knowledge informed	d project team in mid-January that
	ct):	16,638.43
Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		85,429.09
		·
i otai Budget:		92.157.0
Total Budget: Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$.	AC):	92,157.00
	Direct Budget: 67,762.90 David Weir (SRC) Amanda Sonnega (SRC) NIA HUM#: Project Lead: Gloria J Baker Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao Production Manager: Carolyn Vieir Senior Project Advisor: Jennifer C Production Manager 1: Production Manager 2: no data HRS Staff will provide SRO with the completed during 1994-2002 waves crosswalk between the 1980 and 20 during the 1994-2002 waves of HRS completed in the past using 1980 Ce 09/2022 - 06/2024 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Staffing Complete: SS Train Start: DC Start: HRS Historical Coding NA NA Desktop Other (Coding Application) NA Not used N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Teb, 2023 (HRS HOC) On Track During the month of February, Jeannie participated in meetings w-Coordinated meetings and communicated mee	Direct Budget: 67,762.90 Indirect Budget: 24,394.16 David Weir (SRC) Amanda Sonnega (SRC) NIA HUM#: Project Lead: Gloria J Baker Budget Analyst: Cindy Tsao Production Manager: Carolyn Vieira-Martinez Senior Project Advisor: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager 1: Production Manager 2: no data HRS Staff will provide SRO with the text description of approximately 10,000 occompleted during 1994-2002 waves of data collection. The Survey Services Lab crosswalk between the 1980 and 2010 occupation census codes as well ass oct during the 1994-2002 waves of HRS data collection, using 2010 Census codes. Og/2022 - 06/2024 NA Pre Production Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Staffing Complete: GIT SS Train Start: SS Train DC Start: SS Train NA NA Desktop Other (Coding Application) NA Not used N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/

Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		2,393.95	
	Actual Dollars Used:			3,132.49	
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):				
	Reason for Variance:	Staff hour p benefits.	rojections were on target; the	variance was due to fringe	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(HRS2022-Screening) HRS 2022 - Screening	α	
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 3	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 21,264,149.00 Indire	ct Budget: 7,655,093.00	Total Budget:
Detectors	Day (41M/4); (ODO)		28,919,242.00
Principal	David Weir (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Helen Levy (SRC)		
From the m. A many and	Ken Langa (SRC)		
Funding Agency			D : / / /
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou		
	Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis		
	Production Manager 1: Andrew L Hupp		
	Production Manager 2: Theresa Camelo		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a nation The study includes a representative sample of US waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in an cohort was added as well as a minority oversample boomer cohort was added. In 2022, group 1 of the oversample.	esidents aged 50 years and old are screened in to the study to d completed a baseline intervier of both early and mid-baby boo	ler. Every six years (three maintain representativeness. In w. In 2010, the mid baby boomer omers. In 2016, the late baby
SRO Project Period	02/2021 - 01/2024		
Data Col Period	03/2022 - 01/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Sta	nrt:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	art:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train Er	nd:
	DC Start: 04/19/2022	DC End:	
Other Project Team Membe	ers		
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other ((Blaise) Case Manager	nent App (CMA))	
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil		
DE Software	Other (Blaise 5 web instrument); N/A		
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia; N/A		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post; Cash, prepaid (\$2); Cash, post		
Payment Method	Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer pa Cash Fund from ISR Business Office	yment of cash (reimbursed/reco	onciled via Tenrox); Imprest
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (HRS2022-Screening)		Implementing
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	A portion of Batch 4 sample has been released to t previous sample is worked. We've discussed with the (primarily due to no coverage in the field) to be sen discussion has begun with the PIs about end game proposal that we are working on finalizing.	ne PIs the possibility of the Bato t to the SSL for calling. No decis	ch 4 sample remaining on web sion has been made yet. A
	The new training group has begun screening. They hours overall, we have been hitting our production and 3 cases flagged for phone follow-up. We have was not part of the follow-up protocol design).	goals recently. SSL staff have a	Iso begun calling the Batch 2

A larger training of at least 120-140 is planned for April. The SRO and sampling team are working though how much effort is needed to meet the targets of the study.

We have had discussions with the PIs about how many baseline interviewers we need to have done by the end of
calendar year 2023. The goal is to have 50% (or more) of the EGENX goal (4,500-5,200), and most/all of the MOC
goal (2.000).

26.5%

4.52

Special Issues					
Cost as of Mar 14, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):			8,966,278.40
	Est Cost at Completion (E	E\$AC):			29,274,035.66
	Total Budget:				28,919,242.00
	Variance (Total Budget m	ninus- E\$AC):			354,793.66
	Reason for Variance:		projections for ->130), incre	is aware of the current project or increased number of new hased the number of days (6- training group for the April tr	nires for the April training (100 >8), and added additional
Projections as of Mar 14, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:				838,368.66
	Actual Dollars Used:				610,870.50
	Variance (Projected minu	ıs Actual):			227,498.16
	Reason for Variance:			contributors to the variance wadvertising, unused responded less travel. Most of those co	ent payments, overall hours
Measures		Units at	Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	3,600/1,500 H	HHs	73%	3.0
	Goal at Completion:				

1,223/1,223

Other Measures

Current Actual:

Variance:

Estimate at Complete:

Project Name	(IHDS3) India Human Development Surve	y Wave 3				
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects					
Budget	Direct Budget: 358,900.61 Indi	ect Budget: 200,983.92	Total Budget: 559,884.53			
Principal	Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland)					
nvestigator/Clients	Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan)					
	Santanu Pramanik (National Council of Applied E	conomic Research)				
Funding Agency	National Institutes of Health, Department of Healt	n and Human Services				
RB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:			
Project Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand					
	Budget Analyst: Ryan Neice					
	Production Manager:					
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul					
	Production Manager 1: Sarah Elisa Broumand					
	Production Manager 2: Sarah Elisa Broumand					
Proposal #	no data					
Description	The India Human Development Survey (IHDS) is 41,554 households in 1503 villages and 971 urba completed in 2004-5; data are publicly available t these households in 2011-12 (N=42,152). SRC joincludes methodological design, sample design, supervisor/interviewer training, production monito conference calls for capacity building.	n neighborhoods across India. The nrough ICPSR. A second round of ins IHDS Wave 3 to automate data uestionnaire design, technical inst	e first round of interviews were IHDS reinterviewed most of a collection, the scope of work trument design,			
SRO Project Period	01/2019 - 03/2024					
Data Col Period	05/2022 - 10/2023					
Security Plan	NA					
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Star	t:			
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Star	t:			
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Star	t:			
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	d:			
	DC Start:	DC End	d:			
Other Project Team Members	Project Management Team Stephanie Chardoul Senior Project Advisor (SF Sarah Broumand - Project Manager Programming Team Collette Keyser - Blaise Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak Holly Ackerman - WebTrak Cheng Zhou - Sync HelpDesk Team Emmanuel Ellis John Data Manager Team Sarah E Jennie	A)				
Other Project Name						
Sample Mgmt System	Other (SurveyTrak INTL)					
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5					
Hardware	Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))					
DE Software	Other (TBD)					
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI					
ncentive	Yes, Other (TBD)					
Administration	Other (TBD)					
Payment Type	Other (TBD)					
Payment Method	Other (TBD)					
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (IHDS3)		Implementing			
	·		-			

Risk Level	Some Concerns					
Monthly Updates	February was a busy mont	h				
	Prepared Training project f they had to make the same releasing the Migrant Proje	e changes to both da	ata models dela	ying the release. The bi	ersions of each data model as g focus in February was	
Special Issues						
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	t + indirect):			547,007.74	
	Est Cost at Completion (L	E\$AC):			576,232.24	
	Total Budget:	Total Budget:				
	Variance (Total Budget m	ninus- E\$AC):			-16,347.71	
	Reason for Variance:		Our overrun has decreased by \$1K, we hope to continue this trend as we keep passing as much work to the client as possible.			
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month: 13,693.93					
	Actual Dollars Used: 12,6				12,661.88	
	Variance (Projected minu	ıs Actual):			1,032.05	
	Reason for Variance:		appy to not hav		We keep same estimates for	
Measures		Units at Cor	nplete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 309,813.00	Indirect Budget: 80,551.00	Total Budget: 390,364.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM# : HUM00181068		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Broumand		Exempt
Froject realii	Budget Analyst: William Lokers		
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou		
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 1:		
Proposal #			
Proposal #	no data	iniversity of Michigan Cohool of Dublic I	Inalth (CDLI) and the Michigan
Description	MI CReSS is a partnership between the U Department of Health and Human Service experiences with COVID-19 using a repretentey plan to document sociodemographic	es. It is a public health surveillance stud sentative sample of confirmed cases w inequities in COVID-19 testing, treatme	y to learn about Michiganders' ithin the state. Using survey data ent, and recovery.
	SRO's involvement includes the administratively with the SPH team. Based on the strespondents who have already completed Follow-up survey.	sample information provided, we will be	re-contacting approximately 3,99
	The Follow-up survey is estimated to be 4 survey by web. However, SRO Interviewed telephone if the Respondents do not want	rs will contact non-responders and con	
SRO Project Period	08/2021 - 09/2023		
Data Col Period	01/2022 - 07/2023		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest S	Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	Start: 09/16/2021
	Staffing Complete:	GIT S	Start:
	SS Train Start: 11/29/2021	SS Train	End: 12/02/2021
	DC Start: 01/26/2022	DC	End: 07/07/2023
Other Project Team Members	Bill Lokers: Financial Analyst Megan Hromco: Production Assistant Hueichun Peng: Technical Lead / WSMS of Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer (Blaise 5) Cheng Zhou: Web Component, ADT, Rep LihShwu Ke: DBA Architecture & Data Sec Sarah Broumand: Data Manager Deb Wilson: Help Desk	orts	
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	Web SMS		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
ncentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post (\$25)		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (MI CReSS (Year 3))		Implementina
	Feb, 2023 (MI CReSS (Year 3)) On Track		Implementing

Production Manager to develop additional report to view call windows per SID to help manage calling cases. Funding for 2 was approved and added to the budget. Requested final batch of lwer incentives for this follow on.

Special Issues						
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direc	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):				
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		376,620.2		
	Total Budget:			390,364.0		
	Variance (Total Budget r	minus- E\$AC):		13,743.7		
	Reason for Variance: New funding was just added and still analyst to balance out the hours.			ed to work with financial		
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mo	onth:		44,961.3		
	Actual Dollars Used:			31,505.95		
	Variance (Projected min	us Actual):		13,455.36		
	Reason for Variance:		all payments to Respondents were cost will be moved forward and refl			
Measures		Units at Comp	lete RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	4,034 (Rel 1-13)	80% (60%-Web/40%Tel)	2.25		
	Goal at Completion:		80% (60%-Web/40%Tel)			
	Current Actual:	3,109	73% (67%-Web/33% Tel	4.74		
	Estimate at Complete:					

Project Name	•	•	oring the Future Base Year 2022-2	.UZ <i>1</i>
Project Mode	Primary: Class SAQ	Total of Modes	s: 1 	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 6,267,	988.00	Indirect Budget: 3,510,072.00	Total Budget: 9,778,060.0
Principal	Richard Miech (SRC)			
Investigator/Clients				
Funding Agency	National Institute on D	rug Abuse, one o	of the National Institutes of Health.	
IRB	HUM#: 00217920			Period of Approval: from 7/20/22 No CR
Project Team	Project Lead: Rebeco			
	Budget Analyst: Dear	n E Stevens		
	Production Manager:	Margaret Lavan	ger	
	Senior Project Advis	or: Gregg Peters	son	
	Production Manager	1: James Koopn	nan	
	Production Manager	2:		
Proposal #	no data			
	Institutes of Health (NI It is based on two inter (a) self-administered a (SRO interviewers) couthe schools). (b) panels of high schomembers aged 19-30 a sample members are searly in the year a netargets these panel mespring and in around Jemembers are recruited	H), and conducted connected series nnual in-school sordinate and administration of graduates agrare invited to parsent questionnair weletter is mailed embers and othe une a telephone I from the 12th gi	ast month. The survey is funded by the Ned by the University of Michigan. It is of surveys using nationally represental surveys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (ninister the data collection in schools (eited 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (now ticipate every other year/asked to completes (mail and web) at five-year interval. It do panel members. If the newsletter is rs who have not participated for X years non-response effort begins for those invaders who participate in the base year san be found here http://www.monitoring	tive samples: -45,000) in 400 schools. Proctors ther FTF or remotely without visiting v primarily surveyed by web). Panel lete a web survey and the older The MTF panel study has three parts returned (undelivered) locating effor . The web panel launches (web) in vited to participate. The panel study.
SRO Project Period	04/2022 - 03/2027		arr be really from the state of	guiorataro.org/
Data Col Period	04/2022 - 03/2027			
Security Plan	Yes			
Milestones	Pre Production Start	:	Pretes	t Start:
	Pretest End	÷	Recruitment	t Start:
	Staffing Complete	:	GII	Start:
	SS Train Start	<i>:</i>	SS Trai	in End:
	DC Start	:	D	C End:
Other Project Team Members	Brad Goodwin (+Ed Gi Ashwin Dey SurveyTra	reen) Data Mana ak, WebTrak and		
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; Web SMS	3; Illume		
Data Col Tool	Illume			
Hardware	Laptop; Tablet; [UM ce	II] Phone		
DE Software	Illume			
QC Recording Tool	N/A			
Incentive	Yes, Other (Honorarius	m paid to school	by MTF Research staff)	
Administration	ISR Group			
Payment Type	NA			
Payment Method	Check through other sy	ystem		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (MTF Base	Year 2022_27)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	February 2023 - Production for 2023 bacross all these school	oase year began ls, 7,748 student	on 2/13. To date (3/17) the survey has be shave completed the survey - RR 86%.	oeen administered in 73 schools, Interviewers visited 67 of these 73

schools to proctor in person, the remaining 6 were coordinated by the interviewer without visiting the school.

- The number of recruited schools passed to SRO interviewers conduct the survey administration protocol is lower than in previous years (256 compared to 349 in 2022 - in 2019 the number of schools was closer to 400).

Recruiters are finding it much more difficult to recruit and then complete all the administrative steps they need to before the school is passed to interviewers. Interviewers are being asked to make FTF visits (and other contact attempts) to help gather the details they need to. Both the callers and interviewers are also making FTF visits to schools in order to recruit the schools (the process that begins during the later summer in the previous year) - these are schools who have not responded to initial letters asking for their participation.

Special Issues		
Cost as of Mar 07, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	972,283.58
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	8,621,777.44
	Total Budget:	9,778,060.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	1,156,282.56

Reason for Variance:

Current projections result in an underspend of \$1,156,282.56 for the five year grant period.

A client report (with notes) is provided to Nicholas Prieur every month so the MTF research staff are aware of projected cost to complete. Also described below - the number of schools recruited that could potentially be passed to SRO is ~240 - much lower than previous year (~390). Pressure on schools are even greater, they are still dealing with staffing challenges but in addition pressure for parents to influence school activities is also more prevalent this year.

Not changed since last month (January) - left for info.

Projections for the five year period are based on current scope of work and the adapted protocol (introduced in 2021). The budget prepared for the proposal was based on the pre-pandemic design. The adapted protocol brings savings in the following categories: interviewer hours, travel, shipping and staff time (specifically hours required for loading and preparing tablets for shipping). For the past few years the number of schools recruited and passed to SRO has been lower than the number on which the budget is based (n=390), we have continued to base projections on achieving survey administrations in 390 schools. Wave 1 (2023)

- We have increased staff time (marginally) to prepare for the small pilot (six schools) to test methods to increase cooperation from 12th grade students to provide contact details.

We may also add some hours and expenses for FTF school recruitment trips that SRO has been asked to help with (given the lower response than is usual at this point, it is hoped that FTF visits may boost recruitment).

Projections as of Mar 07, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		211,118.06
	Actual Dollars Used:			68,678.30
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		142,439.76
	Reason for Variance:	variance - The majority of the variance is from non-sal received a credit of \$36,000 from Property Dispo. for the tablets we sent late last year. The projections for travel higher than actual costs. I have revised the projections based on the lower number of schools and the number for each month.		
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			

Project Name	(MTF Panel	2022-27) Monitoring the	e Future Panel 2022-2027	
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Proj	ects		
Budget	Direct Budget:	2,496,935.00	Indirect Budget: 1,398,282.00	Total Budget: 3,895,217.00
Principal	John Schulenbe	erg (UM-SRC)		
Investigator/Clients	Megan Patrick	(UM-SRC)		
Funding Agency				
IRB	HUM#:			Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquha	irson	
•	Budget Analys	st: Dean E Stevens		
	Production Ma	nnager: Lloyd Fate Hemingw	av	
		Advisor: Rebecca Gatward	·	
	Production Ma			
	Production Ma			
Proposal #	no data	inagor zi		
Description		a continuation of MTF Illume	Wah 2021	
Description				
	surveys as part complete, SRO identified by the Web survey day funded Winter L	of the systems integration p will launch the 2021 Web su Principal Investigator who v ta collection will replace aspe	rocess. All 12 surveys will be lau irvey data collection with an esti vill deliver the contact informatio ects of the standard mail-based on-Response follow-up calling wi	lume by SRO. SRO will further test the unched in 2021. After testing is mated sample size of 20,000 cases in including e-mail address to SRO. The data collection. Both the separately ill include this sample – with the calling
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 03/20)27		
Data Col Period	04/2022 - 10/20)26		
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production	on Start:	Pre	test Start:
	Pret	est End:	Recruitn	nent Start:
	Staffing Co	omplete:		GIT Start:
	SS Tra	nin Start:	ss	Train End:
		OC Start:		DC End:
-		ard (SPA), Donnalee Grey-Fa Dey, Hongyu Johnson, Mina		Hueichun Peng, Shaowei Sun, Peter
Other Project Name	NA			
Sample Mgmt System				
Data Col Tool	NA			
Hardware	NA			
DE Software	NA			
QC Recording Tool	NA			
Incentive	NA			
Administration	NA			
Payment Type	NA			
Payment Method	NA			
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (MTI	Panel 2022-27)		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track			
Monthly Updates	- MTF staff is po-	t eady for test and production reparing the testing buglog fo MTF staff to prepare the 202	or integrating testing	
		ouches on the refinement of t	he RLM system.	
	Lab SMS testiContinue to wWorking with I	ng application has been set ork on Web SMS for 2023 pr MTF staff to update email ter password and PIN for curren	oduction mplates	
	Lab SMS testiContinue to wWorking with I	ng application has been set ork on Web SMS for 2023 pr MTF staff to update email ter password and PIN for curren	oduction mplates	

_						
S	pec	ıaı ı	ssu	es		
С	ost	as (of F	eb i	28,	202
_						

Projections as of Feb 28, 2023

Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	681,823.36
Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	3,852,911.50
Total Budget:	3,895,217.00
Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	42,305.50
Reason for Variance:	The total project amount includes MTF Web Illume as well as Non-Response Calling and Winter Location project. The overrun amount is MTF Panel 2022-2027 that was the combination of MTF Panel 2017-2022 and MTF Web Illume. Increased recharge rates are reflected.
Dollars Projected for Month:	44,299.62
Actual Dollars Used:	43,770.62
Variance (Projected minus Actual):	529.00
Reason for Variance:	The total project amount includes MTF Web Illume as well as Non-

Response Calling and Winter Location project. The overrun amount is MTF Panel 2022-2027 that was the combination of MTF Panel 2017-2022 and MTF Web Illume. Project team will revisit and streamline projections for future months.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(PR-PSID) Puerto Ric	o Panel Study of Inco	me Dynamics	
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 828,581.0	00 Indire	ct Budget: 464,004.00	Total Budget: 1,292,585.00
Principal	Narayan Sastry (University	ty of Michigan)		
Investigator/Clients	Elizabeth Fussel (Brown	University)		
Funding Agency	NICHD, with supplementa	al funding being sought fro	om NIA	
IRB	HUM#: HUM00197300			Period of Approval: 4/5/2022-4/4/2023
Project Team	Project Lead: Shonda R	Kruger-Ndiaye		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna	lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:			
	Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul		
	Production Manager 1:	Camila Kendall		
	Production Manager 2:			
Proposal #	no data			
Description	frame, sample design, qu baseline data collection (i responsive design, panel Spanish instrument for us training, Pretest and Mair reports for production and train the research team of	sestionnaire and data colle in 2023). DMSS will provid maintenance issues, and se specifically in PR. SRO a Data collection and will to duality control monitoring n using these reports. All of the collection and will to describe the collection and will the collection and will the collection and will be collected as a collection and will be	ravel to PR to be on-site for the g that will be programmed throu data will be collected by ETI's i	ata collection (in 2022) and gn and implementation, RO will update the PSID-21 of training materials for Listing ese trainings. SRO will define ugh the SurveyTrak system, and
SRO Project Period	01/2022 - 12/2023			
Data Col Period				
Security Plan	NA			
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 1	0/01/2021	Pretest St	tart:
	Pretest End:		Recruitment St	tart:
	Staffing Complete:		GIT St	tart:
	SS Train Start:		SS Train E	End:
	DC Start:		DC E	End: 12/31/2023
Other Project Team Members	Marsha SkomanTech Le Raphael NishimuraSam			
Other Project Name				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak			
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8			
Hardware	Laptop			
DE Software	N/A			
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia			
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF			
Administration	Other (ETI (Puerto Rican	Survey Firm))		
Payment Type	Check, post (Varies by st	udy phase); Cash, post (V	'aries by study phase)	
Payment Method	Other (Via ETI Systems)		,	
Papart Pariod	Eab 2022 (DD DOID)			Implementing
Report Period Risk Level	Feb, 2023 (PR-PSID) Some Concerns			Implementing
Monthly Updates	Listing:Listing effort will extend	through at least mid-Marc	ch access and QC corrections.	
	SRO suggested that PIs i PIs coordinated procurer Interviewed two candidate hired, and began working	riew of RSS's (translation of incorporate the feedback for the process with second sessor temp research assis	rom RSS. choice translation firm. Agreer stant position to help with Span	vements to the Core instrument. nent finalized on 3/11. ish testing. Both candidates were

Special Issues	Concerns about pretest time begun.	Concerns about pretest timeline (planned for June 2023) coordination with ETI and translation firm has not yet begun.				
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):			230,623.84	
	Est Cost at Completion (E	:\$AC):			1,291,864.37	
	Total Budget:				1,292,585.00	
	Variance (Total Budget m.	inus- E\$AC):			720.63	
	Reason for Variance:	CO	st increase is s		\$800. The main driver of the ons of certain staff hours,	
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:				34,153.04	
	Actual Dollars Used:				21,789.83	
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):			12,363.21	
	Reason for Variance:			n mainly due to underrun s not yet begun.	in tech categories as MDC	
Measures		Units at Com	plete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					

Project Name	(PSID CDS 2023) PSID Childhood Devel	opment Supplement 2023	
Project Mode	Primary: Mixed Secondary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 4	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 0.10 Inc	direct Budget: 0.10	Total Budget: 0.20
Principal	Narayan Sastry (SRC)		
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#: HUM00166316		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Piotr Dworak		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager: Sarah Crane		
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardou		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	A 2023 wave of the Childhood Development Sufrom September 2023 – May 2024 and Phase 2 of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Approximately 3,700 families will be included, the CDS Phase 1, families are asked to comple Adolescent 12 - 17 phone/web interview (includivisited in person (where possible) and asked to physical measurements, educational assessme forms. CDS interviewing will be conducted by a interviews will be handled by SurveyTrak and B Blaise 5.	from June 2024 - January 2025. The Core 2023 families we interview a with some Core families containing sete phone coverscreen and PCG intelling an IVR component in phone moc complete Child 8 - 11 interviews (viants, saliva collection, time diaries, somix of SSL and Field interviewers. Complete Child set 10 interviewers. Complete Child set 11 interviewers.	ne sample for CDS is comprised their primary caregivers. Everal CDS children. As part of views followed by mixed leb. In Phase 2 families will be a Video if out of area), provide thool and birth record linkage coverscreen and PCG
SRO Project Period	08/2022 - 01/2025		
Data Col Period	09/2023 - 01/2025		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 10/01/2022	Pretest Star	t: 04/24/2023
	Pretest End: 05/14/2023	Recruitment Star	t: 07/01/2023
	Staffing Complete: 08/01/2023	GIT Star	t: 09/05/2023
	SS Train Start: 09/07/2023	SS Train End	1 : 09/12/2023
	DC Start: 09/14/2023	DC End	1 : 01/01/2025
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak; MSMS; Other (WSMS)		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5; Other (IVR)		
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and P	encil	
DE Software	Other (Time Diary Coding)		
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI; Camtasia		
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (PCG)		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Check, post (75 + interventions); Other (ePay)		
Payment Method	Check through other system (PSID RAPS); Inte RAPS)	rviewer payment of cash (reimburse	d/reconciled via Tenrox) (PSID
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (PSID CDS 2023)		Initiation
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	CDS 2023 has not yet received the 2023 - 2025 the cost accrued under the contingency account		found \$178K to cover some of
	PIs asked us to continue development and prep June 12 - 15 following PSID Core Training 2. T pretest is being determined on 3/23.		
	Funding contingencies may also determine our launch Phase 1 data collection in October 2023		unded in March, we could
	Phase 1 of CDS data collection includes remote interview followed by a web-phone interviews w		

CATI to IVR to ask sensitive questions. PAPI Time Diaries are not yet a part of Phase 1, however, we plan a series of discussion on whether or not they should be included in some scope.

Correspondingly, Phase 1 pretest will include the remote administration of instruments (CS, PCG, Child 12 - 17). If possible, we would also like to pretest conducting Phase 2 Video interviews with Children 8 - 11.

Phase 2 of CDS data collection will include home visits with Saliva, Woodcock-Johnson, Time Diaries, and younger child (8-11) interviews.

Current activities:

Blaise programming:

- B5 Child programmed and being tested
- B4.8 PCG programmed and being tested
- B4.8 CS programmed and being tested
- B4.8 recruitment, Preload builder instrument is programmed and ready

SurveyTrak programming:

- Integration Pretest project is being tested
- ST + B5 project for younger children has been also programmed and may be included in the pretest

MSMS Programming:

Reason for Variance:

- -- Bare-bones project has been released and is being tested
- -- Copy procedure for Child lines from ST to MSMS has been implemented and is being refined
- -- We are awaiting specs for MSMS Pretest project on 3/24 and the goal to have the Pretest INT project by mid-April.

New IVR system: Enghouse / Survox sole source is awaiting procurement approval.

Special Issues

Budget: Contingency budget has been established through October '22 but as of February, we don't have a clear expectation for when the funding will be awarded. SRO is communicating regularly with the PI and the SRC Director's office to monitor the contingency account. PIs are able to cover \$178K.

Tech systems: CDS development is ceding programming time to allow some programmers to focus on PSID-Core launch. This led to some delays on the MSMS side of our development.

In February, we projected spending \$60K but spent \$49K.

Cost as of	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	0.00
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	0.00
	Total Budget:	0.20
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	0.00
	Reason for Variance:	CDS is under contingency funding awaiting funding decision from NIH. We spent \$244K direct through February.
Projections as of	Dollars Projected for Month:	0.00
	Actual Dollars Used:	0.00
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	0.00

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	tbd	tbd	tbd
	Goal at Completion:	tbd	tbd	tbd
	Current Actual:	tbd	tbd	tbd
	Estimate at Complete:	tbd	tbd	tbd
	Variance:	tbd	tbd	tbd

Project Name	(PSID23 Online	e Contact Update	, i allei otady of ilicollie byi	namics 2023 Online Contact	-
Project Mode	Primary: Web	Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Project	ects			
Budget	Direct Budget: 4	17,456.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 47	,456.00
Principal	Katherine McGon	nagle (PSID)			
Investigator/Clients					
Funding Agency	The Economic Re		ssistant Secretary for Planning ar e United States Department of Ag Purdue University		
IRB	HUM#: HUM0006	62417		Period of Approx 3/22/22-3/21/23	val:
Project Team	Project Lead: Ca	amila Kendall			
	Budget Analyst:	: Ivanna lavorska-Em			
	Production Mana	nager:			
	Senior Project A	Advisor: Stephanie A	Chardoul		
	Production Mana	nager 1:			
	Production Mana	nager 2:			
Proposal #	no data				
Description	authentication that survey. SRO will p	at will allow PSID and provide PSID will aut	, program and test a Blaise 5 web I TAS respondents to confirm or u thenticated QR codes that will be ne email reminder with an authen	pdate their contact information via embedded in a mailing sent to res	pondents.
SRO Project Period	04/2022 - 12/2022	22			
Data Col Period	06/2022 - 12/2022	22			
Security Plan	NA				
Milestones	Pre Production	n Start: 04/01/2022		Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End: Recruitment Start:				
	Staffing Com	nplete:		GIT Start:	
	SS Train	n Start:		SS Train End:	
	DC	C Start: 07/01/2022		DC End: 11/30/2022	
Other Project Team Members	Karl Dinkelmann	TSG Lead and Bla Blaise Programme MSMS Spec Lead			
	Kyle Goodman Jim Rodgers Ma Rose Zybel Dat	ISMS Lead	amme		
Other Project Name	Jim Rodgers M	ISMS Lead	allille:		
	Jim Rodgers M	ISMS Lead	allille:		
	Jim Rodgers M Rose Zybel Dat	ISMS Lead	allille:		
Sample Mgmt System	Jim Rodgers M Rose Zybel Dat	ISMS Lead	allillei		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware	Jim Rodgers M Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5	ISMS Lead	allillei		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA	ISMS Lead	allillei		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA	ISMS Lead	allillei		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA	ASMS Lead ata Manager	allillei		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R	MSMS Lead ata Manager D)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10)	MSMS Lead ata Manager D) O); Other (electronic,		via RAPS)	
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through oth	D); Other (electronic, ther system (PSID sta	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment		
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through oth	MSMS Lead ata Manager D) O); Other (electronic,	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment	via RAPS) Closing	
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through oth	O) O); Other (electronic, ther system (PSID state) O)23 Online Contact Up	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment odate)	Closing	
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Dat MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through oth	O) O); Other (electronic, ther system (PSID state) O)23 Online Contact Up	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment	Closing	wave.
Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method Report Period Risk Level Monthly Updates Special Issues	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Date MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through off Feb, 2023 (PSID) On Track Project ended on PSID and SRO m	O) O); Other (electronic, ther system (PSID state) 123 Online Contact Up 15 December 15. 16 December 15. 17 December 15. 18 December 15. 18 December 15. 18 December 15.	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment odate) scussed lessons learned and imp	Closing	
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	Jim Rodgers Mi Rose Zybel Date MSMS Blaise 5 NA NA Yes, R ISR Group (PSID) Check, post (\$10) Check through off Feb, 2023 (PSID) On Track Project ended on PSID and SRO m	D) D); Other (electronic, ther system (PSID state) C) D) Online Contact Up December 15. The procedure of the process of the pr	postJP Morgan) aff will handle check & e-payment odate) scussed lessons learned and imp	Closing	wave. 45,946.49 46,919.5

	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):		536.	
	Reason for Variance:	Minimal char	nge. No projections until proje	ect archiving in May.	
Projections as of Mar 08, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		182.	
	Actual Dollars Used:				
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		-22.	
	Reason for Variance:	for Variance: Monthly overrun due to fringe rate fluctuation.			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Project Name	(PSID23) Panel Study of Income Dyn	namics Core 2023				
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects					
Budget	Direct Budget : 5,030,748.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 5,030,748.00			
Principal	Katherine McGonagle (UM-SRC-PSID)					
Investigator/Clients	Narayan Sastry (UM-SRC-PSID)					
	Esther Friedman (UM-SRC-PSID)					
Funding Agency						
IRB	HUM#: HUM00062417		Period of Approval: 3/22/22-3/21/23			
Project Team	Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski					
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em					
	Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberry					
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Char	doul				
	Production Manager 1: Daric Thorne					
	Production Manager 2: Shonda R Kruger-	Ndiaye				
Proposal #	no data					
	every two years. The sample is comprised of (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and approx. 9,650 completed interviews expected changes (marriages, divorces, births, deather employment and pensions; and wealth. The fertility; COVID-19; and money spent on foo composition and financial factors interact with	is a longitudinal survey of several thousand individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and 2017/2019. The total 2023 sample size will be approx. 11,200, with approx. 9,650 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out); income sources and amounts; employment and pensions; and wealth. There are also questions about housing; education; vehicles; health; fertility; COVID-19; and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over time. The survey will be administered via web and telephone, with the expectation that more surveys will be completed via web than				
	During the 2023 wave, saliva samples will be completed to be eligible for saliva collection are adults related to Child Development Suptrained on both the interview and saliva collesame MSMS project. The saliva collection et al. The 2023 waves of CDS and the Transition TAS eligibility is dependent upon completion	. Saliva sample participants that are eligi oplement (CDS) children but do not live vection protocols. Both data collection effort has its own proposal number, budge into Adulthood (TAS) will follow PSID Co	ble for collection during Core with them. Interviewers will be orts will be managed in the let, and MPR entry.			
SRO Project Period	03/2022 - 03/2024					
Data Col Period	03/2023 - 02/2024					
Security Plan	NA					
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 03/01/2022	Pretest Start	: 10/11/2022			
	Pretest End: 10/31/2022	Recruitment Start	: 09/19/2022			
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	: 06/05/2023			
	SS Train Start: 03/08/2023	SS Train End	! : 06/11/2023			
	DC Start: 03/16/2023	DC End	<i>!</i> : 02/28/2024			
Other Project Team Members	TSG Tech Leads - Jim Rodgers, Jeff Smith, Programmer - Ashwin Dey; Blaise Programm Christian; Self Scheduler Programmer - Pet Support - Sarah Elisa Broumand; Testing C Janet McBride, & Xiomara Lorenzo-Guerra;	mer - Jude Perillo; MSMS Programmers er Sparks; Help Desk Support - Andrea F oordinator - Camila Kendall; Project/Proc	- Pam Swanson & Darnell Pierce; Production Tech duction Support - Mark Nathin,			
Other Project Name	PSID Core 2023	. 5. 11 6/ 12 3/9	3			
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS					
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5					
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone					
DE Software	N/A					
QC Recording Tool	Camtasia					
Incentive	Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator, Proxy)					
Administration	ISR Group (PSID)					
Payment Type	Check, post (Varies); Cash, post (Varies); C	Other (electronic post IP Morgan)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			iowar navmant of and			
Payment Method	Check through other system (PSID will hand (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PSID w		ewei payment oi casn			

Report Period	Feb, 2023 (PSID23)	Implementing			
Risk Level	Some Concerns				
Monthly Updates	Summary of February 2023 Activities				
	Blaise: PSID completed Prod Data Test instrument testing on 2/7, but they identified Blaise showstoppers for data model 1 (DM1). SRO programmed the fixes. Additionally, there was one case (CATI to Web mode switch) with missing field properties (FPS) data (for the Web). SRO needed to modify and test 1) the Device Instrument Manager (DIM) to ensure it was only writing records to the central Blaise server when the record had been modified offline and 2) the Write Interceptor to ensure the merge logic was working as expected.				
	On 2/17, PSID signed off on DM1 in CTT. They began integration testing in INT2 on 2/20 and identified an instrument and preload issue related to a field preloaded as a string variable but needed to be an integer. SRO notified the PIs of the situation and outlined what steps were needed to correct the issue and test it. In that email communication, SRO informed the PIs of a delayed launch date (targeting 3/23 instead of 3/16).				
	SRO updated the instrument, and PSID delivered new preload. PSID tested the updated DM1 in CTT and signed off on 2/27. SRO began preparation for a second Prod Data Test.				
		s was modified. Spanish CATI programming continued. Additional CATI in 2/14. SRO reviewed and advised on the translation company's CATI			
	MSMS: Increased testing effort with training sign off on 2/13. Spent more time than anticipated programming and testing email and text templates that incorporated MSMS Core NAPE improvements. The new testing/fixing/retesting process created inefficiencies. Concerns were raised about the total email sync time (4-5 mins/email); the process was faster in Pretest. Changes were made to the Core DCA to remove Blaise syncs during the email process, and sync times improved. Interview verification within the same MSMS project was set up and tested.				
	Other Tech: Efforts were made to streamline PQT for TL and management use. Report development continued. Continued work on Team Locating within the 68ID Site. Awaited updated self scheduler for testing.				
	Management: Submitted IRB amendment with changes for 2023 wave on 2/24.				
	Training and Staffing: Continued training material development and planning new hire recruitment. Shifted Training 1A dates to start on 3/8 (instead of 3/6) due to delayed launch. Finalized field leadership staffing. Hired an additional PSID21-experienced TL for T1. At T2, will transition two T1 interviewers to TLs. End of the vaccine mandate allowed us to collapse our Phone Only and FTF projects and some of the Phone Only iwers may be willing to do FTF. End of the mandate will also help with New Hire recruitment.				
	saliva line creation and MSMS rules. SRO be set up as one a multi-funder project, wi the rebudget – needed reduce cost to met	mailing planssuggesting ways to improve CDS19 processes. Tested sent memo to PIs on 2/14 recommending that interview and saliva funds th costs allocated systematically by SRO-FSG. Working w/ PIs to finalize funding cut (PI notified SRO on 2/14). Posted SSL SurveyTech positions 1/24 to confirm PSID, SRO, and BSL responsibilities.			
Special Issues		er hours as budgeted planning for many shared agreements. Need s a sizable negative impact on the budget and proposes a risk to data and for the saliva collection.			
Cost as of Mar 17, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	743,750.4			
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	5,215,536.7			
	Total Budget:	5,030,748.0			
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	-184,788.7			
	Reason for Variance:	Primarily driven by assigned vs. budgeted resources due to staff departures; adding TL involvement in pre-production; increasing the Survey Tech, Iwer II, and Iwer III rates; increasing programmer hours; merit increase above 3%; and recruiting/hiring/training more interviewers (including more new hires) than budgeted. Pls are aware of the projected overrun. We are working with them to design strategies to make data collection more efficient. Note: Unable to separate Core IDC costs from Contact Update IDC costs. Cost values only reflect Direct Costs.			
Projections as of Mar 17,	Dollars Projected for Month:	245,445.9			
2023	Actual Dollars Used:	187,851.0			
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	57,594.9			
	Reason for Variance:	Technical development and management work was across the interview and saliva efforts, so some costs projected under the interview budget hit the saliva budget (~\$8K direct). Project, production, and data managers charged less time than projected due to availability. With a later new hire training, recruitment work has been delayed. With later training dates, DCS support has shifted later. Training material development was behind schedule, so non-salary duplicating and shipping costs have not hit yet. Note: Unable to separate Core IDC costs from Contact Update IDC			

costs. Cost values only reflect Direct Costs.

Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:					
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					
	Variance:					
Other Measures	•	eb & 40% CATI; Of the web co 7.21 = web completes w/ inte		,		

Project Name	(QoL & Hearing Loss) Quality-of-Life for Amish Children v	vith Hearing Loss
Project Mode	Primary: Cognitive IW	Total of Modes: 1	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 8,200.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 8,200.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Margaret	Lee Hudson	
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor		
	Production Manager 1:	Lisa Van Havermaet	
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Cognitive interviews to de	evelop a QoL instrument for Amish children v	vith hearing loss
SRO Project Period	02/2022 - 12/2023		
Data Col Period	5_,0 1100		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:		Pretest Start:
wilestories	Pretest End:	p	ecruitment Start:
	Staffing Complete:	A	GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:		SS Train End:
	DC Start:		DC End:
Other Project Team Members			
Other Project Name	Amish study		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (QoL & Hearin	g Loss)	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	questionnaire we develop plan for conducting cogn 2023. Our plan will be to	m (Lisa V-H and Margaret) developed a cog ed and shared with the Michigan Medicine (tive interviews as part of the quarterly comm conduct the IWs with parents who bring their d Margaret will attend the clinic to be able to	MM) team. Margaret worked with the MM to unity audiology clinic to beheld March 1, school aged children (aged 7-14) to the clinic
Special Issues			
Cost as of	Total Cost to Date (dire	ct + indirect):	0.0
	Est Cost at Completion	(E\$AC):	0.0
	Total Budget:		8,200.0
	Variance (Total Budget	minus- E\$AC):	0.0
	Reason for Variance:		
Projections as of	Dollars Projected for M	onth:	0.00
	Actual Dollars Used:		0.00
	Variance (Projected mi	nus Actual):	0.00
	Reason for Variance:	-	

Measures

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name	(SRS 2021) Social Relations 202	23	
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of Mo	des: 1	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		
Budget	Direct Budget: 3,767,057.11	Indirect Budget: 2,109,553.00	Total Budget: 5,876,610.11
Principal	Toni Antonucci (ISR)		
Investigator/Clients	Kristine Ajrouch (ISR)		
	Laura Zahodne (ISR)		
Funding Agency	NIH		
IRB	НИМ#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Barbara Lohr Ward		
	Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek		
	Production Manager: Veronica Conn	ors-Burge	
	Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kir	gis	
	Production Manager 1: Taghreid Lov	<u> </u>	
	Production Manager 2: lan Ogden		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Michigan (Wayne, Oakland and Macon members aged younger than 65 years one selected respondent per househo Social Relations interview), a 60 minut blood pressure, grip strength) and sali programmed for the D-Amp project, w	lents aged 35 years or older residing in the mb counties) and 244 interviews with origing of age. The project involves screening up to ld. The interview will consist of a 60 minute the cognitive interview and a series of physic va collection. The SRS 2023 project will use it the only new programming being that for proxy interview is included in the project so	al Social Relations panel sample to 6900 new sample lines., with core interview (content from the tal measurements (height, weight, to the same instrument a screener. The screener will be
SRO Project Period	09/2021 - 05/2023		
Data Col Period	05/2023 - 04/2024		
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 09/01/2022	Pretest S	tart:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart: 02/01/2023
	Staffing Complete: 04/10/2023	GIT S	tart: 05/16/2023
	SS Train Start: 05/18/2023	SS Train E	End : 05/25/2023
	DC Start: 05/30/2023	DC E	End: 04/30/2023
Other Project Team Members	Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Connors-Bu Raphael Nishimura, John Gawlas, Val	ırge, Mathew Luna, Jeff Smith, Ashwin Dey yn Dall	, Kelly Liesko, Peter Sparks,
Other Project Name	Social Relations 2022, DAWN, Social	Relations 2023	
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 4.8		
Hardware	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and P	encil	
DE Software	Other (Weblog)		
QC Recording Tool	DRI-CARI		
Incentive	Yes, R		
Administration	SRO Group		
Payment Type	Cash, prepaid (\$75 respondent, \$25 in	nformant); Other (\$2 screener incentive)	
Payment Method	Interviewer payment of cash (reimburs	sed/reconciled via Tenrox)	
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (SRS 2021)		Implementing
Risk Level	Attention!		
Monthly Updates	Task 1: Management, Budget and Wo	rch team to discuss study planning, budget monthly expenses.	

- · Technical systems
- o Continued evaluation of questionnaire changes, updating Blaise specifications for programmers.
- o Conducted final revisions to the combined screener specification, met with programmers and submitted specification for programming
- o Finalized consent sequence for main questionnaire. Presented design to LCD team.
- Training preparation
- o Met with production managers to discuss training plans. Reviewed and revised study-specific training agenda.

Task 2: Sampling

- · Reviewed final screener specification, provided feedback.
- Began reviewing potential dashboard designs.
 Worked with UMTRI to obtain MDOS sample.

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

• See Task 1 for activities completed by the management team

Task 4: CAI Programming

· Began programming combined screener.

Task 5: Systems Programming

• Began updating D-Amp sample management system.

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- Facilities
- o Finalized contract for May 2023 training space
- o Finalized RFP for July training space. Contacted potential sites to assess availability.
- Interviewer recruitment
- o Reached out to on-staffers who worked on D-Amp in 2019.
- o Evaluated applications for team leader, production coordinator
- o Finalized postings for new hire interviewers, submitted to HR for approval
- o Finalized flyers for new-hire postings
- o Posted open positions in a variety of locations, began screening applicants

Task 8: Main Data Collection

· See Task 1 for procurement activities

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

· No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

· No activity this month

Variance:

Special Issues	Interviewer recruitment for bilingual Arabic speakers is not going well; recruitment for English speakers is low. We are working on a design for a bonus program for new hires. We are also asking the LCD team to help spread the word about open interviewer positionsThe project is well behind schedule on technical development due to understaffing. The project is currently understaffed, and the timeline for launch is *very* short. We are continuing to work to add staff to the project to assist in various waysThe PIs have not processed a number of IRB changes that were requested last year. This may impact printing, kitting and study preparation, leading to more staffing needed at SRO so the project can launch on time.					
	The PIs indicated that the budget.	re would be a number of ques	tionnaire changes, which wer	e not anticipated in the		
Cost as of Mar 17, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct	+ indirect):		97,433.59		
	Est Cost at Completion (E	(\$AC):		5,874,982.37		
	Total Budget:	Total Budget:				
	Variance (Total Budget m	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):				
	Reason for Variance:	The variance	e is insignificant.			
Projections as of Mar 17, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month: 57,941.8					
	Actual Dollars Used:	23,853.11				
	Variance (Projected minus Actual): 34,0					
	Reason for Variance:		is currently understaffed. We and also to update projections			
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI		
	Current Goal:	3644	varies	10.5		
	Goal at Completion:					
	Current Actual:					
	Estimate at Complete:					

Other Measures

80% screener cooperation rate on new sample, 65% panel sample response rate 8.5 budgeted hpi without screening for new sample and panel sample; new sample HPI budgeted at 10.5 with screening

Project Name	(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Longitudinal Study	to Assess Risk and Resilience in	Servicemembers-			
Project Mode	Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2				
Project Type	Sponsored Projects					
Budget	Direct Budget: 8,196,521.00	Indirect Budget: 4,590,892.00	Total Budget: 12,809,390.00			
Principal	James Wagner (University of Michigan)					
Investigator/Clients	Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services Univ	ersity of the Health Scienc)				
	Murray Stein / Ron Kessler (University of	California San Diego / Harvard)				
Funding Agency	Department of Defense					
IRB	HUM#: HUM00180765		Period of Approval: 4/21/22 - 4/20/23			
Project Team	Project Lead: Meredith A House					
	Budget Analyst: William Lokers					
	Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou					
	Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland					
	Production Manager 1: Jeffrey Albrecht	Jr				
	Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewand	Production Manager 2: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps				
Proposal #	no data					
Description	This project is a continuation of the Army Servicemembers). Army STARRS is a m generate actionable evidence-based recrabout the determinants of suicidality. The DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain penable science-based answers to question of 2025. For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study group of approximately 73,000 eligible peconsent to link administrative data to their To date, we have completed 2 waves of individuals and completed approximately 1 interview were asked to participate in V the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regular addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NS the Army STARRS Research Data Enclaprimary Army STARRS data as well as a Additionally, STARRS-LS will continue to	ulti-component epidemiological and neurommendations to reduce US Army suicide goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (Sproductivity of the Army STARRS data arons related to health, resilience, and mare reinterview respondents from the All Arm (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multiproper who had been interviewed in one resurvey data. STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 particitives 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are cover ardless of whether they completed the in S and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will ve, allowing members of the research te oded historical administrative data updates and receive administrative data updates and	rological study designed to les and increase basic knowledge STARRS-LS) are to enhance and systems established, and apower management for the Army y Study (AAS), New Soldier Study timode study. We started with a of those three surveys and gave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 ipants that completed a full Wave ed in this application, will include a terview. continue to maintain and support am and collaborators to analyze and from the Army and DoD. In the coded administrative data to			
	survey data (from the original Army STA	RRS data collection as well as STARRS-	LS surveys).			
SRO Project Period	05/2020 - 04/2025					
Data Col Period	11/2022 - 04/2024					
Security Plan	Yes					
Milestones	Pre Production Start: 04/01/2022	Pretest S				
	Pretest End:	Recruitment S	tart: 07/23/2022			
	Staffing Complete: 10/01/2022	GIT S	tart:			
	SS Train Start: 11/14/2022	SS Train I	End: 11/17/2022			
	DC Start: 11/07/2022	DC I	End: 04/15/2024			

Other Project Team Members

Ryan Yoder, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Steven Sonoras, Andrew Piskorowski, Rose Zdybel, Ji Qi, Paul Burton, Makenna Harrison, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Jim Rodgers, Marcus Blough, Nick Hinkle-DeGroot, Pete Westhead

Other Project Name	STARRS-LS Continuation
Sample Mgmt System	MSMS
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5
Hardware	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone
DE Software	N/A
QC Recording Tool	Other (Blaise CARI)
Incentive	Yes, R

Administration	SRO Group	
Payment Type	Check, post (\$50-\$100)	
Payment Method	Check through other system (MSMS)	
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4)	Implementing
Risk Level	On Track	
Monthly Undates	Project Management and Planning:	

Monthly Updates

Project Management and Planning:

- ? James attended the first quarterly SRAT meeting on February 22.
- ? We sent weekly production updates to the PIs, and reported on progress on the call with the Army/M&RA.
- ? Meredith created the agenda/notes and facilitated the weekly meeting with the STARRS project managers.
- ? We carried out bi-weekly meetings with M&RA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities.
- ? Meredith created detailed budget line calculations for the Year 4 budget and sent them to USUHS on February
- 17.
- ? IRB:
- o The amendment for updates to the study brochure (VA and USUHS logos, 988, study email address) and miscellaneous administrative updates was approved by the U-M IRB on February 14 and by the USU IRB on
- ? We worked with M&RA to have the Army Graphics group add the IRB stamp to the new brochure.
- o We started work on the Continuing Review for the STARRS-LS Waves 3 and 4 protocol. The current expiration date is April 20, 2023.
- ? STARRS-affiliated, but not STARRS funded, work:
- o Firearms Study: We assisted the PI with a few questions about Veteran completion rates for the STARRS-LS Wave 2 and Wave 3 surveys.
- o VA/HEARTH project:
- ? We completed identification of Zip Codes for LS participants that separated from the Army between Wave 2 and Wave 3. On February 6, the file (n=544) of MasterIDs and Zip Codes, along with data security documentation, were
- posted to the Enclave.
 ? We learned that Harvard received their funding for this project. We took steps to see whether it will be possible to assign a manager and other resources for HEARTH sooner than anticipated (in the proposal materials, U-M SRO involvement was specified as starting June 2023).

Enclave and User Support:

- ? Annual Security Controls Review: The Enclave Security Lead worked to produce follow-up materials and artifacts to be sent to AAG before the 2022 approval letter is issued. We awaited the controls list with notes from the call, and tools/instructions for configuration checks for enclave systems from AAG.
- ? Annual Security Training Renewal 2023: The completion date for the annual training was January 31. As of the end of February, there were two renewals outstanding, one of which was an authorized extension. Both analysts and their program managers are aware the training needs to be completed soon.
- ? The U-M team began making updates to the SSN-LinkageID list and confirmed a delivery date of March 10 to AAG will work for the research team.
- ? 2023 repeat NDI search:
- o Cost calculations for the 2023 repeat NDI search were sent to USUHS (for M&RA) on February 10.
- o Meredith started work to add our NDI application to the CDC NDI electronic system and learned that only the PI can request access to the application. She forwarded this information to USUHS and they made the request on behalf of Dr. Ursano. The website said the CDC will send further instructions for accessing the electronic application and we should allow up to one week for review of the request.
- ? Standard Enclave activities continued. These include maintaining security requirements; processing background checks and Great Lakes Cluster user access requests, drop box requests, ID swap and data transfer requests; managing software acquisition and updates; and providing user support as needed. Of particular note this month: o The Enclave team QCed and processed three high priority administrative construct files from Harvard (via AAG) and posted these to the Enclave on February 14.
- o We assisted a USUHS researcher with requests for information about SHOS-B open ended coding.
- o Enclave security staff worked with USUHS staff to track down issues related to delays in the fingerprinting/ background check process.
- o The U-M SRC IT group fixed a network issue that prevented connections to the Enclave the morning of February 27. Access was restored by noon.
- o Work on additional requests related to the blood sample counts [by survey data collection (i.e. AAS, NSS, PPDS, SHOS-A, LS1, LS2) for the Army STARRS participants, the STARRS-LS cohort and respondents with data at ICPSR] for Dr. Ursano was on hold due to other deadlines.
- ? There were no biomarker group requests needing assistance in February.

Wave 3 Data Deliverable

- ? U-M completed work on occupation/industry and open-ended response coding (including double coding of 7% of the entries).
- ? We completed work on the Wave 3 final outcomes dataset and sample flowcharts and delivered these to the Enclave on February 22.
- o We created four flowcharts in total: detailed/primary flowcharts with and without the 28 SHOS-A cases and simplified flowcharts (for M&RA/GSC) with and without the 28 SHOS-A cases. Versions without SHOS-A cases were provided because the cases will not be included in weighted LS panel analyses.

Public Use Data:

- ? Biosample flags, administrative variables, and inventory document:
- o We awaited funding for this work (but U-M can start on the IRB submission in early 2023).
- ? We awaited decisions from the Army/GSC on producing the genetic and bioassay files for public use and placing data in the NIH National Data Archive and Public use release for GWAS.

Wave 4 Production Updates:

- ? Wave 4 production statistics, as of March 8, 2023, are as follows:
- o Replicates released: 4 of 14 released with 4,011 sample lines.
- o Completed interviews: 2,340 (2,213 web; 127 phone).
- o Replicate 2 ended production on February 24 with a final response rate of 73.6%. This raised the overall response rate for completed replicates to 72.0% (from 70.1%).

- o Replicate 3 moved into Phase 4 on March 4. The response rate is currently 65.7% and tracking higher than the Replicate 1 and 2 response rates at this same point in the protocol.
- o Replicate 4 was released on February 20. By March 8, it was in Phase 2 and respondents were receiving emails. The response rate is currently 32.3% and following the trend of Replicate 3.
- o The response rate for completed replicates (Reps 1-2) is 72.0%.
- o The Wave 4 survey crosswalk was delivered to Harvard on February 6. 2,828 Wave 4 data records (about 70% of which were completed surveys) were delivered to Harvard via the Enclave on February 17.

Safety Plan Results:

? The Wave 4 Safety Plan rate is 11.7% as of March 8.

Special Issues

Our areas of risk and mitigation strategies continue to be the same as reflected in previous months. Description of the following area was slightly updated this month:

? Throughout Wave 3, we received more anecdotal reports of respondents saying the token of appreciation isn't enough to make participation in the survey worth their while. Some are individuals who received \$100 last time, and are still in phases offering \$50. For others, the dollars are not enough for the time involved. We are mindful that Wave 4 response rates may be negatively affected by respondents' experience with the longer Wave 3 survey. The first two replicates in Wave 4 produced lower response rates. Replicate 3 has yielded higher response rates than Replicates 1 and 2, and Replicate 4 has followed the Replicate 3 trajectory to date. If the response rates for the next replicates of Wave 4 yield lower than expected response rates, we may propose considering whether to implement an incentive experiment of, most likely, \$75 and \$150 dollars for approximately three replicates. We will want to explore whether an incentive increase is merited (especially if there are further waves of data collection).

Cost as of Jan 31, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):	6,867,400.84
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	12,854,077.73
	Total Budget:	12,809,390.00
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	-44,687.73
	Reason for Variance:	We spent a total of \$298,147 in January. Our projected deficit for the total five-year project is slightly lower at \$44,688 (from \$69,298 in December). We will continue to review project expenses and update our projections based on realized costs and our experiences to date.
Projections as of Jan 31, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:	313,622.02
	Actual Dollars Used:	298,147.22
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	15,474.80
	Reason for Variance:	We underspent in a few task areas in January, in particular Enclave costs - two staff members charged fewer hours than expected, and

costs - two staff members charged fewer hours than expected, and Main Data Collection - fewer interviewer hours were charged than projected. The current Wave 4 MDC projections assume an instrument length similar to that of Wave 3. So far, the Wave 4 survey is running about 7-8 minutes shorter than Wave 3, so our projections are conservative, at least in terms of CATI administration times, so we are typically underrunning interviewer projections. After completing two or three Wave 4 replicates, we will have updated production statistics that can be used to update the Wave 4 projected hours/costs. These updates will be made in the next couple of months.

		•	•	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:	10,770	75	9
	Goal at Completion:	10,770	75	9
	Current Actual:	1,561	70.1 (Rep 1)	12
	Estimate at Complete:	10,340	72	9
	Variance:			

Developmental/Initiative Projects Dashboard

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects

Project	Type	Phase	Project Lead	Jan	Feb
TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE- GENERAL(483910)	Initiatives	Implementing	Jeffrey L Smith		
TSME23 DCO Tech System Support FY2023	Initiatives	Initiation	Vivienne Y Outlaw		
TSME23-PIPPA	Initiatives	Implementing	Mark Simonson		
TSME23-QC-Systems (483249)	Initiatives	Implementing	Sarah Elisa Broumand		
TSME23-SelfSchedUI (483424)	Initiatives	Implementing	Andrew L Hupp		

Project Name	(TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE- GENERAL(483910)	GENERAL(483910)) TSME SRO SYS	MAINTENANCE-
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 20,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 20,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Jeffrey L Smith		
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-Em		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterso	n	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description		aintenance/enhancements needs for applica would include Surveytrak, ST Administration	
SRO Project Period	07/2022 - 06/2023		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start	:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start	:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start	:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End	! :
	DC Start:	DC End	l:
Other Project Team Members	Ashwin Dey Pam Swanson Marsha Skoman Holly Ackerman Darnell Christian		
Other Project Name	Sys Maint General		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (TSME SRO SYS		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Survey Trak Build team meetings New Builds changes released to field new R Profile loader Monthly setup of SCA in the SurveyTrak	environment	
Special Issues			

Page 6	4 of	75
--------	------	----

Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):

Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

Cost as of Mar 17, 2023

27,439.18

34,663.18

	Total Budget:			20,000.00
	Variance (Total Budget mi	inus- E\$AC):		-14,663.19
	Reason for Variance:	See monthly up	dates	
Projections as of Mar 17, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mon	th:		1,661.59
	Actual Dollars Used:			2,349.77
	Variance (Projected minus	s Actual):		-688.18
	Reason for Variance:	See monthly up	dates	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			
Other Measures				

Project Name	(TSME23 DCO Tech System Suppor	rt FY2023) TSME23-DCO Tech Sys	stem Support (483248) 202
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 35,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 35,000.00
Principal			
nvestigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
RB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw		
	Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	This includes all the support and developm	ent work for a suite of tech systems DC	Ouses including Fred
	Recruitment Website, Iwer Web Site, etc.	on work for a same of teen systems be-	
SRO Project Period	07/2022 - 06/2023		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Sta	rrt:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Sta	rt:
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Sta	rrt:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train Er	nd:
	DC Start:	DC Er	nd:
Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System	Shaowei Sun NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
ncentive	NA		
Administration	NA .		
	NA		
Payment Type			
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (TSME23 DCO Tech System		Initiation
Risk Level	Some Concerns		
Monthly Updates	- Tested Fred-related modules on the Test - Enhanced Closed Out report. Closed-out output project assignment info, EMS status - Added sorting features to "Recruit Contact - Enhanced Inventory Sorting feature in Fred - Discussed PIPPA module in Fred - Added customized Generic Screener for S - Removed COVID info from Fred UI and re	report can query a range of project ass s, Iwer Status info. ct Page" in the Admin Applicant Manage ed SSL project in DCST	ignment closing dates and
Special Issues			
	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):		46,712.2
Cost as of Mar 07, 2023			10.710.6
Cost as of Mar 07, 2023	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		46,712.2
Cost as of Mar 07, 2023	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget:		
Cost as of Mar 07, 2023	· · · · · · ·		46,712.2 35,000.0 -11,712.2

Projections as of Mar 07, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:			0.00
	Actual Dollars Used:			3,081.69
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):		-3,081.69
	Reason for Variance:	updates nee	eded to DCSR, Mapping main	tenance and FRED
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			
Other Measures	x			

Project Name	(TSME23-PIPPA) PIPPA 2.0 (425198)	FY23	
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Mark Simonson		
	Budget Analyst:		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor:		
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	PIPPA application on the ODS Server		
SRO Project Period	01/1996 - 01/1996		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Members	Sarah Broumand, Cheng Zhou, Andrew Pis		
Other Project Name	Caran Broamana, Chong Zhoa, Marow Fio	No. of the state o	
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration	NA		
Payment Type	NA		
Payment Method	NA		
ayment method	IVA		
Poport Poriod	Fob 2022 (TSME22 DIDDA)		Implementing
Report Period Risk Level	Feb, 2023 (TSME23-PIPPA) On Track		Implementing
	Most of the requested have been added. In	tasting mode	
Monthly Updates	iviosi oi tile requesteu flave beefi added. In	testing mode.	
Special Issues	Total Coat to Data (divent : indivent)		14,638.3
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect): Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		15,639.1
	Total Budget:		
			10,000.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):	Catching up with work	-5,639.1
Dualantiana (A)	Reason for Variance:	Catching up with work	AF
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:		859.4
	Actual Dollars Used:		3,699.2
	, 2 c		
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):		-2,839.82

Measures

	Units at Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current Actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME23-QC-Systems (48324	9)) TSME23-QC-Systems (483249)	
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 30,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 30,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	НИМ#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Sarah Elisa Brouma	nd	
	Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert		
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R	R Kruger-Ndiaye	
	Production Manager 1:		
	Production Manager 2:		
Proposal #	no data		
Description	Project used to maintain and furthe	r develop Current QC systems such as OLIVE	
SRO Project Period	07/2022 - 06/2023	. ,	
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest Start:	
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:	
	Staffing Complete:	GIT Start:	
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:	
	DC Start:	DC End:	
Other Project Team Member			
Other Project Name	•		
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	NA		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	NA		
QC Recording Tool	NA		
Incentive	NA		
Administration			
	NA NA		
Payment Type	NA NA		
Payment Method	NA		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (TSME23-QC-Systems		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Overall, OLIVE remains actively use February hours were used to 1. Troubleshoot some issues relate 2. Attend meetings related to QC so		
Special Issues			
Cost as of Mar 16, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indire	ect):	24,050.6
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		29,936.4
	Total Budget:		30,000.0
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E	\$AC):	63.5
	Reason for Variance:	Small variance.	
Projections as of Mar 16, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:		2,156.90
	Actual Dollars Used:		2,577.60

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

-420.64

	Reason for Variance:	Small variand	ce.	
Measures		Units at Complete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion:			
	Current Actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			

Project Name	(TSME23-SelfSchedUI (483424)) Self-Scheduling Interface for MS	MS
Project Mode	Primary: Not Available		
Project Type	Developmental Initiatives		
Budget	Direct Budget: 10,000.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 10,000.00
Principal			
Investigator/Clients			
Funding Agency			
IRB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:
Project Team	Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp		
•	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-E	m	
	Production Manager:		
	Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R	Kruger-Ndiave	
	Production Manager 1:	Trager Halaye	
	Production Manager 2:		
Drangool #			
Proposal #	no data		
Description	completed the prior fiscal year. In the centers around creating the manage need to provide the Blaise scheduler and the aggregation of data to pass	the work of the respondent facing schedulic prior fiscal the basic scheduling tool was r side interface to be able to set parameter. Most of the development work is on the I to Blaise to use to determine which appoin side of the work, not the MSMS portion.	created. The current remaining work rs and the resulting data MSMS will MSMS side to build and interface
SRO Project Period	07/2022 - 04/2023		
Data Col Period			
Security Plan	NA		
Milestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretes	et Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitmen	nt Start:
	Staffing Complete:	Gľ	T Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Tra	in End:
	DC Start:	n.	OC End:
Other Project Team Members		ded for MSMS	eters
Other Project Name			
Sample Mgmt System	NA		
Data Col Tool	Blaise 5		
Hardware	NA		
DE Software	N/A		
QC Recording Tool	N/A		
Incentive	Not used		
Administration	N/A		
Payment Type	N/A		
Payment Method	N/A		
T dyment method	TWA		
	E L 0000 (T011500 5 110 1 110		
Report Period	Feb, 2023 (TSME23-SelfSchedUI		Implementing
Risk Level	On Track		
Monthly Updates	Time spent during this period was properational use.	imarily related to system testing and fixes.	. The system has been signed-off for
	with how it's set-up, but most in SRC	MT to allow Blaise (the scheduler) to get in a believe it should be a web API call rather kely to overrun the remaining budget if wen the bottom line.	than it's current configuration. I've
	Andrew had a project review to upda	ate the organization on the status of the pro	oject.
Special Issues		ause Peter corrected a timesheet in the la eing used it looks like there is more money	

Cost as of Mar 09, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct +	+ indirect):			9,958.65
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$	\$AC):			9,958.65
	Total Budget:				10,000.00
	Variance (Total Budget min	nus- E\$AC):			41.35
	Reason for Variance:	es 0\	stimate for Web verrunning the b	API programming. I've s	e estimates in CRS we will
Projections as of Mar 09, 2023	Dollars Projected for Mont	th:			1,523.37
	Actual Dollars Used:				3,451.43
	Variance (Projected minus	Actual):			-1,928.06
	Reason for Variance:	D	evelopers work	ed more than estimated t	o make system operational.
Measures		Units at Cor	nplete	RR	HPI
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				

Drainat Mada	(WJ-RAP) Woodcock-Johnson	Food to Food Total of Madas: 0			
Project Mode	, , ,	Face to Face Total of Modes: 2			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	In Proof Building 400 507 00	T-1-1 B-1-1-1 000 007 00		
Budget	Direct Budget: 193,800.00	Indirect Budget: 108,527.00	Total Budget: 302,327.00		
Principal	Paula Fomby (U Penn/UM/ISR/SRC	<i>;</i>)			
nvestigator/Clients	Narayan Sastry (UM/ISR/SRC)				
Funding Agency					
RB	HUM#:		Period of Approval:		
Project Team	Project Lead: Hongyu Johnson				
	Budget Analyst: Ivanna lavorska-E	<u>Em</u>			
	Production Manager: Sarah Crane)			
	Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie	A Chardoul			
	Production Manager 1:				
	Production Manager 2:				
Proposal #	no data				
	during two separate interviews, from recruit a convenience sample of 90 families. For the remote administrati electronically display the pages of the communicates via a video link on a in-person interview, an SRO interview.	the Woodcock Johnson Tests of Cognitive Ability 80 families with an average of 1.5 children ag families in order to obtain a completed remote it ion interview, a tablet computer will be sent to the Woodcock Johnson assessment tool while a laptop. The Respondent will return the tablet in ewer will travel to meet respondents in person at using Woodcock Johnson easels. This project	ged 5-17 per family. SRO will and in-person interview from 8 respondents and used to an SRO interviewer n a postage paid mailer. For the and conduct another version of		
SRO Project Period	02/2023 - 12/2023				
Data Col Period	06/2023 - 08/2023				
Security Plan	NA				
Wilestones	Pre Production Start:	Pretest St.	art:		
	Pretest End: Recruitment Start:				
	Staffing Complete:	GIT St.	art:		
	SS Train Start:	SS Train E	ind:		
	DC Start:	DC E	ind:		
Other Project Team Members	Stephanie Chardoul - SRO SPA Sarah Crane - Survey Director (Proj Helen (Hongyu) Johnson - Lead Pro Mari Haft- SRO staff Youhong Liu - Blaise Programmer Kelly Lieske - Blaise Programmer Edward Green - Data Manager Laura Yoder - Data Operations Sup Jeff Smith - Data Operations Supen John Gawlas - HelpDesk staff David Bolt - HelpDesk Supervisor Russ Stark - DCO Staff Tyler Davis-Kean - DCO Assistant (pervisor visor			
Other Project Name					
•	SurveyTrak				
Sample Mgmt System	SurveyTrak Blaise 4.8				
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool		hone; Other (external monitor)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware	Blaise 4.8	Phone; Other (external monitor)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F	Phone; Other (external monitor)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F	Phone; Other (external monitor)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia	Phone; Other (external monitor)			
Other Project Name Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia Yes, R	Phone; Other (external monitor)			
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia Yes, R SRO Group Check, post (\$100)				
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia Yes, R SRO Group				
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool ncentive Administration Payment Type Payment Method	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia Yes, R SRO Group Check, post (\$100) Check through STrak RPay System				
Sample Mgmt System Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive Administration Payment Type	Blaise 4.8 Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] F NA Camtasia Yes, R SRO Group Check, post (\$100)		Implementing		

Project Management:

Monthly Updates

- Purchased the assessment materials from the publisher for the PIs
- Continue to provide updates to the PI team and the SRO Admin team
- Identified the project staff successfully
 Started to assist the technical team staff for programming and testing
- Continue to work with the financial analyst to monitor the costs

Technical System Development and Testing: - Started Blaise 4.8 Form A programming - Setting up Project SurveyTrak

- Worked with HD staff to prepare testing module
 Purchased and tested a new tablet to move forward
- Started image scanning work

Interviewer Hiring
- The PM will be working on the recruitment in the next months.

Training

- Plan to train from May 22 through May 31 (4 hours per day excluding Memorial Day holiday weekend 05/26-5/29)

Special Issues					
Cost as of Feb 28, 2023	Total Cost to Date (direct + indirect):			18,343.5	
	Est Cost at Completion (E\$AC):			309,487.9	
	Total Budget:			302,327.0	
	Variance (Total Budget minus- E\$AC):			-7,160.9	
	The WJ scoring she budget Cost of using a temporal charged to Non-Salary		nificant price increased of WJ ease WJ scoring sheet (Form A and Fo t st of using a temp staff to help on se ed to Non-Salary Indirect cost Il continue to monitor the costs to re	creased of WJ easels (2 copies of Form A) eet (Form A and Form B) costs are not in the ap staff to help on scanning images was directly	
Projections as of Feb 28, 2023	Dollars Projected for Month:			10,598.18	
	Actual Dollars Used:			18,343.54	
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):			-7,745.36	
	Reason for Variance:	The overrun was due to some unforeseen increased prices of the assessment materials and using a temp staff on the project for a specific task this month. We will continue to monitor the costs through the next months.			
Measures		Units at Comple	te RR	HPI	
	Current Goal:				
	Goal at Completion:				
	Current Actual:				
	Estimate at Complete:				
	Variance:				