Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored

March 2021



Sponsored Projects

(ACL6) Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6

(ACL-LIFE) ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation (ACLLIFE)

(AFHS) American Family Health Study

(ALS Controls) ALS Matched Control Recruitment

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(C.A.R.E.) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium

(CBII) Mellon College and Beyond II

(CDS-19) PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019)

(DAWN CS) Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement

(ECHO) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes

(EDCPE) Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation

(EDC-Semi Structured) Every Dollar Counts Semi-Structured Interviews

(H&WB) Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan

(HCAP 2020) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(HRS 2020) Health and Retirement Study 2020

(HRS 2020 ABT) HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Test

(HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey) HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study

(IHDS3) India Human Development Survey Wave 3

(MARS 2) Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2

(MTF base year 2017-2022) Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022

(MTF HID) MTF High Intensity Drinking

(MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021) Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021

(PSID21) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021

(SCA 2021) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(VCT) Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection

(WMH-Qatar) Qatar World Mental Health Survey

Projects Report Query/Monthly Update Q

Select Calendar Year 2021 V

Click the month to pull up Project Monthly report for the month for that project type.

Click the Project Name to pull up Project Monthly Archive Report for the Project.

NonArchived Sponsored Projects Project Type Status Project Lead Jan Feb Mar ACL6 Terri Ann Ridenour Sponsored | Current ACL-LIFE Sponsored Current Terri Ann Ridenour **AFHS** Sponsored | Current Rebecca Gatward ALS Controls Sponsored Current Rebecca Loomis BFY Current Piotr Dworak Sponsored Donnalee Ann Grey-C.A.R.E. Sponsored | Current Farguharson CBII Sponsored Current Maureen Joan O'Brien CDS-19 Rachel Anne Orlowski Sponsored | Current DAWN CS Sponsored Current Juan Carlos Donoso **ECHO** Sponsored Current Terri Ann Ridenour Sponsored Current Karin Schneider **EDCPE** Karin Schneider EDC-Semi Structured Current Sponsored H&WB Sponsored Current Juan Carlos Donoso HCAP 2020 Sponsored Current Maureen Joan O'Brien HCDC, H&C Sponsored Current Barbara Lohr Ward Barbara Lohr Ward Sponsored Current HCDC, H&C HRS 2020 Sponsored Current Evanthia Leissou HRS 2020 ABT Sponsored Current Evanthia Leissou HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey Current Ian Ogden Sponsored IHDS3 Current Gina-Qian Yang Cheung Sponsored MARS 2 Sponsored Current Margaret Lee Hudson MTF base year 2017-2022 Sponsored Current Rebecca Gatward MTF HID Sponsored Current Peter Rakesh Batra MTF Panel (main data collection) Donnalee Ann Grey-Sponsored Current 2021 Farquharson PSID21 Sponsored Current Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye SCA 2021 Current Theresa Camelo Sponsored STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4 Current Meredith A House Sponsored VCT Current Andrew L Hupp Sponsored WMH-Qatar Sponsored Current Sarah Elisa Broumand

Project Name ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation (ACLLIFE) (ACL-LIFE)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 470,637.00 InDirect Budget: 263,556.00 Total Budget: 734,193.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Sarah Burgard (SRC, Population Studies / Sociology / Epidemiology)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project will conduct a Life History interview with the Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) panel by telephone

using centralized SSL interviewers (and possibly Field interviewers, if necessary).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 08/2021 02/2021 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: 02/15/2021 SS Train End:

DC Start: 02/22/2021 **DC End**: 08/23/2021

Other Project

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Team Members: Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst

Pooja Varma-Laughlin: Production Manager

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

TBD: Production Assistant

Helen (Hongyu) Johnson: SSI

Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer

Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Karl Dinkelmann: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Carlos Macuada: Data Manager

Chris Greene/Stephanie Windisch (ACL6 Data Managers): ACL-LIFE Data Management Consultants

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt SysSurveyTrakData Col ToolBlaise 4.8HardwareLaptop; Desktop

DE Software Laptop; Desktop

Other (Weblog (Locating and Logging Returned Calendars))

QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Check, post (\$30)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (ACL-LIFE)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Attention!

Monthly Update

We are currently projecting a project overrun. The main drivers are as follows:

(\$15,451) Fringe Benefits - higher average FB rate (~ 33%)

(\$13,095) Hybrid Staff model - includes Survey Techs and Field IWER with higher Field rate

(\$11,995) Programming additional Blaise requirements for Life History Grid functionality and enhancements requested by PI

(\$6,198) CAPI for Field Iwers/ rates increase (H&T rate increased from \$1.10 to \$1.39, CASIC increased from \$1.91 to \$2.01)

(\$921) Additional data management support for QC systems

(\$3,737) Help Desk support (only 40 hours included in proposal budget -- never enough)

(\$866) Field Operation - rate increase from original \$3.41 to \$3.53 (different than last month due to charge error in December)

Data Management

Because we've implemented protocol / data management efficiencies across the project and have been able to apply the many lessons learned from ACL6, we expected to have modest DM projections from the start. However, due to DM turnover and the assignment of an inexperienced data manager, we've incurred additional data management staff charges due to DM support, consultation and training.

We expressed our concerns to Laura and Gregg about these data management training costs incurred by our project. After further consultation with Laura (DataOps) and Ryan (Finance), and other projects, Gregg agreed to have some "training hours" (45) moved off of ACL-Life and on TSG OH account. Moving forward, we all agreed we'd work together to anticipate and communicate when the new data manager may need to charge beyond his allocation -- whether it's extra time for learning or whether it is scope-based increases.

Although the staff member is new to this data management role at SRO and to our project, he has learned so much in just a few short months (~2-3). I am confident that these valuable data management skills (and his growing list of talents) will be utilized by our organization in the months ahead.

Special Issues

We could use guidance on additional strategies to address projected overrun. We've reduced Terri's projections as well as data management hours. We're trying to be as efficient as possible while also prioritizing / preserving main data collection (lwer hours) needed to reach our current goal of 80% response rate.

Cost Apr 14, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 265,294.33

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 756,301.91

 Total Budget:
 734,193.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -22,108.91

Reason For Variance:

We currently project a cost overrun. Main drivers of the projected overrun include:

--Higher average fringe benefit rate

--Hybrid staffing model that includes more Field Iwers than SSL Iwers
--Increased Blaise programming required to meet client specifications
(life-history calendar grid, and additional historical events functionality)
--Higher actual Help Desk costs for training and production support (versus

what is included in proposal budget)

A full summary of drivers and amounts attributed to each may be found here:

L:\projects\ACL-LIFE\02 Budget\Cost Monitoring\Cost

Analysis\Overrun-underrun analysis\February\ACLlife Cost Needs

Spreadsheet 02152021.xlsx

Projections Apr 14, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:109,295.27Actual Dollars Used:96,136.93Variance (Projected minus Actual):13,158.34

Reason For Variance: The Interviewer hours projected are higher than actuals this month, as lab /

field staff settle into their routines and expectations were confirmed during the first month of ACL-Life data collection. Respondent incentives projected

were also higher than actuals.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	1,026 (n=1,283)	80%	5	
Current actual:	145		5	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Project Name ALS Matched Control Recruitment (ALS Controls)

Primary: Web **Project Mode**

Project Status Project Type Sponsored Projects Current

Budget Direct Budget: 193,780.00 InDirect Budget: 108,518.00 Total Budget: 255,139.51

Principal

Investigator/Client

Steven Goutman (Univ of Michigan - Med School)

Funding Agency

HUM#: **IRB**

HUM00148060

Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Rebecca Loomis

Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Peter Rakesh Batra

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This is a web screening project that is mailing letters to an ABS sample of 15,000 HH's in the Midland and Grand Rapids area. The letter contains a link to a 5-10 minute Qualtrics survey that screens eligible Respondents for a study that includes a blood draw. SRO's involvement is only with the Respondent screening and incentive payment for completing the screening questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

01/2020 - 12/2023 09/2020 - 10/2023

NA

Security Plan Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: GIT Start: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Dan Zahs (Sampling)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA

Data Col Tool Hardware

Other (Qualtrics)

DE Software

NA NA

NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

Yes, R

Administration

ISR Group (SRC Business Office)

Payment Type

Check, post (10)

Payment Method

NA

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (ALS Controls)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

March: Following the reactivation of ALS in February and the mailing of the invitation letters that had been printed and on hold since November, the PI team created a new version of the invitation letter to include wording on COVID safeguards. This new letter was used for the third mailing, which went out March 25, leaving one last batch of the original sampling design of 417 pieces to be mailed in April. After this, the team is open to a discussion of an increased sampling approach. There was a budget reallocation to reflect a 15.6% cut. This is currently not an issue as we are still projecting an underrun.

February: The ALS PI team received approval to resume research at our two targeted hospitals and asked SRO to send out the mailing that had been on hold since November. 417 pieces were mailed on Feb 18 and we have received 4 completed surveys since then. An updated invitation letter template mentioning COVID protocols was approved and this will be used for the March invitation letter mailing. We have resumed biweekly meetings with the PI team and will

discuss sampling strategies for the future, including the possibility of increasing the size and frequency of the mailings

December: The project continues to be on hold and may remain inactive for a few months until we get a clear indication from the PI that we are able to start up again. (This is mainly due to the fact that the two hospitals participating in the blood draws have stopped any non-essential service because of the increased Covd-19 cases.) Talks continue with the PI team regarding strategies once we resume regular mailings.

November: The PI had decided to skip the December mailing in consideration of respondents being overloaded with holiday mail, and to send a double batch in January. The November mailing had been sent to PrintCopyMail for printing and assembly but the week before the letters were scheduled to be mailed out, COVID spikes in Grand Rapids and Midland resulted in restrictions on tier 2 research studies. Respondents who completed the screening survey and were subsequently consented by Michigan Medicine can no longer be sent to the two area hospitals for blood draws. As a result, SRO mailings for this project are on hold until Michigan Medicine is allowed to proceed. A small number of completions from the October invitation letters continue to trickle in, requiring TOA checks to be printed and mailed.

October: The first batch of 417 letters was mailed 10/21/2020. We have 9 Qualtrics screener completes so far; 6 token of appreciation checks have been mailed and 3 more are pending.

September. Weekly check-in meetings with the PI/Project team have been set up. IRB approval for the amended documentation was received by the PI/Project Team and in the meantime we met with the project team to work on sampling questions and testing out the Qualtrics screening questionnaire. We are now on track to mail out the first batch of letters (n=417) to selected households at the end of October.

August. After 2 years of initial efforts, ASL Controls is finally ready to begin and the project teams for SRO and Michigan Medicine were assembled. Sampling methods were discussed and parameters particular to the study goals were agreed on, using an address based sample (ABS) through MSG. The letter to be sent to sample addresses was reviewed and revised. Additional screening questions of education, race, ethnicity, and gender were agreed to be added to the Qualtrics survey. These changes will need to be submitted in an amendment (being completed by the PI's team) to the IRB. U-M Printing Services will handle the printing, assembly, and mailing of the letters each month. Depending on IRB approval, and getting the sampling procedures finalized, the goal is to have the first batch of letters mailed by the end of September.

Special Issues

Cost Mar 31, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 2,193.27
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 166,153.10
Total Budget: 255,139.51
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 88,986.41

Reason For Variance:

The decrease in variance reflects the resumption of regular mailings in February and adjustments made for a budget reallocation. The previous budget total was \$302,298.00 now adjusted to \$255,139.51.

The variance is holding at this rate as the project was on hiatus since November. We received approval to send out the November mailing on Feb 18 and will plan to resume a regular schedule for as long as possible.

The variance is holding at this rate as the project is on hiatus. Once we are able to resume sending sample out to the field, we can establish a clearer picture of response rates and costs.

The full project scope and amount of work has not been fully projected out as we won't know about response rates until we have several month's worth of sample sent out to the field. Response to that will determine if we need to increase our mailings or use other methods to increase responses.

We are still in the early phases of this project. We are also waiting for projected TSG hours to be charged.

Project just starting and runs over 36 months. It will take a few months to see how response rates are tracking and how many people are completing the blood draw (managed by the PI).

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00Reason For Variance:none

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name American Family Health Study (AFHS)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Grant D Benson

Budget Direct Budget: 1,596,238.00 InDirect Budget: 893,895.00 Total Budget: 2,490,133.00

Principal Brady West (Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client William Axinn, Mick Couper and James Wagner (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

HUM#: 00167171 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Rebecca GatwardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to evaluate the feasibility of collecting national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representative sample using a self-administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study.

We plan to screen around 42,120 randomly selected U.S. households by mail and web to identify an eligible population (aged 18-49 years). The screening work will be divided across two successive nine month periods (n=21,060 per effort). Each 'replicate' is a fully representative sample of U.S. households. Eligible respondents will be invited to complete three modules of content (administered separately or one instrument), either online or a paper questionnaire. The plan is obtain at least one returned module from 4,000 respondents.

There will be a two month gap between replicates. The first replicate will begin in September 2019 and the second in around July 2020.

The sample (addresses) will be selected via Market Systems Group (MSG). The following response assumptions have been made: at the screening stage, we estimate a response rate of 50% (around 21,000). Of these we expect approximately 12,000 addresses to contain an eligible person. In households with more than one eligible person we will randomly select one person.

The contact protocol for the screener and main data collection is designed to, initially, push completion by web. Addresses or selected participants will only be mailed a paper screener or questionnaire after reminders encouraging completion online. This is a recent change to the protocol and was made after the SRO budget proposal was provided to the PI.

The main interview consists of three modules which will replicate almost all NSFG content. The PI group is leading the process of reviewing and translating the content to web format and will provide specifications for programming to SRO.

The following revisions have been made to the study design as detailed in the latest budget proposal (SRO scope of work);

- o We will use an external vendor to scan returned paper screeners, rather than manual data entry. This vendor will also format the paper forms, print materials and be responsible for most of the respondent mailings.
- o The original study design did not include web as a mode of data collection for screening. Additional funding from SRC has been obtained by the Principal Investigator to cover the development of a web screener and associated data management and reporting costs.
- o Telephone reminder calls remains one of the steps in the non-response protocol for the main data collection. However, it is likely that this effort will be targeted to a subset of the selected sample, rather than across the entire sample.
- o The amount budgeted for the TOA for the screener was \$2 and \$5 50% of the sample to receive each amount. The TOA amount will now be \$2 for the full sample. We may provide an additional TOA of \$5 to a subset of the non-responders as part of one of the follow-up reminder mailings (depending on available budget).
- o The eligibility age range for the study has been changed from 15-49 to 18-49. This eliminates the need to contact to parents/guardians of all eligible respondents who have not reached the age of majority to gain consent to participate in the study. There are three states where the age of majority is above 18 years of age in these states we will adjust the selection protocol as necessary.
- o A further change to the study protocol is around completion of the main modules. In some circumstances we will provide Respondents the option to move directly to complete the main modules after completing the screener without being sent an invite in the mail/email to do so. This will only happen if the selected R is also the person who completed the screener.
- o An experiment around completion of the modules has been introduced to the protocol. Twenty per cent of the selected eligible respondents will be invited to complete the full 60 minute survey as one instrument, rather than

completing three separate modules.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 10/2022 05/2020 - 01/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/03/2019 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 04/21/2020 **DC End:** 10/31/2021

Other Project

SRO Team: Leah Roberts, Andrew Hupp, Laura Yoder, Rose Zdybel, Pooja Varma-Laughlin, Jim Rodgers, Colette

Team Members:

Keyser, Deb Wilson, Wen Chang

Other Project

During the budget proposal stage this project was known as 'A More Efficient Web-Based Approach to Collecting

Names:

Incentive

National Family, Fertility and Reproductive Health Data'.

Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool Hardware MSMS Blaise 5; SAQ Desktop

DE Software Othe

Other (Blaise 5 (for Mail questionnaires)); External vendor (TBD)

QC Recording Tool

N/A Yes, R SRO Gro

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type
Payment Method

Check, post (Modules 1 - \$20, Module 2 - \$20 and Module 3 - \$30); Cash, prepaid (Screener IW - \$2 Potentially Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Info. from MSMS and transfer information via

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (AFHS)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

March update

- Adaptive design expt. for Replicate 2 proposal reviewed and design agreed.
- Updated projection Replicate 2 inline with revised design.
- Some (~80) cases received additional no-response reminder calls to help boost reponse)

Special Issues

Response has been slow. We have encountered some severe mail issues due to the impact of the COVID pandemic

on USPS.

Cost

Apr 13, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,350,449.76

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,488,825.53

 Total Budget:
 2,490,133.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 1,307,47

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 1,307.47

Reason For Variance:The estimated cost at completion has been increased since last month.

Projections have recently been updated to reflect design changes to be

implemented for Replicate 2. These changes include increasing the sample

size by around 3,000 and sending a higher proportion of the

non-responders, at the screening stage, an increased TOA. Other design changes will be implemented focusing on optimising data quality.

Any underspend will be used by the end of the project.

Projections

Apr 13, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:33,405.34Actual Dollars Used:36,482.74Variance (Projected minus Actual):3,077.39

Reason For Variance: The source of the variance can mostly be attributed to non-salary costs -

TOAs. The amount was higher than projected because we recently checked that payments had been issued for all Respondents who completed a paper

survey over the last few months.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6 (ACL6)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 495,251.00 InDirect Budget: 277,340.00 Total Budget: 772,591.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Margaret Hicken (University of Michigan, SRC - SEH)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging

IRB HUM#:

HUM00153243 *Period Of Approval:* Pending

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst:Grace TisonProduction Manager:Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: James Koopman

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Led by Dr. Maggie Hicken, ACL6 will build on 5 waves (25 years) of data from the nationally-representative Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) study by adding a 6th wave of data collection (ACL6), along with blood collection for DNA methylation analysis. With ACL6, there will be data for over 30 years of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors experienced cumulatively over adulthood, which the investigators will link to DNA methylation and then examine the impact on healthy aging. The objective of ACL6 is to identify underlying epigenomic mechanisms linking racial residential segregation to key markers of healthy aging, for which there are known inequalities.

The ACL6 project period began in July of 2018, with SRO involvement starting in September of 2018. The data collection period is April to August 2019.

SRO's work scope includes survey data collection and Home Visit coordination. SRO will build systems that: 1) equip SSL interviewers (and potential low-level field interviewers at the end of the study) with tools to conduct survey data collection and sample management; 2) provide a basic mechanism (i.e. Weblog) for the Study Coordinator to log written consent received for the Home Visit, log specimen chain of custody and support SSL follow up efforts (reminder call outcomes); 3) support SRO coordination between the Core Study Team (CST), ExamOne, the 3rd party vendor responsible for Home Visit (health assessment, blood sample collection and health-related measurements), and CLASS lab biorepository; and 4) serve basic reporting needs to provide regular progress updates during the production period.

There is a broad overlap with the ACL5 study with about 15% of the questionnaire requiring revisions and additional items for Wave 6. SRO is responsible for the documentation for the complete ACL6 instrument, programming specifications in Blaise 4.8, developing SurveyTrak sample management system for use in the SSL, building the Weblog interface and creating Reports.

The Core Study Team (CST) conducted locating procedures and updated sample records with information about deceased members, prior to delivery of sample to SRO. Newsletters were sent to all living members of the Americans' Changing Lives cohort who participated in any of the previous 5 waves and asked to participate in Wave 6. All surviving ACL respondents (~1,526) are eligible to participate; there will be no screening for participation. After CST delivers the final ACL6 sample, SRO prepares/loads in SurveyTrak sample management system. On April 22 SRO mailed the pre-interview letter to potential interview participants, along with a prepaid incentive (\$30) to participate in the interview.

A 60-minute telephone interview will be conducted. Respondents will be asked to provide information on various social, economic, psychological, and health matters. SRO assumes 1,220 interviews will be successfully completed (an 80% response rate). It is also anticipated that more interviews may be conducted by proxy this wave (approximately 108 proxy interviews last wave). Although the data collection will primarily be conducted by SSL interviewers, SRO's systems will support the possibility of interviews to be conducted face-to-face for respondents who cannot be reached by telephone or prefer an in person visit. As data collection unfolds, SRO will revisit the need for face-to-face work with the PI and prepare a budget estimate for the scope of work based on location of respondents and other factors.

After the survey is completed, or the interview is suspended, the Interviewer will discuss the Home Visit and ask if the R is interested in participating. If R agrees, the Interviewer will obtain oral consent for the R to be contacted by ExamOne to schedule this Home Visit. SRO will send the R a pre-home visit packet by mail, which includes: (a) a letter briefly describing this second part of the study; (b) two copies of the consent form, one to sign and provide to the ExamOne Health Professional (HP) and one to keep; and (c) a \$50 incentive for participating in the Home Visit.

During the Home Visit, the Health Professional (HP) will collect the signed informed consent document. The signed U-M consent form will be mailed by ExamOne to the Home Visit Study Coordinator and logged in Weblog.

SSL interviewers will be responsible for reminder calls related to the Home Visit (e.g. Rs who do not schedule their appointment with vendor). Iwers will use the Weblog system to record outcomes.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 09/2019 04/2018 - 08/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 02/25/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:
 04/01/2019

 SS Train Start:
 04/02/2019
 SS Train End:
 04/03/2019

 DC Start:
 04/23/2019
 DC End:
 09/14/2019

Other Project Team Members:

Names:

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager Jaime Koopman: SSI (Blaise/STrak Specs)

Gary Hein: SSI (Weblog Specs)
Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)
Becky Scherr: SSA (Project Coordination)

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst Lisa Carn: Production Manager

Russ Stark: SSL STrak systems consultant and lab management

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Stephanie Windisch (and Chris Greene): Data Managers

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Dave Dybicki: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project "Racial inequalities in health throughout adulthood: The cumulative impact of neighborhood chemical and

non-chemical stressors on epigenomic pathways" (aka, "Social epigenomics of racial health inequalities - SERHI")

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8
Hardware Desktop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$30 (interview)); Other (Check, prepaid - \$50 (consent to WBD/making home appointment))

Payment Method NA

Report Period Mar, 2021 (ACL6) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update ACL6 data collection will resume as soon as there is eligible sample (based on ACL-Life interview outcome). Right

now we have 6 cases and are awaiting for IRB approval for follow up.

Please see this folder for latest Proposal Docs that were submitted: L:\projects\ACL6\02 Budget\Proposal Docs\Reboot Ballpark Estimate

Special Issues

Cost

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 773,530.88

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 776,382.34

 Total Budget:
 772,591.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -3,791.34

Reason For Variance: Please see Monthly Updates.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used:

38.87

Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): -131.35

Reason For Variance:

Data management charges due to ACL6 (Tel-only Reboot) activity.

Transferring active sample to Pooja and other related SurveyTrak and DBA tasks.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	1,058 (1,526 sample)	80%	5	
Current actual:	729	54%	6.7	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Other Measures

Home Visit Consent

Goal at Completion: 700

Current Actual: 413 Current Undecided: 20 Project Name Baby's First Years (BFY)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,603,310.00 InDirect Budget: 1,825,015.73 Total Budget: 6,428,325.73

Principal Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine)

Investigator/Client Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University)

Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) **HUM#:** HUM00137963 **Period Of Approval:**

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019

Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 10/2017 - 12/2020 04/2018 - 12/2020

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2017 Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018 Pretest End: Staffing Completed: 02/07/2018 GIT Start: 03/19/2018 SS Train Start: 03/20/2018 SS Train End: 03/22/2018 DC Start: 05/07/2018 **DC End:** 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members:

Stephanie Chardoul (SPA) Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM) Jim McClure (DCS) Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer) Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager) Dave Dybicki (Blaise) Colette Keyser (Blaise)

Tricia Blanchard (MSMS) Kyle Goodman (Help Desk) Other Project

Names:

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS**

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool

Other (to be specified)

Incentive Administration

Yes. R

SRO Group Payment Type Cash, prepaid (50)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (BFY)

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Project updates:

BFY Age 2 continues on pace and started exceeding overall goals. We are currently @ 74% completion rate with a goal of reaching 93 - 94% by the end of June. As response rate increases the gap between control (less cooperative) and treatment (more cooperative) cases is growing again. This gap was all but eliminated but we have a longer tail of control cases that have been breaking appointments and not cooperating at the same rate as treatment cases. BFY has been approved by U-M to restart in-person locating visits by TCCU, NYSPI, and U-M however TCCU required an update to the Human Subject PEERS certifications and is reviewing submission before in-person visits start. Work on Age 3 has started with instrument programming, preload building, and collecting requirements for MSMS refinements. Preliminary scope of Age 3 includes a maternal survey similar to Age 2 administered via phone. The capstone lab visit conducted by the PIs is delayed due to Covid until age 3.5 - 4. U-M proposal to continue following up with Moms ages 3 - 4 before the lab visit has been accepted extending U-M involvement in BFY through 2023.

Data collection / Sample:

Weekly goals and actual by site:

Goal Actual +/-

Overall 711 730 19 Nebraska 223 224 1 189 203 14 New York Minnesota 86 85 -1 Louisiana 213 218 5

Age 2 Staffing:

13 iwers in total (-3 from 16 in September)

NE: 1 attriiton; remaining 1 + 1 iwer with limited time (both NH from Jan 2020)

MN: 2 (1 Enrollment NH / 1 Jan 2020 hire) NY: 4 OS (3 with Enrollment experience)

NOLA: 3 (1 attrition due to fulltime job, 1 attrition after PIP, 2 Enrollment NH and 1 OS / 2 from Jan 2020 hire)

Locators: 2 (OS, one with shared responsibilty as a traveler)"

Technical system:

Work on Age 3 has commenced with instrument programming. We don't anticipate expansive development of MSMS other than refinement of the existing features and capitalizing on ongoing development.

Finances:

Total project overrun has increased in February despite savings in Direct (salary/non-salary) costs since it has been communicated to the PIs. This continues to be a concern. Increase is due to adjustments to IDC and fees and offsets savings in direct costs. This increase does not yet include estimated + \$10K in CASIC adjustments.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):5,064,419.82Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):6,864,521.24Total Budget:6,428,325.73Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-436,195.51

Reason For Variance:

Project is awaiting March budget update. The budget as of February 2021 has been communicated to the Pls and they are identifying funding sources to cover the overrun. February overrun continued to grow despite savings in direct costs due to difficulties projecting a mixed-funding flow of indirects.

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:95,918.74Actual Dollars Used:100,876.15Variance (Projected minus Actual):-4,957.41

Reason For Variance: Savings in salary cost were actually higher but were offset by adjustments

to fees. Lower iwer time and lower management cost.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	711	71%	7.0	
Goal at Completion:	950	96%	7.0	
Current actual:	730	73%	5.4	
Estimate at Complete:	950	96%	6.0	
Variance:				

Project Name Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium (C.A.R.E.)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,144,724.28 InDirect Budget: 302,360.14 Total Budget: 1,447,084.42

Principal Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)

Investigator/Client Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of Wisconsin)

Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University School of Medicine)

Funding Agency

 IRB
 HUM#:
 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst:William LokersProduction Manager:Kathleen S LadronkaSenior Project Advisor:Barbara Lohr Ward

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 24 months commencing in January 2019 with data collection taking place during a 20-month period, beginning April 2019. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$1,034,833 (\$821,295 direct, \$213,538 indirect), budgeted at the NCAA's published indirect cost recovery rate of

26%.

SRO will provide consultation, respondent location activities and data collection for the NCAA-DoD Grand Alliance (Cumulative and persistent effects of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure: An intermediate follow-up study of US Military Service Academy members and NCAA student-athletes in the CARE Consortium) project. Specifically, SRO will provide consultation on respondent locating and panel maintenance procedures and instruments, locate and contact respondents by mail and phone to prompt them to access the online data collection questionnaire, and conduct telephone interviews with participants who fail to respond to invitations to complete follow-up interviews on the web.

The estimate assumes that approximately 7,500 (approximately 5,000 in the first year and 2,500 in the second year of the project) respondents are included in the initial outreach/email request to complete the online instrument by your research team, and that approximately 20% comply. The remaining 6,000 respondents will be followed up by SRO with locating, further contacts and prompting to complete the web survey. Finally, we anticipate direct outreach by telephone interviewers with access to the online application. All respondent incentives will be paid by the research staff.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 12/2018 - 05/2021 02/2019 - 04/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kathy LaDronka, William Lokers, Hongyu Johnson, Keith Leibetreu, James Koopman

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (QuesGen) Data Col Tool Other (QuesGen)

Hardware Laptop

DE Software External vendor (QuesGen)

QC Recording Tool

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by Consortium)

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (C.A.R.E.)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Project Management:

- It is confirmed that data collection will extend to April 30 with post work continuing into June 2021. Extra funds to cover the newly planned work extension have been received. Projection and budget reflect estimates for this extension.
- -Development continues on the management system as does its documentation and the updating of field protocols.

Data Manager:

- Continue to refine Dashboard and FPR reporting and QC systems and tools.
- Continue to conduct daily QC

Questionnaire:

N/A

System

- continue to develop spec and Monitor & troubleshooting for system
- Developing CSMS specification for next wave data collection.

- Received Release#8 (1192) sample (all exposed cases subjects)
- Emphasis on locating. Working on alternative options to assist locating team.
- Developing optimal strategy for the final three months of production, such as planning bonus
- Monitoring production and setting priorities

Production Stats as of 03/31/2021:

Overall RR: 37.20%

Total Released Cases: 8879 Total Complete IW: 3020

Total Complete WEB IWs = 2802; CATI Comp Iws= 210

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,234,672.36 Mar 31, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,403,967.26

Total Budget: 1,447,084.42 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 43,117.16

Reason For Variance: 1. Projection will be adjusted to reflect the full work scope for the data

collection extension.

2. Received new Release#8 sample cases.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 68.819.17 60,485.38 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 8,333.79

Reason For Variance: Field hours are a less than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Project Name Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement (DAWN CS)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 301,960.00 InDirect Budget: 169,097.00 Total Budget: 471,057.00

PrincipalKristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, ISR)Investigator/ClientToni Antonucci (Life Course Development Program, ISR)

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00154638 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Juan Carlos Donoso

 Budget Analyst:
 Parina Kamdar

 Production Manager:
 Taghreid Lovell

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Conduct 600 phone interviews: 200 white, 200 black, 200 MENA respondents.

200 white Rs and 50 black Rs will come from wave 3 of the Social Relations Study sample.

200 MENA Rs and 150 black Rs will come from newly screened sample.

30 minute instrument, including a section on social relations, short cognitive assessments, experiences during

COVID pandemic and socio-demographic questions.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period
Security Plan
Milestone Dates

10/2020 - 05/2021 12/2020 - 04/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2020 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

 Staffing Completed:
 11/10/2020
 GIT Start:
 11/30/2020

 SS Train Start:
 12/02/2020
 SS Train End:
 12/04/2020

 DC Start:
 12/07/2020
 DC End:
 04/15/2021

Other Project Ian Ogden, Kasyera Kowalczyk, Lisa Van Havermaet, Ashwin Dae, Jeffrey Smith, David Dybicki, John Gawlas, Brad

Team Members: Goodwin
Other Project D-AMP CS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Mar, 2021 (DAWN CS) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update - ACF sample released to interviewers.

- Tech team continued to work on ST redesign for RDS implementation

Special Issues

Almost half (998) of the 2,100 phone numbers attempted by interviewers have been disconnected or belong to businesses and not residential addresses. After meeting with Dan Zahs and the LCD team, it has been decided to move to an RDS approach.

- The LCD team met with Sunghee Lee and is looking at the option of distributing coupons with respondents and encouraging them to pass to their network members.
- · The proposed design is described below:
- o The contact protocol would be modified to include sending three coupons to any ABS black or MENA respondents who complete the interview. Coupons can be sent to the Rs via email or text message.
- o Each coupon would contain a unique identifier that would allow us to track the number of coupons sent out and to link them to the "seed" respondent for reporting purposes.
- o The recipient of a coupon can contact SRO via the Qualtrics Self Scheduler (already in place), our study address, or the 800 line. Once contacted, we would create a new sample line in the ST screener project, contact the informant and conduct the screener interview. If the informant or someone in their household is eligible, a main line will be spawned in the ST Main project, as per usual.
- o If the recipient of a coupon completes the main interview, the seed respondent would receive an additional \$5 TOA.
- We have an estimate for programming hours for ST as well as for reporting systems and an estimate for testing hours. We are working on projecting the impact this would have on our budget, including the estimated cost of offering the additional \$5 incentive.
- SRS sample not performing as expected. Out of 334 lines released, 132 completed interviews. Pls were hoping for 200 white and 50 black completed interviews.
- . Because of lack or resources, roll out of updated technical systems could take until mid april and production is scheduled to end in May.

Cost Mar 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 287,995.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 467,377.00

 Total Budget:
 471,057.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 3,679.00

Reason For Variance: Latest cost report reflects all costs associated with implementing RDS

design and a projected extension of production through the end of June.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:70,004.00Actual Dollars Used:37,061.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):32,942.00Reason For Variance:Low interviewer hours.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO)

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 261,360.00 146,362.00 Total Budget: 407,722.00

Nigel Paneth (Michigan State University) Principal Investigator/Client Michael Elliott (University of Michigan)

Jean Kerver (Michigan State University)

Funding Agency

NIH

HUM#: **IRB**

HUM00139050 Period Of Approval: 10/2/2020-8/13/2021

Terri Ann Ridenour **Project Team** Project Lead: Parina Kamdar **Budget Analyst:**

Production Manager:

Evanthia Leissou Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Ian Ogden Production Manager: Sharon K Parker

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The goal of ECHO is to understand pressing childhood health concerns such as autism spectrum disorders, low birth weight and childhood obesity. The project will collect survey data and a series of bio-specimens in order to assess the effects of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals, maternal nutritional or weight status in pregnancy, and pregnancy infection and inflammation on the health of children.

The study includes two sample cohorts: a cohort from previous, ongoing data collection efforts as well as new sample. This existing sample cohort includes mothers and children recruited in 2011 or later for the Archive for Research in Child Health (ARCH) study in the Lansing area. The newly recruited sample cohort, MARCH (Michigan Archive for Research in Child Health), will consist of 1,100 pregnant women: 1) a statewide probability sample of 1,000 women from 20 prenatal clinics affiliated with 10 hospitals located in Ann Arbor, Dearborn, Detroit, Grand Rapids, Novi, Saginaw, Port Huron and Traverse City, and 2) 100 women from one clinic in Flint.

SRO's work scope is divided into two phases. During Phase 1, SRO provided assistance with prenatal questionnaire development and designed technical systems for MARCH cohort recruitment conducted by Michigan State University-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers and affiliated project staff. During Phase 2, SRO developed systems and is conducting data collection for MARCH sample 3-month. The MARCH age 4-5 follow up interviews and home visits are also in SRO's work scope. All other follow up protocols with the MARCH sample will be administered via REDCap by the MSU team (e.g. at 9-month, age 2 and age 3).

For the MARCH sample, expectant mothers are recruited during their initial prenatal visit to a healthcare provider. During a follow up phone call, respondents are asked to complete an interview about nutrition, levels of physical activity, use of healthcare services, physical and mental health, prescription medications and other substance use. In addition, women are asked to give blood samples in the first and second trimesters and urine samples in all three trimesters.

The sample recruitment and administration of prenatal interviews is conducted by MSU-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers using SRO's technical systems and laptops. SRO programmed all questionnaires administered during recruitment, the sample management system(s), and the system to keep track of the collection and storage of blood and urine samples. When babies are born, the research team obtains hospital birth records for the mother and child and a placenta sample. The first MARCH babies were born in early 2018.

SRO's data collection activities start after the MARCH babies are born. The first interview with the mother is done when the baby is 3-6 months old. Mothers are interviewed again by MSU when the children are 9-12 months old, and yearly after that until the child is 4 years old. When the children are 3 months old, mothers are asked to provide a child fecal sample and toenail clippings, and at age 4 they will provide shed teeth. Children from the MARCH cohort will be assessed using standardized developmental assessments at 4-5 years old. The assessments will be done during in-home visits.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 03/2023

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Ian Ogden: Project Manager Parina Kamdar: Financial Analyst

Gregg Peterson: Senior Technical Advisor Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Mark Simonson and Andrew Piskorowski: Data Managers (Phase 1)

Brad Goodwin: Data Manager (Phase 1) Jeff Smith: Systems Programmer Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer Hueichun Peng: CAI Programmer-Illume Jim Hagerman: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Shaowei Sun: Biospecimen Logging Application Programmer

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Illume Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Illume

Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil Hardware **DE Software** Other (Custom Biospecimen Logging Application)

QC Recording Tool Camtasia Yes, R Incentive Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Check, post (\$20 (3-Month IW), \$20 (Biospecimen))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (ECHO)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Age 4-5 Protocols

We're working with Marsha and Gregg to begin reviewing the REDCap data collection system used for Age 4-5 protocols and assessing requirements for possible integration into SRO systems (e.g. sample management, reporting, QC). We're also exploring REDCap's ability to be administered offline in-person at R's homes (MARCH Cohort).

Re-Engagement Plan

Recruitment in 4 prenatal clinics is active and beginning to pick up pace. There have been 58 women recruited since 8/18/20. The team is hopeful that recruitment will continue to pick up as the number of COVID cases decreases, public's confidence in COVID vaccinations increases, and expecting mothers (and their significant others) feel more comfortable with unnecessary exposure and risk associated with engaging in face to face research activities. Although the new prenatal protocol allowing women to include their spouse has helped with recruitment, and the ability to recruitment women attending their 2nd prenatal visit, the MSU team believes that prospective participants are weary of the 6-year commitment to the study.

- --Ann Arbor (St. Joe)
- --Novi (St. John)
- --Dearborn (Beaumont)
- --Detroit (DMC)
- --Saginaw (Covenant)
- --Grand Rapids (Spectrum-Butterworth)
- --Port Huron (McLaren)

Production Stats

To date, 905 women have been recruited in clinics. Among those cases, 747 were still eligible and active study participants during Phase 1 (prenatal period up until child birth). The MARCH recruitment target is 1,100 live births. _____

[Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection Summary]

Babies born: 696

3-month sample released: 702 3-month interviews completed: 501 3-month biospecimen collected: 309

Average attempts / lw: 7.6

Iw length: 31.48 Response Rate: 72%

Reason For Variance:

Special Issues

Cost Apr 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 202,832.82

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 324,449.58

 Total Budget:
 407,722.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 83,272.42

The project has a growing underrun, which is expected. Dr. Elliott has informed the PI, Dr. Paneth, and the MSU study office team. Prenatal enrollment by non-SRO recruiters has started to pick up (Phase 1).

We've entered a 6 month period of no new cases being released to the 3M protocol until June-July. Production will be maintained (at very low levels) through at least some of this period. Field effort will not be fully suspended, but is expected to be informally scaled down and scaled up as babies born following the COVID-related pause in recruitment age into the 3-Month protocol.

We reduced projections for interviewer hours (and participant costs/incentives) and 3M project management support based on updated sample release projections. We will continue to monitor trend before we reduce further.

As a result of the six-month recruitment suspension, and overall slow enrollment activity, much of the 3M follow-up data collection workscope (Phase 2) that happens 10 months after recruitment will shift to Project Y6 (September, 2021). In May, as we work on the budget for Y6, we will discuss with the client the updated workscope, budget and likely request the use of carry forward funds.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:36,667.29Actual Dollars Used:31,444.87Variance (Projected minus Actual):5,222.42

Reason For Variance: Illume RDC / DatStat annual payment projected to hit this month, but did

not.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	See Monthly Updates			
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation (EDCPE)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 2,011,810.00 InDirect Budget: 603,543.00 Total Budget: 2,615,353.00

Principal Y Combinator Research

Investigator/Client Dr. Elizabeth Rhodes (Y Combinator Research)

Dr. Sarah Miller (University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00145626 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Karin Schneider

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager:Donnalee Ann Grey-FarquharsonProduction Manager:Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

Proposal #: no data

Description: Y Combinator Research (YCR) and affiliated researchers at Stanford University are undertaking this randomized controlled trial as an exploration of an alternative to the traditional social safety net. Basic income is a method of redistributing resources to address economic insecurity. This study will examine individual-level effects of the

receipt of monthly unconditional payments.

The budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 22 months commencing in April 2018 with the main data collection taking place during a 9-month period, beginning March 2019. A single-county pilot of 80 cases is expected to take place over a two-month period in the late summer of 2018.

YCR is expected to select counties in two states and census tracts within counties to be included in the study, and sample addresses within these tracts. YCR will then send outreach/enrollment packets to the addresses requesting that households respond online (web-based form) and complete the study screener. After screening, YCR will select approximately 3,500 households that are eligible to be enrolled in the main study by in-person visits. SRO will approach these 3,500 households and conduct the baseline data collection with approximately 3,000 individuals. Following the baseline data collection, YCR will randomize the participants to the treatment and control groups and continue monthly payments and further contacts.

Prior to the main data collection, YCR will implement address selection and outreach within Alameda County and SRO will conduct an 80-case pilot data collection with in-person enrollment interviews. In the pilot, YCR will implement an experimental protocol to test recruitment methods that result in higher response and take-up rates.

Sampling:

 YCR is responsible for sample selection and for providing SRO with selected respondent contact information for both the pilot and main data collection.

Questionnaire Development:

- YCR will provide SRO with finalized questionnaires to be used for the pilot and baseline enrollment, with approximately 80% overlap between the two instruments.
- The questionnaire will collect demographic information, baseline measures of outcome variables (e.g., subjective wellbeing, financial health, employment, income, self-rated health and healthcare utilization, housing stability, food security, material hardship, etc.) and control variables (e.g., educational attainment).
- SRO will provide Spanish translation of the pilot and baseline enrollment instruments.

Computer Assisted Interviewing (CAI) Programming:

· SRO will program and test the 45-minute pilot and baseline instruments in both English and Spanish.

Sample Control Systems:

• SRO will customize our sample management system to control all screened sample provided by YRC, and track all appointments, progress, and outcomes at each sampled address for both the pilot and main data collection.

Training

- SRO will hire and train approximately 28 total interviewers (including three interviewers to conduct the pilot) data collection. Separate trainings will be held in each state for the main study and one training will be held for the pilot.
- SRO will develop all study-specific interviewer training materials in consultation with YCR.

- Interviewers new to SRO (approximately 23) will receive approximately two days of training on general interviewing techniques. The entire interviewing staff will then receive approximately two additional days of training on study-specific protocols.
- · Approximately 30% of the field staff will be bilingual.

Data Collection:

- For the main data collection, SRO will visit approximately 3,500 addresses of screened households up to three times to confirm participation and conduct the baseline data collection with 3,000 participants
- o Two regions included: 1500 participants in Michigan, 1500 in California
- o Multi-county area in Michigan (assumed to include Wayne, Oakland, Macomb and Genesee counties) and a multi-county area in California (potentially, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced counties)

o At each eligible household, SRO will:	
□ Confirm participation consent	
 Request authorization to access participants' records in administrative data, and contact information for frien 	ıds
and family to help locate the participant if we cannot reach them	
□ Request participants complete a W-9	
 Determine if the participant has consistent internet access, a smartphone with text capability (confirm number 	er
by sending a test text), and an e-mail address	
□ Provide individuals with a basic smartphone if they do not have consistent internet access (hardware costs a	are
not included in the SRO budget) and data plan (costs are not included in the SRO budget) for the duration of the	
study (determination that the participant has an alternative and no longer wishes to use the phone provided by the	е
study after this point of contact will be the responsibility of YCR)	
☐ Give participant a pre-loaded bank/debit card with \$50 and help them register the card online	
□ Administer baseline survey, programmed by SRO, of approximately 45 minutes in length (in total, we have	
estimated a two-hour in-home interaction with the participant)	
□ Administer consent and collect the following physical measurements:	
□ Blood pressure	
□ Height and weight	

- We assume these procedures will be implemented in the single-county pilot, where SRO interviewers will visit households pre-selected by YCR.
- · All participant incentive payments are the responsibility of YCR.

Post Collection Processing:

- SRO will conduct standard data cleaning for the survey datasets administered by SRO interviewers.
- We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended.

Weighting and Estimating:

SRO will develop survey weights for analysis.

Deliverables:

- SRO will provide daily progress reports on baseline data collection to YCR as well as:
- o A summary of field methods at the end of the baseline data collection
- o A full dataset with all participant contact information for further follow up halfway through the data collection phase, and again at the close of the baseline phase,

The SRO budget does not include:

- Administrative data collection
- · Collection of expenditure data from financial institutions

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2018 - 02/2020 03/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Kirsten Alscer (SPA), Karin Schneider (Project Lead), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Project Manager) Marsha Skoman (Tech Lead), Jeff Smith (Tech Lead backup), Jim Hagerman (Blaise Programmer), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak Programmer), Chris Greene (Data Manager), Barb Homburg (Production manager), Carlos Macuada (Production Manager), Megan Gomez-Mesquita (Financial Analyst)

Other Project

EDC Program Evaluation

Names:

YCombinator Research Basic Income Study

Sample Mgmt Sys

Making Frak Meet

Data Col Tool Hardware

Blaise 4.8 Laptop NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

DE Software

NA NA NA

Administration **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (EDCPE)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Production and post production work are complete. Final invoice (still) to be sent to sponsor.

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 28, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 2,626,878.85 2,626,878.85

Total Budget:

2,615,353.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -9,750.92

Units Complete

Reason For Variance:

Slight overrun is due to more than expected closeout work associated with phone consents and other data management work. PI Approved an additional ~\$12,000.00 to be paid to SRO which will cover the overrun. Final

invoice has not been sent to sponsor as yet.

Projections

Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

-1,864.21 -1,774.93

-89.28

Some staff time charges (made in error) were reversed. Overrun due to

more than expected closeout work. PI has approved payment of funds to

HPI

cover this overrun (see note above).

RR

Measures

Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Every Dollar Counts Semi-Structured Interviews (EDC-Semi Structured)

Primary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 351,246.00 InDirect Budget: 105,374.00 Total Budget: 456,620.00

Principal Stephanie Chardoul (SRO)

Investigator/Client Elizabeth Rhodes (YCR/OpenResearch)

Jessica Wiederspan (YCR/OpenResearch)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Lead: Karin Schneider **Project Team**

> Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Rebecca Loomis

no data Proposal #:

Description: There are two phases of the project. In Phase 1, the PI provides a selected list of respondents from the EDC Main

study, SRO contacts and conduct 140 semi-structured interviews with them by phone. In Spring 2021, the second

phase will be a re-interview of these same 140 respondents, also by phone;

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2020 - 06/2021 08/2020 - 05/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 04/01/2020

Staffing Completed: 06/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 06/20/2020 SS Train End: 07/05/2020 DC End: 06/30/2021 DC Start: 08/01/2020

Other Project

Karin Schneider, Barb Homburg, Becky Loomis, Kasyera Kowalczyk

Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8 Hardware Laptop **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Yes, Other (By PI) Incentive

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (EDC-Semi Structured) Report Period

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

We are a little delayed in getting things finalized for Phase 2, so less was spent last month, more of the prep is going **Monthly Update**

to be done actually in April. We have slightly reduced sample for Phase 2 (129 lines), the PIs want to do some (about 15) interviews themselves to feel closer to the data and so that they can update the interview protocol (paper-based) more readily, using their own experience and the interviewers' feedback. Pls want to try the self-scheduling app

10to8, so we are piloting that on about 30 lines to see if it works well for Rs and interviewers.

Phase 2 training is April 19-23. Plan to start production shortly after that.

Special Issues None. Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 252,880.00 Mar 17, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 379,228.00

Total Budget: 456,620.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 77,391.00

Reason For Variance: We are no longer projecting any travel as we are sure we will stay on the

phone for Phase 2, so large underrun is now showing.

Projections Mar 17, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 18,106.00 Actual Dollars Used: 16,886.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance: None

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	140		4	
Goal at Completion:	155			
Current actual:	156		5.6	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020 (HCAP 2020)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 3,300,000.00 InDirect Budget: 1,188,000.00 Total Budget: 4,488,000.00

Principal Kenneth Langa (SRC)
Investigator/Client David Weir (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00099822 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien

Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager: Dianne G Casey
Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Building off the 2016 Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (SRO #15-0011R01) experience, this project will

involve the completion of a face-to-face CAPI interview, designed to provide a dementia assessment of HRS respondents. A sample of 4649 respondents (one per household) who are 65 years of age or older will be selected for this effort. The questionnaire will be administered to respondents after their HRS 2020 interview has been completed. The sample will not be clustered geographically. We propose to staff a team of approximately 32 interviewers. It is expected that this team will carry out well-planned regional trips in order to complete the 3200 in-person interviews. The respondent questionnaire length is expected to be 60 minutes. An informant interview will also be completed for each of the respondents interviewed. The informant questionnaire is expected to be 25

minutes and can be administered by telephone.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 01/2020 - 12/2021 07/2020 - 08/2021

Security Plan NA Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: PDMG: Tony Romanowski, Lisa VanHavermaet, Kasyera Kowalczyk. TSG: Jeff Smith, Brad Goodwin, Peter Sparks,

Ashwin Dey, Deb Wilson

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA

QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (50); Check, post (25)
Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Mar, 2021 (HCAP 2020) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update A cost estimate of effort for creating a video training of the HCAP test modules which could be used by all of the

HCAP sister studies was provided and approved by the Pl's and HRS research team. Shortcodes were provided to SRO staff (SSS, SSA, budget analyst, instructional learning staff). Slides of the five tests have been completed and are being reviewed or have been reviewed by a second team member. Some have been sent to and approved by HRS. Project lead is writing a scripts and a trainer guide to go along with the slides. Regarding production start date, we are still on pause due to COVID restrictions for projects with FTF data collection. 2022 is now strongly being

considered by PI staff as a start date, to line up more with HRS start date. The PI's are aware of lead time needed for recruiting, preparations for updating and completing systems development, training, and securing of training venue. At this point the PI's would like to continue with a FTF interview plan, and are not considering phone interviewing of any sort for informants or R's. R interviews consist of a series of cognitive tests, some of which would be very difficult to administer over the phone. The project lead will continue to meet with the PI's on a monthly basis to revisit project Key Dates. Projections will be pushed forward month by month.

Special Issues

Planning pretest-training and pretest, production training during global pandemic.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 182,085.99 Apr 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 4,140,612.28

Total Budget: 4,488,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 347,387.72

Reason For Variance: The budget was healthy and was fully funded. We are adding efforts in to

benefit the project - see below for detail.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 1,813.91 1,834.93 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 21.02

Reason For Variance: Hours were accurately projected.

Measures

Project Name Health and Retirement Study 2020 (HRS 2020)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 11,961,346.00 InDirect Budget: 4,306,085.00 Total Budget: 16,267,431.00

Principal David Weir (ISR-SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM00061128 **Period Of Approval**: 10/3/18-10/2/19

Project Team Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou

Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:Andrea SimsSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G KirgisProduction Manager:Jennifer C ArrietaProduction Manager:Rebecca Gatward

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain a representative sample. A series of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a

self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records is

requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 08/2021 03/2020 - 03/2021

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 01/01/2019
 Pretest Start:
 11/11/2019

 Pretest End:
 11/23/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 09/01/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 12/15/2019
 GIT Start:
 02/17/2020

 SS Train Start:
 02/19/2020
 SS Train End:
 02/26/2020

 DC Start:
 03/02/2020
 DC End:
 05/02/2021

Other Project Team Members: Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordination), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Dan Tomlin (Project Manager), Gary Hein (Project Manager), Leah Roberts (Training Coordinator), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Anna Fuqua-Smith (Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul

Burton (Stats/Sampling)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); External vendor (DataForce Scanning SAQs)

QC Recording Tool

Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00); Check, post (\$50); Cash, post (20.00); Other (Money Order (by request))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Rpay system set up for MSMS); Interviewer payment Method

Report Period Mar, 2021 (HRS 2020) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Date collection began March 2nd. A couple weeks into March, in person data collection was halted due to COVID19

restrictions. All preferred mode TEL sample was released to the field and all preferred mode FTF sample was approved for phone interviews. Fifty percent of the preferred mode FTFe were approved for phone interviews with release mid June with the remainder released at the end of September. 3,849 web lines had been released as of August with the non-response follow-up being conducted by field interviewers in SurveyTrak. Limited effort protocol was put on hold in August with 1,000 cases re-activated in SurveyTrak for work by field interviewers. A subset of field sample was moved to web in January and February for a two-week protocol. Once the protocol was completed, the

non-final cases were moved back to the field.

Production has continued to slow down but interviewers have maintained an average of 20 hours per week ensuring they attempt all workable sample. In an effort to increase response rates, an additional 1,100 respondents were flagged to be offered an additional \$100 upon completion of the interview. Token offers are being made in multiple modes - text, email, voicemail, by phone during contact, self-scheduler, and letter. Interviewers began notifying sending the study ending soon message. SAQ and SSA mailings continued but the protocols changed for a group of sample selected to be mailed the OYMS COVID Mailer in May. Data collection has been extended to May 2, 2021 with a group of 24 interviewers.

The team continued to focus on technical development (as a result of the SAQ/SSA protocol changes), systems testing, coding, IRB submissions, updating goals, mailings, logging, and respondent support.

Measures noted in tables below are as of 4/10 (week 58)

Special Issues

COVID19 pandemic impact to conducting in person interviews and budget

Potential impact to locating find rate and response rate due to no FTF efforts

Multiple preload updates and critical issues identified in programming of the datamodel which delayed the release of fresh sample to the field staff in June

PI decision to delay final release of sample to field until late September

Resource strain on the MSMS team and data managers

Availability of essential staff resources for mail assemblies and logging

MSMS technical issues which can inform future development and enhancements needed

Impact to staff and respondents with Antibody Data Collection overlapping with HRS Core Data Collection

Multiple SAQ/SSA mail protocol changes during data collection.

Cost Apr 07, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 14,577,848.94

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 15,818,506.55

 Total Budget:
 16,267,431.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 448,924.45

Reason For Variance:

The 6 year renewal proposal, which includes 2020, was based on a targeted dollar amount. 2020 original projections (approved by project staff) were based the 2018 response rate and 2018 actuals. Estimated under-run is due to the change in study protocol in response to COVID19 with all of the FTF and enhanced FTFe cases approved for mode change to TEL, stopping interviewer SSA linkage consent, stopping WBD protocol, changing SAQ and SSA to be administered via mail with a phone follow-up, and COVID hours charged to date. Costs for transcription of one COVID question, SAQ and SSA mail protocol, and CASIC rate change have been added.

Projections Apr 07, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:510,502.31Actual Dollars Used:460,904.93Variance (Projected minus Actual):49,597.38

Reason For Variance:

Total salary costs were 3% under projections (hours were 2% over) for the month primarily due to an interviewer bonus projected in March that have been pushed forward now to April. Non-salary costs were under projections primarily due to Rpay and shipping. Projections have been reviewed and updated.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	16,736	72%	6.1	
Goal at Completion:	17,201*	74%	7.0	
Current actual:	16,723	72%	6.1	
Estimate at Complete:	16,867	73%	6.9	
Variance:	334	1%	0.1	

Other Measures

*including preferred mode web

Project Name Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan (H&WB)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

1,433,860.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 2,317,801.68 **Budget** Direct Budget: 802,964.00

Principal Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, SRC) Investigator/Client Toni Antonucchi (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00146040 Period Of Approval: 4/9/2020

Project Lead: Juan Carlos Donoso **Project Team** Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Theresa Camelo Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Ian Ogden Production Manager: Ian Ogden

no data Proposal #:

Description: Conduct 600 interviews with recently identified Arab Americans aged 65 or older residing in Southeast Michigan

and 330 interviews with Social Relations sample members aged 65 or older. The Arab American sample will be selected based on an in-person household screening. The interview will consist of a 60 minute core interview

(content from the Social Relations interview), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a series of physical

measurements. Social Relations respondents will only complete the cognitive interview. An informant interview will also be conducted for all sample members. Interviews will be conducted in English or Arabic. A pretest will be conducted in August 2019 with main data collection occurring from November 2019 through July 2020.

SRO Project Period

05/2019 - 08/2020 11/2019 - 07/2020 **Data Col Period** No

Security Plan Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2019 Pretest Start: 11/12/2019 Pretest End: 12/13/2019 Recruitment Start: 08/15/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2021 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 04/01/2021 DC End: 11/30/2021

Taghreid Lovell, Becky Scherr, Kallan Larsen, Dan Zahs, Pam Swanson, Dave Dybicki, Ashwin Dey, Brad Goodwin, Other Project

John Gawlas, Paul Burton Team Members:

Alzheimer's Disease Risk and Ethnic Factors: The Case of Arab Americans Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Weblog possibly) QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$60, \$15)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Mar, 2021 (H&WB) Report Period **Project Phase** Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Project Paused/No activity

Special Issues

Areas of the SRO work on D-AMP where the original specifications used to create the SRO budget did not hold up as we started implementing the development phase of the project include:

- Respondents from the Social Relations Study sample are also required to complete the core survey, in addition to
 the previously planned cognitive assessments and physical measures. This change was disclosed during the kick-off
 meeting, but the hours per interview (HPI) projection for Social Relations respondents was not adjusted to reflect this
 change.
- SRO staff invested more time than anticipated during the development of the cognitive tests, and the tests were more extensive and more complex to program than SRO anticipated;
- The Arabic translation process required involvement of SRO staff, which was not anticipated, and it took longer to finalize.
- The need to conduct more sessions for pretest training than originally budgeted due to delay in receipt of final instruments (English and Arabic) as well as the CDR certification.
- The realization that the complexity of the data collection protocol would require five more days of production training than originally budgeted, as well as 8 hours for CDR certification

SRO notified the PI team of an increase of \$25K in the projected overrun including the following explanation: The reasons for the increase in the projected direct cost overrun are explained below:

1.- Programming hours:

SRO Blaise programmers had to program a four hour survey in English and Arabic for pretest, which caused them to use most of the time projected for the duration of the project. Many of the cuts made to the cognitive assessment section have not been easy, because these removals impacted the delayed recall timers, which had to be reprogrammed.

There are also two additional instruments that had to be programmed after pretest (Proxy English and Arabic). While these instruments are similar to the main survey, there are lots of little programming changes (fills, first to third person, new social relations section, screen formatting) that imply multiple rounds of testing and bug fixing.

2.- Salary costs:

Taghreid Lovell was initially budgeted as a production assistant at approximately .6 FTE. A few months ago it became clear that Taghreid's involvement in translation and testing tasks as well as recruiting and training, so her projections were increased to a full FTE. A couple months ago, Taghreid was promoted to production manager, in part because of her amazing contributions to D-AMP. The current cost report reflects Taghreid's new salary.

3.- COVID Bank hours:

The COVID-19 bank of hours specified by U-M is being charged to shortcodes that employees would be charging if they were able to work, including sponsored projects. This is an allowable, though unanticipated, expense on our project. To date, 391 hours have been charged to D-AMP under the PT, EPSLA or FMLA shortcodes. These charges translate to \$16,500 in direct costs.

As we agreed during our last meeting, except for Ian Ogden, nobody from the SRO project management team will be charging any hours (beyond those already worked in May) during the stoppage in operations. Ian is leading our technical development efforts and the goal is to complete Blaise and Survey Trak programming by the end of July.

Cost Mar 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,002,922.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,365,996.00

 Total Budget:
 2,317,801.68

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -78,194.00

Reason For Variance:

The projected overrun increased because of 2022 salary increases.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:6,377.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-6,377.00

Reason For Variance: Hours charged to the wrong project. We have asked the people who

charged to please change their hours to DAWN-CS

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Budget 2,236,640.00 Total Budget: Direct Budget: 9,806,418.00 InDirect Budget: 12,043,058.00

Principal Sandra Newman (Johns Hopkins University)

Investigator/Client Tama Leventhal (Tufts University)

ним#:

Funding Agency

NICHD, HUD, RWJ Foundation, MacArthur Foundation

HUM00114794

IRB Period Of Approval: Barbara Lohr Ward **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge

Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson Production Manager: Becky Kay Scherr

Production Manager: Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

no data Proposal #:

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with ~ 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 09/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2018 Pretest Start:

> Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09/01/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 08/18/2020 SS Train End: 08/21/2020 DC Start: 08/24/2020 DC End: 02/06/2021

Other Project **Team Members:** Jeff Smith - Tech Lead, Paul Burton - DMSS, Gary Hein, PDMG, Ian Ogden, PDMG, Deb Wilson, Help Desk, Ashwin Dey, Webtrak/Weblog, Marsha Skoman, ST, Jim Hagerman Blaise

Other Project

Names:

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool

Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware

Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

DE Software

Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type Payment Method

Cash, prepaid (\$5 prenotification Wave 2); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child (Wave 1)); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office;

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Participated in biweekly project meetings with research team to discuss scope, cost projections and schedule.
- Proposed initial panel maintenance work scope
- Reviewed/monitored project expenses. Revised and adjusted monthly projections.
- Monitored subcontracts, project invoicing and payments.
- Prepared monthly spending estimates for each Task Order O
- Negotiated with Johns Hopkins regarding projected invoicing plan
- Prepared and delivered biweekly production reports.
- Managed production activities necessary to support field interviewing and Social Security form data collection:
- Kitted and executed mailings for Social Security form kits 0
- 0 Logged and scanned incoming Social Security forms.
- Managed respondent payments 0
- Mailed respondent incentives; reconciled cash/gift card funds. П
- Received and logged undelivered incentives; contacted respondents and re-mailed incentives
- Requested new gift cards.
- Updated sample management system with address updates received from respondents.
- Final Deliverables
- 0 Held weekly meetings to discuss the design and delivery of final deliverables
- Finalized final report format 0
- Continued review of data files (see Final Data Deliverables for more detail) O
- Edited specs for prospective panel maintenance and Wave 3 of data collection

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

- Attended project meetings.
- Processed weighting adjustments
- Imputed PI determined key statistics for use in non-response modeling and weighting calibration
- Created flow charts summarizing production
- Calculated response rates

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

Updated questionnaire documentation to correct variable names, document various Blaise data-model releases

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to date

Updated sample management system and reports as needed to support administrative records collection

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

Managed respondent payments

- Requested gift card funds.
- o Mailed tokens of appreciation for completed PCG interviews.
- Mailed tokens of appreciation for returned SSN forms.
- Reconciled shipped gift cards and closed funds as necessary.
- SSN/Records-matching mailings
- b Kitted and shipped materials for records-matching reminder mailing. Logged tracking numbers for each kit.
- ☐ Third Packet for cohort 2
- □ Second Packet for cohort 3
- o Reviewed incoming social security forms to identify those in need of remediation. Mailed forms for correction along with notated checklist. Logged tracking numbers.
- Continued reminder/assistance calling effort for SSN/Records-matching mailings
- □ Reminders issued in March across cohorts: 588

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

- Continued reviewing open-ended responses and interview remarks.
- · Processed data in anticipation of second interim data delivery

Task 10: Weighting % Task Spent to Date

See Task 2 Sampling for other activities

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

- · Continued preparation and review of data files
- Developed outline for final report
- Finalized specifications for full data delivery
- Delivered initial and second interim data deliveries
- See Task 1 Management for other activities

Special Issues

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics): Wave 2

- The delay in shipment of Business Reply Mail for the Social Security form mailing, and subsequent billing from USPS may impact the timing of receipt of final charges for incoming Business Replay Mail.
- Assuming that SRO has the use of all awarded NICHD funds, the project is projecting an underrun estimated between \$55,000 and \$75,000 in direct costs. The exact figure depends on the response rate to our social security form data collection effort, and the level of effort necessary to support that data collection. The underrun results from staffing changes at SRO brought about by the pandemic, the revised verification effort, as well as interviewer efficiency during production interviewing. There are some unknowns, such as the response rate for the administrative records forms and the level of support required for respondents for completion of the records forms. SRO will continue to update the research team on financial status.
- The implementation of the Emergency Family and Medical Leave Expansion Act (EFMLA) and the Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) impacted project costs. These are Federal and University-authorized project charges to cover lost work due to family care or inability to work due to the pandemic. We do not expect these charges to significantly impact SRC's ability to complete the H&C project within the current budget allocation.
- o For this billing period, \$0 Direct Cost were associated with use of EFMLA or FFCRA usage.
- The project was delayed from a budgeted start date of April 2020 until mid-August 2020 due to the coronavirus epidemic. The project was changed to an all-telephone administration. The delay and scope change impacted project costs related to additional questionnaire and sample management system programming changes, as well as ongoing management costs due to the project extension.
- o The reduction in work scope (no child questionnaire, no neighborhood observations, no in-person interviewing, and no biomarkers or physical measures) is expected to reduce data collection costs.
- o Change in verification protocols is expected to marginally reduce data collection costs while increasing the verification rate significantly.
- Continued changes to the questionnaires and scope which impact the sample management systems has led to cost overruns for questionnaire design and programming tasks.
- o The PCG questionnaires (in-area and out-of-area) for Wave 2 were not finalized until after the initial deadline. SRC added resources to allow us to meet revised questionnaire specification and IRB schedule for Wave 2, leading to increased costs over what is currently projected. This also reduced or eliminated any flexibility to accommodate further scope modifications.
- o Programming hours for fundamental structural changes to the Wave 2 PCG instrument, the addition of a household screener/exit interview, in addition to changes to the finalized household screener/exit interview that were announced in November 2019 after final specifications had been delivered and programming started. This additional effort may exceed the budget, which assumed only 25% programming change between Waves.
- o Further changes related to the change in scope from face-to-face interviewing to all-telephone interviewing will further impact project costs and increase overruns in tasks related to systems development and reporting.
- The delay in the data collection field period from 2019 to 2020 increased fixed costs associated with project and production management. Delays will also impact hourly rates for all staff including data collection staff, may lead to increased locating costs, and will likely impact the number of new hires needed.
- Delays in the start of respondent contact/locating efforts may lead to more intensive locating needs during the Wave 2 data collection, over and above what was originally budgeted.

Wave 2 Work Scope Changes:

- Notification of new specifications for State Data Consent forms received on February 9th, 2020, requiring revising and reprinting forms, developmental costs, and revised IRB submissions.
- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that final clean copies of all instruments be delivered by early August 2019 to allow for review and programming specification delivery using assigned resources.
- A household screener/exit interview has been developed and will be programmed to facilitate locating children
 who do not live with the Wave 1 PCG. The instrument will collect contact information for new PCGs (approved by
 PIs).
- The start of Wave 2 was delayed until April/May 2020 at the request of the research team. This will impact the cost of pre-production, respondent locating, and likely also impact the cost of interviewer hiring and training.
- The sample size is being increased to include the full Wave 1 sample, including households where no children were interviewed at Wave 1.
- The start of Wave 2 is being further delayed by the coronavirus pandemic. This will negatively impact project costs unless there are other offsets. Moving to an exclusively telephone data collection mode may reduce costs, but will also result in fewer completed interviews, both at the household level (due to lower response rate) and individual level (no child assessments). In addition, many of the measures cannot be collected over the phone, including laser tape measurement, physical measures, dried blood spots, interviewer household observations, neighborhood observations, or administration of the Social Security Administration or records-matching forms.
- Social Security forms will be collected via a mail survey (approved by the research team). The data collection will be conducted following a protocol for a traditional mail survey, with a cohort beginning each month.
- A holiday card mailing with a study magnet will be shipped to respondents in December 2020.
- Remediation will be attempted for incomplete Social Security record linkage forms. This will require additional
 labor and postage to mail forms back to the respondent, as well as an IRB submission for the checklist and correction
 guide documents to be included in the mailing.
- Telephone data collection will be extended through February 6, 2021.
- Reminder/assistance calling will be conducted for all households receiving a request for SSN-form completion.

Cost

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: NICHD authorized additional funding to cover an extension of data

collection and panel maintenance post-data collection.

Projections Apr 16, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:151,303.79Actual Dollars Used:129,135.21Variance (Projected minus Actual):22,168.58

Reason For Variance: Approximately \$12,000 in respondent payments expected to hit this month

did not. Overprojected interviewer hours and various staff hours.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
1041 PCG iws	75% of located cases	8.5	
1041 PCG iws	75% of located cases		
1413	79%	9.0	
	1041 PCG iws 1041 PCG iws	1041 PCG iws 75% of located cases 1041 PCG iws 75% of located cases	1041 PCG iws 75% of located cases 8.5 1041 PCG iws 75% of located cases

90,682.78

Other Measures

Locate and screen 75% of Population cases, 80% of voucher sample cases

Project Name HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Test (HRS 2020 ABT)

Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: Total Budget: 817,809.00 **Budget** 601,330.00 InDirect Budget: 216,479.00

David Weir (SRC) Principal Investigator/Client Jessica Faul (SRC)

Funding Agency

NIH

HUM#: **IRB**

HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 8/20/20-8/19/21

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead: Richard Warren Krause **Budget Analyst:** Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager: Derek Dubuque

no data Proposal #:

Description: The HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Study is a supplement to the HRS to accurately assess who has been exposed

and infected with SARS-CoV-2. Antibody testing for the novel coronavirus that causes COVID-19 will provide an indication of the characteristics of people who were infected in this national sample. It is important to understand how the relative size of these groups differs by race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, work status, education and geography in order to add to our understanding of who was infected with the coronavirus, as well as those who went on to have symptoms and develop COVID-19 during the pandemic progression. Saliva collection kits will be mailed to approximately 17.600 respondents homes. The consent document will detail the purpose of the study and what is being requested of them. The consent includes two questions - if the respondent ever received a positive COVID test result and the date of that test. The collection will be administered in both English and Spanish. The sample will consist of all HRS 2020 sample members who do not reside in a nursing home. The field period, mailings and reminder calling, is expected to occur between October 15, 2020 and March 31, 2021 before a vaccine is widely available. We expect 65% of the sample to consent to this collection. This project is being

conducted in collaboration with the ISR Biospecimen lab.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

09/2020 - 04/2021 10/2020 - 03/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2020 Pretest Start: 10/09/2020 Pretest End: 10/15/2020 Recruitment Start: 09/22/2020

Staffing Completed: 01/15/2021 GIT Start: SS Train Start: 01/25/2021 SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/19/2020 DC End: 03/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: Andrew Hupp, Debbie Zivan Dan Tomlin, Tony Romanowski, Daniah Buageila, Anna Fugua-Smith, Janet McBride, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Holly Ackerman, Pam Swanson, Deb Wilson, David Bolt, Matt Hanger, Tricia Blanchard, Lloyd

Hemingway, Dianne Casey, Gary Hein. HRS Antibody, Antibody Project

Other Project Names:

MSMS Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool Other (COVID Antibody Saliva Kit, Paper/Pencil) Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (MSMS)

QC Recording Tool

N/A Yes, R **SRO Group**

Incentive Administration

Check, prepaid (\$20)

Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Mar, 2021 (HRS 2020 ABT) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level Some Concerns

All test kits were mailed out by December 20, 2020 and all reminder postcards mailed by January 7, 2021. Reminder **Monthly Update**

calling continued through mid-March.

Minnesota had a delay in delivering results due to a backorder of extraction kits and the need to re-analyze some samples. Consent logging and result letter mailings continued through the month. The team continued to work closely with the ISR Biospecimen lab to ensure replacement kits, requested by respondents during reminder calling, we shipped out in a timely fashion.

As of 4/13/21 the overall response rate was at 43% (see details below)

Original sample selected=17,639

Sample removed from kit mailing = 1,351

Total Sample Sent A Kit = 16,288

Samples received by MN: 7,084 (43%)

Refusals: 1,785 (11%) Deceased: 108 (1%) Received Vaccine: 411 (2%)

Undeliverable - No Forwarding Address: 110 (1%)

Pending response: 6,790 (42%)

Special Issues

A revised budget was submitted based on work scope changes made in early October.

Added complexity collaborating on production tasks with the ISR Biospecimen lab.

Data manager and MSMS team resources

HRS Core data collection ongoing in field while Antibody test kits are being shipped to respondents.

Reminder calling work scope in late December added to be implemented in a short timeline. Additional sample added

in February.

MN lab doing additional analysis/quality checks on samples and back-order on extraction tub s which are delaying results being sent to respondents.

Respondent contacts to SRO often very upset due to delay in receiving results.

Cost Apr 07, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 873.298.32 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 792.263.00 Total Budget: 817,809.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 25,546.00

Reason For Variance: Cost to Date includes the cost of all checks issued for respondent

incentives. We expect 20% of checks will be voided and have projected this reduction in cost, which is preflected in Cost at Completion. We are estimating a \$25,546 underrun. The selected sample was 17,639 but the actual number of respondents sent a kit was 16,287 based on updated data from HRS core data collection. In addition, voids were not included in the budget but have been projected in CRS. Reminder calling projections for additional sample has been added to the projections. Logging and result letter mailing projections have been extended into May. CASIC rate change

from \$2.01 to \$2.50 has been applied in CRS.

Projections Apr 07, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 52.037.47 73.811.83 Actual Dollars Used: -21.774.36 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: The variance is primarily due to the timing of the field interviewer hours

biweekly pay period.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	10,587	65%		
Current actual:	7,084	43%		
Estimate at Complete:	7,084	43%		
Variance:	3,503	22%		
	-,			

Project Name HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study (HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID Survey)

Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** 543,273.00 InDirect Budget: 195,580.00 738,853.00

Principal Dr. David Weir (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS)) Investigator/Client Dr. Helen Levy (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS))

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

IRB

ним#: HUM00196577 Period Of Approval:

Ian Ogden **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou Production Manager: Ian Ogden

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project refers to what will be the first of up to three HRS mail studies planned for the 2020-2022 off-year. Following the model of past off-year mail studies, a subsample of 14,000 HRS respondents will be sent a paper booklet, as well as a prepaid incentive by check, and will be asked to complete the paper survey and mail back to Ann Arbor via prepaid return materials. Logging of paper booklets will take place in Ann Arbor, where booklets will be packaged and shipped to an external vendor, DataForce, for scanning and data-export. This study will follow a Dillman-like reminder sequence - initial mailing, followed by up to three reminder mailings (booklet #2, postcard, booklet #3).

An external vendor, DataForce, will handle (1) formatting, printing and mailing of the booklet (in English and Spanish); (2) sending reminder mailings to each batch of sample; and (3) scanning completed booklets and delivering data to SRO for processing and delivery.

Unlike past instances of HRS off-year mail studies, the 2021 COVID Survey sample is expected to be split into between two and four batches (each with its own mailing and reminder schedule). This is due to the timing of this study and other ongoing HRS components. Secondly, and also in contrast with past off-year mail studies, it is expected that this sample may overlap with HRS CAMS.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

03/2021 - 12/2021 05/2021 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2021 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 05/21/2021 SS Train End: 05/21/2021 DC Start: 05/17/2021 DC End: 12/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: Technical Lead: Andrew Hupp MSMS Developer: Pam Swanson Data Manager: Laura Yoder

Project Assistant (RPay): Anna Fugua-Smith

Project Assistant (Other): TBD HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study

Other Project HRS 2021 OYMS (COVID) Names: UBS 2021aROMUS NEGrvey Sample Mgmt Sys

HAS 2021 Perspectives on the Pandemic Survey **Data Col Tool** Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software

Other (MSMS DCA Logging Interface) QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group **Payment Type** Check, prepaid

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System Report Period

Mar, 2021 (HRS 2021 OYMS / COVID : Project Phase Planning

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

[Questionnaire and Material Development / IRB]

All respondent-facing materials have been developed, translated and were submitted to the IRB on 4/14/2021 (with a late-breaking specification change requiring a brief edit-and-resubmission on Friday, 4/16/2021). Our target production-launch date of mid-May will require relatively-quick turnaround from the IRB; pushing back to late-May may be necessary. Key next steps include: (1) finalizing formatted SAQs and (2) developing the survey schema.

[Technical Systems]

Technical discussions are ongoing and ramping up, with a programmer and data manager now engaged. We are discussing refinements to day-to-day logging in order to process the volume we are anticipating (1000-1400 returned booklets per week for 8-10 weeks in June and July, 2021). Key next steps include: (1) Confirming viability of a "fast-lane" logging process; (2) Finalizing MSMS protocol and logging interface; (3) Preparing for mail-merge of initial mailing.

[Staff and Space Resources]

With the anticipated volume of 1000-1400 returned booklets per week in mind, active planning of space and staff resources for (1) storage; (2) active logging & QC, and (3) shipment processing are underway. Logging recruitment has assumed 2-3 minutes per logged booklet; however, if some of our process-refinements are successful, this number may be lower. This is something we are discussing and will be monitoring carefully to ensure projections are kept up date and staffing is matched to project needs.

Special Issues

- (1) Due to reports of USPS service issues, this project will forgo USPS Priority as the service used for the final reminder mailing and will rely on a service for return materials for the first mailing. This will allow us to track the returns from mailing #1 (as well as overcome an incidental barrier of the more typical Business-Reply service). Otherwise, our mail-service plans are unchanged (outgoing First-Class; return via Business Reply).
- (2) As this is a larger mail study than SRO staff have processed since ~2017, and the largest since COVID workplace restrictions went into effect, impacts of needs to maintain social distance and rotate staff into and out of the Perry Building are not fully known.

Cost Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 4,700.43

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 883,060.69

Total Budget: 738,853.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -144,202.69

Reason For Variance: The initial budget for this

The initial budget for this project was prepared in 2017 as part of the six-year HRS renewal (2018-2022). The assumptions made at that time reflected best-available information regarding the size and design of the 2020-22 off-year mail studies. The overall projections have been updated to (1) reflect a 22% larger sample size (14,800 vs. the budgeted 12,000), (2)

increased salary and non-salary costs per current rates.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:7,896.66Actual Dollars Used:4,700.43Variance (Projected minus Actual):3,196.23

Reason For Variance: Projections had assumed a potential production-launch as early as late-April. However, as project planning began in earnest, a launch of

late-April. However, as project planning began in earnest, a launch of mid-late May became the updated target. Hours not used in March, 2020 (technical and application development, project support) will be pushed

forward.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	11,300	80.0%	N/A	
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name India Human Development Survey Wave 3 (IHDS3)

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Direct Budget: 333,895.61 **Budget** InDirect Budget: 198,006.92 Total Budget: 531,902.53

Principal Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland) Investigator/Client Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan)

> Santanu Pramanik (National Council of Applied Economic Research) National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services

Funding Agency IRB HUM#:

Period Of Approval: **Project Team** Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Carl S Remmert

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Sarah Elisa Broumand Production Manager: Production Manager: Jennifer M Kelley

no data Proposal #:

The India Human Development Survey (IHDS) is a nationally representative, multi-topic, longitudinal survey of Description:

> 41,554 households in 1503 villages and 971 urban neighborhoods across India. The first round of interviews were completed in 2004-5; data are publicly available through ICPSR. A second round of IHDS reinterviewed most of these households in 2011-12 (N=42,152). SRC joins IHDS Wave 3 to automate data collection, the scope of work

includes methodological design, sample design, questionnaire design, technical instrument design, supervisor/interviewer training, production monitoring, quality control, data dissemination, and 2-3 weekly

conference calls for capacity building.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2019 - 05/2022 06/2020 - 05/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:** Project Management Team

Stephanie Chardoul -- Senior Project Advisor (SPA) Gina Cheung - Lead Project Manager and Tech Lead

Sarah Broumand - Project Manager Jennifer Kelley - Project Manager

Programming Team Collette Keyser - Blaise Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak Holly Ackerman - WebTrak Ashwin Dey - Offline Transfer

Cheng Zhou - Sync

HelpDesk Team Genise Pattullo **Emmanuel Ellis** Andrea Pierce

Data Manager Team Jonathan Harrison Matthew Scibiorski

DBA Team LihShwu Ke Cheng Zhou Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (SurveyTrak INTL)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))

DE Software QC Recording Tool Other (TBD) DRI-CARI

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)
Administration Other (TBD)
Payment Type Other (TBD)
Payment Method Other (TBD)

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (IHDS3)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

We are using the different fund to cover the development work, and this fund will be reserved for the production management

Special Issues

There are several concerns, with the foremost as the timeline, given the size and complexity of the project. The other concerns include:

- Receiving timely translations from the client
- o Mitigation: conducted a BTT training this month and continue to communicate back and forth to make sure they understand how to use the system.
- SRO staffing this is the largest and one of the most complex projects and there is concern about having enough staff to fully support the project and we lost a data manager a few months ago and now have recently lost our data manager again.
- o Mitigation:
- We now have a data manager team (Laura Yoder, Cheng Zhou and Sarah Broumand) and trying hard to catch up and complete all the required tasks
- The Field User Management tool was develop to give the NCAER staff more responsibilities for certain data management tasks
- Continue to working closely with NCAER to keep the timeline on track to avoid unnecessary rework

Cost

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 487,294.82

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 511,133.35

 Total Budget:
 531,902.53

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 246,751.18

Reason For Variance: Budget has increased to \$757,884.53 due to the addition of the Gates3

Fund. This project is saving hours because this project will be put on hold and we dk what additional issues will arise when restart happens. We also need to preserve hours to support production. With the scale of this project

it will be necessary to save to contingencies.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:765.92Actual Dollars Used:369.74Variance (Projected minus Actual):396.18

Reason For Variance: Implementation phase got extended. Froze the House Hold project at the

end of November.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2 (MARS 2)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 187,281.00 InDirect Budget: 67,421.00 Total Budget: 254,702.00

Principal

David Weir (UM SRC - HRS)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency Health and Retirement Study

IRB HUM#:

#: NA Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead:

Budget Analyst:

Margaret Lee Hudson Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:

ager.

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Evanthia Leissou Kasyera Kowalczyk

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The Social Wellbeing Research Centre (SWRC) at the University of Malaya is conducting the second wave of data collection for the MARS study, including questionnaire revision, questionnaire translation into Mandarin and Malay, and instrument technical redesign in order to incorporate Wave 1 preload information. In this effort, SWRC will revisit n=5,613 respondents from the first wave, as well as 1,000 additional households from which they will select up to three eligible respondents. The first wave of MARS included up to three randomly-selected members from each household aged 40 and older. The average interview length is expected to be 70 minutes. Data collection will be conducted in English Malay, and Mandarin.

be conducted in English, Malay, and Mandarin.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2020 - 09/2021 09/2020 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:

DC Start:

Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End:

Pretest Start:

DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Jay Lin Technical Lead
Gina Cheung Technical Advisor
Peter Sparks Blaise Programmer
Holly Ackerman WebTrak Programmer

John Gawlas HelpDesk Specialist
Genise Pattulo HelpDesk Supervisor
Cheng Zhou Database Administrator
LihShwu Ke Database Administrator

Marsha Skoman SurveyTrak Intl Programmer

Ashwin Dey SurveyTrak Offline Brad Goodwin Data Manager

Emmanuel Ellis HelpDesk Specialist (back up)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (ST International)

 Data Col Tool
 Blaise 4.8

 Hardware
 Laptop

 DE Software
 NA

 QC Recording Tool
 N/A

 Incentive
 Not used

 Administration
 N/A

Payment Type

Payment Method

Report Period Mar, 2021 (MARS 2)

N/A

N/A

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

A small third interviewer training is planned for mid-April to bring on a few more staff to complete interviews in the east of the county. The training will be handled remotely due to movement control orders in place in that region. Movement control orders in the rest of the country are being lifted and the focus will be on completing physical measurements on the ~500 Rs who have already completed the rest of the IW via telephone. One of the SWRC team members is leaving the organization at the beginning of April. Jay and Brad have been working with her and the rest of the SWRC team to support the transition. The SWRC team is working on a stakeholder report/update and SRO provided a summary of our support for their documentation.

Special Issues

Cost

Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):183,435.33Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):233,479.89Total Budget:254,702.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):21,222.11

Reason For Variance: Lack of international travel, lower TSG needs that budgeted (fewer ST, WT,

and Blaise changes needed)

Projections

Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:7,853.67Actual Dollars Used:5,463.24Variance (Projected minus Actual):2,390.43

Reason For Variance: No WTrak changes needed, Blaise specs from project team delayed so

programming will be in April for next data model release.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Mellon College and Beyond II (CBII)

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 643,762.00 0.00 Total Budget: 643,762.00

Principal Paul Courant (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy) Investigator/Client Kevin Stange (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy)

Susan Jekielek (ICPSR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: 00173324 Period Of Approval: 10/2020-10/2021

Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien **Project Team** Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert Production Manager: Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: James Koopman

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #: Description: **TBD**

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

10/2020 - 10/2021 01/2021 - 06/2021

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: 10/15/2020

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 11/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/15/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/18/2021 SS Train End: 02/18/2021

DC Start: DC Fnd

Other Project

Peter Batra - Programmer and technical lead, former MCBII Pilot Lead, Laura Yoder - Data Ops, Dave Dybicki -

Systems Programmer, Grace Tison, 2nd Budget Analyst Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS **Data Col Tool** Illume

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA **QC Recording Tool** NA Yes, R Incentive Administration **SRO Group Payment Type** Check, post (30)

Payment Method NA

Mar, 2021 (CBII) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

There are approximately 10 weeks of data collection left with 592 interviews needed to reach the goal of 3,000 completed interviews. 2,258 completed interviews and 150 partials. Just over 4,100 sample lines have been attempted in reminder calling, with 5,520 call attempts. 135 surveys have been completed after having been contacted by reminder callers, and currently 84 sample lines have agreed during a reminder call to complete the survey. The manual locating effort has not yet started, manual locators are focusing effort on reminder calling. 160 sample lines are ready to have manual locating when the effort starts. Occupation/industry coding is underway as well, with 1991 cases having been coded and 1476 checked. The third email reminder has been sent. Email reminders 4 & 5 have not yet been sent. Email reminder will likely go out the week of 4/18/21. The project is considering sending already IRB approved text message reminders that include the survey link to R's whose phone numbers we have confirmed but have not yet completed. The research team is a bit concerned about quality of data when the survey is accessed via smartphone, so may want to wait until it is a last resort. Many R's have already completed via smartphone and we are able to determine which R's those were. We are monitoring sample balance

for minorities, liberal arts, and institution, to see if they are close to their proportion to the total sample. CUNY and all minority sample is currently prioritized in an attempt to increase their RR's.

Special Issues

Cost

Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 276,714.49

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 633,445.22

Total Budget: 643,762.00

Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: Some non-salary costs, mostly tokens of appreciation, were not used and

10,316.78

pushed forward.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:139,451.56Actual Dollars Used:108,934.90Variance (Projected minus Actual):30,516.66

Reason For Variance: Non-salary costs were projected high for this month, so were lowered a bit

and some moved forward - mostly respondent toa's.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	3000	20%		
Current actual:	2408	16		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022 (MTF base year 2017-2022)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,701,300.00 InDirect Budget: 2,615,631.00 Total Budget: 7,316,931.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Richard Miech (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: 00131235 Period Of Approval: 4/18/2019 - 4/17/20

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Rebecca Gatward

 Budget Analyst:
 Mary Johnson

 Production Manager:
 Margaret Lavanger

Production Manager:Margaret LavangeSenior Project Advisor:Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Monitoring the Future study is an epidemiological and etiological research project begun in 1975. The project

functions as a basic research study, as well as one of the nation's major sources of reliable information on trends in

smoking, drinking and drug use.

It is based on two interconnected series of surveys using nationally representative samples:

(a) self-administered annual in-school surveys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (about 15,000-17,000 in about 140 8th

grade schools, about 120 10th grade schools and about 125 12th grade schools per year);

(b) panels of high school graduates aged 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (surveyed by mail). Panel members aged 19-30 are sent a questionnaire every other year/asked to complete a web survey and the older sample members are sent questionnaires (mail and web) at five-year interval. The MTF panel study has three parts - early

in the year a locating effort targets panel members who \ldots

The web panel launches in spring and around June a telephone non-response effort begins.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period 04/2017 - 04/2022 02/2018 - 07/2022

Security Plan Yes Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 04/30/2017 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: DC End: 07/30/2022

Other Project

DRAFT - Hueichun Peng, Minako, David B, Debra Heier

Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Project specific system (SurveyCTO)

Data Col Tool Other (SurveyCTO)

Hardware Tablet
DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (Honorarium paid to school by MT project staff)

Administration ISR Group (MTF project team)

Payment Type NA

Chook through other avet

Payment Method Check through other system

Report Period Mar, 2021 (MTF base year 2017-2022) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update March updates

- 118 school admins were completed in March within these schools 11,040 students completed the survey.

- We are encouraging the IWERs to 'tread lightly' - we know this is a challanging time for schools and we do not want

to increase the burden on teachers, Principals and other staff. For example, we have implemented a protocol whuch limits follow-up with Contact Person in situations where the IWER finds it difficult to obtain enrollment figures after the admin

Special Issues

Cost Apr 13, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 5,406,424.58

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 6,923,939.21

 Total Budget:
 7,316,931.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 392,991.79

Reason For Variance:

Reason For Variance:

- Wave 1 (2017-18) & Wave 2 (2018-19) 50 supplemental school admins (not budgeted)
- Wave 2 travel costs were higher than budgeted due to staff availability.
- Wave 3 (2019-2020) 500 additional tablets were purchased. The cost of these and other necessary equipment was not budgeted.
- Wave 4 below budget because data collection was halted on 13 March (due to COVID pandemic all FTF research was halted). All data collection costs were below those projected.
- Wave 5 Due to change in data collection design (new web mode) IWER hours and other costs associated with in person school admins are are lower than originally projected (based on initial assumptions about the IWERs role in the remote admins and the number of in person admins and travel costs). Hours required by SRO staff have also been reduced projections are still included for some FTF admins beginning in May 2021. It is unlikely that these admins will take place in-person.

The wave 4 projections include staff hours required to undertake the development work to implement the web mode (limited to a basic sample management system, portal and field procedures) and a small pilot. The total cost is estimated to be \$81,131.71 (August - December 2020) This work was not included in the original scope of work for 2017-2022.

The salary and non-salary cost projections for wave 4 (2021) have been revised based on the assumption that the majority of the school administrations will be conducted in web mode (remote or in-school). The hours required for Field Researchers to conduct the remote admins and the number of remote and in person admins are estimated. We have compared these estimates with actual interviewer hours used during the pilot, the estimates seem to be at an appropriate level. We will review actual interviewer hours during production to ensure that projected hours are at the appropriate level.

The projected costs for 2021 have been updated to include Illume charges for completed surveys (\$.95 per submitted survey).

The notes sent with the January Client cost report will be uploaded (in MPR).

Projections Apr 13, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:192,583.34Actual Dollars Used:119,214.54Variance (Projected minus Actual):73,368.80

The variance is due to lower IWER hours used than projected. The HPA (hours per admin) decreased during March - April IWER hours have been

adjusted using this lower HPA.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021 (MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021)

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Total Budget: Direct Budget: 729,094.00 InDirect Budget: 405,907.00 1,135,001.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

John Schulenberg (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM-0013R02 Period Of Approval: In continuing Review

Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Mary Johnson

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson Production Manager: Rebecca Gatward

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of MTF Illume Web 2020. The new budget has been combined with previous to allow

for "additional funding" of the continuing portion and includes some development/programming work for 2021.

PI staff will edit and test 12 survey versions, all previously programmed in Illume by SRO. SRO will further test the surveys as part of the systems integration process. All 12 surveys will be launched in 2021. After testing is complete, SRO will launch the 2021 Web survey data collection with an estimated sample size of 20,000 cases identified by the Principal Investigator who will deliver the contact information including e-mail address to SRO. The Web survey data collection will replace aspects of the standard mail-based data collection. Both the separately funded Winter Location calling effort and Non-Response follow-up calling will include this sample - with the calling effort being integrated with the standard MTF activities.

This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 12 months commencing in January 2021 with the data collection taking place during a 7-month period, beginning April of 2021. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$355,783 (\$228,066 direct, \$127,717 indirect), budgeted at the currently negotiated on-campus recovery rate of 56%.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2021 - 12/2021 04/2021 - 10/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Gregg Peterson (SPA), Rebecca Gatward (Director), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Lloyd Hemingway.

Shaowei Sun, Minako Edgar, Peter Sparks, Ashwin Dey, Hongyu Johnson

Other Project

Report Period

Sample Mgmt Sys

MTF Illume Web 2021

Names:

Web SMS; Project specific system (RLM, RIMS)

Data Col Tool Illume Hardware NA **DE Software** N/A N/A

QC Recording Tool Incentive

Yes, Other (Managed by SRC PI Staff)

Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

> Mar, 2021 (MTF Panel (main data collection) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

- 1. PI study staff and SRO staff continued on testing questionnaire Forms (1-60) in stand-alone and integration testing environments.
- 2. Testing integration on Lab SMS, Web SMS and RLM systems
- 3. Preparing upcoming April prod-testing prior to launching the data collection.

Special Issues

Cost

 Mar 31, 2021
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 855,490.94

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,128,306.41

Total Budget: 1,135,001.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 6,694.59

Reason For Variance: The amount of underrun will be adjusted in April.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:30,555.89Actual Dollars Used:25,552.83Variance (Projected minus Actual):5,003.06

Reason For Variance: Some programming and testing work delayed to April.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name

MTF High Intensity Drinking (MTF HID)

Project Mode

Primary: Web

Total of Modes: 1

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

Budget

Direct Budget:

301,160.00

InDirect Budget: 167,822.00

Total Budget:

468,982.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Megan Patrick (Univ of Minnesota)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#:

00159183

Period Of Approval:

Project Team

Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Peter Rakesh Batra Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

SRO's work on this project includes administering multiple web surveys to a sample of respondents who participated as 12th graders in Monitoring the Future's Base Year study. Using a starting sample of approximately 2000 respondents from MTF, SRO will administer a data collection "burst" consisting of one 30-minute survey and 14 days of 7-minute surveys every 12 months for 4 years. SRO will conduct all surveys as self-administered web surveys, with no telephone contact to respondents. SRO will coordinate all electronic contact with respondents (email and text message), but will not handle any paper mailings, respondent management or the payment of respondent incentives. SRO's activity will start in February 2019, with the first data collection burst in May 2019, lasting through to the first week of July 2019.. Deliverables include final copies of the questionnaires (including programming source code), clean and documented data sets from each of the data collection bursts, and a methodological report on protocols.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

03/2019 - 07/2020 05/2019 - 07/2019

Hueichun Peng

Web SMS

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:

DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Sample Mgmt Sys

Names:

 Data Col Tool
 Illume

 Hardware
 NA

 DE Software
 NA

 QC Recording Tool
 NA

 Incentive
 Yes, R

 Administration
 NA

Payment Type

Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method

Check through other system (handled by Study Staff)

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (MTF HID)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

In March we continued with data collection, recruiting the sample into completing the Annual (baseline) HID survey collection. We closed the annual survey on April 5. We managed a 37.7% response rate Annual survey completion (of those eligible to complete). This is about the same as it has been in the previous two waves of data collection. Completion of daily surveys has been between 73-90%, also similar to previous waves. For April, we will be waiting for R's to complete their remaining Daily surveys. In late-April we will then close the Wave 3 survey completely and

deliver the survey data to the MTF team in SAS format.

Special Issues

Cost

 Total Budget:
 468,982.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 135,159.30

Reason For Variance: Mainly our scope has been significantly reduced as the project team is

handling much of the day-to-day respondent activity. This amount has been

fairly constant since the beginning of the project.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:10,538.66Actual Dollars Used:13,232.52Variance (Projected minus Actual):-2,693.86

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021 (PSID21)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,434,021.00 InDirect Budget: 2,483,047.00 Total Budget: 6,917,068.00

Principal David Johnson (UM)
Investigator/Client Katherine McGonagle (UM)

Narayan Sastry (UM)

Funding Agency

IRB

NSF, NIA, NICHD, The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation of the United States, DHHS, The Econom

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval:** 8/20/2020 - 8/19/202

Project TeamProject Lead:Shonda R Kruger-NdiayeBudget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Stacy QuisenberrySenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Sarah Crane

Production Manager: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: PSID (known to Respondents as the Family Economics Study or FES) is a longitudinal survey of several thousand

individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and 2017/2019. The total 2021 sample size will be approx. 11,200, with approx. 9,700 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main

time. The 2021 instrument also features questions related to the impact of COVID on the family.

PSID 2021 is the first full wave of PSID Core to be conducted with a Web, self-administered option and using Blaise 5 and MSMS and the work scope includes the re-write of the 68-ID website and the Splitoff program to be compatible with new technical systems.

focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over

TAS21 will follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample members on a flow basis following their Core interviews.

Note: The Budget listed in MPR is the original 2021 budget. It has not been approved by PIs, who are currently reviewing a rebudget.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 04/2022 03/2001 - 10/2021

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2020
 Pretest Start:
 09/28/2020

 Pretest End:
 10/25/2020
 Recruitment Start:
 10/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/02/2020 GIT Start:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Family Economics Study 2021, PSID Core 2021

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Proxy, Locator)

Administration ISR Group (PSID)
Payment Type Check, post (Varies)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Other (Electronic RPay)

Report Period Mar, 2021 (PSID21) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Production data collection launched 3/19/21. SRO work in March was heavily focused on identifying and troubleshooting technical issues that posed problems for various aspects of our production launch, including:

- --Very significant sample load and rules running delays the week of production launch.
- -- Upgrading the server to B 5.8.12 to address Blaise Download Error and Lingering Record Lock.
- -- Upgrading the DCA with mods needed for production.

We also finalized the 68 ID Site and Self Scheduler for production.

March also included preparation for Training 2: April 6 - 9 and 12 -14.

The Some Concerns designation for this report reflects concerns about the amount of development work related to MSMS and related technical systems that still remains.

As of the writing of this report (4/20), we have English CATI sample and the soft launch of 50 Web cases in production. The Full Web launch and Spanish sample (CATI and Web) remains to be released.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,386,517.16

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 7,054,789.46

 Total Budget:
 6,917,068.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -137,721.46

Reason For Variance: We now project an overrun of approx. 2%. This is the first month where we

are reporting the increased CASIC rate, which caused a roughly \$46K increase in direct costs. Most of the additional increase is due to (in order of magnitude) Programmer, Data Manager, and Management hour increases. Interviewer and ST data collection hours came in low but were rolled forward. We're still projecting the same total production hours,

Not yet included:

--Proposed TSG modifications (increases) requested this week or yet to be discussed.

aiscussea.

--Increases to management effort to reflect proposed changes to Shonda's

and Rachel's roles

Projections

Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:425,075.93Actual Dollars Used:374,610.87Variance (Projected minus Actual):50,465.06

Reason For Variance: Iwer and ST data collection hours came in lower than projected due to the

delay in our production timeline. 1,216.63 fewer hours were worked in

March than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	9694	89%	7.5	
Current actual:	1146	19.79%	5.27	
Estimate at Complete:	9694	89%	7.5	
Variance:	0	0	0	

Other Measures

HPI is through 4/17. All other Prod data are through the evening of 4/20. lw, RR, and HPI goals by week are not yet available.

Project Name PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019) (CDS-19)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 5,801,561.00 InDirect Budget: 3,164,398.00 Total Budget: 8,965,959.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC)
Investigator/Client Paula Fomby (SRC)

Funding Agency

NICHD, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

IRB HUM#:

HUM00166316 *Period Of Approval:* 6/11/18 - 8/4/2021

Project Team Project Lead:

Budget Analyst:

Rachel Anne Orlowski Megan Gomez-Mesquita Dianne G Casey

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor:

Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

A 2019 wave of the Childhood Development Supplement (CDS) is planned for September 2019 – May 2020. The sample for CDS is comprised of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Core 2019 families we interview and their primary caregivers. Approximately 3,700 families will be included, with some Core families containing several CDS children. As part of the CDS, families are asked to complete multiple interviews (i.e., coverscreen, PCG interview, Child interview--including an IVR component) and data collections (i.e., physical measurements, educational assessments, saliva collection, time diaries, school and birth record linkage forms), several of which are completed in-person. CDS interviewing will be conducted by Field interviewers, with the SSL supporting follow-up efforts. SurveyTrak and Blaise 4.8 will be the primary technical systems employed.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 02/2021 09/2019 - 12/2020

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 01/07/2019
 Pretest Start:
 07/15/2019

 Pretest End:
 08/04/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 05/02/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 08/05/2019
 GIT Start:
 09/16/2019

 SS Train Start:
 09/18/2019
 SS Train End:
 09/23/2019

 DC Start:
 10/01/2019
 DC End:
 12/31/2020

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone
DE Software Other

DE Software

QC Recording Tool
Incentive

Camtasia Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post; Cash, post

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PS

Report Period Mar, 2021 (CDS-19) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Time Diary Coding and Entry work continued -- aiming to end in April. Currently performing additional time diary

coding QC -- have identified three coders with high error rates.

Delivered saliva samples to the BSL. Will monitor number of samples logged in April. SRO will continue to store saliva

in the SRO fridge with monthly delivery to BSL.

Project managers, data manager, and DMSS preparing final Child and Other Adult datasets and data documentation.

Last regularly scheduled PI/Project team meeting and Project Management team meetings both took place on 3/29.

Special Issues

Cost

 Mar 31, 2021
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 8,947,326.97

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 8,984,200.34

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 8,984,200.34

 Total Budget:
 8,965,959.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -18,241.34

Reason For Variance: Increased admin assistant effort to inventory and package interviewer

supplies for transfer to Thompson for storage. Increased survey tech effort

for additional time diary QC.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:30,045.03Actual Dollars Used:27,884.30Variance (Projected minus Actual):2,160.73

Reason For Variance: Slight over-projections across multiple staff.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Qatar World Mental Health Survey (WMH-Qatar)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 62,440.00 12,488.00 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Principal Zeina Mneimneh (University of Michigan) Investigator/Client Salma Mawfek Khaled (Qatar University)

Funding Agency

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Sarah Elisa Broumand **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data

Proposal #:

Description:

SRC joins Social & Economic Survey Research Institute at Qatar University for the upcoming World Mental Health Study in Qatar to conduct CIDI train-the-trainer training and build a quality assurance tool. The timing expectation is that SRC has an initial working version of the QC tool in time for a January 2020 data collection pilot, then refinements will be made for an October 2020 production launch. The Qatar team will be using the standard CIDI questionnaire instrument (Blaise 5), and they have Blaise programming expertise and their own sample management system. SRC had originally envisioned this as a version of our OLAP Cube, but have since moved

away from that and toward a more "ODS"-type approach. SRC scope of work includes CIDI training, methodological designs for in-person and phone call verification, quality control indicators/interventions, and technical designs for

quality assurance infrastructure and dashboard, etc.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

04/2019 - 10/2021 01/2020 - 10/2021

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start: DC Start: DC End:

Cheng Z. (Application Programmer), Jay L. (PM), Gina-Qian C. (SPA), Sarah B. (System Analyst)

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (Blaise 5) **Data Col Tool** Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Tablet

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD) Administration Other (Qatar University)

Payment Type Other (TBD) **Payment Method** Other (TBD)

Report Period Mar, 2021 (WMH-Qatar) **Project Phase** Implementing

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update The SRO/SRC team met with the SESRI team several times to review the dashboard and monitoring team's

processes. SERSI made modifications to their dashboard and their process based on our recommendations to help

them ingest their QC data.

The teams continues to hold bi-monthly meetings, as all deliverables have been met for the project.

None Special Issues

Cost Mar 31, 2021

61,242.27 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 65,320.19 Total Budget: 74,928.00 9,607.81

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance: Project team will be re-allocating some of the hours to be consumed during

the remaining term of the contract. Saving dollars for production.

Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 711.48 678.43 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 33.05

Reason For Variance: meetings were canceled by customer as they are working through their

system and production.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS Waves 3 &

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 8,196,521.00 InDirect Budget: 4,590,892.00 Total Budget: 12,787,413.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency

Department of Defense

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00180765 **Period Of Approval:** 6/3/2020 - 6/2/2021

Project TeamProject Lead:
Budget Analyst:Meredith A HouseProduction Manager:Ruth B PhilippouSenior Project Advisor:Lisa S HollandProduction Manager:Juan Carlos Donoso

Production Manager: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers). Army STARRS is a multi-component epidemiological and neurological study designed to generate actionable evidence-based recommendations to reduce US Army suicides and increase basic knowledge about the determinants of suicidality. The goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS) are to enhance DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain productivity of the Army STARRS data and systems established, and enable science-based answers to questions related to health, resilience, and manpower management for the Army of 2025

For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to reinterview respondents from the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multimode study. We started with a group of approximately 73,000 eligible persons who had been interviewed in one of those three surveys and gave consent to link administrative data to their survey data.

To date, we have completed 2 waves of STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 individuals and completed approximately 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 participants that completed a full Wave 1 interview were asked to participate in Wave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are covered in this application, will include the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regardless of whether they completed the interview.

In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will continue to maintain and support the Army STARRS Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as coded historical administrative data received from the Army and DoD. Additionally, STARRS-LS will continue to receive administrative data updates and link coded administrative data to survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS-LS surveys).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 05/2020 - 04/2025 11/2020 - 10/2024

Yes

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/23/2020

Staffing Completed: 10/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 11/18/2020 SS Train End: 11/24/2020 DC Start: 11/09/2020 DC End: 11/03/2022

Other Project Team Members: Heather Schroeder, Paul Burton, Ryan Yoder, Leah Roberts, Keith Liebetreu, Becky Loomis, Cheng Zhou, Andrew Piskorowski, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Genise Pattulo, Andrew Hupp, Jim Rodgers, Kyle Goodwin, Marcus Blough, Nick Hinkle-DeGroot, Aimee Miller, Maureen O'Brien

Other Project

STARRS-LS Continuation

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Other (Blaise CARI)

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$50-\$100)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS)

Report Period	Mar, 2021 (STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Project Phase Implementing
Risk Level	On Track
Monthly Update	 We have released three replicates (2,847 sample lines) and completed 2,049 interviews as of April 1. The batch of survey changes involving clarifications in the personal relationships and social networks section were released to production. We continued bi-weekly meetings with the ODUSA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities. We coordinated with USUHS and HJF to obtain a Letter of Intent to fund so that we can set up a hardship accoun starting May 1 in case the actual Year 2 funding is not yet available. We responded to USUHS's requests for additional detail on Year 2 budget line items. We continued work on an analysis of the areas in our budget where we are spending more than anticipated. When completed, we will share the information with the Pls. U-M provided the quarterly Safety Plan comparison tables ahead of the March GSC meeting. James and Meredith attended the quarterly GSC meeting on March 16. We created a "STARRS Closeout Activities and Considerations" list which is now available if the research team receives additional questions about scope/timeline for STARRS Enclave closeout. James and Meredith participated in discussions and emails regarding the ODUSA memo that requested the date by which the research team will need to know whether Wave 4 will be conducted. IRB: The Wave 1 ORIO was acknowledged by the U-M and USUHS IRBs. The amendment (#5) for additional survey changes (clarifications in the personal relationships, social networks sections) was approved by USUHS. An amendment (#6) for appointment reminder texts, and minor questionnaire wording changes (based on interviewer feedback) was submitted to the U-M IRB. We carried out extensive troubleshooting on the Windows RStudio PDF compile feature and installed multiple new R packages in the Linux and Windows environments.<!--</td-->

Page 67 of 72 We continue to track areas of risk and develop mitigation strategies. Special Issues Year 2 funding: We are working with USUHS and HJF to put measures in place that will allow us to continue working after the Year 1 funds expire at the end of April. These measures include a no cost extension and obtaining a Letter of Intent to fund so that we can start the process of establishing hardship accounts. We are also spending time meeting with SRO and SRC leadership and we are completing the necessary administrative work to keep things going. These measures should allow us to continue working for a few months, but the University may place limits on how far we can go without an award. We have updated our costs based on our Wave 3 experience so far. To date, our projected costs for Waves 3 and 4 are higher than anticipated (see Table 4). Some areas for the increased cost estimates are a longer survey instrument time for CATI, and increased hours for programming instrument changes. We are monitoring the situation closely and have continued work on an analysis of the areas in our budget where we are projecting a deficit. When completed, we will share the information with the PIs. Wave 3 Challenges Wave 3 consent review and approval process - these risks were described in a September 30, 2020 memo to the 0 ODUSA. The DHA Privacy Board has approved the DSA modification for the MHS HIPAA form; however, we will not receive any sort of VA prior approval. With the VA, we could be in a situation where we employ a VA HIPAA authorization forms that ends up not being acceptable to the VA when it comes time to request data for linkage. While unlikely, it's also possible the approved MHS HIPAA form might not be acceptable to the providers of those data. HIPAA authorization cannot be provided verbally during CATI interviews. We have established detailed procedures for telephone interviews and, so far, have the results from two completed replicates. 61% of Replicates 1-2 CATI respondents completed the consent supplement survey. About 38% of these CATI consent supplement completions required at least some reminder calling. The consent rates themselves were 94% for MHS HIPAA and 89% for VHA HIPAA. These early results look positive, but we will continue to monitor the success of gaining the HIPAA consents for CATI respondents. **Enclave Support** We continue to monitor priorities for Enclave support. We balance the primary Enclave support work with a number of more complex biomarker data support requests, which are outside of the reduced Enclave team scope in the STARRS-LS contract. We prioritize the primary work above other requests in accordance with project goals and to remain within budget/scope. As a result, the biomarker data support requests can take longer to service. As long as requestors are ok with this arrangement, we can continue to manage the work and priorities in this fashion. Scope additions There are a few cost estimates for new scope that have yet to be made (Table 2 above). As decisions are made, we will work with the research team to schedule and implement this work. We have received some information on additional public use data releases, including release to the NIH National Data Archive. Several unknowns need to be clarified before we can finalize our scope and cost estimates and determine what staffing will be needed for this work. Cost Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,644,334.22 Feb 28, 2021 13,211,909.50 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: 12,787,413.00 -402,519.50 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): Reason For Variance: After entering the March projections updates, the overall overrun increased from \$366,169 to \$402,520. Although February costs came in very close to projections, there were some respondent payments and Enclave non-salary costs that did not hit in February that needed to be moved forward. **Projections** Dollars Projected For Month: 239,602.21 Feb 28, 2021

Actual Dollars Used: 241.759.81

-2,157.60 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Production costs were higher than projected, but some respondent payments and Enclave non-sal costs did not hit as expected, so these

balanced each other out

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2021)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

Budget Direct Budget: 1,122,582.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 1,122,582.00

Principal Richard Curtin (SCA) Investigator/Client Tuba Suzer-Gurtekin (SCA)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Theresa Camelo **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens Production Manager: Lisa J Carn

> Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the

contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing

staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

12/2020 - 12/2021 01/2021 - 12/2021

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 01/02/2021 DC End: 12/20/2021

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Not used Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Mar, 2021 (SCA 2021) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update SCA MAR 2021 began as scheduled on 2/24/2021, and ended as scheduled on 3/22/2021.

We completed 604 IWs (323/181/100), four IWs above our goal of 600 (320/180/100); at an overall hpi of 2.98 (.02

below our budgeted hpi of 3.0).

Special Issues

Cost Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):252,412.16Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):1,128,598.80Total Budget:1,122,582.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-6,016.80

Reason For Variance: reduced hpi

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:88,163.67Actual Dollars Used:86,564.52Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,599.15

Reason For Variance: reduced hpi

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	600		3.0	
Goal at Completion:	600		3.0	
Current actual:	604		2.98	
Estimate at Complete:	604		2.98	
Variance:	4		02	

Project Name Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection (VCT)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 241,958.00 InDirect Budget: 135,497.00 Total Budget: 377,455.00

Principal Dr. Frederick G. Conrad (University of Michigan)
Investigator/Client Dr. Michael F. Schober (The New School)

Funding Agency

National Science Foundation

IRB HUM#: HUM00159711 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp

Budget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-LaughlinSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This research project will examine data quality, participation, respondent experience, and costs in two promising but not yet widely deployed survey modes that use off-the-shelf video technology and are less costly than face to face (FTF) interviews: video-mediated (VM) interviews (live two-way communication via platforms like Skype) and video self-administered (VS) interviews, in which video-recorded interviewers ask the questions and respondents answer by typing or clicking. This project will compare these measures of data quality and costs in VM and VS interviews carried out by the same professional interviewers and in conventional online (textual) self-administered questionnaires, asking the same survey questions to members of a representative sample who are randomly assigned to one of these three modes. Because VM is synchronous and "live" like face-to-face (FTF) interviewing, and VS is asynchronous and recorded but still projects a human face, the project's comparisons will provide new insights regarding how these decomposable aspects of human contact affect behavior and experience in surveys. The project's results will reveal the extent to which, and for whom, less costly interaction (live but remote vs. recorded) with an interviewer promotes engagement and data quality comparable to what is found in similar FTF interviews. More generally, the findings will address when and in what ways modern communication modes that reduce social presence and are less personal might be equal to or even more effective than FTF interaction. Findings from this project will provide valuable information relevant to the future of survey measurement and will be of interest to survey researchers in the Federal statistical system and other survey organizations.

Exempt

Even as survey data continue to be central to public policy and decision-making, survey measurement is challenged by declining response rates, increasing costs, declining trust in survey organizations, and rapidly changing communication habits among the public. Understanding how video technologies could fit into the future of survey data collection is important both because it may meet potential respondents "where they live" and because it may provide a significantly lower cost alternative to FTF interviewing. There is even the potential to reach some members of the public whose location makes FTF interviewing difficult or expensive, but who may well be able to participate in a video interview (e.g., people who live in remote rural areas or members of the military deployed overseas). In comparing data quality across these three survey modes, the project will quantify participation rates, connectivity problems, respondent compliance with the video interviewing protocol, conscientious responding (giving precise answers to numerical questions, thoughtfully differentiating answers), and disclosure of sensitive information. The project will measure the potential impact of individual interviewers, feelings of engagement with the interview, rapport with the interviewer, and respondent satisfaction. The project also will allow assessment of data collection costs across these modes. Access to and use of video technologies are not universal, and even among those with access some are willing to engage in video interaction while others are reluctant. The project will begin to address whether and how the effects of video technologies on survey data collection differ for participants with different levels of prior experience and preference for using the technologies.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 06/2020 08/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/29/2019 **DC End:** 04/01/2020

Other Project Team Members: Kallan Larsen - PSM MS Student Ai Rene Ong - PSM PhD Student Tianheao Wang - PSM MS Student

Kevin Jensen (TSG) - portal/Blaise programming

Shanti Suresh, Matt Hanger, & Laura Yoder (TSG) - data management

Kyle Goodman - MSMS set-up programming

Jim Rodgers - MSMS consultation

Brady West - Research Associate Professor, Survey Research Center

Other Project

Video Communication Technologies

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Desktop; Other (Webcam, USB headset)

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R

Administration SRO Group; Other (CloudResearch (TurkPrime))

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (ABS=\$2 giftcode -> prepaid; \$20/\$22 giftcode -> post)

Payment Method Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (TurkPrime (now CloudResearch))

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (VCT)

Project Phase

Closina

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The team continues to meet regularly to discuss analyses that Tian and Kallan are doing.

Revisions to the first study findings paper are almost complete. The revisions will be shared with the other co-authors for review.

The interviewer effects paper submitted to JSSAM has been reviewed. The team is working on revisions based on reviewer comments. One of the comments was related to data being publicly available. The analyses in this paper included interviewer characteristics. We believe we are okay to share this file but are checking with SRO Admin. The current plan is to make all of the study data available via openICPSR. This group has experience with this on a previous study.

Andrew and Kallan are working on a paper on respondent burden that will use some data from the video project.

Andrew met with Dean to update cost projections. Overall we are projecting to be about right on target (projecting a slight under run on both budgets).

Our 2021 AAPOR submissions were accepted.

- -A panel on video at AAPOR (Andrew H. coordinated and submitted, the panel will consist of...
- --A paper on willingness to participate in video interviews (submitted by the New School). Kallan and Andrew H. are co-authors.
- --A paper from the European Social Survey (ESS) about their experience augmenting with video in the current wave of ESS.
- --A paper from the American National Election Studies (ANES) about their experience with web and video in their preand post-election surveys.
- --A paper on recruitment and participation that Kallan will present (Andrew H. is a co-author).
- -In addition to the panel, Kallan and Andrew are...
- --Co-authors of a paper on interviewer effects in video interviews that Brady is the lead on (Ai Rene a PhD student will present). This paper was added to the panel session by AAPOR.
- --Lead authors of a paper on a model for respondent burden that uses data from SCIP, the iPhone study, and the video study

The papers we were invited to submit for ESRA have been accepted (in two different panels) on video interviewing for social surveys, and another session on new communication channels in web-based surveys. Andrew and Kallan are co/authors on all of the submissions.

- --A paper on recruitment and participation (panel session).
- --A paper on data quality in live video interviews (panel session).
- --A paper on willingness and discomfort answering sensitive questions in live video survey interviews (panel session).
- --A paper on precorded video interviews (closed session)

Laura will need to do a final MSMS data set in the future. Andrew and Kallan need to finish finalizing the remaining lines. That won't happen until sometime in May after PSID hs settled down.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):359,859.68Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):376,275.62Total Budget:377,455.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):726.38

Reason For Variance: VM costs are on target. The total costs to date are lower than last month.

The current cost projections for the MiCDA Analysis account are below.

The cost information for the MiCDA analysis funds are:

Total Budget: \$30,089.00 Total Cost to Date: \$8,283.17

Estimated cost at completion: \$30,065.63

Variance: \$23.37

The cost information for the MiCDA supplement funds are:

Total Budget: \$54,207

Total Cost to Date: \$54,118.00

Estimated cost at completion: \$54,118.00

Variance: \$89.00

Projections Dollars Projected For Month:

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:165.06Variance (Projected minus Actual):-165.06

Reason For Variance: One member charged this account a few hours rather than the

supplemental account.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	855	20%		
Goal at Completion:	855			
Current actual:	1,109	12.5%		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Development Initiative and No Data Collection Projects

March 2021



Developmental Initiatives

(Day in the Life Videos) TSME21-Day in the Life Videos (425201)

(TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466)) TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466)

(TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562)) TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562)

(TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197)) TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197)

(TSME21-DCO Tech System Support) TSME21-DCO Tech System Support (483248)

(TSME21-QC-Systems (483249)) TSME21-QC-Systems (483249)

(TSME21-RCLS (425196)) TSME21-RCLS

(TSME21-Replayer (425267)) TSME21-Replayer

(TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424)) Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS

(TSME21-ST international (483227)) TSME21 - ST international

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects **Project Project Lead** Mar Type Status Jan Feb Day in the Life Videos Initiatives Current Vivienne Y Outlaw TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE-WRITE(483257) Initiatives Current Jeffrey L Smith TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL Initiatives | Current Jeffrey L Smith (483910) TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466) Hueichun Peng Initiatives | Current Gina-Qian Yang TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562) Initiatives | Current Cheung TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade Initiatives | Current Hueichun Peng (425197)TSME21-DCO Tech System Support Initiatives | Current Vivienne Y Outlaw

Initiatives | Current

TSME21-QC-Systems (483249)

TSME21-RCLS (425196)

TSME21-Replayer (425267)

TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424)

TSME21-ST international (483227)

Sarah Elisa

Broumand

Jim Rodgers

Jennifer C Arrieta

Andrew L Hupp Gina-Qian Yang

Cheung

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects **Project Project Lead** Mar Type Status Jan Feb Day in the Life Videos Initiatives Current Vivienne Y Outlaw TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE-WRITE(483257) Initiatives Current Jeffrey L Smith TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL Initiatives | Current Jeffrey L Smith (483910) TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466) Hueichun Peng Initiatives | Current Gina-Qian Yang TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562) Initiatives | Current Cheung TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade Initiatives | Current Hueichun Peng (425197)TSME21-DCO Tech System Support Initiatives | Current Vivienne Y Outlaw

Initiatives | Current

TSME21-QC-Systems (483249)

TSME21-RCLS (425196)

TSME21-Replayer (425267)

TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424)

TSME21-ST international (483227)

Sarah Elisa

Broumand

Jim Rodgers

Jennifer C Arrieta

Andrew L Hupp Gina-Qian Yang

Cheung

Project Name Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS (TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This technical initiative will create an outward (respondent) facing interface for participants to schedule an

appointment in MSMS. Initially an interface with core functionality that all projects will benefit from will be built out. We will leverage existing work from the prior self-scheduling application (developed by PSID) to define a core set of functionality. An additional functionality list based on feedback about the existing scheduler, needs from other projects and organizational needs will be developed. We will work with the stakeholder group to prioritize that list

and add the additional functionality as funding allows.

Work involves the development of the outward facing interface as well as related MSMS core work.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

NA

07/2020 - 06/2021

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

James Rodgers - Tech Lead

Team Members: Holly Ackerman - Developer (MSMS API and other appointment related work)

Peter Sparks - Developer (R facing page) Dave Padot - Development consultation

Stakeholder team

Kallan Larsen - Alternative scheduling representative Heather Schroeder - Stat Unit/Reporting representative

Pooja Varma-Laughlin - SSL representative

Gary Hein - HRS representative Maryam Buagelia - PSID representative

Stacy Quisenberry - DCO/HRS/PSID representative

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-SelfSchedUI (4834 Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Time in March was devoted to testing and troubleshooting any items that came up. The roll-out was pretty smooth. A few items have come up with the update to Blaise 5.8.12. Since the switch appointment attempts are being written twice. There are two records in the history, but only one appointment in the end. The second appointment written is a rescheduled of the record before it. Peter is looking into this. He is also looking into an issue that's happened a few times in which the sample attributes entered at the scheduling site (name, email, phone, etc.) aren't written to MSMS. This keeps the confirmation/cancellation message from being sent to the respondent. The appointment is still being written and the interviewer is notified.

In April will add Spanish to the self-scheduler ahead of the release of the Spanish sample. We also have on the development list the following two items. Not sure if we will be able to get to those with the remaining funds, although most of the work is on the MSMS side. To-date PSID has seemingly gotten along without them (we are able to have blackout days currently, which we have used).

- 1) Development of inclusion/exclusion parameters (e.g., when/how many appointment slots are available)
- 2) Development on the MSMS side needed for item #2

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 24,387.49

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 29,962.34

Total Budget: 30,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 37.66

Reason For Variance: Planning to spend funds.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:7,619.07Actual Dollars Used:6,474.16Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,144.91

Reason For Variance: The two primary charges (Peter S. and Andrew H.) charges a few hours

less than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name TSME21 - ST international (TSME21-ST international (483227))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

40,000.00 Direct Budget: **Budget** InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 40,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

The ongoing development project, maintain the SRO international domain system infrastructure and support new Description:

feature development

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

LihShwu, Genise, Sarah, Cheng, Marsha, Pam, and Gina

Team Members:

TSME21 - ST international Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA

Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Incentive NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-ST international (4 Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

minors updates with ST international and FUM **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 18,718.00

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 40,000.00 Total Budget: 40,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 89.00

Reason For Variance: no issues **Projections**

Dollars Projected For Month:2,337.00Actual Dollars Used:2,447.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-39.00

Reason For Variance: no issue

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466) (TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Status Project Type Developmental Initiatives Current

Direct Budget: 10,000.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** 10,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Hueichun Peng **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Meredith A House

Production Manager: Production Manager:

07/2020 - 06/2021

no data Proposal #:

Description: This is the plan to create a 2nd environment for Illume. Next version for Illume version upgrade

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Incentive NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (TSME21- Illume Upgrade (4 Report Period **Project Phase** Planning

Risk Level On Track

We (programmers) spent minimal time on testing the different pieces due to resource constraint and production **Monthly Update**

support. Hueichun has talked to Gregg the possibility that we will continue this work into the next fiscal year (after July

2021).

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,508.50 Apr 15, 2021

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7,556.60 Total Budget: 10,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 2,443.40

Reason For Variance: na Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:2,231.02Actual Dollars Used:1,327.79Variance (Projected minus Actual):903.23

Reason For Variance: na

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562) (TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

30,000.00 Direct Budget: **Budget** InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This project has been moved from the MSMS budget to TSME this FY. The budget is for mainly Blaise new features Description:

testing.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA NA

DE Software QC Recording Tool

Incentive NA Administration NA **Payment Type**

NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (4 Project Phase Report Period Implementing

Risk Level On Track

start to test Blaise 5.9 version features **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 11,488.00 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 27,152.00

Total Budget: 30,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance: No issue **Projections**

Dollars Projected For Month:3,576.00Actual Dollars Used:3,951.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-375.00

Reason For Variance: No issue

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197) (TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Direct Budget: 10,000.00 InDirect Budget: **Budget** Total Budget: 10,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Hueichun Peng

Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: HollyJoyce Stewart Ackerman

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This initiative plans to upgrade the CF server for Web Logging and PIPPA. Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA NA

QC Recording Tool

NA Incentive Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-ColdFusion Server Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update Team met with Joe about timeline and options.

Joe created a SROcf2021test with the most recent ColdFusion version. Ashwin started to research the ODBC (JDBC) driver to connect to ST.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 737.17 Apr 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 5,869.62

Total Budget: 10,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 4,130.38

Reason For Variance: na Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:737.17Variance (Projected minus Actual):-737.17

Reason For Variance: na

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-Day in the Life Videos (425201) (Day in the Life Videos)

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 18,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 18,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Vivienne Y Outlaw Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Funding to be used to update the Day in the Life videos for both the field and SSL.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Russ Stark Barb Homburg

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA

DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Mar, 2021 (Day in the Life Videos) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update We are unable to progress as planned due to the restrictions as a result of the pandemic. We are hopeful that this will

change in the coming months.

Special Issues Due to the pandemic we have not been able to move forward with filming.

Cost Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):8,236.43Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):9,948.18Total Budget:18,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 8,051.82

Reason For Variance: Due to the pandemic we have not been able to move forward with filming.

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: Minimal work due to the pandemic.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-DCO Tech System Support (483248) (TSME21-DCO Tech System Support)

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 25,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 25,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Vivienne Y OutlawBudget Analyst:Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: Hueichun Peng
Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson
Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This includes all the support and development work for a suite of tech systems DCO uses, including Fred,

Recruitment Website, Iwer Web Site, etc.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys
Data Col Tool
Hardware
DE Software

QC Recording Tool Incentive

entive NA
Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Mar, 2021 (TSME21-DCO Tech System Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update ST (M

ST (Marsha) started to investigate the efforts to import management team data directly from Fred. Fred tech team (Vivienne, Hueichun and Grant) had a lot of communications with Marsha to address the different business rules

problems between Fred and ST.

We also met with ODS team to find out if there is any similar problems with Team Structure in ODS (MSMS projects)

reporting and Olive-4.

Modify different modules/reports as related to SRO_ID.

Enhance UM Cell-Phone charge report.

Support Report Portal Access for DCO staff with Time and Expense Management project.

Modify emp_AddressContact view/table (DMSS and Export modules) to include UM cell and phone.

Modify the Footprint ticket for project assignment to use SRO_ID, instead of UM_ID.

Special Issues

Cost Apr 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 18,823.14 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 32,231.20 Total Budget: 25,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -7,231.20 na

Reason For Variance:

Projections Apr 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 3,677.98 Actual Dollars Used: 4,248.32 Variance (Projected minus Actual): -570.34

Reason For Variance: na

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name TSME21-QC-Systems (483249) (TSME21-QC-Systems (483249))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Project Status Developmental Initiatives Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 Total Budget: InDirect Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Sarah Elisa Broumand **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This is the QC Systems project that covers mostly QC tool development and support such as Olive. Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Incentive NA Administration

NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-QC-Systems (483) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Completed work to transition Olive to not using 8 digit IDs and release user maintenance features into Olive. Historic **Monthly Update**

data needed to be scrubbed to remove duplicate user information and correct project management structure data.

Special Issues none

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 17,341.54 Apr 07, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 30,444.88 Total Budget:

30,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -444.88

Reason For Variance: Worked on numerous changes to Olive, planning to rebalance hours. Projections Apr 07, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:4,732.32Actual Dollars Used:6,027.10Variance (Projected minus Actual):-1,294.78

Reason For Variance: Team had to make up work that hadn't been performed.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name TSME21-RCLS (TSME21-RCLS (425196))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Project Status **Developmental Initiatives** Current

Direct Budget: 15,000.00 15,000.00 **Budget** InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget:

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Lead: Jim Rodgers **Project Team** Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This project will allow MSMS, Blaise SMS, and WebSMS to update RCLS as respondent contact information is Description:

updated in each SMS. This provides 800 line staff with current contact information in their use of RCLS as they

look up and identify the correct respondent against which call records can be recorded.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Names:

Other Project Respondent Lookup

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Web SMS; MSMS

Data Col Tool

Hardware Other (MSMS and SuveyTrak servers)

DE Software NA NA **QC Recording Tool** Incentive

Not used Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-RCLS (425196)) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

No new work this month. **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 6,561.32 Mar 31, 2021

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 6,561.32 Total Budget: 15,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 8,438.68 Reason For Variance: No new work this month Projections Mar 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:369.99Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):369.99Reason For Variance:No new work this month

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-Replayer (TSME21-Replayer (425267))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Project Status Developmental Initiatives Current

Direct Budget: 20,000.00 **Budget** InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 20,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

SRC and HRS

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Jennifer C Arrieta Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

In collaboration with HRS, develop a utility: -For production data review for Blaise 5 projects,

-That takes into account needs and design by multiple stakeholders,

-That is agnostic of sample management systems, and

-That has an underlying structure in place for future enhancements and continued Blaise 5 development.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

09/2019 - 06/2021

Replaher

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:** Youhong Liu, Colette Keyser, Jason Ostergren

Other Project

Payment Method

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware Desktop **DE Software** N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A Incentive Not used Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A

Report Period

Mar, 2021 (TSME21-Replayer (425267) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

N/A

Monthly Update

During the month, the team focused on programming and testing bug fixes in the Replayer utility. In addition, the team continued began programming and documenting the set-up and deployment of the utility as outlined in the Phase II proposal.

Special Issues

Cost Apr 07, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):9,325.00Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):18,874.77Total Budget:20,000.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):1,125.23

Reason For Variance: Minimal variance between total budget and estimated cost to complete.

Projections Apr 07, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:3,597.31Actual Dollars Used:3,170.98Variance (Projected minus Actual):426.33Reason For Variance:Minimal variance.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				