Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored

November 2021



Sponsored Projects

(ACL6) Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6

(ACL-LIFE) ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation

(AFHS) American Family Health Study

(ALS Controls) ALS Matched Control Recruitment

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(CAMS 2021) HRS 2021 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(CBII) Mellon College and Beyond II

(CDS-21) PSID Child Development Supplement 2021

(DAWN CS) Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement

(ECHO) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes

(EDC Midline) Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation Midline Surveys

(H&WB) Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan

(HCAP 2020) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey) HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study / COVID Survey

(HRS 2022 Panel) Health and Retirement Study 2022

(HRS2022-NewCohort) HRS 2022 - New Cohort

(LSA Space Survey) LSA Space Survey

(MARS 2) Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2

(MI CReSS) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study

(MTF base year 2017-2022) Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022

(MTF HID 22) MTF High Intensity Drinking 2022

(MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021) Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021

(PSID TAS 2021) Transition to Adulthood within its Life Course & Intergenerational Family Context

(PSID21) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021

(SCA 2021) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(SCIP 2021) Sustainability Culture Indicators Project

(SRS 2022) Social Relations 2022

(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(VCT) Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection

(WCJ -Remote Pilot) Woodcock-Johnson Remote Administration Pilot

(WMH-Qatar) Qatar World Mental Health Survey

Sponsored Projects Dashboard

Project	Type	Status	Project Lead	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
ACL6	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour		•		•							
ACL-LIFE	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour			•	•							
AFHS	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Gatward											•
ALS Controls	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Loomis											0
BFY	Sponsored	Current	Piotr Dworak											
CAMS 2021	Sponsored	Current	Deborah Zivan											
CBII	Sponsored	Current	Maureen Joan O'Brien											
CDS-21	Sponsored	Current	Sarah Crane											
DAWN CS	Sponsored	Current	Juan Carlos Donoso		•	9	•							
ECHO	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour											
EDC Midline	Sponsored	Current	Barbara Lohr Ward											
H&WB	Sponsored	Current	Barbara Lohr Ward			•								•
HCAP 2020	Sponsored	Current	Maureen Joan O'Brien											•
HCDC, H&C	Sponsored	Current	Barbara Lohr Ward											
HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey	Sponsored	Current	Ian Ogden		•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•
HRS 2022 Panel	Sponsored	Current	Evanthia Leissou								•			•
HRS2022-NewCohort	Sponsored	Current	Evanthia Leissou											
LSA Space Survey	Sponsored	Current	William Keating											•
MARS 2	Sponsored	Current	Margaret Lee Hudson								•			
MI CReSS	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour											
MTF base year 2017-2022	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Gatward											
MTF HID 22	Sponsored	Current	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson											
MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021	Sponsored	Current	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson										•	
PSID TAS 2021	Sponsored	Current	Piotr Dworak											
PSID21	Sponsored	Current	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye											
SCA 2021	Sponsored	Current	Theresa Camelo											
SCIP 2021	Sponsored	Current	Maureen Joan O'Brien											
SRS 2022	Sponsored	Current	Barbara Lohr Ward											
STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4	Sponsored	Current	Meredith A House											•
VCT	Sponsored	Current	Andrew L Hupp											•
WCJ -Remote Pilot	Sponsored	Current	Hongyu Johnson											•
WMH-Qatar	Sponsored	Current	Sarah Elisa Broumand											

Project Name (ACL6) Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 495,251.00 InDirect Budget: 277,340.00 Total Budget: 772,591.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Margaret Hicken (University of Michigan, SRC - SEH)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging

IRB HUM#:

HUM00153243 Period Of Approval: Pending

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison
Production Manager: Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: James Koopman

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Led by Dr. Maggie Hicken, ACL6 will build on 5 waves (25 years) of data from the nationally-representative Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) study by adding a 6th wave of data collection (ACL6), along with blood collection for DNA methylation analysis. With ACL6, there will be data for over 30 years of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors experienced cumulatively over adulthood, which the investigators will link to DNA methylation and then examine the impact on healthy aging. The objective of ACL6 is to identify underlying epigenomic mechanisms linking racial residential segregation to key markers of healthy aging, for which there are known inequalities.

The ACL6 project period began in July of 2018, with SRO involvement starting in September of 2018. The data collection period is April to August 2019.

SRO's work scope includes survey data collection and Home Visit coordination. SRO will build systems that: 1) equip SSL interviewers (and potential low-level field interviewers at the end of the study) with tools to conduct survey data collection and sample management; 2) provide a basic mechanism (i.e. Weblog) for the Study Coordinator to log written consent received for the Home Visit, log specimen chain of custody and support SSL follow up efforts (reminder call outcomes); 3) support SRO coordination between the Core Study Team (CST), ExamOne, the 3rd party vendor responsible for Home Visit (health assessment, blood sample collection and health-related measurements), and CLASS lab biorepository; and 4) serve basic reporting needs to provide regular progress updates during the production period.

There is a broad overlap with the ACL5 study with about 15% of the questionnaire requiring revisions and additional items for Wave 6. SRO is responsible for the documentation for the complete ACL6 instrument, programming specifications in Blaise 4.8, developing SurveyTrak sample management system for use in the SSL, building the Weblog interface and creating Reports.

The Core Study Team (CST) conducted locating procedures and updated sample records with information about deceased members, prior to delivery of sample to SRO. Newsletters were sent to all living members of the Americans' Changing Lives cohort who participated in any of the previous 5 waves and asked to participate in Wave 6. All surviving ACL respondents (~1,526) are eligible to participate; there will be no screening for participation. After CST delivers the final ACL6 sample, SRO prepares/loads in SurveyTrak sample management system. On April 22 SRO mailed the pre-interview letter to potential interview participants, along with a prepaid incentive (\$30) to participate in the interview.

A 60-minute telephone interview will be conducted. Respondents will be asked to provide information on various social, economic, psychological, and health matters. SRO assumes 1,220 interviews will be successfully completed (an 80% response rate). It is also anticipated that more interviews may be conducted by proxy this wave (approximately 108 proxy interviews last wave). Although the data collection will primarily be conducted by SSL interviewers, SRO's systems will support the possibility of interviews to be conducted face-to-face for respondents who cannot be reached by telephone or prefer an in person visit. As data collection unfolds, SRO will revisit the need for face-to-face work with the PI and prepare a budget estimate for the scope of work based on location of respondents and other factors.

After the survey is completed, or the interview is suspended, the Interviewer will discuss the Home Visit and ask if the R is interested in participating. If R agrees, the Interviewer will obtain oral consent for the R to be contacted by ExamOne to schedule this Home Visit. SRO will send the R a pre-home visit packet by mail, which includes: (a) a letter briefly describing this second part of the study; (b) two copies of the consent form, one to sign and provide to the ExamOne Health Professional (HP) and one to keep; and (c) a \$50 incentive for participating in the Home Visit.

During the Home Visit, the Health Professional (HP) will collect the signed informed consent document. The signed U-M consent form will be mailed by ExamOne to the Home Visit Study Coordinator and logged in Weblog.

SSL interviewers will be responsible for reminder calls related to the Home Visit (e.g. Rs who do not schedule their appointment with vendor). Iwers will use the Weblog system to record outcomes.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 09/2019 04/2018 - 08/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 05/20/2021
 SS Train End:
 08/10/2021

 DC Start:
 06/17/2021
 DC End:
 11/29/2021

Other Project

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Team Members: Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager Jaime Koopman: SSI (Blaise/STrak Specs)

Gary Hein: SSI (Weblog Specs)

Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)

Becky Scherr: SSA (Project Coordination)

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst Lisa Carn: Production Manager

Russ Stark: SSL STrak systems consultant and lab management

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Stephanie Windisch (and Chris Greene): Data Managers

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer

Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Dave Dybicki: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

"Racial inequalities in health throughout adulthood: The cumulative impact of neighborhood chemical and

non-chemical stressors on epigenomic pathways" (aka, "Social epigenomics of racial health inequalities - SERHI")

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8
Hardware Desktop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes, R

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$30 (interview)); Other (Check, prepaid - \$50 (consent to WBD/making home appointment))

Payment Method NA

Report Period Nov, 2021 (ACL6) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

ACL6 (reboot) data collection wrapped up on November 29. The final reboot sample included 101 ACL participants who had agreed to complete their ACL6 interview. At the end of data collection, there were 39 additional interviews completed. We are now transitioning to project closeout tasks. Final result codes and call notes will be assigned by Data Manager in December 2021 after Prod/Proj Manager final adjudication of 438 remaining sample lines.

SRO was authorized by the PI to spend up to \$44,200 direct costs (\$69,000 total) to complete 10 additional ACL6 interviews. We have exceeded the number of interviews expected and are within budget. The funding for the reboot will be allocated only after the final, actual costs are known.

We monitored production metrics throughout this "Reboot" period, sent updated cost reports and managed expectations. Nick asked us to keep an eye on the "costs vs. benefits," and report to him (and the PI, Maggie) with actual costs accumulated each month. On 10/28 the PI agreed that "due to highly diminished returns for the effort of continuing," it was best to end data collection on 11/29.

There are 69 panel members remaining who had recently expressed willingness to be interviewed for ACL6. Contact attempts were made to reach them, but the interview was not completed by the end of data collection period (December 2021).

The PI also asked for a debriefing meeting sometime in January, to make sure she understands everything and to mark this accomplishment.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 806,483.48 Dec 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 815,996.92

Total Budget: 772,591.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -43,405.92

Reason For Variance: As of this report, \$21,771 direct has been spent to-date. The total,

projected cost to complete is currently \$27,869.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

6,200.10 Dollars Projected For Month: 6,323.60 Actual Dollars Used: -123.50 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1,058 (1,526 sample)	80%	5	
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:	768	58%	6.7	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Other Measures

Home Visit Consent

Goal at Completion: 700

Current Actual: 413 Current Undecided: 20 Project Name (ACL-LIFE) ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation (ACLLIFE)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 520,637.00 InDirect Budget: 291,556.00 Total Budget: 812,193.00

Principal S

Investigator/Client

Sarah Burgard (SRC, Population Studies / Sociology / Epidemiology)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00177366 Period Of Approval: 2/5/2021 -

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project will conduct a Life History interview with the Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) panel by telephone

using centralized SSL interviewers (and possibly Field interviewers, if necessary).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 08/2021 02/2021 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: 02/15/2021 SS Train End:

DC Start: 02/22/2021 **DC End:** 12/04/2021

Other Project

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Team Members: Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst

Pooja Varma-Laughlin: Production Manager

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

TBD: Production Assistant

Helen (Hongyu) Johnson: SSI

Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer

Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Karl Dinkelmann: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Carlos Macuada: Data Manager

Chris Greene/Stephanie Windisch (ACL6 Data Managers): ACL-LIFE Data Management Consultants

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt SysSurveyTrakData Col ToolBlaise 4.8HardwareLaptop; Desktop

DE Software Other (Weblog (Locating and Logging Returned Calendars))

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI; DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Yes, R

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$30)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (ACL-LIFE)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

We ended 40 weeks of data collection on 12/4. That is, 26 weeks in the original design and an additional 14 week extension. We have started project closing tasks, including the documentation of lessons learned and finalization of all sample lines. We held a debriefing session with the PI, Study Manager and data collection team, to begin documenting feedback that will inform the methods section of her research papers. It was a really valuable, focus group type session.

Nick (SEH) recently received a UG report on Sarah's ACL-Life project. The parent (their piece) has a few postage things to clear up, but all the other charges on there are SRO. Grace will provide him with the project specific justification he can use in their ACR submission to get it approved before the middle of January.

Special Issues

The overrun is due to design modifications (i.e. 14 week data collection extension and increasing ToA to \$300), in addition to other factors.

A full summary of drivers and amounts attributed to each are as follows:

- --Participant ToA increased from \$30 to \$50 as of 5/12/21; from \$50 to \$100 on 7/8/21; and then from \$100 to \$200 on 8/6/21 and then \$300 for endgame offer. (\$33,198)
- --Data Collection Extension of 14 additional weeks -- from 26 to 40. (\$11,215)
- --Fringe Benefits increases due to more staff hours being projected than originally budgeted. (\$26,471)
- --Hybrid Staff model includes both Survey Techs (from the Lab) and Field Interviewers (from the Field); there is a higher rate for Field Interviewers. (\$18,677)
- --ACL-Life instrument enhancements and life history grid functionality resulted in increased Blaise programming hours for implementing technical solutions, testing and technical support. (\$12,533)
- --Recharge Rate Increases CAPI recharge rate for Field Interviewers Hiring & Training increased from \$1.10 to \$1.39; CASIC recharge rate increased from \$1.91 to \$2.50); Field Operations recharge rate increases from proposal \$3.41 to \$3.53 currently; Mobile Device @64.55 per cell phone per month. (\$9,702)

--Help Desk support costs are exceeding the initial 40 hours included in the proposal budget. (\$4,693)

Cost

Dec 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):848,480.41Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):889,645.22Total Budget:812,193.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-77,452.22

Reason For Variance:

Of the \$520,637 total, direct budget, \$543,897 has been spent to-date. We currently project a direct cost overrun of \$49,648 (10%).

Our current projections include the estimated effort required to finalize the remaining 200 non-final sample lines and carry out project closeout tasks. Although we weren't able to achieve 80% overall response rate target, we were able to complete 88% Re-Interviews and surpass our end game goal by completing 756 interviews.

Here are intervention strategies implemented over the course of data collection to address the PI's main priority to increase response rate:

- -- Increased ToA (\$50)
- -- Increased ToA (\$100)
- -- Increased ToA (\$200)
- -- Increased final end-game ToA (\$300)
- -- Added Locator
- -- Used MSG batch services to support locating
- -- Postcard 1 mailing: all non-final sample
- -- Postcard 2 (SIMY) mailing: all non-final sample
- -- Postcard 3 (End Game) mailing: all non-final sample + locating leads
- -- Targeted mailings: addressing concerns/confidentiality letters
- -- Extended data collection for 14 weeks
- -- Implemented numerous weekly Iwer goals / strategies, via Pooja and the production management team
- -- Continued working to reach weekly goals and aiming to increase overall response rate given the PI's #1 priority and Nick's recommendation of a "kitchen sink approach"

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): Reason For Variance: 105,956.00 40,943.42 65,012.58

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	960 (n=1,284-83 NS)	80%	5	
Goal at Completion:	960	80%	5	
Current actual:	756	62%	7.69	
Estimate at Complete:	756	63%	7.69	
Variance:	204	17%	-2.69	

Other Measures

Re-Contact RR: 30% Re-Interview RR: 88%

TLC Interviews: 8 HTR Interviews: 6

Project Name (AFHS) American Family Health Study

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,596,238.00 InDirect Budget: 893,895.00 Total Budget: 2,490,133.00

Principal Brady West (Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client William Axinn, Mick Couper and James Wagner (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

IRB HUM#: 00167171 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Rebecca GatwardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laug **Senior Project Advisor:** Grant D Benson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to evaluate the feasibility of collecting national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representative sample using a self-administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study.

We plan to screen around 42,120 randomly selected U.S. households by mail and web to identify an eligible population (aged 18-49 years). The screening work will be divided across two successive nine month periods (n=21,060 per effort). Each 'replicate' is a fully representative sample of U.S. households. Eligible respondents will be invited to complete three modules of content (administered separately or one instrument), either online or a paper questionnaire. The plan is obtain at least one returned module from 4,000 respondents.

There will be a two month gap between replicates. The first replicate will begin in September 2019 and the second in around July 2020.

The sample (addresses) will be selected via Market Systems Group (MSG). The following response assumptions have been made: at the screening stage, we estimate a response rate of 50% (around 21,000). Of these we expect approximately 12,000 addresses to contain an eligible person. In households with more than one eligible person we will randomly select one person.

The contact protocol for the screener and main data collection is designed to, initially, push completion by web. Addresses or selected participants will only be mailed a paper screener or questionnaire after reminders encouraging completion online. This is a recent change to the protocol and was made after the SRO budget proposal was provided to the PI.

The main interview consists of three modules which will replicate almost all NSFG content. The PI group is leading the process of reviewing and translating the content to web format and will provide specifications for programming to SRO.

The following revisions have been made to the study design as detailed in the latest budget proposal (SRO scope of work);

- o We will use an external vendor to scan returned paper screeners, rather than manual data entry. This vendor will also format the paper forms, print materials and be responsible for most of the respondent mailings.
- o The original study design did not include web as a mode of data collection for screening. Additional funding from SRC has been obtained by the Principal Investigator to cover the development of a web screener and associated data management and reporting costs.
- o Telephone reminder calls remains one of the steps in the non-response protocol for the main data collection. However, it is likely that this effort will be targeted to a subset of the selected sample, rather than across the entire sample.
- o The amount budgeted for the TOA for the screener was \$2 and \$5 50% of the sample to receive each amount. The TOA amount will now be \$2 for the full sample. We may provide an additional TOA of \$5 to a subset of the non-responders as part of one of the follow-up reminder mailings (depending on available budget).
- o The eligibility age range for the study has been changed from 15-49 to 18-49. This eliminates the need to contact to parents/guardians of all eligible respondents who have not reached the age of majority to gain consent to participate in the study. There are three states where the age of majority is above 18 years of age in these states we will adjust the selection protocol as necessary.
- o A further change to the study protocol is around completion of the main modules. In some circumstances we

will provide Respondents the option to move directly to complete the main modules after completing the screener without being sent an invite in the mail/email to do so. This will only happen if the selected R is also the person who completed the screener.

An experiment around completion of the modules has been introduced to the protocol. Twenty per cent of the selected eligible respondents will be invited to complete the full 60 minute survey as one instrument, rather than completing three separate modules.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2019 - 03/2022 05/2020 - 01/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/03/2019 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: Pretest End: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 04/21/2020 DC End: 01/31/2022

Other Project

SRO Team: Andrew Hupp, Laura Yoder, Rose Zdybel, Pooja Varma-Laughlin, Jim Rodgers, Colette Keyser, Deb

Team Members:

Wilson, Wen Chang

Other Project

During the budget proposal stage this project was known as 'A More Efficient Web-Based Approach to Collecting

National Family, Fertility and Reproductive Health Data'.

Names: Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool**

MSMS

Blaise 5; SAQ Desktop

Hardware **DE Software**

Other (Blaise 5 (for Mail questionnaires)); External vendor (TBD)

QC Recording Tool

N/A Yes, R

Incentive Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type **Payment Method** Check, post (Modules 1 - \$20, Module 2 - \$20 and Module 3 - \$30); Cash, prepaid (Screener IW - \$2 Potentially Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Info. from MSMS and transfer information via

Nov, 2021 (AFHS) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

November update

Response at the end of November...

Received 2800 completed screener forms (RR 12.9%, an increase of 2.1% over the past week).

The completed paper screeners (returned in BREs to DataForce) began arriving during November - they have been

processed as low priority by USPS and they were delivered to DataForce in large batches. %

The eligibility rate, at this point was 64%. Of the 1789 eligible cases, 913 had completed the main interview at a

response rate of 51%.

Other items...

Chrissy Evanchek delivered a working version of a costing template, designed to help the PI group put together a

preliminary design for a larger scale AFHS.

We are monitoring cases are progressing correctly through the study protocol.

Special Issues

Cost

2,356,812.53 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 15, 2021

2.475.852.01 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: 2,490,133.00 14,280.99 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: Any underspend will be used by the end of the project on interventions to

boost response/test a panel design.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 150,844.45 147,926.56 Actual Dollars Used:

2,917.89 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal:	2000	33.3%	NA	
Goal at Completion:	2000	33.3%	NA	
Current actual:	913	56%	NA	
Estimate at Complete:	1200	60%	NA	
Variance:	1000	+27% (see below)	NA	

Other Measures

Reporting main response rate for Rep 2 above and estimates used in the proposal (screener RR details are below)... Estimates used in the proposal...

Screener RR - 50% (used in the proposal) - Full sample 42,120, goal 50% screener RR to achieve a sample of 12,000 eligible Rs (eligibility rate 57%), achieve main interviews with 4,000 (RR 33.3%).

Rep 1 achieved..

Rep 1 sample (n=19,381), actual screener RR 14% (n=2523), eligible Rs 1489 (elibility rate 59%), main RR 66% (n=998).

Rep 2 current ...

Rep 2 sample (n=22,381), current actual screener RR 7% (n=1545), current eligibility rate 69% (n=1070), current main RR 56% (n=600)

(ALS Controls) ALS Matched Control Recruitment **Project Name**

Primary: Web **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget Direct Budget: 163,550.33 InDirect Budget: 91,589.18 Total Budget: 255,139.51

Steven Goutman (Univ of Michigan - Med School) **Principal**

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM00148060 ним#: Period Of Approval:

Rebecca Loomis **Project Team** Project Lead:

Megan Gomez-Mesquita Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Peter Rakesh Batra Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This is a web screening project that is mailing letters to an ABS sample of 15,000 HH's in the Midland and Grand

> Rapids area. The letter contains a link to a 5-10 minute Qualtrics survey that screens eligible Respondents for a study that includes a blood draw. SRO's involvement is only with the Respondent screening and incentive

payment for completing the screening questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2020 - 12/2023 09/2020 - 10/2023

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Team Members: Other Project Names:

Dan Zahs (Sampling)

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA

Other (Qualtrics) **Data Col Tool**

Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA Yes, R Incentive

Administration ISR Group (SRC Business Office)

Check, post (10) **Payment Type**

Payment Method NA

Nov, 2021 (ALS Controls) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update The week before the Thanksgiving break, the PI team requested that SRO pause all mailings. Due to the success of

their FaceBook ad, they feel the cost of printing and mailing letters is not worth it. At the next project meeting, Meredith House (SPA) and Raphael Nishimura attended to discuss possible alternate sampling strategies to better target the study's goal for age and geographic distribution. The PI team requested that we pause, but not shut down completely. Dr. Goutman may want to reopen mailings at some point, but for now they are committed to using FaceBook as their main recruitment tool. SRO will continue to monitor for Qualtrics completes and implement respondent payments as long as it is necessary. We will touch base again with the PI team in January for an update.

Special Issues

Cost Dec 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):81,651.15Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):93,106.03Total Budget:255,139.51Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):162,033.48

Reason For Variance: Mailings suspended after November

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:8,705.49Actual Dollars Used:7,681.20Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,024.29

Reason For Variance: Mailings suspended after November

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name (BFY) Baby's First Years

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 5,363,660.00 InDirect Budget: 1,945,980.73 Total Budget: 7,309,640.73

Principal Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine)

Investigator/Client Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University)

Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)

HUM#: HUM00137963 **Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

Budget Analyst:Janelle P CramerProduction Manager:Veronica Connors-BurgeSenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A ChardoulProduction Manager:Margaret LavangerProduction Manager:Michelle Smith

Proposal #: no data

Description: University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for

Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019

Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 10/2017 - 12/2020 04/2018 - 12/2020

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2017

Pretest End:

Staffing Completed: 02/07/2018

Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018 **GIT Start:** 03/19/2018 **SS Train End:** 03/22/2018

DC End: 06/30/2022

SS Train Start: 03/20/2018 **DC Start:** 05/07/2018

Other Project Team Members: Stephanie Chardoul (SPA) Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM)

Jim McClure (DCS)
Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant)
Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant)
Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)
Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager)
Dave Dybicki (Blaise)

Colette Keyser (Blaise) Tricia Blanchard (MSMS) Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Names:

Hardware

Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool MSMS Blaise 5

Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool

Other (to be specified)

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Cash, prepaid (50)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (BFY)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Project updates:

BFY Age 3 data collection continues on goal in week 25 12/10 - 12/18 with +5 interviews ahead of the cumulative goals. We are monitoring slower progress in NOLA due to continued effect of hurricanes and impact on R and iwers mobility. We are re-assigning sample and one less-productive interviewer resigned. Recent changes had a very positive impact and in the last week NOLA has exceeded the goal of 7 by +5 adding 12 interviews and reducing the cumulative deficiency.

The Age 3-4 follow up effort started in October 2021. We will be contacting Rs @ 3 months, 6 months, and 10 months after the baby's 3rd b-day. At the 3 month follow-up, we are currently reaching between 70 - 85% of Rs by phone, email, or text without any extra in-person follow up efforts. We are finalizing protocols for the 10-month hand-off to the Pls and the lab teams in January. Hand-off to the labs will begin in May 2022.

Data collection / Sample:

Age 3 results by site through week 7:

Goal Actual +/Total 389 394

NE 107 114

NY 106 115

MN 53 52

LA 123 113

Staffing:

Age 3 Staffing: 14 iwers in total

NE: 1 + 1 NH (Trained 9/15)

MN: 2 NY: 6 OS

NOLA: 2 (1 resignation)

Locators: 2"

Technical system:

Development of BFY Age 3 - 4 mid-wave follow up has concluded in November. We expect minor DCA changes in February to develop the lab hand-off protocols for the Age 4 lab visit.

Finances:

We are improving/reducing the overrun and the PIs are looking for funds to cover the \$518K overrun communicated based on the cost analysis in September 2021.

Special Issues

Cost

Dec 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 6,099,839.95

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 7,807,670.05

 Total Budget:
 7,309,640.73

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -498,029.32

Reason For Variance: Pls are looking for funds were requested to cover -\$518K based on September overrun. The overrun has since been reduced to -\$498K.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:121,162.84Actual Dollars Used:106,286.82Variance (Projected minus Actual):14,876.02

Reason For Variance: Lower project management and interviewing time. Also lower TSG, most of

the BFY tech development has been completed in November.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	389	39%	7.0	
Goal at Completion:	907	93%	7.0	
Current actual:	394	39%	5.7	
Estimate at Complete:	907	93%	6.0	
Variance:				

Project Name (CAMS 2021) HRS 2021 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 293,821.00 InDirect Budget: 105,776.00 Total Budget: 399,597.00

Principal David Weir (ISR-SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM00079949 **Period Of Approval**: 1/28/2021 - 1/27/20

Project Team Project Lead: Deborah Zivan
Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis
Production Manager: Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: CAMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of CAMS is to gather additional data on

household consumption and activities of daily living from participants in the HRS. In 2021, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 8,000 respondents of which 6,000 will receive the full questionnaire and 2,000

spouse/partners will receive a brief questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2021 - 05/2022 09/2021 - 04/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: 06/01/2021 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

ng Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 09/24/2021 **DC End**: 04/30/2022

Other Project Data Manager: Laura Yoder, Jennie Williams

Team Members: Programmer: Ashwin Dey

Project Assistant: Jeannie Baker, Janet McBride, Melissa Luker

Other Project CAMS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool SAQ

Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (HRS study staff is responsible for)

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Spouse)

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25 to main R and \$10 to spouse R)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2021 (CAMS 2021) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update During November the team:

Logged returned SAQs and shipped SAQs to ISR duplicating
 Re-mailed packets when a change of address was received

3. The reminder postcard was sent out on 11/10/2021 to 4121 respondents

4. The final reminder/replacement SAQ was sent on 11/24/2021 to 3251 respondents

5. Measures noted below are as of 12/13/2021

Special Issues The reminder mailings were larger than anticipated. In part this is likely due to mail moving more slowly than usual.

Cost Dec 09, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):400,842.67Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):398,570.86Total Budget:399,597.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):1,026.14

Reason For Variance:

The cost of using POUR trackable return mail and a smaller sample size reduced costs. Higher expense than projected is due to the size of reminder mailings being larger than projected. To accommodate larger size reminder mailings, an additional print run of SAQs was required and

postage costs were higher than projected.

Projections Dec 09, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:33,142.70Actual Dollars Used:27,555.94Variance (Projected minus Actual):5,586.76

Reason For Variance: A DataForce invoice projected to be paid out in November will be paid out

in December.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	5256	66.4%		
Goal at Completion:	5256	66.4%		
Current actual:	3964	55.0%		
Estimate at Complete:	4688	61.0%		
Variance:	724	5.4%		

Project Name (CBII) Mellon College and Beyond II

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 643,762.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 643,762.00

Principal Paul Courant (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy)
Investigator/Client Kevin Stange (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy)

Susan Jekielek (ICPSR)

Funding Agency

The Mellon Foundation

IRB

HUM#: 00173324 **Period Of Approval:** 10/2020-10/2021

Project Team Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien

Budget Analyst:Carl S RemmertProduction Manager:Lisa J CarnSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G KirgisProduction Manager:James Koopman

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The purpose of the College and Beyond II project is to help educators better understand the importance of students' undergraduate experiences in and out of the classroom to their life-course development. College graduates with an undergraduate degree were invited to participate in a 35-45 minute web survey. Sample was selected from the following universities: The University of Michigan (U-M), Truman State University, the City College of New York (CUNY), Indiana University Bloomington (IU), Georgia College & State University (GCSU), the University of Houston (UH), and the University of California, Irvine (UCI). A pilot was completed in fall 2019. A pilot was completed in 2019 to refine and test the measures used in order to create a questionnaire that could better assess the value of an undergraduate education. The RR goal is ~20% (n=3,000) as well. There is a reminder calling and manual locating effort.

R's were invited by letter and email. Each participant will receive a \$30 check as a token of appreciation. SRO is responsible for processing checks. Four open-ended occupation/industry questions are included in the survey. This includes, type of work performed, job duties, job title, and specific business/industry. Responses to the occupation questions will be coded by PDMG and SSL staff. The instrument was programmed using Illume, and the sample management system is Blaise SMS. Each day, all sample is delivered from Illume to Blaise via batch process. All non-final sample will be delivered to iwers for reminder calling or locating. Sample with a finalized result code will not be delivered to iwers.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 10/2020 - 10/2021 01/2021 - 06/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/15/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 11/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/15/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/18/2021 **SS Train End:** 02/18/2021

DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Peter Batra - Programmer and technical lead, former MCBII Pilot Lead, Laura Yoder - Data Ops, Dave Dybicki -

Team Members: Systems Programmer, Grace Tison, 2nd Budget Analyst

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS
Data Col Tool Illume

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Check, post (30)

Payment Method NA

Report Period Nov, 2021 (CBII) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

Monthly Update

Data collection officially ended on 7/25/2021 with 2,804 completed interviews. While the goal was to complete 3,000, the PI's were happy with this number completed. Part of the reason for this is that lwer effort was put toward reminder calling and locating targeted groups that were harder to reach. Sample balance was more important than yield, to the PI's. 607 surveys were completed after having been contacted by reminder callers. Another contributor to lower yield is the emphasis that has been placed on subgroups throughout reminder calling and manual locating. Occupation/industry coding is completed. 2,751 cases contained occ/industry items to code. Weighting has been completed and a final weighted data file has been delivered. Pieces of the final report have been provided to the Co-PI at her request for ICPSR documentation. The report is being finalized this month. The team is aiming for a final project review in January, 2022.

Special Issues

None currently

On Track

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 640,290.76

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 640,994.37

Total Budget:643,762.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):2,767.63

Reason For Variance: Projected cost to close is in good shape.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:3,114.93Actual Dollars Used:3,440.38Variance (Projected minus Actual):-325.45

Reason For Variance: Monthly projections were very close to actuals.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	3000	20%		
Current actual:	2,804	18.7		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(CDS-21) PSID Child Development Supplement 2021 **Project Name**

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 1,466,821.00 InDirect Budget: 821,419.00 Total Budget: 2,288,240.00

Narayan Sastry **Principal** Paula Fomby Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM00166316 ним#: IRB Period Of Approval:

Sarah Crane **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Megan Gomez-Mesquita Budget Analyst: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg Production Manager:

Stephanie A Chardoul Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila Kasyera Kowalczyk Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: A 2021 wave of the Childhood Development Supplement (CDS) is planned for November 2021 – June 2022. CDS

> 2021 sample is comprised of family units (FUs) with CDS eligible children that completed CDS19 and PSID21. Approximately 2,400 families will be included, with some Core families containing several CDS children. As part of the CDS, families are asked to complete multiple interviews (i.e., coverscreen, PCG interview, Child interview--including an IVR component). Interviews will be completed in English and Spanish. We anticipate multiple releases of sample, based on PSID21 completion. CDS21 sample also includes ~1000 families that are

included in TAS21 data collection.

SRO Project Period

Milestone Dates

05/2021 - 11/2022 11/2021 - 06/2022 **Data Col Period**

NA **Security Plan**

> PreProduction Start: 06/01/2021 Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 07/12/2021 Pretest End:

Staffing Completed: 09/08/2021 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 10/28/2021 SS Train End: 11/04/2021 DC End: 06/18/2022 DC Start: 11/08/2021

Other Project Rachel Orlowski **Project Consultant**

Team Members: Peggy Lavanger Production Management QC Support

> Kallan Larsen **Training Coordinator** Kasyera Kowalczyk Project Management Support

Other Project

Administration

Report Period

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

NA

DE Software NA DRI-CARI QC Recording Tool Incentive Yes. R

Payment Type Other (electronic payment) **Payment Method** Check through STrak RPay System

Nov, 2021 (CDS-21)

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update We launched data collection on schedule, 11/8/21, with 20 lwers, 9 of whom remain at a limited commitment due to

Project Phase

their ongoing work on Core.

Coverscreen (CS) Rs were invited via the SRO Self-scheduler, using text and email invitations. Initial production weeks have been strong, with CS completes spawning additional lines for Primary Caregiver (PCG), and then

Implementing

Adolescent interviews. Finally, field interviewers are implementing follow-up efforts on Interactive Voice Response (IVR) sensitive questions this wave, which was handled separately in CDS19 within the SSL.

Special Issues

The CDS21 PI team has requested that SRO plan for in-person non-response follow-up (NRFU) in early 2022, for CS lines. They have also offered the possibility that, if requested by the R, interviewers might be prepared to complete the CS in-person, either on the doorstep or within the R's home. The distinction between these two FTF efforts (NRFU or data collection) is important due to staffing and technical system preparedness, but the PI team has not definitively confirmed yet whether we should expect the latter.

Cost Dec 07, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 476,701.77 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 2,241,922.11 Total Budget: 2,288,240.00 46,317.88 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): tbd

Reason For Variance:

Projections Dec 07, 2021

124,464.35 Dollars Projected For Month: 98,561.80 Actual Dollars Used: 25,902.55 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

This underrun was mostly due to lower TSG and Survey Tech hours. Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI see below Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Other Measures

Component goal units completed

Coverscreen PCG Blaise interview 1966 Child Blaise interview 1307

IVR 1176

Component RR

90% Coverscreen PCG Blaise interview 90%

Child Blaise interview 85% **IVR**

Project Name (DAWN CS) Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 332,148.00 InDirect Budget: 169,097.00 Total Budget: 501,245.00

Principal Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, ISR)
Investigator/Client Toni Antonucci (Life Course Development Program, ISR)
Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00154638 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Juan Carlos DonosoBudget Analyst:Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Taghreid Lovell
Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Conduct 600 phone interviews: 200 white, 200 black, 200 MENA respondents.

200 white Rs and 50 black Rs will come from wave 3 of the Social Relations Study sample.

200 MENA Rs and 150 black Rs will come from newly screened sample.

30 minute instrument, including a section on social relations, short cognitive assessments, experiences during

COVID pandemic and socio-demographic questions.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 10/2020 - 05/2021 12/2020 - 04/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2020 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

 Staffing Completed:
 11/10/2020
 GIT Start:
 11/30/2020

 SS Train Start:
 12/02/2020
 SS Train End:
 12/04/2020

 DC Start:
 12/07/2020
 DC End:
 04/15/2021

Other Project Ian Ogden, Kasyera Kowalczyk, Lisa Van Havermaet, Ashwin Dae, Jeffrey Smith, David Dybicki, John Gawlas, Brad

Team Members: Goodwin
Other Project D-AMP CS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2021 (DAWN CS) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update - Production was stopped on 7/19

- Final production stats: 207 black completes, 202 MENA completes and 140 white completes

- We were not able to reach the goals for white respondents due to the low performance of the SRS sample.
- The PI team has requested that we go back to complete interviews with 60 white Rs. This will be a supplemental data collection with a different budget, which will be funded with internal funds from the PIs.
- Supplemental data collection done. 62 interviews completed
- Contact information data delivered on 12/2/21. File path: L:\projects\A-STARRS LS Wave

3\08_Data_Collection\SIDs Special Treatment

- Questions about inconsistencies in language data addressedon 12/1/21.

Special Issues

We released 334 SRS sample lines and ended up completing 126 interviews with white Rs, 34 interviews with black Rs and 3 with Rs who identified as MENA. We also had 37 refusals either by the R or an informant.

26 lines were coded out as deceased after the PIs did two rounds of Accurint and there were 108 lines that we were never able to locate (mostly numbers that were inactive or did not exist and numbers that never picked up).

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 495,197.19 Dec 08, 2021 495,197.19

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: 501,245.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 6,047.81

Reason For Variance: Supplemental data collection for white respondents is being paid with PI

funds (no indirect costs). Data collection was completed on 10/13. We are currently projecting an underrun of 9,413 direct. Once the October ET is submitted by regular staff, we will have a final cost report to present to the

clients.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

920.92 Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: 1,399.29 -478.37 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

N/A Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

(ECHO) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 3 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

153,403.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 273,933.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 427,336.00

Nigel Paneth (Michigan State University) **Principal** Michael Elliott (University of Michigan) Investigator/Client

Jean Kerver (Michigan State University)

Funding Agency

NIH

HUM00139050 10/2/2021-8/13/2022 IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Terri Ann Ridenour **Project Team** Project Lead: Parina Kamdar Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Evanthia Leissou Senior Project Advisor: Ian Ogden **Production Manager:** Sharon K Parker Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The goal of ECHO is to understand pressing childhood health concerns such as autism spectrum disorders, low birth weight and childhood obesity. The project will collect survey data and a series of bio-specimens in order to assess the effects of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals, maternal nutritional or weight status in pregnancy, and pregnancy infection and inflammation on the health of children.

The study includes two sample cohorts: a cohort from previous, ongoing data collection efforts as well as new sample. This existing sample cohort includes mothers and children recruited in 2011 or later for the Archive for Research in Child Health (ARCH) study in the Lansing area. The newly recruited sample cohort, MARCH (Michigan Archive for Research in Child Health), will consist of 1,100 pregnant women: 1) a statewide probability sample of 1,000 women from 20 prenatal clinics affiliated with 10 hospitals located in Ann Arbor, Dearborn, Detroit, Grand Rapids, Novi, Saginaw, Port Huron and Traverse City, and 2) 100 women from one clinic in Flint.

SRO's work scope is divided into two phases. During Phase 1, SRO provided assistance with prenatal questionnaire development and designed technical systems for MARCH cohort recruitment conducted by Michigan State University-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers and affiliated project staff. During Phase 2, SRO developed systems and is conducting data collection for MARCH sample 3-month. The MARCH age 4-5 follow up interviews and home visits are also in SRO's work scope. All other follow up protocols with the MARCH sample will be administered via REDCap by the MSU team (e.g. at 9-month, age 2 and age 3).

For the MARCH sample, expectant mothers are recruited during their initial prenatal visit to a healthcare provider. During a follow up phone call, respondents are asked to complete an interview about nutrition, levels of physical activity, use of healthcare services, physical and mental health, prescription medications and other substance use. In addition, women are asked to give blood samples in the first and second trimesters and urine samples in all three trimesters.

The sample recruitment and administration of prenatal interviews is conducted by MSU-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers using SRO's technical systems and laptops. SRO programmed all questionnaires administered during recruitment, the sample management system(s), and the system to keep track of the collection and storage of blood and urine samples. When babies are born, the research team obtains hospital birth records for the mother and child and a placenta sample. The first MARCH babies were born in early 2018

SRO's data collection activities start after the MARCH babies are born. The first interview with the mother is done when the baby is 3-6 months old. Mothers are interviewed again by MSU when the children are 9-12 months old, and yearly after that until the child is 4 years old. When the children are 3 months old, mothers are asked to provide a child fecal sample and toenail clippings, and at age 4 they will provide shed teeth. Children from the MARCH cohort will be assessed using standardized developmental assessments at 4-5 years old. The assessments will be done during in-home visits.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 03/2023

Security Plan NA Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Ian Ogden: Project Manager

Team Members:

Kasyera Kowalczyk: Project Manager Parina Kamdar: Financial Analyst

Gregg Peterson: Senior Technical Advisor

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Mark Simonson: Data Manager (Phase 1) Brad Goodwin: Data Manager (Phase 2) Jennie Williams: Data Manager (Phase 3) Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Systems Programmer

Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer Hueichun Peng: CAI Programmer-Illume Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Shaowei Sun: Biospecimen Logging Application Programmer

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

SurveyTrak; Illume; Project specific system (REDCap) Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Illume

Hardware Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil Illume; Other (Biospecimen Logging Application) **DE Software**

QC Recording Tool

Yes, R Incentive **SRO Group** Administration

Check, post (\$20 (3-Month IW), \$20 (Biospecimen)); Cash, prepaid Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Camtasia

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (ECHO)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

[Phase 1: Recruitment and Prenatal Surveys]

Recruitment continues in 7 prenatal clinics. We delivered a 4-day remote training to the new Interviewer (Recruiter) in early December.

- --Ann Arbor (St. Joe)
- --Novi (St. John)
- --Dearborn (Beaumont)
- --Detroit (DMC)
- --Saginaw (Covenant)
- -- Grand Rapids (Spectrum-Butterworth)
- --Port Huron (McLaren)

Production Stats

To date, 1,248 women have been recruited in clinics. Among those cases, 891 were still eligible and active study participants during Phase 1 (prenatal period up until child birth). The MARCH recruitment target is 1,100 live births. The main priority between now and next August is to complete recruitment of this representative sample and Mike Elliott can begin weighting.

Prenatal Survey 1: 780 Prenatal Survey 2: 571

[Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection Summary]

Babies born: 749

3-month sample released: 7443-month interviews completed: 5303-month biospecimen collected: 319

Average attempts / lw: 7.8

Iw length: 55

Response Rate: 72%

Reason For Variance:

[Phase 3: Age 4 Protocols]

On 12/13 we met with the project PIs to discuss Age 4 priorities and expectations for the MSU Coordinating Center and our SRO team. The highest priorities for the in-home data collection are anthropometrics, saliva specimen (DNA) and NIH toolbox.

Pre-production work continues on the Age 4 protocols. The Phase 3 team continues work on system requirements and programming. Development of a simple sample management system (i.e. SurveyTrak, WebLog) is in progress and will support the administration of and logging outcomes for age 4 protocols, including REDCap surveys.

Initial training and certification is underway for project team member(s) whose role requires access to the REDCap system for data collection. In early January, SRO data collection staff (Kasyera) is expected to begin contacting participants eligible for the Age 4 protocols, as they age into the sample. About 81 mothers and children are expected between January 2022-August 2023. However, only 12 cases are planned for the first 6 months of data collection. The majority of Age 4 sample is expected to begin next summer 2022. The Age 4 protocols include the administration of REDCap surveys and in-home visits, including administration of assessments, obtaining physical measures, and collecting bio-specimens.

Special Issues

An additional project management resource (SSA) is needed as soon as possible to support all ECHO protocols, including overseeing prenatal consent QC, sending 3M biospecimen kits, processing all ToAs, etc.

We need the special attention of our Illume programmer and the Phase 1 data manager to resolve the high-level request from the PI -- i.e. adding date stamp data to PN1 and PN2 survey data and update future deliverables. The client needs this by mid-January, if not sooner.

Cost Dec 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):69,920.05Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):427,321.35Total Budget:427,336.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):14.65

We reviewed the overall Year 6 budget and Parina updated staff projections. We believe it includes sufficient time for SRO to learn and implement Age 4 protocols (REDCap, in-home assessments, anthropometrics and biospecimen collection) -- and to support SRO's overall scope of work for Year 6 (Phase 1: Recruitment; Phase 2: 3-month Interview; and Phase 3: Age 4 protocols). However, we'll continue to monitor as we dig into the Y6 scope.

The understanding from our MSU client is that if we (SRC/SRO) need additional funds for our Year 6 budget, we may apply for these Year 5 Carry-forward funds when they become available to MSU (via NIH sponsor) sometime ~November 2021.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:36,545.39Actual Dollars Used:27,301.40Variance (Projected minus Actual):9,243.99

Reason For Variance: Programmer hours, interviewer hours and respondent ToAs were less

than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:	See Monthly Updates			

Project Name (EDC Midline) Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation Midline Surveys

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,513,016.00 InDirect Budget: 611,135.00 Total Budget: 2,124,151.00

Principal Elizabeth Rhodes (OpenResearch Lab)

Investigator/Client Stephanie Chardoul (Survey Research Operations)

Sarah Miller (Universityof Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00145626/CR Period Of Approval: 1/25/2021-1/18/2022

Project Team Project Lead: Barbara Lohr Ward

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Production Manager: Melissa Luker

Proposal #: no data

Description: The overall project is funded by a consortium (currently consisting of both OpenResearch Lab (Open) and NIH.

OpenResearch Lab (Open) (formerly Y Combinator Research) and the principal investigators are conducting an evaluation of Every Dollar Counts, a cash assistance gift program being administered by two non-profit organizations: CitySquare, based in Dallas, Texas, and Heartland Alliance, located in Chicago, Illinois (the Community Partners).

The purpose of this study is to explore how the program affects multiple dimensions of recipients' lives. Key outcomes of interest include health, subjective and material well-being, time use, financial health, labor market participation, social and civic engagement, and effects on children. SRO concluded the Baseline interviews in 2020. The EDC Midline will reach out to all Baseline respondents by either telephone or face-to-face over a five-month period in 2022 to request their participation in the Midline interview.

This budget assumes an SRO involvement period of 14 months commencing September 2021, with the data collection taking place during a 5-month period, starting March 2022.

SRO assumes approximately 89% of the 3,000 Baseline respondents are located and willing to be interviewed again. SRO cannot guarantee a response rate; however, this is our best estimate of the outcome based on effort. SRO will monitor effort, track project progress, and continually evaluate how the project progress aligns with our budget assumptions. Informed by our experience with panel studies, we feel that an 89% overall response rate is a reasonable expectation given the current design, the resources allocated in the current budget, and the design of the EDC Program (which has allowed for multiple, between-wave contacts and updated contact information for most of the sample).

The SRO budget includes effort to complete face-to-face interviews with approximately 120 (out of 150) "hard to reach" cases identified by Open. The budget assumes approximately 120 of those cases are interviewed in person and are given a \$100 a case token of appreciation for participation in the interview (to be conservative we have included funds for 150 tokens of appreciation). Approximately 1,950 interviewer hours are allocated for these activities (based on an estimated HPI of 10.0 for the interviews and 5.0 for the initial contact attempt/locating). Mileage costs for fieldwork are budgeted at 270 trips that average 52 miles per trip.

All remaining cases will be interviewed by telephone (approximately 2,550 interviews). Telephone interviews will be administered from the SSL and by decentralized field staff. With each respondent, SRO will:

- Confirm continued consent to participate (no actual consent forms will be reviewed with respondents, and no signatures are required at the midline measurement)
- Request contact information for friends and family to help locate the respondent in the future if we cannot reach them
- Administer the questionnaire (approximately 80 minutes in length)

Approximately 14,635 interviewer hours are allocated for these activities (based on estimated HPIs of 5.5 and 6.0 for telephone interviews completed by the SSL and by decentralized field interviewers, respectively).

Post Collection Processing:

• SRO will conduct standard data cleaning and produce a preliminary and final dataset with documentation.

Standard data cleaning does not include customization (such as derived variable or index creation, dataset merging, sample weighting, recoding, or coding of other-specify responses). We will ensure that all components of a case are present with a reference variable (SID, OID) present to allow for merging and data analysis. Our main documentation is conveyed through data dictionaries and questionnaire codebook.

· We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended responses.

Deliverables:

- SRO will provide daily, automated delivery of questionnaire data and sample management system data for cases with a final disposition and sample management system data for all cases that have been released to interviewers
- · Sample management data that will be delivered daily to Open will include the following case-level variables:
- o Contact attempts □□number by type (SMS, phone, email, in-person)
- □ □date/time of last attempt
- o Appointments $\Box \Box$ date/time of scheduled appointments
- □ □dummy variables for the occurrence of broken / missed appointments
- □ □ how appointment was made (self-scheduler/by interviewer)
- o Current incentive assigned to the sample line
- o SRO will work with Open during pre-production to finalize variables and format.
- We will work with Open between completion of active data collection and end of the funding period for Midline to reconcile any outstanding discrepancies in the data.
- · SRO will also deliver: o Daily data collection progress reports
- o A final summary of field methods at the end of data collection
- o A full survey dataset with all participant contact information at the close of data collection.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2021 - 10/2022 03/2022 - 07/2022

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:DC Start:DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Kirsten Alscer (SPA), Barbara Ward (Project Lead) , Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Project Manager) Marsha Skoman

(Tech Lead), Jeff Smith (Tech Lead backup), Peter Sparks (Blaise Programmer), Ashwin Dey (Webtrak

Programmer), Stephanie Windisch (Data Manager), Barb Homburg (Production manager, Field), William Keating

(Production manager, SSL), Megan Gomez-Mesquita (Financial Analyst)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R

Administration SRO Group; Other (PI Payment)
Payment Type Cash, post (\$100.00); Other (\$50)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Other (Electronic payment by PIs)

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (EDC Midline)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

During November 2021, SRC activities on the EDC Midline project included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

- · Participated in biweekly project meetings with ORL to discuss scope, cost projections and schedule
- Reviewed monthly project expenses. Updated labor and non-labor projections
- · Updated the preproduction schedule
- Blaise programming, specifications, testing
- o Reviewed Blaise specifications for Midline. Edited questionnaire specifications to fully accommodate telephone interviewing without a respondent booklet. Delivered updates to the research team.

- o Estimated cost of programing versus budget. Delivered cost estimate to the research team
- · Reviewed draft data deliverable documentation and preload specifications
- Reviewed/updated sample management system specifications. Met with ORL to discuss the plan for respondent payments
- Continued work with the programmer to outline the specifications for and functioning of the self-scheduler

Task 2: Sampling

No activity this month

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

· No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

- · Made revisions to modules B, C
- · Began programming other questionnaire modules and posted for testing

Task 5: Systems Programming

- Made edits to sample management system specification
- Began programming the Midline sample management system
- Began programming the self-scheduler
- Continued to elaborate the structure for daily call records and scheduler records deliverables. Prepared and delivered a draft of daily call records using Wave 1 data.

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

No activity this month

Task 8: Main Data Collection

No activity this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

No activity this month

Areas Requiring Attention:

- Schedule The development and testing schedule is very tight. SRO will keep ORL updated on progress
- Funding The funding allocated from NIH funding to SRO was less than the budgeted amount. ORL has verbally indicated that it will make up the difference in direct costs up to the budgeted (and approved amount), a deficit in indirect costs shows on the financial statement because of the difference in indirect costs between ORL and NIH. The indirect cost difference can be ignored, and will be reconciled at the end of the study. The project should be managed to the direct cost total.
- o SRO will need a written commitment from ORL to supplement direct cost funding up to the budgeted amount plus any work scope changes.
- Interviewer training Study specific interviewer training was budgeted for five days. The study will not require five full days of in-person training. Projections for training have been initially reduced by the estimated equivalent of one day. The training plan is being developed and may result in further adjustments.

Approved Work Scope Changes

- October 28, 2021 \$15,663 in estimated direct costs (approximately 180 programmer hours) was approved for programming related to customization of the Self Scheduler
- November 16, 2021 \$5,640 in estimated direct costs (58 programmer hours plus 20 tester hours) was approved for programming over and above the budgeted level of changes

Special Issues

None

Cost Dec 14, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):60,305.41Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):2,160,192.60Total Budget:2,124,151.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-36,041.60

Reason For Variance:

The budget projected a five day training, however EDC will likely only need a 3 to 3.5 day training. We have projected a lower training cost. This will be partially offset by programming cost increases which were approved by the research team. Indirect costs are projecting a \$22,923 overrun because less federal funds than budgeted were provided by the Ross Business School. The ORL group (with a lower indirect cost rate) will provide the remainder of the funding for the work scope.

Projections Dec 14, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:45,398.85Actual Dollars Used:33,480.73Variance (Projected minus Actual):11,918.12

Reason For Variance:

The technical team was projected for a number of hours in November, however many TSG team members did not charge hours, or charged far fewer hours than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(H&WB) Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: 2,287,802.00 **Budget** 1,466,538.00 InDirect Budget: 821,264.00 Total Budget:

Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, SRC) **Principal** Toni Antonucchi (Life Course Development Program, SRC) Investigator/Client

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM00146040 4/9/2020 IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Barbara Lohr Ward **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

> Veronica Connors-Burge Production Manager: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Senior Project Advisor: Taghreid Lovell **Production Manager:** Ian Ogden Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: Conduct 600 interviews with recently identified Arab Americans aged 65 or older residing in Southeast Michigan

and 330 interviews with Social Relations sample members aged 65 or older. The Arab American sample will be selected based on an in-person household screening. The interview will consist of a 60 minute core interview (content from the Social Relations interview), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a series of physical

measurements. Social Relations respondents will only complete the cognitive interview. An informant interview will also be conducted for all sample members. Interviews will be conducted in English or Arabic. A pretest will be

conducted in August 2019 with main data collection occurring from November 2019 through July 2020.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2019 - 03/2023 05/2022 - 12/2022

No

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2019 Pretest Start: 11/12/2019 Pretest End: 12/13/2019 Recruitment Start: 08/15/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2021 GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 04/01/2021 DC End: 11/30/2021

Other Project Taghreid Lovell, Veronica Connors-Burge, Lisa Van Havermaet, Steven Sonoras, Pam Swanson, Dave Dybicki,

Team Members: Ashwin Dey, Brad Goodwin, John Gawlas, Paul Burton

Alzheimer's Disease Risk and Ethnic Factors: The Case of Arab Americans **Other Project**

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil Hardware

DE Software Other (Weblog) QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI: Camtasia Yes, R; Yes, INF Incentive **SRO Group** Administration

Cash, prepaid (\$60, \$15) Payment Type

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Nov, 2021 (H&WB) Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

Attention! Risk Level

Monthly Update During November 2021, SRC activities on the DAWN project included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

- Reviewed monthly expenses. Updated labor and non-labor projections
- Finalized staffing for the projects
- Began inventory of study supplies remaining from the 2020 planned launch of D-Amp
- Began review of D-Amp technical systems documentation in preparation for re-starting the study technical

systems. Reviewed outstanding Blaise and SurveyTrak programming issues.

- Training planning
- Continued review of training agenda, considering plans for merging projects and conducting a single training for both D-Amp and SRS.
- Conducted site visit at UM Dearborn

Task 2: Sampling

• Finalized work to develop a plan for merging the D-Amp and SRS sample, which would allow D-Amp screened lines to be used for SRS.

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

· No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

Discussed project staffing, programming needs

Task 5: Systems Programming

Discussed project staffing, programming needs

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- · Conducted outreach to finalize site visit for UM Dearborn
- Reguested quotation for training costs at UM Dearborn
- Worked on creating documentation for training on cognitive assessments. Revised pilot training slides.

Task 8: Main Data Collection

No activity this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

No activity this month

Special Issues

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics):

- Schedule the studies are running behind schedule for a Spring 2022 launch, due to pending decisions on sample design and scope.
- · Training budgets and projections
- o The April 2020 D-Amp training plan was longer than stated six days of study specific training plus $\frac{1}{2}$ day of Arabic training, however the projections did not reflect the actual number of training hours required. In addition, the D-Amp training hours projections covered only 19 interviewers, and not the 25 interviewers planned.
- o The SRS budget was prepared prior to understanding the full D-Amp work scope, and training is under-budgeted compared to the current understanding of the project work scope. SRS was budgeted for five days of study specific training, which includes the ½ day of Arabic language questionnaire review.
- o The SRS project budget anticipated the use of 17 on-staff interviewers and 30 new hires. Due to timing (delays due to the COVID-19 pandemic), the project will not have the use of on-staff interviewers. This will increase training costs since more new hires will need to go through the two-day GIT.
- o We have not been able to confirm use of UM Dearborn for training in late spring of 2022. We have also not been able to confirm a waiver for room rental. Spring/early summer is peak time for conference facilities in the area due to weddings and graduations. If UM Dearborn is not available, the project may have limited availability and experience higher costs for use of conference facilities.
- The SRS budget does not include a budget for informant interviews or proxy interviews. This is an area of scope that needs to be reconciled with the current D-Amp survey work scope.
- The SRS project management budget is extremely lean and may not be adequate for a project of this size and complexity. The D-Amp projections for project management are insufficient for launch, interviewer training and management/oversight of data collection.
- Increases in interviewer pay rates and some recharge rates have led to projections of deficits on both SRS 2022 and D-Amp. The impact on D-Amp is substantial.
- Saliva samples expiration Saliva samples purchased for the 2020 launch of D-Amp will expire before the planned 2022 launch. These samples cannot be used per the ISR Biolab and will need to be replaced.

Cost

1,007,022.06 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021 2,504,921.39 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

2,287,802.00 Total Budget: -217,119.39 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: Increases in interviewer pay rates and some recharge rates have led

to projections of deficits on both SRS 2022 and D-Amp. The impact on

D-Amp is substantial.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

5,691.31 Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: 5,638.08 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 53.23

Monthly telephone charges were not projected. Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(HCAP 2020) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020 **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 3,300,000.00 InDirect Budget: 1,188,000.00 Total Budget: 4,488,000.00

Kenneth Langa (SRC) **Principal** David Weir (SRC) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM00099822 ним#: IRB Period Of Approval:

Maureen Joan O'Brien **Project Team** Project Lead: Richard Warren Krause Budget Analyst:

Dianne G Casey Production Manager: Evanthia Leissou Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: Building off the 2016 Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (SRO #15-0011R01) experience, this project

> will involve the completion of a face-to-face CAPI interview, designed to provide a dementia assessment of HRS respondents. A sample of 4649 respondents (one per household) who are 65 years of age or older will be selected for this effort. The questionnaire will be administered to respondents after their HRS 2020 interview has been completed. The sample will not be clustered geographically. We propose to staff a team of approximately 32 interviewers. It is expected that this team will carry out well-planned regional trips in order to complete the 3200 in-person interviews. The respondent questionnaire length is expected to be 60 minutes. An informant interview will also be completed for each of the respondents interviewed. The informant questionnaire is expected to be 25

minutes and can be administered by telephone.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2020 - 12/2021 07/2020 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: PDMG: Tony Romanowski, Lisa VanHavermaet, Kasyera Kowalczyk. TSG: Jeff Smith, Brad Goodwin, Peter Sparks,

Ashwin Dey, Deb Wilson

Other Project

Names:

SurveyTrak Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA NA QC Recording Tool

Yes, R; Yes, INF Incentive

Administration

Check, prepaid (50); Check, post (25) Payment Type **Payment Method** Check through STrak RPay System

Nov, 2021 (HCAP 2020) Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update There was no activity on HCAP2020 in November. Effort was put into HCAP Ireland work. Regarding production start date, we are still targeting Summer 2022 training and production, to follow HRS 2022. This will follow the

original design of 2020 in which HCAP R's would first complete their HRS interview then become HCAP sample.

Planning

The plan is to continue with a FTF interview.

The HCAP team is considering 2022 sample based on HRS, as well as begging to consider interviewer staffing.

Special Issues

Production training and production launch have been postponed to Summer, 2022 due global pandemic.

Cost

 Dec 08, 2021
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 184,079.80

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 4,331,553.95

 Total Budget:
 4,488,000.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 156,446.05

Reason For Variance: The budget was healthy and was fully funded. We are adding efforts in to

benefit the project - see below for detail.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:741.29Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):741.29

Reason For Variance: Time was charged to the HCAP R24 acct for work with Ireland.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

12,043,058.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 9,806,418.00 InDirect Budget: 2,236,640.00 Total Budget:

Sandra Newman (Johns Hopkins University) **Principal**

Tama Leventhal (Tufts University) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

NICHD, HUD, RWJ Foundation, MacArthur Foundation

IRB HUM#: **Project Team** Project Lead:

HUM00114794 Period Of Approval: Barbara Lohr Ward

Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar Production Manager:

Veronica Connors-Burge

Grant D Benson Senior Project Advisor: Becky Kay Scherr **Production Manager:**

Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with ~ 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 09/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2018 Pretest Start:

> Recruitment Start: 09/01/2019 Pretest End:

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 08/18/2020 SS Train End: 08/21/2020 DC Start: 08/24/2020 DC End: 02/06/2021

Other Project **Team Members:** Jeff Smith - Tech Lead, Paul Burton - DMSS, Gary Hein, PDMG, Ian Ogden, PDMG, Deb Wilson, Help Desk, Ashwin Dey, Webtrak/Weblog, Marsha Skoman, ST, Jim Hagerman Blaise

Other Project

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool

Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware **DE Software** Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type **Payment Method**

Cash, prepaid (\$5 prenotification Wave 2); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child (Wave 1)); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office;

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

During November 2021, SRC activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Reviewed/monitored project expenses and projections. Prepared invoices.
- Monitored subcontracts, project invoicing and payments.
- Revised and delivered panel maintenance proposal specifications.
- Received invoice for project materials stored in long-term storage. Reviewed inventory of boxes in storage.

Prepared list of boxes for recycling, shredding or continued storage.

Received credit for timesheet correction (hours charged for preparing NICHD data deliverable)

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

221% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

30% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics):

Wave 2

Assuming that SRO has the use of all awarded NICHD funds, the project is projecting an underrun estimated at
approximately \$65,500 in direct costs. The underrun results from staffing changes at SRO brought about by the
pandemic, the revised verification effort, as well as interviewer efficiency during production interviewing. Outstanding
charges yet to be invoiced include closing out the study supplies in storage at UM. SRO will continue to update the
research team on financial status.

Wave 2 Work Scope Changes:

- Notification of new specifications for State Data Consent forms received on February 9th, 2020, requiring revising and reprinting forms, developmental costs, and revised IRB submissions.
- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that final clean copies of all instruments be delivered by early August 2019 to allow for review and programming specification delivery using assigned resources.
- A household screener/exit interview has been developed and will be programmed to facilitate locating children
 who do not live with the Wave 1 PCG. The instrument will collect contact information for new PCGs (approved by
 PIs).
- The start of Wave 2 was delayed until April/May 2020 at the request of the research team. This will impact the cost of pre-production, respondent locating, and likely also impact the cost of interviewer hiring and training.
- The sample size is being increased to include the full Wave 1 sample, including households where no children were interviewed at Wave 1.
- The start of Wave 2 is being further delayed by the coronavirus pandemic. This will negatively impact project costs unless there are other offsets. Moving to an exclusively telephone data collection mode may reduce costs, but will also result in fewer completed interviews, both at the household level (due to lower response rate) and individual level (no child assessments). In addition, many of the measures cannot be collected over the phone, including laser tape measurement, physical measures, dried blood spots, interviewer household observations, neighborhood observations, or administration of the Social Security Administration or records-matching forms.
- Social Security forms will be collected via a mail survey (approved by the research team). The data collection will be conducted following a protocol for a traditional mail survey, with a cohort beginning each month.
- A holiday card mailing with a study magnet will be shipped to respondents in December 2020.
- Remediation will be attempted for incomplete Social Security record linkage forms. This will require additional labor and postage to mail forms back to the respondent, as well as an IRB submission for the checklist and correction guide documents to be included in the mailing.
- Telephone data collection will be extended through February 6, 2021.
- · Reminder/assistance calling will be conducted for all households receiving a request for SSN-form completion.

Special Issues

Cost Dec 08, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 11,961,163.84

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 11,961,163.84

 Total Budget:
 12,043,058.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 81,894.16

Reason For Variance: The project is projecting an underrun estimated at approximately \$65,500 in direct costs. The underrun results from Wave 2 staffing changes at SRO

brought about by the pandemic, the revised verification effort, as well as

interviewer efficiency during production interviewing.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:442.00Actual Dollars Used:-1,062.46Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,504.46

Reason For Variance: A credit for a timesheet correction was expected in October, but not

allocated to the project until November.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name (HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey) HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study / COVID Survey

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 980,826.66 InDirect Budget: 353,097.34 Total Budget: 1,333,924.00

Principal Dr. David Weir (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS))
Investigator/Client Dr. Helen Levy (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS))

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

IRB

HUM#: HUM00196577 Period Of Approval: 4/26/2021-4/25/2022

Project Team Project Lead: lan Ogden

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager: Kelley Lynn Popielarz
Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou
Production Manager: lan Ogden

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description:

[Updated 09/2021]

This project refers to two distinct releases which comprise the 2021 "Off-Year Mail Study" - (1) A Spring effort (n=~14,000, data collection running from June-September, 2021) and (2) a Fall effort (n=~6000, data collection running from October, 2021-March, 2022). Following the model of past off-year mail studies, most HRS panel respondents will be sent a paper booklet, as well as a prepaid incentive by check, and will be asked to complete the paper survey and mail back to Ann Arbor via prepaid return materials. Logging of paper booklets will take place in Ann Arbor, where booklets will be packaged and shipped to an external vendor, DataForce, for scanning and data-export. This study will follow a Dillman-like reminder sequence - initial mailing, followed by up to three reminder mailings (booklet #2, postcard, booklet #3).

An external vendor, DataForce, will handle (1) formatting, printing and mailing of the booklet (in English and Spanish); (2) sending reminder mailings to each batch of sample; and (3) scanning completed booklets and delivering data to SRO for processing and delivery.

The sample size for this project is significantly larger than other "off-year" mail studies such as LHMS. Relatedly, and also unlike past instances of HRS off-year mail studies, this project's sample is not distinct from that of HRS CAMS; all HRS CAMS participants were asked to complete the COVID Survey as part of the Spring effort.

Key differences between the Spring and Fall efforts:

- (1) Distinct sample (also from among existing HRS panel)
- (2) Several revisions to the questionnaire
- (3) Changes to management team

The Spring and Fall HRS COVID Survey / OYMS efforts are under the same Project/Grant and costs are tracked jointly; however, the two efforts have distinct sets of shortcodes, so "effort"-level cost monitoring is possible where needed.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 03/2021 - 05/2022 05/2021 - 03/2022

NA

PreProduction Start:03/01/2021Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:

 Other Project Technical Leads: Ian Ogden & Jim Rodgers
Team Members: MSMS Developers: Pam Swanson & Jim Rodgers

Data Manager: Laura Yoder

Project Support 1: Jeannie Baker (Replacing Becky Scherr, as of late-June, 2021)

Project Support 2: Melissa Luker (Spring Only)
Project Support 3: Debra Heier (Locating, Spring Only)

Project Support 4 (RPay & Mailing-Support Only, Spring): Anna Fuqua-Smith Project Support 5 (RPay & Mailing-Support Only, Fall): Daniah Buageila

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin (Spring Only)
Production Manager / Scheduler: Kelley Popielarz (Fall Only)

Other Project HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study
Names: HRS 2021 OYMS (COVID) / OYMSF

Sample Mgmt Sys SRSv@9ZfaRQMISNWailer

Data Col Tool

NAS 2021 Spring COVID Survey / Fall COVID Survey

Hardware

RESECO2110 PRESPECTUSE on the Pandemic Survey

DE Software Other (MSMS DCA)

QC Recording Tool
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Check, prepaid

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID : Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

[Spring Release]

As of mid-December, returns have remained remarkably consistent at a low level we have seen 5-10 returns per week in the last month (months 6-7 of data collection). The Spring effort / data collection will be closed once two weeks elapse with zero returns.

[Fall Release - Data Collection]

The final reminder mailing ("C", USPS Priority) went out as scheduled on Tuesday, 12/14/2021. We will suspend further unsolicited remails after Tuesday, 1/12/2022 (12 weeks from the invitation mailing).

Into 2022 we are focusing on these key areas: (1) day-to-day processing, monitoring and QC; (2) moving ahead with some batch-processes in MSMS to finalize certain cases; (3) planning sample closeout; and (4) planning "operational data-out" for the Fall effort (i.e. passing NAPE and respondent status updates into the central HRS repository (UpdateDB)).

The response for the Fall effort through week 7 is roughly four percentage points behind the RR for the Spring effort at the same point in production. The reasons for this are unknown, but may include - (a) different sample composition compared with Spring (e.g. proportion of respondents who participated in HRS 2020 - as-yet unexplored); (b) public fatigue on the subject of the COVID-19 pandemic; (c) slower mail delivery which may diminish response by [x] week, but may not impact ultimate response.

[Data-Out & Disposition Coding]

The first dual-data delivery (inc. deliverables for both Spring and Fall surveys) took place on 12/10/2021 without issue.

To follow up on one item from the 10/2021 entry: We have proposed to SRC-HRS that we define "1001" in the 2021 COVID Survey project to refer to "non-blank questionnaire received" (i.e. at least one question answered) and forgo the retroactive recoding of certain cases to "1005" (Accepted Partial Questionnaire). Discussion on this subject continues.

Special Issues

- (1) [New] Coordinating with the HRS PM Team as 2022 preproduction ramps up and in anticipation of the COVID-Survey MSMS project remaining active concurrently with HRS 2022 Production.
- (2) [Existing] Potential impact of reduced USPS Service Standards on logging projections, material-consumption and respondent burden.
- (3) [Existing] (Fall Only) Coordinating with HRS 2021 CAMS (a) information exchange (as CAMS sample was included in the Spring effort); and (b) procedural coordination (two, concurrent, high-volume mail studies using two separate systems).

Cost Dec 10, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,258,200.83

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,249,001.86

 Total Budget:
 1,333,924.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 84,922.14

Reason For Variance: The EAC is currently lower than the total cost to date due to a projected

credit for unredeemed respondent payments / checks.

We are currently projecting an \$85K underrun. Primary drivers are: (1) Lower-than-expected RR from the Spring effort (which may ultimately be

the case for the Fall effort as well); (2) Fewer full-time staff (inc.

management & day-to-day operations) being available into the fall, shifting

key production tasks to contingent Survey Techs.

Projections Dec 10, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:135,753.74Actual Dollars Used:131,386.60Variance (Projected minus Actual):4,367.14

Reason For Variance: Actuals from November, 2021 were very close to the projected costs for the

month. Some variances: Postage costs came in higher than projected and

salary costs came in lower.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	15,700	80.0%	N/A	
Goal at Completion: Current actual:	11,106	56.7%		
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Other Measures

Note: As of the October, 2021 MPR entry, production figures above include both the Spring (largely concluded) and Fall (ongoing) efforts.

Units Completed & RR by Release (as of 12/14/2021):

n, IW | RR Spring | 8,330 | 60.3% Fall | 2,776 | 48.1%

(HRS 2022 Panel) Health and Retirement Study 2022 **Project Name**

Total of Modes: 3 Primary: Mixed **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 12,138,521.00 InDirect Budget: 4,369,869.00 Total Budget: 16,508,390.00

David Weir (ISR-SRC) **Principal**

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM000611128 9/8/2021 to 9/7/2022 ним#: Period Of Approval:

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead:

Richard Warren Krause Budget Analyst:

Andrea Pierce Production Manager: Nicole G Kirgis Senior Project Advisor: Deborah Zivan **Production Manager:** Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

> The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain a representative sample. A series of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records is

requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2021 - 05/2023 03/2022 - 04/2023

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/01/2021 Pretest Start: 11/01/2021 Recruitment Start: 08/01/2021 Pretest End: 11/23/2021 Staffing Completed: 01/15/2022 GIT Start: 02/21/2021 SS Train Start: 02/23/2022 **SS Train End:** 03/02/2022

> DC Start: 03/07/2022 **DC End:** 04/15/2023

Other Project

Team Members: (Project Manager), Michelle Smith (Training Coordinator), Maureen O' Brien (Training Coordinator), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Anna Fuqua-Smith (Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul Burton

(Stats/Sampling), Debra Heier (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Assistant), Melissa Luker (Project

Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Andrew Hupp Project Manager), Kallan Larsen (Project Manager), Gary Hein

Assistant), Anthony Romanowski (Project Manager)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop: [UM cell] Phone: Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); External vendor (DataForce Scanning SAQs)

QC Recording Tool

Camtasia

Yes, R; Yes, INF Incentive

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00); Check, post (\$50 (WBD), \$20 (SAQ))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Rpay system set up for MSMS); Interviewer p

Nov, 2021 (HRS 2022 Panel) Report Period **Project Phase** Planning

Some Concerns Risk Level

Monthly Update During the month of November, the team focused on technical development, training coordination, interviewer/field

> leader recruitment, respondent and training material development, pretest data collection. Panel pretest data collection from November 1-23 with debriefing call November 22th. In addition to pretest, a sub-set of pretesters completed Spanish mock interviews and a web mock test. The mock tests were done to gather instrument and

sample management system feedback for production. TL/PC training is scheduled for January 2020. Main training is scheduled for February 2020 with production beginning March 3, 2023.

141 panel interviews were completed for pretest data collection.

Special Issues

-Potential impact on staffing and recruitment of the vaccination requirement for in person interviewing

Cost Dec 08, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 817,514.14

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 16,723,070.97

 Total Budget:
 16,508,390.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -214,680.97

Reason For Variance: The projected overrun is primarily due to non-salary. CRS is now

projecting the contingent fringe benefits with the newly approved 10.4% rate to accommodate ACA costs, the \$400 signing bonus for field staff, and

Field interviewer and SurveyTech base rate increase.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:419,639.40Actual Dollars Used:185,463.32Variance (Projected minus Actual):234,176.08

Reason For Variance: Total salary was \$70K under projections due to the hours variances.

Non-Salary was under by \$102K in November due to hosting, postage, supplies, travel, and advertising. Future projections have been adjusted.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(HRS2022-NewCohort) HRS 2022 - New Cohort **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 17,851,365.00 InDirect Budget: 6,426,491.00 Total Budget: 24,277,856.00

David Weir (SRC) **Principal** Helen Levy (SRC) Investigator/Client Ken Langa (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead:

Richard Warren Krause Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Nicole G Kirgis Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Andrew L Hupp

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

> The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. In 2016, the late baby boomer cohort was added. In 2022, group 1 of the early generation x cohort will be added along with a

minority oversample.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

02/2021 - 01/2024 03/2022 - 01/2024

Security Plan

NA

PreProduction Start:

Recruitment Start: GIT Start:

Pretest Start:

SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members: Other Project**

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other ((Blaise) Case Management App (CMA))

Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil Hardware

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool

Camtasia: N/A Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

SRO Group Administration

Check, post; Cash, post Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest C

Nov, 2021 (HRS2022-NewCohort) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Sampling/Listing: The team of 24 listers continued listing and 32 of the 37 segments were listed by end of

November. The remaining will be finished in early December. Address sorting continued in November (260 segments completed), and three additional staff (1-SSL and 2-field) were trained to help make progress. We

estimate that sorting will be finished by mid-January.

IRB: Preparations are made to submit the first amendment for production in mid December.

Pretest: The pretest design is a mode experiment, aiming to identify best ways to reach household members to complete the screener. The experiment design is described on the attached document (2022_PretestDesign_NC.docx). A total of 1500 addresses will be screened to identify eligible respondents for either the EGenX cohort or the Older HRS Cohort Refresher. The goal of the pretest is to complete 100 baseline interviews.

Field screener:

Invitations were mailed on 10/29/21 to the 500 control cases (worked by interviewers only). Efforts in the field will continue through December 18.

Web screener:

Invites were mailed on 11/8/21 to 1,000 case. Of the 1,000 linitations, 30 were returned as undeliverable and were moved the field immediately. The rest went through a reminder protocol (postcard and final reminder, which included a paper questionnaire). Given the delay to release the Web sample, we had a short timeline to complete the protocol so reminders were sent just a week apart, and Thanksgiving holiday was right in the middle. We extended the field period to December 18, so interviewers can follow up on the non-response cases. Unfortunately we do not have full coverage of the sample because some interviewers had to return to other projects (PSID, CDS) on the original pretest deadline of Nov 22. About 50% of the cases that moved to the field will be final coded as non response without any interviewer effort. The Web response rate was 4% and we expected 7-10%.

39 Completes

34 Web

5 Paper

22 NER

10 Eligible

7 Pre-ID MGenX

Special Issues

Cost Dec 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,118,708.46

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 24,933,774.56

 Total Budget:
 24,277,856.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -655,918.56

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance:

The HRS 2022 New Cohort project includes the recent base pay increases to field interviewers and survey techs approved by SRC. The base pay rate to field interviewers did not impact the projections as we had already been projecting very near those rates.

In addition, they also include:

\$2/hour differential for all field interviewers and team leaders for this wave. An additional \$3/hr on top of the \$2 for those that will do screening.

We applied the new hourly rates in our cost reporting system based on the recruiting situation as it stands now and will adjust once we finish the recruitment process:

- -- New hire average rates were determined based on the updated home area rates and how many we are targeting to hire in each home area.
- --On-staffers currently assigned to the project have already received the rate increase and were informed of the differentials. We are using the average rate of current on-staffers even though this recruitment effort is ongoing.
- --Team leaders' rates were set at an average of the current team leaders assigned to the project already while recruitment of additional team leaders continues.

In addition to pay rate differentials, field staff are offered a production bonus of \$400, paid after certain production goals are met. Projections include the payments of production bonuses.

Also, the projected fringe benefit rate used for contingent employees in SRO increased in November from 7.65% to 10.4%, which added to the overall cost projections.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:612,055.28Actual Dollars Used:292,927.32Variance (Projected minus Actual):319,127.96

Reason For Variance: --Staff hours in November were lower than projections by 27%.

--We lowered Recruitment projections (removed travel for the first hiring effort and lowered advertising costs based on successful hiring numbers).

--Travel for screening and pre-test were lower than anticipated.

--The invoice from the hotel for pre-test was lower than our initial estimate

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
	Units Complete	Units Complete RR	Units Complete RR HPI

(LSA Space Survey) LSA Space Survey **Project Name**

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Sponsored Projects **Project Type Project Status** Current

7,755.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 7,755.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget:

Principal Linda Tesar (U-M, LS&A) Daniel Rife (U-M, LS&A) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

LS&A internal funds

HUM#: IRB

Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: William Keating Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Grant D Benson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

LSA is looking to field a web survey to undergraduate students, graduate students, staff, and faculty in LSA to

better understand attitudes around space usage.

Updated 11/22: Eliminated grad students, staff, and faculty from sample frame. Only undergraduate instrument

will be fielded. This was based on feedback from LSA.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

10/2021 - 01/2022 11/2021 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/18/2021 Pretest End:

Pretest Start: Recruitment Start:

Staffing Completed: SS Train Start:

GIT Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 11/29/2021

DC End: 12/17/2021

Other Project

Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA

Data Col Tool

Other (Qualtrics)

Paul Burton

Hardware **DE Software** QC Recording Tool

N/A N/A

NA

Incentive Administration **Payment Type Payment Method** Not used N/A

N/A N/A

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (LSA Space Survey)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The LSA team reviewed the questionnaires internally and decided to field only to the undergraduate population. After a few more questionnaire changes, we launched the questionnaire on 11/29 to 5,000 undergraduate LSA students. The scope change means that the instruments will not have to be reconciled into a single database.

Due to the delay, the new data collection period will be 11/29-12/17.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00
Total Budget: 7,755.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00
Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	125	2.5%		
Goal at Completion:	500	10%		
Current actual:	265	5.3%		
Estimate at Complete:	600	12%		
Variance:	100	2%		

Project Name (MARS 2) Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 187,281.00 InDirect Budget: 67,421.00 Total Budget: 254,702.00

Principal David Weir (UM SRC - HRS)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency Health and Retirement Study

IRB HUM#: NA Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Margaret Lee HudsonBudget Analyst:Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou
Production Manager: Kasyera Kowalczyk

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Social Wellbeing Research Centre (SWRC) at the University of Malaya is conducting the second wave of data

collection for the MARS study, including questionnaire revision, questionnaire translation into Mandarin and Malay, and instrument technical redesign in order to incorporate Wave 1 preload information. In this effort, SWRC will revisit n=5,613 respondents from the first wave, as well as 1,000 additional households from which they will select up to three eligible respondents. The first wave of MARS included up to three randomly-selected members from each household aged 40 and older. The average interview length is expected to be 70 minutes. Data

collection will be conducted in English, Malay, and Mandarin.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan

Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2020 - 09/2021 09/2020 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:

SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Jay Lin Technical Lead

Team Members: Gina Cheung Technical Advisor

Peter Sparks Blaise Programmer

Holly Ackerman WebTrak Programmer

John Gawlas HelpDesk Specialist

Genise Pattulo HelpDesk Supervisor

Genise Pattulo HelpDesk Supervisor Cheng Zhou Database Administrator LihShwu Ke Database Administrator

Marsha Skoman SurveyTrak Intl Programmer

Ashwin Dey SurveyTrak Offline Brad Goodwin Data Manager

Emmanuel Ellis HelpDesk Specialist (back up)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (ST International)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8
Hardware Laptop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Not used

Administration N/A
Payment Type N/A
Payment Method N/A

Nov, 2021 (MARS 2) Initiation **Report Period Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update

Production continues, which production returning to levels seen last winter, prior to the COVID lockdowns in Malaysia this spring/summer. Data management support required has been minimal this month, though some support was needed to handle an issue with missing Blaise data from some laptops. This was determined to be because not all of the data models were installed on some laptops, although the most recent version was there. The issue was corrected and Brad was able to recreate the data; no data was lost. All laptops were checked to confirm they had all data models.

The SRO and SWRC teams are planning an early 2022 meeting to discuss end game strategies, to be able to wrap up data collection in late winter, as planned (revised plan!).

Special Issues

Cost 223,078.51 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 15, 2021

237,068.73 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 254,702.00 Total Budget: 17,633.27 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): No international travel Reason For Variance:

Projections

2.933.48 **Dollars Projected For Month:** Dec 15, 2021

3,033.03 Actual Dollars Used: -99.55 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

10 hours of project manager Jay Lin's October time was charged in Reason For Variance:

November due to pay periods.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name (MI CReSS) Michigan COVID-19 Recovery Surveillance Cohort Study

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 530,543.00 InDirect Budget: 137,941.00 Total Budget: 668,484.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Terri Ann RidenourBudget Analyst:Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou
Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: MI CReSS is a partnership between the University of Michigan School of Public Health (SPH) and the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services. It is a public health surveillance study to learn about Michiganders'

experiences with COVID-19 using a representative sample of confirmed cases within the state. Using survey data, they plan to document sociodemographic inequities in COVID-19 testing, treatment, and recovery.

SRO's involvement includes the administration of a Follow-up survey for respondents that completed a Baseline survey with the SPH team. Based on the sample information provided, we will be re-contacting approximately 3,995 respondents who have already completed (or are estimated) to complete the Baseline survey to administer the Follow-up survey.

The Follow-up survey is estimated to be 45 minutes in length, and Respondents will be encouraged to complete the survey by web. However, SRO Interviewers will contact non-responders and conduct the interview over the telephone if the Respondents do not want to complete the survey on the web.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 08/2021 - 09/2023 12/2021 - 06/2023

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09/16/2021

Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 11/29/2021 SS Train End: 12/02/2021 DC Start: 01/19/2022 DC End: 04/30/2023

Other Project

Project / Production Assistant: Jason English

Team Members: Parina Kamdar: Financial Analyst

Hueichun Peng: Technical Lead / WSMS db Programmer

Peter Sparks: CAI Programmer (Blaise 5) Cheng Zhou: Web Component, ADT, Reports LihShwu Ke: DBA Architecture & Data Security

Sarah Broumand: Data Manager Gina Cheung: Technical Consultant

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Web SMS Blaise 5 Data Col Tool

Laptop; Desktop Hardware

DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA Yes, R Incentive SRO Group Administration **Payment Type** Check, post (\$25)

Payment Method NA

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (MI CReSS)

Project Phase

Planning

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

We delivered a two day TL training and two day Interviewer training the first week in December. Overall, the training went well and the feedback was positive. We continue to prepare for production start and hold weekly meetings with the client, tech team and production/project management. We received the final version 9 of the Blaise instrument spec and a brand new proxy instrument last week. As a result of this added scope, we have shifted our production start date. We are now targeting January 19.

Special Issues

Cost

Dec 15, 2021

79,172.27 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 668,611.77 Total Budget: 668,484.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -127.77

Reason For Variance:

We continue to update our projections based on project needs and

resource availability.

Projections

Dec 15, 2021

35,292.41 **Dollars Projected For Month:** 35,295.09 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

-2.68

(MTF base year 2017-2022) Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022 **Project Name**

Primary: Class SAQ Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,701,300.00 InDirect Budget: 2,615,631.00 Total Budget: 7,316,931.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Richard Miech (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

National Institute on Drug Abuse, one of the National Institutes of Health.

IRB

00131235 2/3/2021 - 2/2/2022 ним#: Period Of Approval:

Rebecca Gatward **Project Team** Project Lead: Mary Johnson Budget Analyst:

Margaret Lavanger Production Manager: Gregg Peterson Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The Monitoring the Future study is an epidemiological and etiological research project begun in 1975. The project functions as a basic research study, as well as one of the nation's major sources of reliable information on trends in smoking, drinking and drug use.

It is based on two interconnected series of surveys using nationally representative samples:

(a) self-administered annual in-school surveys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (~45,000) in 400 schools.

(b) panels of high school graduates aged 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (surveyed by mail). Panel members aged 19-30 are sent a questionnaire every other year/asked to complete a web survey and the older sample members are sent questionnaires (mail and web) at five-year interval. The MTF panel study has three parts - early in the year a newsletter is mailed to panel members. If the newsletter is returned (undelivered) locating effort targets these panel members and others who have not participated for X years. The web panel launches (web) in spring and in around June a telephone non-response effort begins for those invited to participate. The panel

members are recruited from the 12th graders who participate in the base year study.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

04/2017 - 04/2022 02/2018 - 06/2022

Yes

PreProduction Start: 04/30/2017

Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: GIT Start: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC End: 06/04/2022 DC Start:

Other Project

Team Members: Rebecca Gatward Survey Director

> Gregg Peterson Senior Project Advisor Hueichun Peng Technical Lead Minako Edgar **Data Management** Marsha Skoman SurveyTrak Programmer

Ashwin Dev WebTrak, MTF specific Apps. Programmer Peggy Lavanger **Production Manager** (Lead)

Barbara Aghababian-Homburg **Production Manager** Debra Heier Project Assistant

David Bolt and Deborah Wilson Help Desk/Tablets

Mary Johnson **Budget Analyst** Other Project

Names:

SurveyTrak; Project specific system (SurveyCTO) Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (SurveyCTO) **Data Col Tool**

Hardware Tablet **DE Software** N/A QC Recording Tool N/A

Yes, Other (Honorarium paid to school by MT project staff) Incentive

ISR Group (MTF project team) Administration

Payment Type

Check through other system **Payment Method**

Report Period	Nov, 2021 (MTF base year 2017-2022) Pr	roject Phase	Planning
---------------	--	--------------	----------

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update November updates

- Continued with pre production tasks for the Base Year 2022 (Training, technical system updates).

- Hueichun has completed work to load a new server with the latest version of illume and create/test new production environment. This server will be used for all upcoming MTF projects.

- In addition, a small team continues to work on a back-up solution for the small (if any) number of schools that do not have an internet connection. Focus of the team is on creating a SQL database for downloading the data from the portable server and load testing.

Special Issues

Cost Dec 15, 2021 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 5,882,353.16 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 6,825,974.41 7,316,931.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 490,956.59

Reason For Variance:

- Wave 1 (2017-18) & Wave 2 (2018-19) - 50 supplemental school admins (not budgeted)

- Wave 2 travel costs were higher than budgeted due to staff availability. - Wave 3 (2019-2020) - 500 additional tablets were purchased. The cost of

these and other necessary equipment was not budgeted.

- Wave 4 (2020-2021) - below budget because data collection was halted on 13 March (due to COVID pandemic all FTF research was halted). All

data collection costs were below those projected.

- Wave 5 (2021-2022) Current projections assume a 50/50 split between remote and in-person survey administrations in schools. We wil not know until January 2022 if a school prefers the survey to be conducted in-person or remote. A change in the balance between remote and in-person will have affect interviewer hours and travel costs - the over run could be larger than currently projected.

Projections Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used:

Reason For Variance:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Non-salary variance due to projections remaining for replacement tablets and associated equipment (proected under general supplies). Salary

costs were below projections because some key staff did not charge as many or any hours - these are staff who re typically over-projected.

Measures

Dec 15, 2021

80,970.48

41,343.79

39,626.69

Project Name (MTF HID 22) MTF High Intensity Drinking 2022

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 301,160.00 InDirect Budget: 167,822.00 Total Budget: 468,982.00

Principal

Megan Patrick (University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

Investigator/Client

IRB HUM#: 00159183 Period Of Approval: 2/2/2022

Project Team Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst:Parina KamdarProduction Manager:Hongyu JohnsonSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: 2022 will be Wave 4 Data Collection.

SRO's work on this project includes administering multiple web surveys to a sample of respondents who participated as 12th graders in Monitoring the Future's (MTF) Base Year study. Using a starting sample of approximately 2000 respondents from MTF, SRO will administer a data collection "burst" consisting of one 30-minute survey and 14 days of 7-minute surveys every 12 months for 4 years. SRO will conduct all surveys as self-administered web surveys, with no telephone contact to respondents. SRO will coordinate all electronic contact with respondents (email and text message), but will not handle any paper mailings, respondent management or the payment of respondent incentives. SRO's activity will start in November 2021, with the first data collection burst in February 2022, lasting through to the third week of April 2022. Deliverables include final copies of the questionnaires (including programming source code), clean and documented data sets from each of the data collection bursts, and a methodological report on protocols.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period
Security Plan
Milestone Dates

10/2021 - 07/2022 02/2022 - 04/2022

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Kirsten Alcser, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Hongyu Johnson, Minako Edgar

Team Members Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool

 Data Col Tool
 Illume

 Hardware
 NA

 DE Software
 NA

 QC Recording Tool
 NA

 Incentive
 Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (MTF Study Satff)

Web SMS

Payment Type Check, post (varies \$40 - \$100); Other (Tango Card)

Payment Method Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (Tango Card)

Report Period Nov, 2021 (MTF HID 22) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Management:

- Started the SRO project team structure.
- Set up shortcodes and CRS system
- Coordinated with the Study Team staff on testing plan

Technical system:

- Set up the 2022 SMS for testing
- worked with the Study team staff on setting up testing environment
- setting up testing data delivery environment

Special Issues

Cost

Nov 30, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):254,424.06Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):353,000.69Total Budget:468,982.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):115,981.31

Reason For Variance: This is the 4th year of the continuous study. The underrun amount is a

carry over over the past 3 years. The amount will be adjusted in

December,2021.

Projections Nov 30, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:13,741.43Actual Dollars Used:5,187.20Variance (Projected minus Actual):8,554.23

Reason For Variance: The study staff team started setting up the sample management system

(SMS) and testing plan. The underrun amount will be adjusted in Dec

2021.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021) Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021 **Project Name**

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 729,094.00 InDirect Budget: 405,907.00 Total Budget: 1,135,001.00

John Schulenberg (UM-SRC) **Principal**

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM-0013R02 In continuing Review Period Of Approval: IRB HUM#:

Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson **Project Team** Project Lead:

Mary Johnson Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Gregg Peterson Senior Project Advisor: Rebecca Gatward **Production Manager:**

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of MTF Illume Web 2020. The new budget has been combined with previous to

allow for "additional funding" of the continuing portion and includes some development/programming work for

2021.

PI staff will edit and test 12 survey versions, all previously programmed in Illume by SRO. SRO will further test the surveys as part of the systems integration process. All 12 surveys will be launched in 2021. After testing is complete, SRO will launch the 2021 Web survey data collection with an estimated sample size of 20,000 cases identified by the Principal Investigator who will deliver the contact information including e-mail address to SRO. The Web survey data collection will replace aspects of the standard mail-based data collection. Both the separately funded Winter Location calling effort and Non-Response follow-up calling will include this sample with the calling effort being integrated with the standard MTF activities.

This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 12 months commencing in January 2021 with the data collection taking place during a 7-month period, beginning April of 2021. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$355,783 (\$228,066 direct, \$127,717 indirect), budgeted at the currently negotiated on-campus recovery rate of 56%.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2021 - 12/2021 04/2021 - 10/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Gregg Peterson (SPA), Rebecca Gatward (Director), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Lead), Hueichun Peng, Lloyd

Hemingway, Shaowei Sun, Minako Edgar, Peter Sparks, Ashwin Dey, Hongyu Johnson

Other Project MTF Illume Web 2021

Names:

Web SMS; Project specific system (RLM, RIMS)

Data Col Tool Illume Hardware NA **DE Software** N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by SRC PI Staff)

Administration N/A N/A **Payment Type Payment Method**

N/A

Report Period Nov, 2021 (MTF Panel (main data colle **Project Phase** Closing

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Data manager: The data collection ended on 10/31/2021.

> During this month, only the data manager and the study team members worked on data cleaning and delivery efforts, in addition SRO project team worked on survey questionnaire specification documents editing for year 2018

through 2021.

Production Data: as of 10/31/2021

Total Sample: 21589 Total completes: 9406 Response Rate: 43.57%

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,081,347.73 Nov 30, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,108,114.10

Total Budget: 1,135,001.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 26,886.90

The amount of underrun will be adjusted in Dec 2021. Reason For Variance:

Projections Nov 30, 2021

30,911.87 **Dollars Projected For Month:** 15,762.08 Actual Dollars Used: 15,149.79 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

The amount of underrun will be adjusted in November. Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RRHPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name (PSID TAS 2021) Transition to Adulthood within its Life Course & Intergenerational Family Context

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

 Budget
 Direct Budget:
 832,983.88
 InDirect Budget:
 466,470.97
 Total Budget:
 1,299,454.85

Principal

Narayan Sastry (U-M PSC SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency NIH

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00112629 Perio Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

12629 **Period Of Approval:** 7/14/2021 - 7/13/202

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworal

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Elizabeth Ohryn
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Daric Thorne

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: TAS 2021 is the 9th Wave of TAS study, part of the PSID Suite of projects.

Approximately 3,000 youth aged 18-28 years who are part of families who participate in the ongoing Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) are invited to take part in a 60-minute web survey. In 2021, all respondents go through the same sequential treatment - non-responders to web survey are called as part of the non-response follow up. Respondents will be offered a up to \$100 for completing the interview which includes a \$75 base payment and possible other interventions. Phone interviews will be completed by a mix of Field and Survey

Research Center Survey Services Lab (SSL) interviewers.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 06/2021 - 07/2022 09/2021 - 06/2022

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 05/01/2021
 Pretest Start:
 05/01/2021

 Pretest End:
 08/01/2021
 Recruitment Start:
 08/30/2021

 Staffing Completed:
 09/07/2021
 GIT Start:
 09/15/2021

 SS Train Start:
 09/30/2021
 SS Train End:
 10/01/2021

 DC Start:
 09/30/2021
 DC End:
 06/18/2021

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI
Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (75); Other (ePay)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID RAPS); Other (ePay)

Report Period Nov, 2021 (PSID TAS 2021) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update In Week 12 12/12 - 18 TAS continues to exceeded the cumulative goal of 1124 by 290 interviews for a total of 1414

interviews and 68.3% completion rate. However, in the last few weeks production did not meet the weekly goals. Goals might need to be adjusted to reflect the higher than expected completion rate up to this point on Releases 1 trough 3. TAS is expecting its final Release 4 towards the end of February 2022. Releases 1 continues the non-response follow-up (NRFU) phase wherein interviewers call the sample and we scaled down the sequenced

reminders / text messages. Release 2 has also entered the NRFU phase on 12/13. Release 3 will be offered web special offer on 12/30 and NRFU on 1/16/2022. All Releases 1 - 3 will receive year-end communication: a post-card sent 12/21, and email and text on 12/28. Interviewer calling/coverage will be provided during the holiday period between 12/27 - 12/30.

In January we will finish preparation for the final sequence of interventions carried out in February, March and through May, June. Interventions may include a spot Web Special Offer, outreach to parents to help with 18-19 year-old completion, welcome-to and welcome-back outreach to new TAS and recons, and more traditional end-game / study ending annoucements.

TAS is preparing for the 2nd Training on Jan 12. We will be training 8 iwers and 1 TL mostly inherited from PSID. Interviewers will get assigned sample to work with the family, CPs, to encourage web and/or complete CATI.

Technical systems are working well with intermittent slowness of DCA and some reoccurring instances of sample disappearing and bulk tasks not appearing as expected. Those instances require sync resets. We are also continuing to investigate issues with Aerialink sending multiple notifications of text message sent (it is not clear if multiple messages were actually sent to the R).

Special Issues

Cost

Dec 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 445,012.16

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,389,782.38

 Total Budget:
 1,299,454.85

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -90,327.53

Reason For Variance: Adjustments to interviewer pay rates, extending TSG allocations (in

particular Data Ops), switching time from SSL to Field iwers.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:102,226.55Actual Dollars Used:81,681.20Variance (Projected minus Actual):20,545.35

Reason For Variance: Continuing tech development + some incorrectly billed time was billed

back to TAS thus producing an overrun.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1124	54.3%	n/a	
Goal at Completion:	2332	88%	n/a	
Current actual:	1414	68.3%	n/a	
Estimate at Complete:	2332	88%	n/a	
Variance:				

Project Name (PSID21) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,447,019.00 InDirect Budget: 2,440,404.00 Total Budget: 6,887,423.00

Principal David Johnson (UM)
Investigator/Client Katherine McGonagle (UM)

Narayan Sastry (UM)

Funding Agency IRB

Description:

NSF, NIA, NICHD, The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation of the United States, DHHS, The Econom

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval**: 8/20/2020 - 8/19/202

Project TeamProject Lead:Shonda R Kruger-NdiayeBudget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Stacy QuisenberrySenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Sarah Crane

Production Manager: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Proposal #: no data

PSID (known to Respondents as the Family Economics Study or FES) is a longitudinal survey of several thousand individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and 2017/2019. The total 2021 sample size will be approx. 11,200, with approx. 9,700 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over time. The 2021 instrument also features questions related to the impact of COVID on the family.

PSID 2021 is the first full wave of PSID Core to be conducted with a Web, self-administered option and using Blaise 5 and MSMS and the work scope includes the re-write of the 68-ID website and the Splitoff program to be compatible with new technical systems.

TAS21 will follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample members on a flow basis following their Core interviews.

Note: The Budget listed in MPR is the original 2021 budget. It has not been approved by PIs, who are currently reviewing a rebudget.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 04/2022 03/2001 - 10/2021

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2020
 Pretest Start:
 09/28/2020

 Pretest End:
 10/25/2020
 Recruitment Start:
 10/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/02/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/24/2021 SS Train End: 03/05/2021 DC Start: 03/18/2021 DC End: 12/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Family Economics Study 2021, PSID Core 2021

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS
Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Proxy, Locator)

Administration ISR Group (PSID)
Payment Type Check, post (Varies)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Other (Electronic RPay)

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (PSID21)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Below is the Production variance to goals for all weeks in November. We missed goal in only 2 of the 5 weeks and the net variance was significantly positive. Strong Web iw production drove our productivity.

WK

 34
 10/31/2021
 11/6/2021
 -4.0

 35
 11/7/2021
 11/13/2021
 -22.0

 36
 11/14/2021
 11/20/2021
 61.0

 37
 11/21/2021
 11/27/2021
 41.0

 38
 11/28/2021
 12/4/2021
 11.0

Phase 2 of the hours-based iwer bonus plan continued.

Interventions in Nov included:

Wk

34 Terminal Bird Rep 3 launched 11/2

Increased New IMM17 lines' tokens to \$150

36 End Game launched 11/18, incl non-Imm Recons w/ limited effort

Began Iwer Postcard Mailing
Terminal Bird Rep 2 ended 11/17

37 Terminal Bird Rep 3 ended 11/23

FTF non-response follow-up launched 11/24

Note: the PIs have authorized SRO to conduct CATI iws in very early Jan if necessary due to any impediment that precludes willing Rs who want to be interviewed in 2021 from being interviewed.

Technical work in Nov included:

- --Ongoing use of the new ADT to BDBx utility to reconstruct iws
- --Ongoing work on processes to reset interviews, and resetting of iws.
- --Tech updates required to interventions (e.g. TB Rep 2 and 3 templates)
- --Finalized Spanish Splitoff Invitation Templates.
- --A small number of Web iws were accessed and suspended after completion. This caused data out issues. This was allowed to occur due to an issue with the Authentication Service discovered yesterday. The service was throwing errors for most calls to it by MSMS to update the status in the service. Calls from MAP worked, but calls from contact attempts and rules did not. That meant that surveys were left accessible after they were completed by the respondent. The Authentication Service was fixed as of 11/23.
- --Identifying an MSMS bug that precluded iwers from launching the DCA if they were not connected to the internet and VPN (an issue for FTF work).
- --Ongoing FPS data reconstruction work (remarks and isVisited).
- --Troubleshooting of ongoing (and increasing?) transfer issues.
- --Weighing methods of shutting down the survey and the Self Scheduler.
- --Data delivery processes stalled frequently during daily runs.

Special Issues

Cost

6,216,423.46 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Nov 30, 2021 7,325,453.93 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

6,887,423.00 Total Budget: -438,030.93 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: Decrease in projected overrun due to lower interviewer hours partially

offset by adding mileage projections for FTF work.

Projections Nov 30, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 547,628.23 Actual Dollars Used: 527,194.10 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 20,434.13

Reason For Variance: Last month, we were projecting a direct cost overrun of ~\$306K Dir, which

> has now decreased to \sim \$276K. This \$30K change is mainly due to lower interviewer hours than were originally projected in the goal chart over the past few weeks and slightly lowering projections for survey techs. Offsetting some of this was our addition of \$13K in non-salary travel projections for the local FTF work interviewers are doing now.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	8738	81.0%	7.4	
Goal at Completion:	9025	84%	7.5	
Current actual:	8715	80.8%	7.4	
Estimate at Complete:	9025	83.5%	7.5	
Variance:	0	0	0	

Other Measures

All Measures are reported through the last completed week (through 12/18). Our goals are reassessed each week, with variance in weekly performance leading to updates to future weeks. Rachel or Shonda can share assessments of current production to original goals upon request.

(SCA 2021) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes **Project Name**

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 1,122,582.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 1,122,582.00

Richard Curtin (SCA) **Principal** Tuba Suzer-Gurtekin (SCA) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

Period Of Approval: ним#: IRB

Theresa Camelo **Project Team** Project Lead: Dean E Stevens Budget Analyst:

Lisa J Carn Production Manager:

Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the

contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL

interviewing staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

12/2020 - 12/2021 01/2021 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 01/02/2021 DC End: 12/20/2021

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA

Blaise 4.8

Data Col Tool

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive

Not used Administration N/A N/A

Payment Type Payment Method N/A

Nov, 2021 (SCA 2021) Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update SCA NOV began as scheduled on WED 10/27, and ended 1 day earlier than originally scheduled (with prior

agreement with the PIs) on SAT 11/20. We completed 602 IWs (2 above goal) 321/181/100 at an overall HPI of 2.97

(.03 below goal).

Special Issues

IWer2 hours (which are generally more expensive than are ST hours) were not budgeted for 2021. As the pandemic continued it became necessary to staff IWer2's. While we had been able to absorb these costs for most of the year without incurring an overrun, the increase in IWer2 hours beginning in OCT 2021 (including an OSer training that was comprised primarily of IWer2s), combined with the increase in ST rates and some IWer2 home area rates, have lead to a substantially larger projected overrun than had been expected as of SEPT and OCT (prior to SEPT we were projecting an underrun). The current projected overrun is expected to the 'worst case scenario', and is a variance of 2.02%.

Cost Dec 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,034,237.68

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,145,686.06

 Total Budget:
 1,122,582.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -23,104.06

Reason For Variance:

Increased IW2 hours as of OCT (IW2 hours were not budgeted for 2021), and increased ST pay rate, and IW2 home area rates, as of 10/3/2021.

Projections Dec 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:96,466.52Actual Dollars Used:106,291.70Variance (Projected minus Actual):-9,825.18

Reason For Variance:

HPI lower than budgeted for NOV

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	600	.08	3.0	
Goal at Completion:	600	.08	3.0	
Current actual:	602	.08	2.97	
Estimate at Complete:	602	.08	2.97	
Variance:	+2	NA	03	

(SCIP 2021) Sustainability Culture Indicators Project **Project Name**

Primary: Not Available **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 136,813.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 136,813.00

John Callewaert, PI (SRC, Graham Sustainability Institute) **Principal**

Robert Marans, Co-PI (SRC) Investigator/Client Noah Webster, Co-PI (SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM00204955 9/2021-9/2026 Period Of Approval: IRB HUM#:

Maureen Joan O'Brien **Project Team** Project Lead: Carl S Remmert Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Andrew L Hupp Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The overall goal Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP), a joint project of the Institute for Social Research

> (ISR) and the Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute (Graham), is to measure changes in sustainability and carbon-neutrality related knowledge, commitments, and practices in the University of Michigan (U-M) community over time. The principle component of SCIP is a large-scale annual survey, to be conducted with U-M

students, faculty, and staff from all U-M Campuses: Ann Arbor, Flint, and Dearborn.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

09/2021 - 09/2026 10/2021 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 08/15/2021 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 10/13/2021 DC End: 12/15/2021

Other Project Maureen O'Brien - Project Lead, application programming, sample loading, questionnaire distribution

Team Members: Minako Edgar - Sample prep, data management, data delivery, GIS analysis

Raphael Nishimura - Weighting

Carl Remmert - budget support analyses

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Project specific system (Qualtrics)

Other (Qualtrics) **Data Col Tool**

NA Hardware **DE Software** N/A QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes. R

NA Administration

Payment Type Other (Amazon) **Payment Method** Other (via Email)

Nov, 2021 (SCIP 2021) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Data collection ended 12/1/2021. The final overall RR was 27.0%. The Ann Arbor RR was 26.0%, Dearborn was

> 27.2%, and Flint had a 33.7% RR. Some Ann Arbor subgroups had higher goals than 27% (and some lower) based on RR's from last wave. The Ann Arbor subgroup that did not meet goal was faculty (27.7RR). Dearborn Freshmen (26.3%), Juniors (26.6%), and Seniors (21.1%), did not meet a 27%RR. All other subgroups met goal or a 27%RR. The \$5 incentives added for underperforming subgroups were effective in increasing RR's. The PI's have requested a portion of the underrun back in order to fund a student to work on analysis. SRO is returning ~50k to the Pl's. SRO

Finance Director as well as SRO Admin have approved this. The SCIP BA believes this will be completed by 12/17/2021. We are currently working with different campuses and HR depts to secure total counts by subgroup of ethnicity, gender, college year, fac/staff, and med vs. Ann Arbor campus, for weighting purposes. All \$5 incentives have been emailed to R's. \$100 daily lottery drawings continue as only one can be drawn per day due to Michigan lottery laws. Approximately 3/4 of the \$100 winnings have been distributed. Project lead will begin writing of methodology report. Weighting will continue into January.

Special Issues

The PIs are requesting the underrun amount back, so they can fund a graduate student to do analyses on the data. We are working with SRO and the PI BA to accommodate this request, ensuring all projections are as tight as possible.

Cost Dec 08, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):10,157.69Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):75,842.77Total Budget:136,813.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):60,970.23

Reason For Variance:

 $\label{project} \mbox{Project was over-funded, small number of staff on project who are not able}$

to charge all hours.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:17,449.85Actual Dollars Used:6,667.79Variance (Projected minus Actual):10,782.06

Reason For Variance: There was a delay in processing the respondent payments to the

General Ledger \$8,000 will hit in December for November projections. MO

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	6,860	27%		
Goal at Completion:	6,860			
Current actual:	6,856	27%		
Estimate at Complete:	6,860			
Variance:				

(SRS 2022) Social Relations 2022 **Project Name**

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 2,881,925.00 InDirect Budget: 1,613,877.00 Total Budget: 4,495,802.00

Toni Antonucci (ISR) **Principal** Kristine Ajrouch (ISR) Investigator/Client

Laura Zahodne (ISR)

NIH **Funding Agency** IRB

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Barbara Lohr Ward **Project Team** Project Lead: Parina Kamdar Budget Analyst:

> Veronica Connors-Burge Production Manager: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Ian Ogden

Lisa Van Havermaet Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: Conduct 3400 interviews with respondents aged 35 years or older residing in the tri-county area of Southeast

> Michigan (Wayne, Oakland and Macomb counties) and 244 interviews with Social Relations sample members aged younger than 65 years of age. The project involves screening up to 6900 new sample lines., with one selected respondent per household. The interview will consist of a 60 minute core interview (content from the Social Relations interview), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a series of physical measurements (height, weight, blood pressure, grip strength) and saliva collection. The SRS 2022 project will use the same instrument programmed for the D-Amp project, with the only new programming being that for a screener. The screener will be translated into Arabic. No informant or proxy interview is included in the project scope. Interviews will be

conducted in English or Arabic.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2021 - 05/2023 05/2022 - 03/2023

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2022 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 01/15/2022

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC End: 03/31/2023 DC Start:

Other Project lan Ogden, Lisa Van Havermaet, Steven Sonoras, Veronica Connors-Burge, Taghreid Lovell, Jeff Smith (Tech Lead),

Team Members: others TBD

Social Relations 2022, DAWN Other Project

Names:

SurveyTrak Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Weblog) DRI-CARI QC Recording Tool Incentive Yes. R Administration **SRO Group**

Cash, prepaid (\$70) Payment Type

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Nov, 2021 (SRS 2022) Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

Some Concerns Risk Level

Monthly Update During November 2021, SRC activities on the DAWN project included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

Reviewed monthly expenses. Updated labor and non-labor projections

Finalized staffing for the projects

- Began inventory of study supplies remaining from the 2020 planned launch of D-Amp
- Began review of D-Amp technical systems documentation in preparation for re-starting the study technical systems. Reviewed outstanding Blaise and SurveyTrak programming issues.
- · Training planning
- o Continued review of training agenda, considering plans for merging projects and conducting a single training for both D-Amp and SRS.
- o Conducted site visit at UM Dearborn

Task 2: Sampling

• Finalized work to develop a plan for merging the D-Amp and SRS sample, which would allow D-Amp screened lines to be used for SRS.

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

· No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

· Discussed project staffing, programming needs

Task 5: Systems Programming

• Discussed project staffing, programming needs

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- Conducted outreach to finalize site visit for UM Dearborn
- Requested quotation for training costs at UM Dearborn
- Worked on creating documentation for training on cognitive assessments. Revised pilot training slides.

Task 8: Main Data Collection

No activity this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

No activity this month

Task 10: Weighting

No activity this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

No activity this month

Special Issues

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics):

- Schedule the studies are running behind schedule for a Spring 2022 launch, due to pending decisions on sample design and scope.
- Training budgets and projections
- o The April 2020 D-Amp training plan was longer than stated six days of study specific training plus ½ day of Arabic training, however the projections did not reflect the actual number of training hours required. In addition, the D-Amp training hours projections covered only 19 interviewers, and not the 25 interviewers planned.
- o The SRS budget was prepared prior to understanding the full D-Amp work scope, and training is under-budgeted compared to the current understanding of the project work scope. SRS was budgeted for five days of study specific training, which includes the ½ day of Arabic language questionnaire review.
- o The SRS project budget anticipated the use of 17 on-staff interviewers and 30 new hires. Due to timing (delays due to the COVID-19 pandemic), the project will not have the use of on-staff interviewers. This will increase training costs since more new hires will need to go through the two-day GIT.
- o We have not been able to confirm use of UM Dearborn for training in late spring of 2022. We have also not been able to confirm a waiver for room rental. Spring/early summer is peak time for conference facilities in the area due to weddings and graduations. If UM Dearborn is not available, the project may have limited availability and experience higher costs for use of conference facilities.
- The SRS budget does not include a budget for informant interviews or proxy interviews. This is an area of scope that needs to be reconciled with the current D-Amp survey work scope.
- The SRS project management budget is extremely lean and may not be adequate for a project of this size and complexity. The D-Amp projections for project management are insufficient for launch, interviewer training and management/oversight of data collection.
- Increases in interviewer pay rates and some recharge rates have led to projections of deficits on both SRS 2022 and D-Amp. The impact on D-Amp is substantial.
- Saliva samples expiration Saliva samples purchased for the 2020 launch of D-Amp will expire before the planned 2022 launch. These samples cannot be used per the ISR Biolab and will need to be replaced.

Cost Dec 08, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):32,126.81Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):4,557,561.41Total Budget:4,495,802.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-61,759.41

Reason For Variance: Increases in interviewer pay rates and some recharge rates have led to

projections of deficits on both SRS 2022 and D-Amp.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:11,838.13Actual Dollars Used:11,463.60Variance (Projected minus Actual):374.53

Reason For Variance: Procurement of study supplies has been delayed, resulting in fewer hours

charged for some labor categories.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name (STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 8,196,521.00 InDirect Budget: 4,590,892.00 Total Budget: 12,809,390.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency

Department of Defense

IRB

HUM#: HUM00180765 **Period Of Approval:** 6/3/2020 - 6/2/2021

Project TeamProject Lead:Meredith A HouseBudget Analyst:William LokersProduction Manager:Ruth B Philippou

Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland
Production Manager: Juan Carlos Donoso
Production Manager: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps

Proposal #: no data

Description:

This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers). Army STARRS is a multi-component epidemiological and neurological study designed to generate actionable evidence-based recommendations to reduce US Army suicides and increase basic knowledge about the determinants of suicidality. The goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS) are to enhance DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain productivity of the Army STARRS data and systems established, and enable science-based answers to questions related to health, resilience, and manpower management for the Army of 2025.

For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to reinterview respondents from the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multimode study. We started with a group of approximately 73,000 eligible persons who had been interviewed in one of those three surveys and gave consent to link administrative data to their survey data.

To date, we have completed 2 waves of STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 individuals and completed approximately 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 participants that completed a full Wave 1 interview were asked to participate in Wave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are covered in this application, will include the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regardless of whether they completed the interview.

In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will continue to maintain and support the Army STARRS Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as coded historical administrative data received from the Army and DoD. Additionally, STARRS-LS will continue to receive administrative data updates and link coded administrative data to survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS-LS surveys).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 05/2020 - 04/2025 11/2020 - 10/2024

Yes

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/23/2020

Staffing Completed: 10/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 11/18/2020 SS Train End: 11/24/2020 DC Start: 11/09/2020 DC End: 11/03/2022

Other Project Team Members: Heather Schroeder, Paul Burton, Ryan Yoder, Leah Roberts, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Cheng Zhou, Andrew Piskorowski, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Genise Pattulo, Andrew Hupp, Jim Rodgers, Kyle Goodwin, Marcus Blough, Nick Hinkle-DeGroot, Aimee Miller, Maureen O'Brien

Other Project

STARRS-LS Continuation

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Other (Blaise CARI)

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$50-\$100)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS)

Monthly Update We have released eight replicates (8,416 sample lines) and completed 6,391 interviews as of December 1. We continued bi-weekly meetings with the ODUSA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities. The various budgets for GSC changes in scope were completed. A summary memo and formal Wave 4 Public Use and SHOS-A budgets were sent to Dr. Ursano. We sent the Year 3 budget to USUHS on November 19. We completed updates to the document that describes U-M's projected overrun and shared it with the Pls. James and Meredith attended the November 30 teleconference with the research team, ODUSA and GSC to discuss a way forward with biospecimen/blood samples inventory. We loaded the SHOS-A sample file to our sample management system and began U-M locating activities. IRB: A STARRS-LS Continuation amendment, which includes the Privacy Notice and addition of SHOS-A Cast to LS sample, was approved by the U-M IRB and sent to USUHS for review. We responded to a question from the
 □ We continued bi-weekly meetings with the ODUSA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities □ The various budgets for GSC changes in scope were completed. A summary memo and formal Wave 4 Public Use and SHOS-A budgets were sent to Dr. Ursano. □ We sent the Year 3 budget to USUHS on November 19. □ We completed updates to the document that describes U-M's projected overrun and shared it with the Pls. □ James and Meredith attended the November 30 teleconference with the research team, ODUSA and GSC to discuss a way forward with biospecimen/blood samples inventory. □ We loaded the SHOS-A sample file to our sample management system and began U-M locating activities. □ IRB: A STARRS-LS Continuation amendment, which includes the Privacy Notice and addition of SHOS-A Casto LS sample, was approved by the U-M IRB and sent to USUHS for review. We responded to a question from the
USU IRB about outreach to respondents who are EU residents. We sent the Annual Security Training Renewal materials to users on November 29. A call for the Annual Security Controls Review was scheduled for December 1. The Enclave team worked on server hardware replacement for the Enclave Windows environment, issues related to delays in the background check process, and transfer and ID swaps for two construct files. We continued work on biomarker group requests for assistance, including helping with a request for locating item-level PTSD data from PPDS surveys. We facilitated ICPSR getting in contact with a virtual data enclave user who was experiencing technical issues

Special Issues

We continue to track areas of risk and develop mitigation strategies.

- ORIO monitoring:
- o Earlier in Wave 3, we experienced an increase in frequency of ORIO reports for protocol deviations or respondent complaints related to the Safety Plan follow-up by Chaplains. As has happened in the past, the U-M IRB may want to discuss this increase with us in order to identify mitigation strategies. We have notified the IRB that the ODUSA is planning briefings with the Chaplain Corps to reinforce the Safety Plan procedures and confidentiality requirements.

□ Note that there have been no additional ORIOs reported since last month's report, and all outstanding ORIOs have been acknowledged by the U-M and USUHS IRBs with no further questions.

□ To date, our projected costs for Waves 3 and 4 are higher than anticipated (see Table 4). Areas for the increased cost estimates include a longer survey instrument time for CATI, increased hours for programming instrument changes, and an increase in SRO interviewer base pay rates. We are monitoring the situation closely and have updated our analysis of the areas in our budget where we are projecting a deficit. The updated document was shared with the PIs on November 23.

- □ Wave 3 Challenges
- o Wave 3 consent review and approval process these risks were described in a September 30, 2020 memo to the ODUSA.

□ The DHA Privacy Board has approved the DSA modification for the MHS HIPAA form; however, we will not receive any sort of VA prior approval. With the VA, we could be in a situation where we employ a VA HIPAA authorization form that ends up not being acceptable to the VA when it comes time to request health records data for linkage. While unlikely, it's also possible the approved MHS HIPAA form might not be acceptable to the providers of those data.

□ The risk related to the VA not having reviewed the HIPAA form prior to production was highlighted in the June 15 GSC meeting when a question was raised as to whether the VHA HIPAA consent language would allow access to VBA data.

- Enclave Support
- o We continue to monitor priorities for Enclave support. We balance the primary Enclave support work with a number of more complex biomarker data support requests, which are outside of the reduced Enclave team scope in the STARRS-LS contract. We prioritize the primary work above other requests in accordance with project goals and to remain within budget/scope. As a result, the biomarker data support requests can take longer to service. As long as requestors are ok with this arrangement, we can continue to manage the work and priorities in this fashion.
- Scope additions
- o There are a few cost estimates for new scope that have yet to be made (Table 5 above). As decisions are made, we will work with the research team to schedule and implement this work.
- o We have received some information on additional public use data releases, including release to the NIH National Data Archive. Several unknowns need to be clarified before we can finalize our scope and cost estimates and determine what staffing will be needed for this work.

Cost Oct 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 3,510,881.03

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 13,408,714.30

 Total Budget:
 12,809,390.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -599,324.31

Reason For Variance: After this month's updates, our projected deficit for the total five-year project stayed about the same (\$599,324 compared to \$599,662 last month. We

will continue to review project expenses and update our projections based

on realized costs and our experiences to date.

Projections Oct 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:290,765.11Actual Dollars Used:212,336.32Variance (Projected minus Actual):78,428.79

Reason For Variance: We underspent mainly due to a \$25,000 Windows Terminal Server

replacement not hitting in October and the R payments amount was smaller than projected. Both of these amounts were moved forward in the

projections.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
	83.8	8.9	
	83	8	
	78.7	10.6	
	78	10	
	5	-2	
	Units Complete	83.8 83 78.7 78	83.8 8.9 83 8 78.7 10.6 78 10

Project Name (VCT) Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection

Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 241,958.00 InDirect Budget: 135,497.00 Total Budget: 377,455.00

Dr. Frederick G. Conrad (University of Michigan) **Principal** Dr. Michael F. Schober (The New School) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

National Science Foundation

IRB HUM#: HUM00159711 Exempt Period Of Approval:

Andrew L Hupp **Project Team** Project Lead:

Dean E Stevens Budget Analyst: Pooja Varma-Laughlin Production Manager: Nicole G Kirgis Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This research project will examine data quality, participation, respondent experience, and costs in two promising but not yet widely deployed survey modes that use off-the-shelf video technology and are less costly than face to face (FTF) interviews: video-mediated (VM) interviews (live two-way communication via platforms like Skype) and video self-administered (VS) interviews, in which video-recorded interviewers ask the guestions and respondents answer by typing or clicking. This project will compare these measures of data quality and costs in VM and VS interviews carried out by the same professional interviewers and in conventional online (textual) self-administered questionnaires, asking the same survey questions to members of a representative sample who are randomly assigned to one of these three modes. Because VM is synchronous and "live" like face-to-face (FTF) interviewing, and VS is asynchronous and recorded but still projects a human face, the project's comparisons will provide new insights regarding how these decomposable aspects of human contact affect behavior and experience in surveys. The project's results will reveal the extent to which, and for whom, less costly interaction (live but remote vs. recorded) with an interviewer promotes engagement and data quality comparable to what is found in similar FTF interviews. More generally, the findings will address when and in what ways modern communication modes that reduce social presence and are less personal might be equal to or even more effective than FTF interaction. Findings from this project will provide valuable information relevant to the future of survey measurement and will be of interest to survey researchers in the Federal statistical system and other survey organizations.

Even as survey data continue to be central to public policy and decision-making, survey measurement is challenged by declining response rates, increasing costs, declining trust in survey organizations, and rapidly changing communication habits among the public. Understanding how video technologies could fit into the future of survey data collection is important both because it may meet potential respondents "where they live" and because it may provide a significantly lower cost alternative to FTF interviewing. There is even the potential to reach some members of the public whose location makes FTF interviewing difficult or expensive, but who may well be able to participate in a video interview (e.g., people who live in remote rural areas or members of the military deployed overseas). In comparing data quality across these three survey modes, the project will quantify participation rates, connectivity problems, respondent compliance with the video interviewing protocol, conscientious responding (giving precise answers to numerical questions, thoughtfully differentiating answers), and disclosure of sensitive information. The project will measure the potential impact of individual interviewers, feelings of engagement with the interview, rapport with the interviewer, and respondent satisfaction. The project also will allow assessment of data collection costs across these modes. Access to and use of video technologies are not universal, and even among those with access some are willing to engage in video interaction while others are reluctant. The project will begin to address whether and how the effects of video technologies on survey data collection differ for participants with different levels of prior experience and preference for using the technologies.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

09/2018 - 04/2021 08/2019 - 11/2019

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/29/2019 DC End: 04/01/2020

Other Project Team Members: Kallan Larsen - PSM MS Student Ai Rene Ong - PSM PhD Student Tianheao Wang - PSM MS Student

Kevin Jensen (TSG) - portal/Blaise programming

Shanti Suresh, Matt Hanger, & Laura Yoder (TSG) - data management

Kyle Goodman - MSMS set-up programming

Jim Rodgers - MSMS consultation

Brady West - Research Associate Professor, Survey Research Center

Other Project

Video Communication Technologies

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Desktop; Other (Webcam, USB headset)

DE Software
QC Recording Tool
Incentive

ntive Yes, R

N/A

N/A

Administration SRO Group; Other (CloudResearch (TurkPrime))

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (ABS=\$2 giftcode -> prepaid; \$20/\$22 giftcode -> post)

Payment Method Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (TurkPrime (now CloudResearch))

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (VCT)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

POQ has rejected the first findings paper. The team is reviewing the comments, will be making edits and submitting

to a different journal.

JSSAM has accepted the interviewer effects paper. The team has reviewed the proofs and sent them back. The survey data sets will be made publicly available via openICPSR. This group has experience with this on a previous study. Work on the repositories begun in May. The repositories will not be completed until the time closer to publication (when the DOI is needed).

Andrew and Kallan are working on a paper on respondent burden that will use some data from the video project.

Andrew met with Dean to update cost projections. Overall we are projecting to be about right on target.

Laura needs to do a final MSMS data set.

Special Issues

Cost

Dec 09, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):362,340.97Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):376,933.69Total Budget:377,455.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):521.31

Reason For Variance:

VM costs are on target. The current cost projections for the MiCDA Analysis

account are below.

The cost information for the MiCDA analysis funds are:

Total Budget: \$30,089.00 Total Cost to Date: \$29,994.33

Estimated cost at completion: \$29,994.33

Variance: \$94.67

The cost information for the MiCDA supplement funds are:

Total Budget: \$54,207

Total Cost to Date: \$54,118.00

Estimated cost at completion: \$54,118.00

Variance: \$89.00

Projections Dec 09, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:146.56Variance (Projected minus Actual):-146.56

Reason For Variance: Kallan charged time for work related to the POQ reviews.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	855	20%		
Goal at Completion:	855			
Current actual:	1,109	12.5%		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name (WCJ -Remote Pilot) Woodcock-Johnson Remote Administration Pilot

Project Mode Primary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 65,472.00 InDirect Budget: 36,667.00 Total Budget: 102,139.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (U of M/ISR/SRC)
Investigator/Client Paula Fomby (U of M/ISR/SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Hongyu Johnson

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Veronica Connors-BurgeSenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The goal of this project is to remotely administer the Woodcock Johnson Tests of Cognitive Abilities, in the form of

a Pilot test, to Respondents in their home. A tablet computer will be used to electronically display the pages of the Woodcock Johnson assessment tool to the Respondent while an SRO interviewer communicates via a video link (Zoom) on a laptop. The tablet will be sent to the Respondent's home in advance of the administration, including

a pre-paid return mailer to be shipped back to us.

The current effort includes 2 components:

1) Technical system development and testing

2) Pre-test (n=20 families) to test system and feasibility

Currently, we are still working on the technical system development and testing (Component 1). We are planning

to start the Pre-test (Component 2) in early 2022 (~02/01/2022-02/28/2022).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 07/2021 - 03/2022 02/2022 - 03/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: 07/01/2021 Pretest Start: 02/01/2022

Pretest End: 03/01/2022 Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Stephanie Chardoul - SRO SPA

Team Members: Sarah Crane - Survey Director (Project Consultant)

Helen (Hongyu) Johnson - Lead Project Manager

Ann Vernier - SRO staff

Veronica Connors-Burge - Production Manager

Youhong Liu - Blaise Programmer

John Gawlas - HelpDesk David Bolt - HelpDesk Russ Stark - SSL Staff Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA
Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Nov, 2021 (WCJ -Remote Pilot) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Project Management:

- Continue to communicate with the PI team and the SRO Admin team on budget

- Started getting related materials from other projects as references.

- Continue the dialogue between the TSG admin, programmers, and the project team.

- Reviewed Oct cost report with the financial analyst

Technical System Development and Testing (Component 1):

- Continue to test and refine the instrument for both the Blaise and the tablet display

Continue to develop technical security protocol on devices
 Started the plan on remote auto data extraction feasibility

- Conducted a full demo session with testers

Hire and Recruitment:

-Started the recruitment conversation with the production manager.

Special Issues

Cost

Nov 30, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):21,661.03Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):89,628.52Total Budget:102,139.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):12,510.48

Reason For Variance: the project is in planning phase- The amount of the underrun will be

adjusted in December.

Projections

Nov 30, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:15,352.56Actual Dollars Used:10,549.08Variance (Projected minus Actual):4,803.48

Reason For Variance: the project is in planning phase- preparing for the pretest. The amount of

the underrun will be adjusted in December.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal: 20

Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

(WMH-Qatar) Qatar World Mental Health Survey **Project Name**

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 62,440.00 InDirect Budget: 12,488.00 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Zeina Mneimneh (University of Michigan) **Principal** Salma Mawfek Khaled (Qatar University) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust

ним#: IRB Period Of Approval: **Project Team**

Sarah Elisa Broumand Project Lead: Carl S Remmert Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description: SRC joins Social & Economic Survey Research Institute at Qatar University for the upcoming World Mental Health

> Study in Qatar to conduct CIDI train-the-trainer training and build a quality assurance tool. The timing expectation is that SRC has an initial working version of the QC tool in time for a January 2020 data collection pilot, then refinements will be made for an October 2020 production launch. The Qatar team will be using the standard CIDI questionnaire instrument (Blaise 5), and they have Blaise programming expertise and their own sample

management system. SRC had originally envisioned this as a version of our OLAP Cube, but have since moved

away from that and toward a more "ODS"-type approach. SRC scope of work includes CIDI training,

methodological designs for in-person and phone call verification, quality control indicators/interventions, and

technical designs for quality assurance infrastructure and dashboard, etc.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

04/2019 - 10/2021 01/2020 - 10/2021

Security Plan

NA

PreProduction Start:

Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Staffing Completed: Recruitment Start: GIT Start:

SS Train Start:

SS Train End:

DC Start:

DC End:

Other Project

Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Cheng Z. (Application Programmer), Jay L. (PM), Gina-Qian C. (SPA), Sarah B. (System Analyst)

Other (Blaise 5) Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool Blaise 5 Hardware Laptop; Tablet

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool

N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)

Administration Other (Qatar University)

Other (TBD) Payment Type **Payment Method** Other (TBD)

Report Period

Nov, 2021 (WMH-Qatar)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The SRC team wrapping up work on this task.

Special Issues

None

Cost Dec 08, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):71,799.16Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):72,047.68Total Budget:74,928.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):2,880.32

Reason For Variance: Saving dollars for unexpected support during production.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:248.52Actual Dollars Used:76.62Variance (Projected minus Actual):171.90Reason For Variance:no more tasks assigned.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Development Initiative and No Data Collection Projects

November 2021



Developmental Initiatives

TSME 22-Blaise 5 Testing
TSME 22-PIPPA 2.0
TSME22-Day in the Life Videos
TSME22-DCO Tech System Support
TSME22-QC-Systems
TSME22-Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS
TSME22-ST international

Developmental/Initiative Projects Dashboard

Project	Туре	Status	Project Lead	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	0ct	Nov
TSME 22-Blaise 5 Testing (423562)	Initiatives	Current	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung								•		•	•
TSME 22-PIPPA	Initiatives	Current	Mark Simonson											•
TSME22 Day in the life of Video	Initiatives	Current	Vivienne Y Outlaw										•	•
TSME22 DCO Tech System Support FY22	Initiatives	Current	Vivienne Y Outlaw								•		•	•
TSME22-QC-Systems (483249)	Initiatives	Current	Sarah Elisa Broumand							•				•
TSME22-SelfSchedUI (483424)	Initiatives	Current	Andrew L Hupp										•	•
TSME22-ST international (483227)	Initiatives	Current	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung								•	•		•

(TSME 22-Blaise 5 Testing (423562)) TSME 22-Blaise 5 Testing (423562) **Project Name**

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Developmental Initiatives Project Type Project Status Current

30,000.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: IRB

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung **Project Team** Project Lead: Janelle P Cramer Budget Analyst:

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: **Production Manager:**

no data Proposal #:

this project for testing Blaise new features **Description:**

Production Manager:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

08/2021 - 06/2022

Security Plan **Milestone Dates** NA

NA NA PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool**

Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive

NA Administration NA NA **Payment Type Payment Method** NA

Nov, 2021 (TSME 22-Blaise 5 Testing (Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

still no resources to work on this project **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

3,424.77 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021 35,427.16 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

30,000.00 Total Budget: -5,427.16 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance:

na

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:458.44Actual Dollars Used:504.67Variance (Projected minus Actual):-46.23

Reason For Variance: NA

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Other Measures

NA

(TSME 22-PIPPA) PIPPA 2.0 (425198) **Project Name**

Primary: Not Available **Project Mode**

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 18,174.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 18,174.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: IRB

Mark Simonson **Project Team** Project Lead: Carl S Remmert Budget Analyst:

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: **Production Manager:** Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: FY22 work on PIPPA. Overall goal is to enhance metrics by project and incorporate SurveyTrak projects into the

PIPPA system.

SRO Project Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Sarah Broumand, Cheng Zhou, Andrew Piskorowski

Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool**

NA Hardware NA **DE Software** QC Recording Tool

Incentive Administration **Payment Type Payment Method**

NA NA NA

NA

NA NA NA

Nov, 2021 (TSME 22-PIPPA) Report Period

Implementing **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Maintenance fixes for the application.

Initial investigation of integrating SurveyTrak projects into the system - will implement next calendar year.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00 0.00 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 18,174.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(TSME22 Day in the life of Video) TSME22-Day in the Life Videos (425201) **Project Name**

Primary: Not Available **Project Mode**

Developmental Initiatives Project Type Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 12,500.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 12,500.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

Period Of Approval: HUM#: IRB

Vivienne Y Outlaw **Project Team** Project Lead: Carl S Remmert Budget Analyst:

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: **Production Manager:** Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Funding to be used to update the Day in the Life videos for both the field and SSL. Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2021 - 06/2022

Security Plan **Milestone Dates** NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Russ Stark

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA NA **Data Col Tool** Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive NA Administration NA NA **Payment Type Payment Method** NA

Barb Homburg

Nov, 2021 (TSME22 Day in the life of V Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update In November additional filming was completed and editing work was started. The remainder of the filming will be

done before the end of the calendar year with plans to completed the project in early Jan 2022.

Special Issues

Cost

6,189.70 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021 7,953.04

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 12,500.00 Total Budget: 4,546.96 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): change in work scope Reason For Variance:

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:3,085.85Actual Dollars Used:2,679.94Variance (Projected minus Actual):405.91

Reason For Variance: less time spent filming and editing than anticipated

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name (TSME22 DCO Tech System Support FY22) TSME22-DCO Tech System Support (483248)

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: Hueichun Peng Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This includes all the support and development work for a suite of tech systems DCO uses, including Fred,

Recruitment Website, Iwer Web Site, etc.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2021 - 06/2022

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Team Members:

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool Hardware DE Software

QC Recording Tool Incentive

Administration
Payment Type
Payment Method

Max Malhotra Shaowei Sun

NA NA NA NA

NA

NA NA NA

Report Period Nov, 2021 (TSME22 DCO Tech System Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Investigate the iwer website problems (Drupal).

Finish all the enhancement and prep work for the FOTY 2022 work.

Implement DCSR revisions for the FtF email templates.

Special Issues Urgent need to update the interviewer website software became evident in November. We are working on a detailed

work scope and budget. This was not part of the plan for this fiscal year but has to been completed ASAP.

Cost

11,909.18 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021 29,304.11 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

30,000.00 Total Budget: 695.89 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

This does not include projections for interviewer website Reason For Variance:

Projections Dec 08, 2021

7,307.75 **Dollars Projected For Month:** 1,903.57 Actual Dollars Used: 5,404.18 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

work for first of the year updating moved to December Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RRHPI **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

(TSME22-QC-Systems (483249)) TSME22-QC-Systems (483249) **Project Name**

Primary: Not Available **Project Mode**

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

Period Of Approval: HUM#: IRB

Sarah Elisa Broumand **Project Team** Project Lead: Carl S Remmert Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Project used to maintaind and further develop Current QC systems such as OLIVE Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2022 - 06/2022

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Shaowei Sun, Mark Simonson, LihShwu Key, Andrew P, Hueichun Peng, Larry Daher

Team Members: Other Project

Names:

NA Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** Hardware NA **DE Software** NA NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

NA NA Administration NA **Payment Type Payment Method** NA

Nov, 2021 (TSME22-QC-Systems (483: Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Team working on developing specification document for adding the inter-rater reliability function in OLIVE. Currently

key members are busy with PROD project work and the plan is to rebalance the hours to start working on QC

system task and spending the funds in January.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 8,455.71 Dec 08, 2021 25,529.47 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

30,000.00 Total Budget: 4,470.53 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: worked has been put on hold until after holidays due to other project

priorities.

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:3,187.75Actual Dollars Used:704.76Variance (Projected minus Actual):2,482.99

Reason For Variance: Team members have been busy with other PROD project priorities. Will

rebalance hours.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name (TSME22-SelfSchedUI (483424)) Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 10,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 10,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This technical initiative will continue the work of the respondent facing scheduling interface that wasn't able to be

completed the prior fiscal year. In the prior fiscal the basic scheduling tool was created. The current remaining work centers around creating the manager side interface to be able to set parameters and the resulting data MSMS will need to provide the Blaise scheduler. Most of the development work is on the MSMS side to build and interface and the aggregation of data to pass to Blaise to use to determine which appointment slots can be

shown on the screen. This budget is for the Blaise side of the work, not the MSMS portion.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period
Socurity Plan

07/2021 - 06/2020

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:DC Start:DC End:

Other Project James Rodgers - Tech Lead

Team Members: Holly Ackerman - Developer (MSMS API and other appointment related work)

Peter Sparks - Developer (R facing page)

Other Project

Names:

 Sample Mgmt Sys
 NA

 Data Col Tool
 Blaise 5

 Hardware
 NA

 DE Software
 N/A

 QC Recording Tool
 N/A

 Incentive
 Not used

 Administration
 N/A

Administration N/A
Payment Type N/A
Payment Method N/A

Report Period Nov, 2021 (TSME22-SelfSchedUI (4834 Project Phase Planning

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Peter made edits to Spanish based on feedback from the PSID Spanish testing Camila is conducting.

Nothing to report yet on the work to be done. The remaining work on the self-scheduler is dependent on MSMS work prior. Andrew will discuss with the SRO MSMS dev priority review group about where this development is in priority (right now it's not high). Andrew will project time for Peter towards the end of the fiscal year for now.

Special Issues

Cost

1,363.89 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021

9,958.13 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: 10,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 41.87

Reason For Variance:

Projections Dec 08, 2021

0.00 Dollars Projected For Month: 444.34 Actual Dollars Used: -444.34 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Peter charged ~5 hours of time for some fixed prior to the next PSID

scheduler invite.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

(TSME22-ST international (483227)) TSME22 - ST international (483227) **Project Name**

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Developmental Initiatives Project Type Project Status Current

40,000.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 40,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: IRB

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung **Project Team** Project Lead: Janelle P Cramer Budget Analyst:

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: **Production Manager:** Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

this is a continued effort for ST international work **Description:**

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

01/1996 - 01/1996

Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

many members

Team Members:

Other Project

ST international (483227)

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA NA **Data Col Tool** Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive

NA NA

Administration NA **Payment Type Payment Method** NA

Nov, 2021 (TSME22-ST international (Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

too busy without resources **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

7,219.72 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 08, 2021 36,373.01 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

40,000.00 Total Budget: 0.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

limited activities Reason For Variance:

Projections Dec 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:4,424.83Actual Dollars Used:1,765.92Variance (Projected minus Actual):2,658.91

Reason For Variance: Looking forward to working on the to-do list

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				