Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored

February 2021



Sponsored Projects

(ACL6) Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6

(ACL-LIFE) ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation (ACLLIFE)

(AFHS) American Family Health Study

(ALS Controls) ALS Matched Control Recruitment

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(C.A.R.E.) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium

(CBII) Mellon College and Beyond II

(CDS-19) PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019)

(DAWN CS) Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement

(ECHO) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes

(EDCPE) Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation

(EDC-Semi Structured) Every Dollar Counts Semi-Structured Interviews

(H&WB) Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan

(HCAP 2020) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(HRS 2020) Health and Retirement Study 2020

(HRS 2020 ABT) HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Test

(HRS 2021 OYMS) HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study

(IHDS3) India Human Development Survey Wave 3

(MARS 2) Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2

(MTF base year 2017-2022) Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022

(MTF HID) MTF High Intensity Drinking

(MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021) Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021

(PSID21) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021

(SCA 2021) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(VCT) Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection

(WMH-Qatar) Qatar World Mental Health Survey

NonArchived Sponsored Projects							
Project	Туре	Status	Project Lead	Jan	Feb		
ACL6	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour				
ACL-LIFE	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour				
AFHS	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Gatward				
ALS Controls	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Loomis				
BFY	Sponsored	Current	Piotr Dworak				
C.A.R.E.	Sponsored	Current	Donnalee Ann Grey- Farquharson				
CBII	Sponsored	Current	Maureen Joan O'Brien				
CDS-19	Sponsored	Current	Rachel Anne Orlowski				
DAWN CS	Sponsored	Current	Juan Carlos Donoso				
ECHO	Sponsored	Current	Terri Ann Ridenour				
EDCPE	Sponsored	Current	Karin Schneider				
EDC-Semi Structured	Sponsored	Current	Karin Schneider				
H&WB	Sponsored	Current	Juan Carlos Donoso				
HCAP 2020	Sponsored	Current	Maureen Joan O'Brien				
HCDC, H&C	Sponsored	Current	Barbara Lohr Ward				
HRS 2020	Sponsored	Current	Evanthia Leissou				
HRS 2020 ABT	Sponsored	Current	Evanthia Leissou				
HRS 2021 OYMS	Sponsored	Current	Ian Ogden				
IHDS3	Sponsored	Current	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung				
MARS 2	Sponsored	Current	Margaret Lee Hudson				
MTF base year 2017-2022	Sponsored	Current	Rebecca Gatward				
MTF HID	Sponsored	Current	Peter Rakesh Batra				
MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021	Sponsored	Current	Donnalee Ann Grey- Farquharson				
PSID21	Sponsored	Current	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye				
SCA 2021	Sponsored	Current	Theresa Camelo				
STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4	Sponsored	Current	Meredith A House				
VCT	Sponsored	Current	Andrew L Hupp				
WMH-Qatar	Sponsored	Current	Sarah Elisa Broumand				

Project Name ACLLIFE Life History Interview and Validation (ACLLIFE) (ACL-LIFE)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 470,637.00 InDirect Budget: 263,556.00 Total Budget: 734,193.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Sarah Burgard (SRC, Population Studies / Sociology / Epidemiology)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project will conduct a Life History interview with the Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) panel by telephone

using centralized SSL interviewers (and possibly Field interviewers, if necessary).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 08/2021 02/2021 - 08/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: 02/15/2021 SS Train End:

DC Start: 02/22/2021 **DC End**: 08/23/2021

Other Project

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Team Members: Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst

Pooja Varma-Laughlin: Production Manager

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

TBD: Production Assistant

Helen (Hongyu) Johnson: SSI

Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer

Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Karl Dinkelmann: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Carlos Macuada: Data Manager

Chris Greene/Stephanie Windisch (ACL6 Data Managers): ACL-LIFE Data Management Consultants

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Hardware Laptop; Desktop

DE Software Other (Weblog (Locating and Logging Returned Calendars))

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI; DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Yes. R **SRO Group** Administration **Payment Type** Check, post (\$30)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (ACL-LIFE)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

The pre-interview mailing packet, including the letter, study overview, consent, life-history grid, response booklet and business reply envelope was sent out to all ACL-Life sample members with viable addresses on February 19.

Three, 4-hour remote training sessions were delivered February 16-18. We received feedback from the team and have documented lessons learned.

A Canvas site was established for lwer training, QC training and ongoing support for the team during production. The Canvas instance is called the "ACL-Life Production Resource Hub" -- https://umich.instructure.com/courses/429159. The site includes discussions, quizzes, training videos, playlists, study guide, etc -- and it serves as a communications hub and wiki for the team.

An overview of our Canvas site may also be found here:

L:\projects\ACL-LIFE\08 Training\Canvas\Course Modules_ ACL-Life Production Resource Hub.pdf

SurveyTrak, technical systems development and testing wrapped up last month, as did Blaise instrument programming and comprehensive testing. Other than a few minor tweaks to the current data model, based on feedback received during the first week of productions, no further updates are expected for the Blaise instrument. The PI believes the ACL-Life instrument is an acceptable replication of the SHARELIFE instrument and is satisfied with the results.

After a few minor hiccups related to SurveyTrak automated, overnight processes and the hybrid staffing model involving SSL-affiliated interviewers, we successfully launched production on Monday, February 22. We are beginning our 4th week (26 weeks total) of data collection.

The QC implementation team is operational and the interviewers have been receiving excellent feedback and re-training when needed.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 08, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 265,294.33 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 756,301.91 734,193.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 22.108.91

Reason For Variance:

We currently project a cost overrun. Main drivers of the projected overrun include:

- --Higher average fringe benefit rate
- --Hybrid staffing model that includes more Field Iwers than SSL Iwers
- --Increased Blaise programming required to meet client specifications (life-history calendar grid, and additional historical events functionality) --Higher actual Help Desk costs for training and production support (versus
- what is included in proposal budget)

A full summary of drivers and amounts attributed to each may be found

L:\projects\ACL-LIFE\02 Budget\Cost Monitoring\Cost Analysis\Overrun-underrun analysis\February\ACLlife Cost Needs Spreadsheet 02152021.xlsx

Projections Mar 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:89,241.43Actual Dollars Used:68,494.28Variance (Projected minus Actual):20,747.15

Reason For Variance: The Interviewer

The Interviewer hours and respondent incentives projected are much higher than actuals this month. We will likely see the bulk of training and main data

collection hours reflected in next month's cost report.

Projections include the current hybrid staffing model in place at the start of production (6 SSL lwers; 7 Field lwers; 2 Field TLs and 1 Locator).

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	1,026 (n=1,283)	80%	5	
Current actual:	145		5	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Project Name ALS Matched Control Recruitment (ALS Controls)

Primary: Web **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Total Budget: 302,298.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 193,780.00 InDirect Budget: 108,518.00

Principal

Steven Goutman (Univ of Michigan - Med School)

Investigator/Client **Funding Agency**

HUM#: HUM00148060 Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Rebecca Loomis **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Peter Rakesh Batra

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This is a web screening project that is mailing letters to an ABS sample of 15,000 HH's in the Midland and Grand

> Rapids area. The letter contains a link to a 5-10 minute Qualtrics survey that screens eligible Respondents for a study that includes a blood draw. SRO's involvement is only with the Respondent screening and incentive payment

for completing the screening questionnaire.

SRO Project Period

01/2020 - 12/2023 09/2020 - 10/2023 **Data Col Period** NA

Security Plan Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: GIT Start: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Team Members:

Other Project

Names:

NA Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool Other (Qualtrics)

Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA Incentive Yes, R

ISR Group (SRC Business Office) Administration

Dan Zahs (Sampling)

Payment Type Check, post (10)

Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (ALS Controls) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

February: The ALS PI team received approval to resume research at our two targeted hospitals and asked SRO to send out the mailing that had been on hold since November. 417 pieces were mailed on Feb 18 and we have received 4 completed surveys since then. An updated invitation letter template mentioning COVID protocols was approved and this will be used for the March invitation letter mailing. We have resumed biweekly meetings with the PI team and will discuss sampling strategies for the future, including the possibility of increasing the size and frequency of the mailings

December: The project continues to be on hold and may remain inactive for a few months until we get a clear indication from the PI that we are able to start up again. (This is mainly due to the fact that the two hospitals participating in the blood draws have stopped any non-essential service because of the increased Covd-19 cases.) Talks continue with the PI team regarding strategies once we resume regular mailings.

November: The PI had decided to skip the December mailing in consideration of respondents being overloaded with holiday mail, and to send a double batch in January. The November mailing had been sent to PrintCopyMail for printing and assembly but the week before the letters were scheduled to be mailed out, COVID spikes in Grand Rapids and Midland resulted in restrictions on tier 2 research studies. Respondents who completed the screening survey and were subsequently consented by Michigan Medicine can no longer be sent to the two area hospitals for blood draws. As a result, SRO mailings for this project are on hold until Michigan Medicine is allowed to proceed. A small number of completions from the October invitation letters continue to trickle in, requiring TOA checks to be printed and mailed.

October: The first batch of 417 letters was mailed 10/21/2020. We have 9 Qualtrics screener completes so far; 6 token of appreciation checks have been mailed and 3 more are pending.

September. Weekly check-in meetings with the PI/Project team have been set up. IRB approval for the amended documentation was received by the PI/Project Team and in the meantime we met with the project team to work on sampling questions and testing out the Qualtrics screening questionnaire. We are now on track to mail out the first batch of letters (n=417) to selected households at the end of October.

August. After 2 years of initial efforts, ASL Controls is finally ready to begin and the project teams for SRO and Michigan Medicine were assembled. Sampling methods were discussed and parameters particular to the study goals were agreed on, using an address based sample (ABS) through MSG. The letter to be sent to sample addresses was reviewed and revised. Additional screening questions of education, race, ethnicity, and gender were agreed to be added to the Qualtrics survey. These changes will need to be submitted in an amendment (being completed by the Pl's team) to the IRB. U-M Printing Services will handle the printing, assembly, and mailing of the letters each month. Depending on IRB approval, and getting the sampling procedures finalized, the goal is to have the first batch of letters mailed by the end of September.

Special Issues

Cost Feb 05, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 952.04

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 171,671.66

 Total Budget:
 302,298.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 130,626.34

Reason For Variance:

The variance is holding at this rate as the project was on hiatus since November. We received approval to send out the November mailing on Feb 18 and will plan to resume a regular schedule for as long as possible.

The variance is holding at this rate as the project is on hiatus. Once we are able to resume sending sample out to the field, we can establish a clearer picture of response rates and costs.

The full project scope and amount of work has not been fully projected out as we won't know about response rates until we have several month's worth of sample sent out to the field. Response to that will determine if we need to increase our mailings or use other methods to increase responses.

We are still in the early phases of this project. We are also waiting for projected TSG hours to be charged.

Project just starting and runs over 36 months. It will take a few months to see how response rates are tracking and how many people are completing the blood draw (managed by the PI).

Projections Feb 05, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: none

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name American Family Health Study (AFHS)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Grant D Benson

Budget Direct Budget: 1,596,238.00 InDirect Budget: 893,895.00 Total Budget: 2,490,133.00

Principal Brady West (Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client William Axinn, Mick Couper and James Wagner (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

HUM#: 00167171 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Rebecca GatwardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-Laughlin

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to evaluate the feasibility of collecting national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representative sample using a self-administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study.

We plan to screen around 42,120 randomly selected U.S. households by mail and web to identify an eligible population (aged 18-49 years). The screening work will be divided across two successive nine month periods (n=21,060 per effort). Each 'replicate' is a fully representative sample of U.S. households. Eligible respondents will be invited to complete three modules of content (administered separately or one instrument), either online or a paper questionnaire. The plan is obtain at least one returned module from 4,000 respondents.

There will be a two month gap between replicates. The first replicate will begin in September 2019 and the second in around July 2020.

The sample (addresses) will be selected via Market Systems Group (MSG). The following response assumptions have been made: at the screening stage, we estimate a response rate of 50% (around 21,000). Of these we expect approximately 12,000 addresses to contain an eligible person. In households with more than one eligible person we will randomly select one person.

The contact protocol for the screener and main data collection is designed to, initially, push completion by web. Addresses or selected participants will only be mailed a paper screener or questionnaire after reminders encouraging completion online. This is a recent change to the protocol and was made after the SRO budget proposal was provided to the PI.

The main interview consists of three modules which will replicate almost all NSFG content. The PI group is leading the process of reviewing and translating the content to web format and will provide specifications for programming to SRO.

The following revisions have been made to the study design as detailed in the latest budget proposal (SRO scope of work);

- o We will use an external vendor to scan returned paper screeners, rather than manual data entry. This vendor will also format the paper forms, print materials and be responsible for most of the respondent mailings.
- o The original study design did not include web as a mode of data collection for screening. Additional funding from SRC has been obtained by the Principal Investigator to cover the development of a web screener and associated data management and reporting costs.
- o Telephone reminder calls remains one of the steps in the non-response protocol for the main data collection. However, it is likely that this effort will be targeted to a subset of the selected sample, rather than across the entire sample.
- o The amount budgeted for the TOA for the screener was \$2 and \$5 50% of the sample to receive each amount. The TOA amount will now be \$2 for the full sample. We may provide an additional TOA of \$5 to a subset of the non-responders as part of one of the follow-up reminder mailings (depending on available budget).
- o The eligibility age range for the study has been changed from 15-49 to 18-49. This eliminates the need to contact to parents/guardians of all eligible respondents who have not reached the age of majority to gain consent to participate in the study. There are three states where the age of majority is above 18 years of age in these states we will adjust the selection protocol as necessary.
- o A further change to the study protocol is around completion of the main modules. In some circumstances we will provide Respondents the option to move directly to complete the main modules after completing the screener without being sent an invite in the mail/email to do so. This will only happen if the selected R is also the person who completed the screener.
- o An experiment around completion of the modules has been introduced to the protocol. Twenty per cent of the selected eligible respondents will be invited to complete the full 60 minute survey as one instrument, rather than

completing three separate modules.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 10/2022 05/2020 - 01/2022

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/03/2019 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 04/21/2020 **DC End:** 10/31/2021

Other Project

SRO Team: Leah Roberts, Andrew Hupp, Laura Yoder, Rose Zdybel, Pooja Varma-Laughlin, Jim Rodgers, Colette

Team Members:

Keyser, Deb Wilson, Wen Chang

Other Project

During the budget proposal stage this project was known as 'A More Efficient Web-Based Approach to Collecting

Names:

National Family, Fertility and Reproductive Health Data'.

MSMS

Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool Hardware

Blaise 5; SAQ Desktop

DE Software

Other (Blaise 5 (for Mail questionnaires)); External vendor (TBD)

QC Recording Tool Incentive

N/A Yes, R

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type
Payment Method

Check, post (Modules 1 - \$20, Module 2 - \$20 and Module 3 - \$30); Cash, prepaid (Screener IW - \$2 Potentially Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Info. from MSMS and transfer information via

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (AFHS)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

February update

- We were implementing updates to some production data for Replicate 1 cases. Changes have been implemented (in MSMS and Blaise DM) and QC checks created to prevent this being necessary in the future.
- Reminder call outcome are continuing to work on adding a field to code the 'outcome' of the reminder calls (when contact is made with the respondent). Observations from this call are currently summarised in a 'contact note'. The new variable will be used for reports and monitoring.
- An updated estmate was requested from DataForce. This was necessary because the scope of work thei will be responsible for has changed. An addendum to include the new sope of work and new max. cost is being processed.

Special Issues

Response has been slow. We have encountered some severe mail issues due to the impact of the COVID pandemic

on USPS.

Cost

Mar 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,313,967.02

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,460,417.98

 Total Budget:
 2,490,133.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 29,715.02

Reason For Variance:

The estimated cost at completion has been increased since last month. Projections have recently been updated to reflect design changes to be implemented for Replicate 2. These changes include increasing the sample

size by around 3,000 and sending a higher proportion of the

non-responders, at the screening stage, an increased TOA. Other design changes will be implemented focusing on optimising data quality.

Any underspend will be used by the end of the project.

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:34,307.92Actual Dollars Used:41,401.10Variance (Projected minus Actual):-7,093.18

Reason For Variance:

The source of the variance can mostlt be attributed to non-salary costs. Cash returned in undelivered mail (prepaid \$2 and \$5 TOA) was passed to the business office and the credit for this has reach the budget account.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Project Name Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6 (ACL6)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 495,251.00 InDirect Budget: 277,340.00 Total Budget: 772,591.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Margaret Hicken (University of Michigan, SRC - SEH)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging

IRB HUM#:

HUM00153243 *Period Of Approval:* Pending

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst:Grace TisonProduction Manager:Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: James Koopman

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Led by Dr. Maggie Hicken, ACL6 will build on 5 waves (25 years) of data from the nationally-representative Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) study by adding a 6th wave of data collection (ACL6), along with blood collection for DNA methylation analysis. With ACL6, there will be data for over 30 years of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors experienced cumulatively over adulthood, which the investigators will link to DNA methylation and then examine the impact on healthy aging. The objective of ACL6 is to identify underlying epigenomic mechanisms linking racial residential segregation to key markers of healthy aging, for which there are known inequalities.

The ACL6 project period began in July of 2018, with SRO involvement starting in September of 2018. The data collection period is April to August 2019.

SRO's work scope includes survey data collection and Home Visit coordination. SRO will build systems that: 1) equip SSL interviewers (and potential low-level field interviewers at the end of the study) with tools to conduct survey data collection and sample management; 2) provide a basic mechanism (i.e. Weblog) for the Study Coordinator to log written consent received for the Home Visit, log specimen chain of custody and support SSL follow up efforts (reminder call outcomes); 3) support SRO coordination between the Core Study Team (CST), ExamOne, the 3rd party vendor responsible for Home Visit (health assessment, blood sample collection and health-related measurements), and CLASS lab biorepository; and 4) serve basic reporting needs to provide regular progress updates during the production period.

There is a broad overlap with the ACL5 study with about 15% of the questionnaire requiring revisions and additional items for Wave 6. SRO is responsible for the documentation for the complete ACL6 instrument, programming specifications in Blaise 4.8, developing SurveyTrak sample management system for use in the SSL, building the Weblog interface and creating Reports.

The Core Study Team (CST) conducted locating procedures and updated sample records with information about deceased members, prior to delivery of sample to SRO. Newsletters were sent to all living members of the Americans' Changing Lives cohort who participated in any of the previous 5 waves and asked to participate in Wave 6. All surviving ACL respondents (~1,526) are eligible to participate; there will be no screening for participation. After CST delivers the final ACL6 sample, SRO prepares/loads in SurveyTrak sample management system. On April 22 SRO mailed the pre-interview letter to potential interview participants, along with a prepaid incentive (\$30) to participate in the interview.

A 60-minute telephone interview will be conducted. Respondents will be asked to provide information on various social, economic, psychological, and health matters. SRO assumes 1,220 interviews will be successfully completed (an 80% response rate). It is also anticipated that more interviews may be conducted by proxy this wave (approximately 108 proxy interviews last wave). Although the data collection will primarily be conducted by SSL interviewers, SRO's systems will support the possibility of interviews to be conducted face-to-face for respondents who cannot be reached by telephone or prefer an in person visit. As data collection unfolds, SRO will revisit the need for face-to-face work with the PI and prepare a budget estimate for the scope of work based on location of respondents and other factors.

After the survey is completed, or the interview is suspended, the Interviewer will discuss the Home Visit and ask if the R is interested in participating. If R agrees, the Interviewer will obtain oral consent for the R to be contacted by ExamOne to schedule this Home Visit. SRO will send the R a pre-home visit packet by mail, which includes: (a) a letter briefly describing this second part of the study; (b) two copies of the consent form, one to sign and provide to the ExamOne Health Professional (HP) and one to keep; and (c) a \$50 incentive for participating in the Home Visit.

During the Home Visit, the Health Professional (HP) will collect the signed informed consent document. The signed U-M consent form will be mailed by ExamOne to the Home Visit Study Coordinator and logged in Weblog.

SSL interviewers will be responsible for reminder calls related to the Home Visit (e.g. Rs who do not schedule their appointment with vendor). Iwers will use the Weblog system to record outcomes.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 09/2019 04/2018 - 08/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 02/25/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:
 04/01/2019

 SS Train Start:
 04/02/2019
 SS Train End:
 04/03/2019

 DC Start:
 04/23/2019
 DC End:
 09/14/2019

Other Project Team Members: Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager Jaime Koopman: SSI (Blaise/STrak Specs)

Gary Hein: SSI (Weblog Specs)
Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)
Becky Scherr: SSA (Project Coordination)

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst Lisa Carn: Production Manager

Russ Stark: SSL STrak systems consultant and lab management

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Stephanie Windisch (and Chris Greene): Data Managers

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Dave Dybicki: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

"Racial inequalities in health throughout adulthood: The cumulative impact of neighborhood chemical and

non-chemical stressors on epigenomic pathways" (aka, "Social epigenomics of racial health inequalities - SERHI")

Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool

Hardware

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

Incentive

Administration

SPO Group

SPO Group

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$30 (interview)); Other (Check, prepaid - \$50 (consent to WBD/making home appointment))

Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (ACL6) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update ACL6 data collection will resume as soon as there is eligible sample (based on ACL-Life interview outcome).

11/02/20: Ballpark Budget Memo for the ACL6 2020 Additional Telephone Interviews submitted to Maggie.

10/31/20: Nick Prieur allocated the funds to cover the overrun (\$32,332 direct) on the SRO ACL 6 project. Grace projected this credit on the project to erase the overrun status on ACL6 cost report. The remaining funds to be allocated to ACL6 is about \$191K now. Nick informed us that we have smoothing on this, so the rest of the funds will be covered in Years 4-5 (Year 4 starts 7/1/21).

7/30/20: Lisa Holland, Shonda and I met with Maggie Hicken and Sarah Burgard to discuss ACL6 Telephone-Only Reboot Proposal (2.0) + Saliva Collection Protocol. We discussed estimate of cases, HPI, protocol, number of attempts, proposed workflow, incentives and staffing. Lisa and DMSS will prepare budget with assumptions we discussed and it could be applied for 10 additional sample (up to 100). Maggie is also working on a separate proposal that would involve saliva collection for the full ACL sample. This would be done after ACL-LIFE data collection wraps up next July 2021.

7/13/20: Maggie seemed hopeful about supplemental funding. Given the current circumstances, however, in person data collection is not feasible. Instead she's now proposing that SSL staff follow up with ACL6 non-responders who

complete the ACL-LIFE interview and ask if interested in completing the ACL6 interview. This is likely a very small number of cases (~10-15).

12/24/19: The Phase 2, decentralized (Reboot) proposal and updated ballpark budget was submitted to the PI (see below). Dr. Hicken submitted the request for an administrative supplement from sponsor (NIMHD) and is awaiting response.

REF: ACL6 2020 Additional Telephone Interviews - Ballpark Budget

SRO #21-0004

REF: ACL6 Decentralized Reboot - Reduced scope

SRO #18-0002R01S04

This work is a continuation of data collection activities for the Social Stress and Vulnerability to Environmental Toxicants: Epigenomic Pathways to Health Inequalities project, and proposes to transfer approximately 290 sample lines from the original Survey Services Laboratory (SSL) data collection to the field for face-to-face data collection. These lines include all non-Hispanic Black respondents and other respondents deemed high priority. We have also budgeted additional effort to recontact 392 respondents who have already completed the interview, but who did not consent to or complete the Home Visit portion of the data collection. For the main interview portion of the project the interview length is 60 minutes with an additional 15 minutes for consent to a follow-up ExamOne home visit; we estimate that approximately 88 respondents will agree to complete the interview; all 290 samples lines will receive a pre-paid incentive of \$30; each respondent who completes a face-to-face interview will receive a post-paid incentive of \$70; and each respondent who completes the ExamOne home visit will receive an additional post-paid incentive of \$100. For the Home Visit Refusal Conversion we have assumed 392 respondents who completed the interview will be requested to consent to and complete the ExamOne Home Visit; we expect that we will be able to attempt 278 of these lines face-to-face, and the remaining 114 by decentralized telephone; this budget contains all effort and materials needed to attempt to obtain consent from these individuals; this work must be done concurrently with the Reboot interviewing activity described above; respondents who complete the ExamOne Home Visit will receive a \$100 post-paid incentive.

Please see this folder for latest Proposal Docs that were submitted: L:\projects\ACL6\02 Budget\Proposal Docs\Reboot Ballpark Estimate

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):772,520.30Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):772,520.30Total Budget:772,591.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):70.70Reason For Variance:Please see Monthly Updates.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:-69.79Variance (Projected minus Actual):-69.79

Reason For Variance: Very minimal data management activity this month.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	1,058 (1,526 sample)	80%	5	
Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:	729	54%	6.7	

Other Measures

Home Visit Consent

Goal at Completion: 700

Current Actual: 413 Current Undecided: 20 Project Name Baby's First Years (BFY)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,603,310.00 InDirect Budget: 1,825,015.73 Total Budget: 6,428,325.73

Principal Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine)

Investigator/Client Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University)

Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) **HUM#:** HUM00137963 **Period Of Approval:**

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019

Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 10/2017 - 12/2020 04/2018 - 12/2020

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2017 Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018 Pretest End: Staffing Completed: 02/07/2018 GIT Start: 03/19/2018 SS Train Start: 03/20/2018 SS Train End: 03/22/2018 DC Start: 05/07/2018 **DC End:** 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members:

Stephanie Chardoul (SPA) Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM) Jim McClure (DCS) Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer) Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager) Dave Dybicki (Blaise)

Colette Keyser (Blaise) Tricia Blanchard (MSMS) Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool

Other (to be specified)

Incentive Administration Yes. R **SRO Group** Cash, prepaid (50)

Payment Type **Payment Method**

Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (BFY)

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Project updates:

BFY Age 2 continues on pace and started exceeding overall goals. We are currently @ 66% completion rate which exceeds past wave / Age 1 by 6% pts. The team is monitoring a differential response rate of control vs. treatment cases. We have stopped release of treatment cases to even out the time to complete / response rate and, subsequently, eliminated the gap between Control (65.8%RR) and Experiment (66%) groups. Other than minor adjustments to data collection strategies there are no issues to report. BFY has been approved by U-M to restart in-person locating visits by TCCU, NYSPI, and U-M. Training is scheduled for 3/26 and the in-person work may commence the week after. Work on Age 3 has started with instrument programming, preload building, and collecting requirements for MSMS refinements. Preliminary scope of Age 3 includes a maternal survey similar to Age 2 administered via phone. The capstone lab visit conducted by the PIs is delayed due to Covid until age 3.5 - 4. U-M proposal to continue following up with Moms ages 3 - 4 before the lab visit has been accepted extending U-M involvement in BFY through 2023.

Data collection / Sample:

Weekly goals and actual by site: Goal

Actual Overall 622 639 13 Nebraska 192 205 11 New York 166 166 -2 Minnesota 77 80 2 Louisiana 187 188 2

+/-

Staffing:

Age 2 Staffing:

13 iwers in total (-3 from 16 in September)

NE: 1 attriiton; remaining 1 + 1 iwer with limited time (both NH from Jan 2020)

MN: 2 (1 Enrollment NH / 1 Jan 2020 hire) NY: 4 OS (3 with Enrollment experience)

NOLA: 3 (1 attrition due to fulltime job, 1 attrition after PIP, 2 Enrollment NH and 1 OS / 2 from Jan 2020 hire)

Locators: 2 (OS, one with shared responsibilty as a traveler)"

Work on Age 3 has commenced with instrument programming. We don't anticipate expansive development of MSMS other than refinement of the existing features and capitalizing on ongoing development.

Finances: Total project overrun has increased despite savings in Direct (salary/non-salary) costs since it has been communicated to the PIs. This continues to be a concern. Increase is due to adjustments to IDC and fees and offsets savings in direct costs. This increase does not yet include estimated + \$10K in CASIC adjustments.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 5,064,419.82 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 6,864,521.24

Total Budget: 6,428,325.73 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -436,195.51

Reason For Variance: A \$395K overrun was communicated to the client 10/20. Overrun increased

due to adjustment to the IDC and research fees.

Projections

95,918.74 Dollars Projected For Month: Mar 15, 2021 100,876.15 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): -4,957.41

Savings in salary cost were actually higher but were offset by adjustments Reason For Variance:

to fees. Lower iwer time and lower management cost.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	622	63%	7.0	
Goal at Completion:	950	96%	7.0	
Current actual:	693	66%	5.4	
Estimate at Complete:	950	96%	6.0	
Variance:				

Project Name Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium (C.A.R.E.)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,144,724.28 InDirect Budget: 302,360.14 Total Budget: 1,447,084.42

Principal Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)

Investigator/Client Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of Wisconsin)

Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University School of Medicine)

Funding Agency

 IRB
 HUM#:
 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst:William LokersProduction Manager:Kathleen S LadronkaSenior Project Advisor:Barbara Lohr Ward

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 24 months commencing in January 2019 with data collection taking place during a 20-month period, beginning April 2019. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$1,034,833 (\$821,295 direct, \$213,538 indirect), budgeted at the NCAA's published indirect cost recovery rate of

26%.

SRO will provide consultation, respondent location activities and data collection for the NCAA-DoD Grand Alliance (Cumulative and persistent effects of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure: An intermediate follow-up study of US Military Service Academy members and NCAA student-athletes in the CARE Consortium) project. Specifically, SRO will provide consultation on respondent locating and panel maintenance procedures and instruments, locate and contact respondents by mail and phone to prompt them to access the online data collection questionnaire, and conduct telephone interviews with participants who fail to respond to invitations to complete follow-up interviews on the web.

The estimate assumes that approximately 7,500 (approximately 5,000 in the first year and 2,500 in the second year of the project) respondents are included in the initial outreach/email request to complete the online instrument by your research team, and that approximately 20% comply. The remaining 6,000 respondents will be followed up by SRO with locating, further contacts and prompting to complete the web survey. Finally, we anticipate direct outreach by telephone interviewers with access to the online application. All respondent incentives will be paid by the research staff.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 12/2018 - 05/2021 02/2019 - 04/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kathy LaDronka, William Lokers, Hongyu Johnson, Keith Leibetreu, James Koopman

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (QuesGen)

Data Col Tool Other (QuesGen)

Hardware Laptop

DE Software External vendor (QuesGen)

QC Recording Tool N/

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by Consortium)

Administration SRO Group Payment Type N/A

Payment Type N/A Payment Method N/A

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (C.A.R.E.)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Project Management:

- It is confirmed that data collection will extend to April 30 with post work continuing into June 2021. Extra funds to cover the newly planned work extension have been received. Projection and budget reflect estimates for this extension.
- -Development continues on the management system as does its documentation and the updating of field protocols.

Data Manager:

- Continue to refine Dashboard and FPR reporting and QC systems and tools.
- Continue to conduct daily QC

Questionnaire:

- N/A

System

- continue to develop spec and Monitor & troubleshooting for system

Data Production:

- Emphasis on locating. Working on alternative options to assist locating team.
- Developing optimal strategy for the final three months of production, such as planning bonus
- Monitoring production and setting priorities

Production Stats as of 02/28/2021:

Overall RR: 41.50%

Total Released Cases: 7687 Total Complete IW: 2886

Total Complete WEB IWs = 2679; CATI Comp Iws= 207

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 28, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):1,174,186.98Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):1,408,776.73

 Total Budget:
 1,447,084.42

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 38,307.69

Reason For Variance: Projection will be adjusted to reflect the full work scope for the data

collection extension.

Projections

Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:65,707.45Actual Dollars Used:59,042.53Variance (Projected minus Actual):6,664.92

Reason For Variance: Field hours are a less than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Detroit Area Wellness Program - COVID Supplement (DAWN CS)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Sponsored Projects **Project Status Project Type** Current

Direct Budget: 301,960.00 InDirect Budget: **Budget** 169,097.00 Total Budget: 471,057.00

Principal Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, ISR) Investigator/Client Toni Antonucci (Life Course Development Program, ISR)

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00154638 Period Of Approval:

Project Lead: Juan Carlos Donoso **Project Team** Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Taghreid Lovell Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: Conduct 600 phone interviews: 200 white, 200 black, 200 MENA respondents.

200 white Rs and 50 black Rs will come from wave 3 of the Social Relations Study sample.

200 MENA Rs and 150 black Rs will come from newly screened sample.

30 minute instrument, including a section on social relations, short cognitive assessments, experiences during

COVID pandemic and socio-demographic questions.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

10/2020 - 05/2021 12/2020 - 04/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2020 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

Staffing Completed: 11/10/2020 GIT Start: 11/30/2020 SS Train Start: 12/02/2020 SS Train End: 12/04/2020 DC Start: 12/07/2020 DC End: 04/15/2021

lan Ogden, Kasyera Kowalczyk, Lisa Van Havermaet, Ashwin Dae, Jeffrey Smith, David Dybicki, John Gawlas, Brad Other Project

Team Members: Goodwin D-AMP CS Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA **QC Recording Tool** DRI-CARI; Camtasia

Incentive Yes. R SRO Group Administration

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Feb, 2021 (DAWN CS) Implementing **Project Phase**

Risk Level Some Concerns

- Production halted for two weeks to conserve interviewer hours **Monthly Update**

- ACF (Advanced Cellular Frame) sample purchased. A total of 3,268 lines will be released to interviewers.

- Tech team started work to adapt ST and reports to RDS design

Special Issues

Almost half (998) of the 2,100 phone numbers attempted by interviewers have been disconnected or belong to businesses and not residential addresses. After meeting with Dan Zahs and the LCD team, it has been decided to move to an RDS approach.

- The LCD team met with Sunghee Lee and is looking at the option of distributing coupons with respondents and encouraging them to pass to their network members.
- · The proposed design is described below:
- o The contact protocol would be modified to include sending three coupons to any ABS black or MENA respondents who complete the interview. Coupons can be sent to the Rs via email or text message.
- o Each coupon would contain a unique identifier that would allow us to track the number of coupons sent out and to link them to the "seed" respondent for reporting purposes.
- o The recipient of a coupon can contact SRO via the Qualtrics Self Scheduler (already in place), our study address, or the 800 line. Once contacted, we would create a new sample line in the ST screener project, contact the informant and conduct the screener interview. If the informant or someone in their household is eligible, a main line will be spawned in the ST Main project, as per usual.
- o If the recipient of a coupon completes the main interview, the seed respondent would receive an additional \$5 TOA.
- We have an estimate for programming hours for ST as well as for reporting systems and an estimate for testing hours. We are working on projecting the impact this would have on our budget, including the estimated cost of offering the additional \$5 incentive.
- SRS sample not performing as expected. Out of 334 lines released, 132 completed interviews. Pls were hoping for 200 white and 50 black completed interviews.
- . Because of lack or resources, roll out of updated technical systems could take until mid april and production is scheduled to end in May.

Cost Feb 28, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 250,934.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 459,721.00

 Total Budget:
 471,057.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 11,336.00

Reason For Variance: Latest cost report reflects all costs associated with implementing RDS

design

Projections Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:54,241.00Actual Dollars Used:47,809.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):6,433.00

Reason For Variance: Low interviewer hours due to interruption in production

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 261,360.00 InDirect Budget: 146,362.00 Total Budget: 407,722.00

Principal Nigel Paneth (Michigan State University)
Investigator/Client Michael Elliott (University of Michigan)

Jean Kerver (Michigan State University)

Funding Agency

NIH

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00139050 **Period Of Approval:** 10/2/2020-8/13/2021

Project Team Project Lead: Terri Ann Ridenour
Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:Evanthia LeissouProduction Manager:Ian OgdenProduction Manager:Sharon K Parker

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The goal of ECHO is to understand pressing childhood health concerns such as autism spectrum disorders, low birth weight and childhood obesity. The project will collect survey data and a series of bio-specimens in order to assess the effects of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals, maternal nutritional or weight status in pregnancy, and pregnancy infection and inflammation on the health of children.

The study includes two sample cohorts: a cohort from previous, ongoing data collection efforts as well as new sample. This existing sample cohort includes mothers and children recruited in 2011 or later for the Archive for Research in Child Health (ARCH) study in the Lansing area. The newly recruited sample cohort, MARCH (Michigan Archive for Research in Child Health), will consist of 1,100 pregnant women: 1) a statewide probability sample of 1,000 women from 20 prenatal clinics affiliated with 10 hospitals located in Ann Arbor, Dearborn, Detroit, Grand Rapids, Novi, Saginaw, Port Huron and Traverse City, and 2) 100 women from one clinic in Flint.

SRO's work scope is divided into two phases. During Phase 1, SRO provided assistance with prenatal questionnaire development and designed technical systems for MARCH cohort recruitment conducted by Michigan State University-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers and affiliated project staff. During Phase 2, SRO developed systems and is conducting data collection for MARCH sample 3-month. The MARCH age 4-5 follow up interviews and home visits are also in SRO's work scope. All other follow up protocols with the MARCH sample will be administered via REDCap by the MSU team (e.g. at 9-month, age 2 and age 3).

For the MARCH sample, expectant mothers are recruited during their initial prenatal visit to a healthcare provider. During a follow up phone call, respondents are asked to complete an interview about nutrition, levels of physical activity, use of healthcare services, physical and mental health, prescription medications and other substance use. In addition, women are asked to give blood samples in the first and second trimesters and urine samples in all three trimesters.

The sample recruitment and administration of prenatal interviews is conducted by MSU-employed (and other hospital-employed) interviewers using SRO's technical systems and laptops. SRO programmed all questionnaires administered during recruitment, the sample management system(s), and the system to keep track of the collection and storage of blood and urine samples. When babies are born, the research team obtains hospital birth records for the mother and child and a placenta sample. The first MARCH babies were born in early 2018.

SRO's data collection activities start after the MARCH babies are born. The first interview with the mother is done when the baby is 3-6 months old. Mothers are interviewed again by MSU when the children are 9-12 months old, and yearly after that until the child is 4 years old. When the children are 3 months old, mothers are asked to provide a child fecal sample and toenail clippings, and at age 4 they will provide shed teeth. Children from the MARCH cohort will be assessed using standardized developmental assessments at 4-5 years old. The assessments will be done during in-home visits.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 01/2017 - 08/2023 05/2018 - 03/2023

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:DC Start:DC End:

Other Project Team Members: lan Ogden: Project Manager Parina Kamdar: Financial Analyst

Gregg Peterson: Senior Technical Advisor

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Mark Simonson and Andrew Piskorowski: Data Managers (Phase 1)

Brad Goodwin: Data Manager (Phase 1) Jeff Smith: Systems Programmer Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer Hueichun Peng: CAI Programmer-Illume Jim Hagerman: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Shaowei Sun: Biospecimen Logging Application Programmer

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Illume
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Illume

Hardware Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil DE Software Other (Custom Biospecimen Logging Application)

QC Recording Tool Camtasia Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$20 (3-Month IW), \$20 (Biospecimen))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Feb, 2021 (ECHO)

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

Age 4-5 Protocols

We've reached out to TSG about a resource to begin reviewing the REDCap data collection system used for Age 4-5 protocols and assessing requirements for possible integration into SRO systems.

Re-Engagement Plan

Recruitment in 4 prenatal clinics is active and beginning to pick up pace. There have been 47 women recruited since 8/18/20. The team is hopeful that recruitment will continue to pick up as the number of COVID cases decreases, public's confidence in COVID vaccinations increases, and expecting mothers (and their significant others) feel more comfortable with unnecessary exposure and risk associated with engaging in face to face research activities. Although the new prenatal protocol allowing women to include their spouse at the 2nd prenatal visit has helped with recruitment, the MSU team believes that prospective participants are weary of the 6-year commitment to the study.

- --Ann Arbor (St. Joe)
- --Novi (St. John)
- --Dearborn (Beaumont)
- --Detroit (DMC)
- --Saginaw (Covenant)
- -- Grand Rapids (Spectrum-Butterworth)
- --Port Huron (McLaren)

Production Stats

To date, 887 women have been recruited in clinics. Among those cases, 724 were still eligible and active study participants during Phase 1 (prenatal period up until child birth). The MARCH recruitment target is 1,100 live births.

[Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection Summary]

Babies born: 694

3-month sample released: 702 3-month interviews completed: 501 3-month biospecimen collected: 309

Average attempts / lw: 7.6

Iw length: 31.41 Response Rate: 72%

Special Issues

Cost Mar 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 162,089.05

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 327,763.13

 Total Budget:
 407,722.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 79,958.87

Reason For Variance:

The project has a growing underrun, which is expected. Dr. Elliott has informed the PI, Dr. Paneth, and the MSU study office team. Prenatal enrollment by non-SRO recruiters has started to pick up (Phase 1).

We've entered a 6 month period of no new cases being released to the 3M protocol until June-July. Production will be maintained (at very low levels) through at least some of this period. Field effort will not be fully suspended, but is expected to be informally scaled down and scaled up as babies born following the COVID-related pause in recruitment age into the 3-Month protocol.

We reduced projections for interviewer hours (and participant costs/incentives) based on updated sample release projections. We will continue to monitor trend before we reduce further.

As a result of the six-month recruitment suspension, and overall slow enrollment activity, much of the 3M follow-up data collection workscope (Phase 2) that happens 10 months after recruitment will shift to Project Y6 (September, 2021). In May, as we work on the budget for Y6, we will discuss with the client the updated workscope, budget and likely request the use of carry forward funds.

Projections

Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

33,216.55 27,929.13 5,287.42

We've had to shift Blaise programming Jim is doing to March. The completely overhauled 3 month instrument accommodates the required ECHO-wide protocol data collection elements and is expected to be released to production this week (3/17/21).

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal: See Monthly Updates
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation (EDCPE)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 2,011,810.00 InDirect Budget: 603,543.00 Total Budget: 2,615,353.00

Principal Y Combinator Research

Investigator/Client Dr. Elizabeth Rhodes (Y Combinator Research)

Dr. Sarah Miller (University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00145626 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Karin Schneider

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager:Donnalee Ann Grey-FarquharsonProduction Manager:Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

Proposal #: no data

Description:

Y Combinator Research (YCR) and affiliated researchers at Stanford University are undertaking this randomized controlled trial as an exploration of an alternative to the traditional social safety net. Basic income is a method of redistributing resources to address economic insecurity. This study will examine individual-level effects of the

receipt of monthly unconditional payments.

The budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 22 months commencing in April 2018 with the main data collection taking place during a 9-month period, beginning March 2019. A single-county pilot of 80 cases is expected to take place over a two-month period in the late summer of 2018.

YCR is expected to select counties in two states and census tracts within counties to be included in the study, and sample addresses within these tracts. YCR will then send outreach/enrollment packets to the addresses requesting that households respond online (web-based form) and complete the study screener. After screening, YCR will select approximately 3,500 households that are eligible to be enrolled in the main study by in-person visits. SRO will approach these 3,500 households and conduct the baseline data collection with approximately 3,000 individuals. Following the baseline data collection, YCR will randomize the participants to the treatment and control groups and continue monthly payments and further contacts.

Prior to the main data collection, YCR will implement address selection and outreach within Alameda County and SRO will conduct an 80-case pilot data collection with in-person enrollment interviews. In the pilot, YCR will implement an experimental protocol to test recruitment methods that result in higher response and take-up rates.

Sampling:

 YCR is responsible for sample selection and for providing SRO with selected respondent contact information for both the pilot and main data collection.

Questionnaire Development:

- YCR will provide SRO with finalized questionnaires to be used for the pilot and baseline enrollment, with approximately 80% overlap between the two instruments.
- The questionnaire will collect demographic information, baseline measures of outcome variables (e.g., subjective wellbeing, financial health, employment, income, self-rated health and healthcare utilization, housing stability, food security, material hardship, etc.) and control variables (e.g., educational attainment).
- SRO will provide Spanish translation of the pilot and baseline enrollment instruments.

Computer Assisted Interviewing (CAI) Programming:

• SRO will program and test the 45-minute pilot and baseline instruments in both English and Spanish.

Sample Control Systems:

• SRO will customize our sample management system to control all screened sample provided by YRC, and track all appointments, progress, and outcomes at each sampled address for both the pilot and main data collection.

Training:

- SRO will hire and train approximately 28 total interviewers (including three interviewers to conduct the pilot) data collection. Separate trainings will be held in each state for the main study and one training will be held for the pilot.
- SRO will develop all study-specific interviewer training materials in consultation with YCR.

- Interviewers new to SRO (approximately 23) will receive approximately two days of training on general interviewing techniques. The entire interviewing staff will then receive approximately two additional days of training on study-specific protocols.
- Approximately 30% of the field staff will be bilingual.

Data Collection:

- For the main data collection, SRO will visit approximately 3,500 addresses of screened households up to three times to confirm participation and conduct the baseline data collection with 3,000 participants
- o Two regions included: 1500 participants in Michigan, 1500 in California
- o Multi-county area in Michigan (assumed to include Wayne, Oakland, Macomb and Genesee counties) and a multi-county area in California (potentially, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced counties)

0	At each eligible household, SRO will:
	Confirm participation consent
	Request authorization to access participants' records in administrative data, and contact information for friends
and f	family to help locate the participant if we cannot reach them
	Request participants complete a W-9
	Determine if the participant has consistent internet access, a smartphone with text capability (confirm number
by se	ending a test text), and an e-mail address
	Provide individuals with a basic smartphone if they do not have consistent internet access (hardware costs are
not ir	ncluded in the SRO budget) and data plan (costs are not included in the SRO budget) for the duration of the
study	y (determination that the participant has an alternative and no longer wishes to use the phone provided by the
study	y after this point of contact will be the responsibility of YCR)
	Give participant a pre-loaded bank/debit card with \$50 and help them register the card online
	Administer baseline survey, programmed by SRO, of approximately 45 minutes in length (in total, we have
estim	nated a two-hour in-home interaction with the participant)
	Administer consent and collect the following physical measurements:
	Blood pressure
	Height and weight

- We assume these procedures will be implemented in the single-county pilot, where SRO interviewers will visit
 households pre-selected by YCR.
- · All participant incentive payments are the responsibility of YCR.

Post Collection Processing:

- SRO will conduct standard data cleaning for the survey datasets administered by SRO interviewers.
- We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended.

Weighting and Estimating:

SRO will develop survey weights for analysis.

Deliverables:

- SRO will provide daily progress reports on baseline data collection to YCR as well as:
- o A summary of field methods at the end of the baseline data collection
- o A full dataset with all participant contact information for further follow up halfway through the data collection phase, and again at the close of the baseline phase,

The SRO budget does not include:

- Administrative data collection
- · Collection of expenditure data from financial institutions

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2018 - 02/2020 03/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Kirsten Alscer (SPA), Karin Schneider (Project Lead), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Project Manager) Marsha Skoman (Tech Lead), Jeff Smith (Tech Lead backup), Jim Hagerman (Blaise Programmer), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak Programmer), Chris Greene (Data Manager), Barb Homburg (Production manager), Carlos Macuada (Production Manager), Megan Gomez-Mesquita (Financial Analyst)

Other Project

EDC Program Evaluation

Names:

YCombinator Research Basic Income Study

Sample Mgmt Sys

Making Frak Meet

Data Col Tool Hardware

Blaise 4.8 Laptop

DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive

NA NA

Administration **Payment Type Payment Method** NA NA NA

NA

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (EDCPE)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Production and post production work are complete. Final invoice (still) to be sent to sponsor.

Units Complete

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 28, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 2,626,878.85 2,626,878.85 2,615,353.00

Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

-9,750.92

Reason For Variance:

Slight overrun is due to more than expected closeout work associated with phone consents and other data management work. PI Approved an additional ~\$12,000.00 to be paid to SRO which will cover the overrun. Final

invoice has not been sent to sponsor as yet.

Projections

Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

-1,864.21 -1,774.93

-89.28

Some staff time charges (made in error) were reversed. Overrun due to more than expected closeout work. PI has approved payment of funds to

HPI

cover this overrun (see note above).

RR

Measures

Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Every Dollar Counts Semi-Structured Interviews (EDC-Semi Structured)

Primary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: Total Budget: 351,246.00 InDirect Budget: 105,374.00 456,620.00

Principal Stephanie Chardoul (SRO)

Investigator/Client Elizabeth Rhodes (YCR/OpenResearch)

Jessica Wiederspan (YCR/OpenResearch)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Project Lead: Karin Schneider **Project Team**

> Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Rebecca Loomis

no data Proposal #:

Description: There are two phases of the project. In Phase 1, the PI provides a selected list of respondents from the EDC Main

study, SRO contacts and conduct 140 semi-structured interviews with them by phone. In Spring 2021, the second

phase will be a re-interview of these same 140 respondents, also by phone;

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2020 - 06/2021 08/2020 - 05/2021

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020

> Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 04/01/2020

Staffing Completed: 06/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 06/20/2020 SS Train End: 07/05/2020 DC Start: 08/01/2020 DC End: 06/30/2021

Other Project

Karin Schneider, Barb Homburg, Becky Loomis, Kasyera Kowalczyk

Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak Blaise 4.8 **Data Col Tool** Hardware Laptop **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Yes, Other (By PI) Incentive

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type NA **Payment Method** NA

Feb, 2021 (EDC-Semi Structured) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update We stopped projecting hosting and travel as we will do all remaining (Phase2) iws by phone. Further, Pls will do a few

(15 or so) iws for Phase2. So, there was another slight reduction in costs.

Special Issues None other than the project pause described above.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 236,014.00 Feb 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 379,703.00

Total Budget: 456,620.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 76,917.00

Reason For Variance: We are no longer projecting any travel as we are sure we will stay on the

phone for Phase 2, so large underrun is now showing.

Projections Feb 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 18,106.00 Actual Dollars Used: 12,106.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00 None

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
140		4	
155			
156		5.6	
	140 155	140 155	140 4 155

Project Name Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol, 2020 (HCAP 2020)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 3,300,000.00 InDirect Budget: 1,188,000.00 Total Budget: 4,488,000.00

Principal Kenneth Langa (SRC)
Investigator/Client David Weir (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00099822 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien
Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:Dianne G CaseySenior Project Advisor:Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Building off the 2016 Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (SRO #15-0011R01) experience, this project will

involve the completion of a face-to-face CAPI interview, designed to provide a dementia assessment of HRS respondents. A sample of 4649 respondents (one per household) who are 65 years of age or older will be selected for this effort. The questionnaire will be administered to respondents after their HRS 2020 interview has been completed. The sample will not be clustered geographically. We propose to staff a team of approximately 32 interviewers. It is expected that this team will carry out well-planned regional trips in order to complete the 3200 in-person interviews. The respondent questionnaire length is expected to be 60 minutes. An informant interview will also be completed for each of the respondents interviewed. The informant questionnaire is expected to be 25

minutes and can be administered by telephone.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

01/2020 - 12/2021 07/2020 - 08/2021

Security Plan NA Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: PDMG: Tony Romanowski, Lisa VanHavermaet, Kasyera Kowalczyk. TSG: Jeff Smith, Brad Goodwin, Peter Sparks,

Ashwin Dey, Deb Wilson

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA

QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (50); Check, post (25)
Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Feb, 2021 (HCAP 2020) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update The SRO HCAP project lead is working toward providing a cost estimate of effort for creating a video training of the

HCAP test modules, which could be used by all of the HCAP sister studies. Slides of the first test have been completed and are under review by a second team member. Project lead is writing a script to go along with the slides as well as a trainer guide. These materials will be provided to the project manager on the research team side for her review given her expertise on the cognitive tests. Project lead will use time spent on these tasks in order to more accurately estimate effort for all tests. Regarding production start date, we are still on pause due to COVID

restrictions for projects with FTF data collection. Fall is still being considered for a training and production launch. The PI's are aware of lead time needed for recruiting, preparations for updating and completing systems development, training, and securing of training venue. At this point the PI's would like to continue with a FTF interview plan, and are not considering phone interviewing of any sort for informants or R's. R interviews consist of a series of cognitive tests, some of which would be very difficult to administer over the phone. The project lead will continue to meet with the PI's on a monthly basis to revisit project Key Dates. Projections will be pushed forward month by month.

Special Issues

Planning pretest-training and pretest, production training during global pandemic.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 180,251.06 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 4,125,724.39

Total Budget: 4,488,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 362,275.61

Reason For Variance: The budget was healthy and was fully funded. We are adding efforts in to

benefit the project - see below for detail.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 911.15 Mar 15, 2021 974.88 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): -63.73

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Health and Retirement Study 2020 (HRS 2020)

Secondary: Web **Project Mode** Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** 11,961,346.00 4,306,085.00 16,267,431.00

David Weir (ISR-SRC) Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

ним#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 10/3/18-10/2/19

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Richard Warren Krause **Budget Analyst:** Production Manager: Andrea Sims

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Rebecca Gatward Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

> The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain a representative sample. A series of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a

self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records is

requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2019 - 08/2021 03/2020 - 03/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/01/2019 Pretest Start: 11/11/2019 Recruitment Start: 09/01/2019 Pretest End: 11/23/2019 Staffing Completed: 12/15/2019 GIT Start: 02/17/2020 SS Train Start: 02/19/2020 SS Train End: 02/26/2020

DC Start: 03/02/2020 DC End: 04/03/2021

Other Project Team Members: Derek Dubugue (Production Manager), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordination), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Dan Tomlin (Project Manager), Gary Hein (Project Manager), Leah Roberts (Training Coordinator), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Anna Fugua-Smith (Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul

Burton (Stats/Sampling)

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); External vendor (DataForce Scanning SAQs)

QC Recording Tool

Camtasia

Yes, R; Yes, INF Incentive

Administration

Check, prepaid (80.00); Check, post (\$50); Cash, post (20.00); Other (Money Order (by request)) Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Rpay system set up for MSMS); Interviewer p

Report Period Feb, 2021 (HRS 2020) **Project Phase Implementing**

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Date collection began March 2nd. A couple weeks into March, in person data collection was halted due to COVID19

> restrictions. All preferred mode TEL sample was released to the field and all preferred mode FTF sample was approved for phone interviews. Fifty percent of the preferred mode FTFe were approved for phone interviews with release mid June with the remainder released at the end of September. 3,849 web lines had been released as of August with the non-response follow-up being conducted by field interviewers in SurveyTrak. Limited effort protocol was put on hold in August with 1,000 cases re-activated in SurveyTrak for work by field interviewers. A subset of field sample was moved to web in January and February for a two-week protocol. Once the protocol was completed, the

non-final cases were moved back to the field.

Production has continued to slow down but interviewers have maintained an average of 21 hours per week ensuring they attempt all workable sample. The overall response rate is 70.4% (goal 74%). In an effort to increase response rates, an incentive experiment was implemented with approximately 1,700 respondents flagged to be offered an additional \$100 and approximately 1,700 respondents flagged to be offered an additional \$200 upon completion of the interview. Token offers are being made in multiple modes - text, email, voicemail, by phone during contact, self-scheduler, and letter. In addition, a shift of 125 cases from field to web was implemented. SAQ mailings continued and SSA mailing protocol started. Field interviewing is scheduled to end April 3, 2021 but there is discussions for the potential to extend.

The team continued to focus on technical development, systems testing, coding, and IRB submissions. The team continued technical system development for the launch of the SSA mal protocol, including updates for logging.

Measures noted in tables below are as of 3/13 (week 55)

Special Issues

COVID19 pandemic impact to conducting in person interviews and budget

Potential impact to locating find rate and response rate due to no FTF efforts

Multiple preload updates and critical issues identified in programming of the datamodel which delayed the release of fresh sample to the field staff in June

PI decision to delay final release of sample to field until late September

Resource strain on the MSMS team and data managers

Availability of essential staff resources for mail assemblies and logging

MSMS technical issues which can inform future development and enhancements needed

Impact to staff and respondents with Antibody Data Collection overlapping with HRS Core Data Collection

Cost Mar 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 14,116,944.01

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 15,595,128.16

 Total Budget:
 16,267,431.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 672,302.84

Reason For Variance:

The 6 year renewal proposal, which includes 2020, was based on a targeted dollar amount. 2020 original projections (approved by project staff) were based the 2018 response rate and 2018 actuals. Estimated under-run is due to the change in study protocol in response to COVID19 with all of

the FTF and enhanced FTFe cases approved for mode change to TEL, stopping interviewer SSA linkage consent, stopping WBD protocol, changing SAQ and SSA to be administered via mail with a phone follow-up, and COVID hours charged to date. Costs for transcription of one COVID

question has been added.

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:646,568.55Actual Dollars Used:622,626.53Variance (Projected minus Actual):23,942.02

Reason For Variance: Total hours were 2% under projections for the month primarily due to data

manager resource availability. Non-salary costs were 3% under projections primarily due to Rpay. Projections have been reviewed and updated.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	16,965	73%	5.9	
Goal at Completion:	17,201*	74%	6.8	
Current actual:	16,357	70.4%	6.0	
Estimate at Complete:	16,657	72%	6.1	
Variance:	544	2%	0.7	

Other Measures

*including preferred mode web

Project Name Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan (H&WB)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,433,860.00 InDirect Budget: 802,964.00 Total Budget: 2,317,801.68

PrincipalKristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, SRC)Investigator/ClientToni Antonucchi (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00146040 Period Of Approval: 4/9/2020

Project TeamProject Lead:Juan Carlos DonosoBudget Analyst:Parina KamdarProduction Manager:Theresa CameloSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: lan Ogden **Production Manager:** lan Ogden

Proposal #: no data

Description: Conduct 600 interviews with recently identified Arab Americans aged 65 or older residing in Southeast Michigan

and 330 interviews with Social Relations sample members aged 65 or older. The Arab American sample will be selected based on an in-person household screening. The interview will consist of a 60 minute core interview

(content from the Social Relations interview), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a series of physical measurements. Social Relations respondents will only complete the cognitive interview. An informant interview will

also be conducted for all sample members. Interviews will be conducted in English or Arabic. A pretest will be

conducted in August 2019 with main data collection occurring from November 2019 through July 2020.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 05/2019 - 08/2020 11/2019 - 07/2020

No

 PreProduction Start:
 05/01/2019
 Pretest Start:
 11/12/2019

 Pretest End:
 12/13/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 08/15/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2021 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 04/01/2021 DC End: 11/30/2021

Other Project Taghreid Lovell, Becky Scherr, Kallan Larsen, Dan Zahs, Pam Swanson, Dave Dybicki, Ashwin Dey, Brad Goodwin,

Team Members: John Gawlas, Paul Burton

Other Project Alzheimer's Disease Risk and Ethnic Factors: The Case of Arab Americans

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Weblog possibly)
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$60, \$15)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Report Period Feb, 2021 (H&WB) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Project Paused/No activity

Special Issues

Areas of the SRO work on D-AMP where the original specifications used to create the SRO budget did not hold up as we started implementing the development phase of the project include:

- Respondents from the Social Relations Study sample are also required to complete the core survey, in addition to
 the previously planned cognitive assessments and physical measures. This change was disclosed during the kick-off
 meeting, but the hours per interview (HPI) projection for Social Relations respondents was not adjusted to reflect this
 change.
- SRO staff invested more time than anticipated during the development of the cognitive tests, and the tests were more extensive and more complex to program than SRO anticipated;
- The Arabic translation process required involvement of SRO staff, which was not anticipated, and it took longer to finalize.
- The need to conduct more sessions for pretest training than originally budgeted due to delay in receipt of final instruments (English and Arabic) as well as the CDR certification.
- The realization that the complexity of the data collection protocol would require five more days of production training than originally budgeted, as well as 8 hours for CDR certification

SRO notified the PI team of an increase of \$25K in the projected overrun including the following explanation: The reasons for the increase in the projected direct cost overrun are explained below:

1.- Programming hours:

SRO Blaise programmers had to program a four hour survey in English and Arabic for pretest, which caused them to use most of the time projected for the duration of the project. Many of the cuts made to the cognitive assessment section have not been easy, because these removals impacted the delayed recall timers, which had to be reprogrammed.

There are also two additional instruments that had to be programmed after pretest (Proxy English and Arabic). While these instruments are similar to the main survey, there are lots of little programming changes (fills, first to third person, new social relations section, screen formatting) that imply multiple rounds of testing and bug fixing.

2.- Salary costs:

Taghreid Lovell was initially budgeted as a production assistant at approximately .6 FTE. A few months ago it became clear that Taghreid's involvement in translation and testing tasks as well as recruiting and training, so her projections were increased to a full FTE. A couple months ago, Taghreid was promoted to production manager, in part because of her amazing contributions to D-AMP. The current cost report reflects Taghreid's new salary.

3.- COVID Bank hours:

The COVID-19 bank of hours specified by U-M is being charged to shortcodes that employees would be charging if they were able to work, including sponsored projects. This is an allowable, though unanticipated, expense on our project. To date, 391 hours have been charged to D-AMP under the PT, EPSLA or FMLA shortcodes. These charges translate to \$16,500 in direct costs.

As we agreed during our last meeting, except for Ian Ogden, nobody from the SRO project management team will be charging any hours (beyond those already worked in May) during the stoppage in operations. Ian is leading our technical development efforts and the goal is to complete Blaise and Survey Trak programming by the end of July.

Cost Jan 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 996,390.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,345,099.00

 Total Budget:
 2,317,801.68

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -57,297.00

Reason For Variance:

The projected overrun decreased significantly because of the projected savings stemming from the new sampling strategy, which will allow us to recruit up to 2 eligible respondents per household.

recruit up to 2 eligibl

Dollars Projected For Month:5.00Actual Dollars Used:7.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-2.00Reason For Variance:Project Paused/No activity

Measures

Projections

Jan 31, 2021

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 9,806,418.00 InDirect Budget: 2,236,640.00 Total Budget: 12,043,058.00

Principal Sandra Newman (Johns Hopkins University)

Investigator/Client Tama Leventhal (Tufts University)

Funding Agency

NICHD, HUD, RWJ Foundation, MacArthur Foundation

IRB HUM#: HUM00114794 Period Of Approval:
Project Team Project Lead: Barbara Lohr Ward

Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge

Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson
Production Manager: Becky Kay Scherr

Production Manager: Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with \sim 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 09/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2018 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 09/01/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2020 GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 08/18/2020
 SS Train End:
 08/21/2020

 DC Start:
 08/24/2020
 DC End:
 02/06/2021

Other Project Team Members: Jeff Smith - Tech Lead, Paul Burton - DMSS, Gary Hein, PDMG, Ian Ogden, PDMG, Deb Wilson, Help Desk, Ashwin Dey, Webtrak/Weblog, Marsha Skoman, ST, Jim Hagerman Blaise

Other Project

Names:

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool

Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware

Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

DE Software

Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type Payment Method

Cash, prepaid (\$5 prenotification Wave 2); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child (Wave 1)); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office;

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Participated in biweekly project meetings with research team to discuss scope, cost projections and schedule.
- Reviewed/monitored project expenses. Revised and adjusted monthly projections.
- Monitored subcontracts, project invoicing and payments.
- Prepared and delivered biweekly production reports.
- Updated template to report new cohort releases for Social Security form data collection and reminder calling O effort, the end of telephone data collection
- Created Amendment 6 and gathered materials for submission 0
- Organized files for additional submission via correspondence
- Managed production activities necessary to support field interviewing and Social Security form data collection:
- Kitted and executed mailings for Social Security form kits 0
- Logged and scanned incoming Social Security forms. O
- 0 Managed respondent payments
- Mailed respondent incentives; reconciled cash/gift card funds.
- Received and logged undelivered incentives; contacted respondents and re-mailed incentives
- Requested new gift cards.
- Updated sample management system with address updates received from respondents. 0
- Final Deliverables
- O Weekly meetings to discuss the design and delivery of final deliverables
- Drafted a plan for finalizing sample lines, including assigning codes to unworked sample lines. 0
- Continued review of datafiles (see Final Data Deliverables for more detail)
- Assembled and delivered Voucher Treatment Sample Addresses
- Prepared and delivered final set of geocodes

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

- Attended project meetings.
- Updated production dashboards as needed to include necessary data and make reports more user-friendly.
- Participated in discussions regarding development of sample weights, flow charts and response rates.
- Creation of datasets for internal PI Analysis
- Conducted quality control for final disposition

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

% Task Spent to Date

No activity this month

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

- Attended technical team meetings; provided input on study progress
- Continued updating questionnaire documentation to correct variable names, document various Blaise data-model releases

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to date

Updated sample management system and reports as needed to support administrative records collection

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

% Task Spent to Date

· No activity this month

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

- · Telephone data collection:
- Production interviewing continued until February 6th, 2021. As of February 6th:
- □ 1501 Coverscreens completed
- □ 1413 PCG interviews completed
- · 28 non-intact households
- Interviewers and dedicated locators conducted respondent locating on hard-to-reach lines.
- o Conducted interviewer performance evaluations.
- o Logged and responded to incoming email and phone requests for interviews.
- o Logged returned mail; updated addresses and re-mailed letters where necessary.
- o Verification
- ☐ Reviewed incoming verification forms to remove duplicates
- Logged received forms
- · Conducted telephone interviewer debriefing
- o Assembled and shipped interviewer packets for debriefing
- o Planned and conducted an interviewer debriefing with the PIs, collecting information on sample management and reporting systems, data collection protocols, and the questionnaires.
- o Developed agenda for debriefing with research team.
- · Managed respondent payments
- o Requested gift card funds.
- Mailed tokens of appreciation for completed PCG interviews.
- o Mailed tokens of appreciation for returned SSN forms.
- o Reconciled shipped gift cards and closed funds as necessary.
- SSN/Records-matching mailings
- o Kitted and shipped materials for records-matching reminder mailing. Logged tracking numbers for each kit.
- □ Reminder Card and Second Packet for cohort 2
- ☐ First Packet and Reminder Card for cohort3
- o Reviewed incoming social security forms to identify those in need of remediation. Mailed forms for correction along with notated checklist. Logged tracking numbers.
- Continued reminder/assistance calling effort for SSN/Records-matching mailings
- ☐ Reminders issued in February across cohorts: 733
- ☐ Released Cohort 2 (n=952)
- □ Released Cohort 3 (n=110)

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

- · Continued reviewing open-ended responses and interview remarks.
- Processed data in anticipation of interim data delivery
- · Created query program and outputs to analyze recontact data
- Assigned final result codes to all non-finalized cases

Task 10: Weighting

% Task Spent to Date

· See Task 2 Sampling for other activities

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

- Scanned and delivered SSN forms and related documentation
- Developed outline for final report
- See Task 1 Management for other activities

Special Issues

Areas of Concern:

Wave 2

- The delay in shipment of Business Reply Mail for the Social Security form mailing, and subsequent billing from USPS may impact the timing of receipt of final charges for incoming Business Replay Mail.
- Assuming that SRO has the use of all awarded NICHD funds, the project is projecting an underrun estimated between \$35,000 and \$75,000 in direct costs. The exact figure depends on the response rate to our social security form data collection effort, and the level of effort necessary to support that data collection. The underrun results from staffing changes at SRO brought about by the pandemic, the revised verification effort, as well as interviewer efficiency during production interviewing. There are some unknowns, such as the response rate for the administrative records forms and the level of support required for respondents for completion of the records forms. SRO will continue to update the research team on financial status.
- The implementation of the Emergency Family and Medical Leave Expansion Act (EFMLA) and the Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) impacted project costs. These are Federal and University-authorized project charges to cover lost work due to family care or inability to work due to the pandemic. We do not expect these charges to significantly impact SRC's ability to complete the H&C project within the current budget allocation.
- o For this billing period, \$0 Direct Cost were associated with use of EFMLA or FFCRA usage.
- The project was delayed from a budgeted start date of April 2020 until mid-August 2020 due to the coronavirus epidemic. The project was changed to an all-telephone administration. The delay and scope change impacted project costs related to additional questionnaire and sample management system programming changes, as well as ongoing management costs due to the project extension.
- o The reduction in work scope (no child questionnaire, no neighborhood observations, no in-person interviewing, and no biomarkers or physical measures) is expected to reduce data collection costs.
- o Change in verification protocols is expected to marginally reduce data collection costs while increasing the verification rate significantly.
- Continued changes to the questionnaires and scope which impact the sample management systems has led to cost overruns for questionnaire design and programming tasks.
- o The PCG questionnaires (in-area and out-of-area) for Wave 2 were not finalized until after the initial deadline. SRC added resources to allow us to meet revised questionnaire specification and IRB schedule for Wave 2, leading to increased costs over what is currently projected. This also reduced or eliminated any flexibility to accommodate further scope modifications.
- o Programming hours for fundamental structural changes to the Wave 2 PCG instrument, the addition of a household screener/exit interview, in addition to changes to the finalized household screener/exit interview that were announced in November 2019 after final specifications had been delivered and programming started. This additional effort may exceed the budget, which assumed only 25% programming change between Waves.
- o Further changes related to the change in scope from face-to-face interviewing to all-telephone interviewing will further impact project costs and increase overruns in tasks related to systems development and reporting.
- The delay in the data collection field period from 2019 to 2020 increased fixed costs associated with project and production management. Delays will also impact hourly rates for all staff including data collection staff, may lead to increased locating costs, and will likely impact the number of new hires needed.
- Delays in the start of respondent contact/locating efforts may lead to more intensive locating needs during the Wave 2 data collection, over and above what was originally budgeted.

Wave 2 Work Scope Changes:

- Notification of new specifications for State Data Consent forms received on February 9th, 2020, requiring revising and reprinting forms, developmental costs, and revised IRB submissions.
- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that final clean copies of all instruments be delivered by early August 2019 to allow for review and programming specification delivery using assigned resources.
- A household screener/exit interview has been developed and will be programmed to facilitate locating children
 who do not live with the Wave 1 PCG. The instrument will collect contact information for new PCGs (approved by
 PIs).
- The start of Wave 2 was delayed until April/May 2020 at the request of the research team. This will impact the cost of pre-production, respondent locating, and likely also impact the cost of interviewer hiring and training.
- The sample size is being increased to include the full Wave 1 sample, including households where no children were interviewed at Wave 1.
- The start of Wave 2 is being further delayed by the coronavirus pandemic. This will negatively impact project costs unless there are other offsets. Moving to an exclusively telephone data collection mode may reduce costs, but will also result in fewer completed interviews, both at the household level (due to lower response rate) and individual level (no child assessments). In addition, many of the measures cannot be collected over the phone, including laser tape measurement, physical measures, dried blood spots, interviewer household observations, neighborhood observations, or administration of the Social Security Administration or records-matching forms.
- Social Security forms will be collected via a mail survey (approved by the research team). The data collection will be conducted following a protocol for a traditional mail survey, with a cohort beginning each month.
- A holiday card mailing with a study magnet will be shipped to respondents in December 2020.
- Remediation will be attempted for incomplete Social Security record linkage forms. This will require additional
 labor and postage to mail forms back to the respondent, as well as an IRB submission for the checklist and correction
 guide documents to be included in the mailing.
- Telephone data collection will be extended through February 6, 2021.
- Reminder/assistance calling will be conducted for all households receiving a request for SSN-form completion.

Cost

 Mar 16, 2021
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 11,542,298.89

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 11,968,231.01

Total Budget: 12,043,058.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 74,826.99

Reason For Variance: NICHD authorized additional funding to cover an extension of data

collection and panel maintenance post-data collection.

Projections Mar 16, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:245,087.80Actual Dollars Used:211,406.85Variance (Projected minus Actual):33,680.95

Reason For Variance: Approximately \$25,000 in respondent incentives and postage that were

expected to appear on this month's report did not. Interviewer hours were slightly overbudgeted. Indirect costs were about \$7,000 below projections.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ
Current Goal:	1041 PCG iws	75% of located cases	8.5
Goal at Completion:	1041 PCG iws	75% of located cases	
Current actual:	1413	79%	9.0
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Locate and screen 75% of Population cases, 80% of voucher sample cases

Project Name HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Test (HRS 2020 ABT)

Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: Total Budget: 817,809.00 **Budget** 601,330.00 InDirect Budget: 216,479.00

David Weir (SRC) Principal Investigator/Client Jessica Faul (SRC)

Funding Agency

NIH

HUM#: **IRB**

HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 8/20/20-8/19/21

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager: Derek Dubuque

Proposal #:

no data

Description: The HRS 2020 COVID Antibody Study is a supplement to the HRS to accurately assess who has been exposed

> and infected with SARS-CoV-2. Antibody testing for the novel coronavirus that causes COVID-19 will provide an indication of the characteristics of people who were infected in this national sample. It is important to understand how the relative size of these groups differs by race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, work status, education and geography in order to add to our understanding of who was infected with the coronavirus, as well as those who went on to have symptoms and develop COVID-19 during the pandemic progression. Saliva collection kits will be mailed to approximately 17.600 respondents homes. The consent document will detail the purpose of the study and what is being requested of them. The consent includes two questions - if the respondent ever received a positive COVID test result and the date of that test. The collection will be administered in both English and Spanish. The sample will consist of all HRS 2020 sample members who do not reside in a nursing home. The field period, mailings and reminder calling, is expected to occur between October 15, 2020 and March 31, 2021 before a vaccine is widely available. We expect 65% of the sample to consent to this collection. This project is being

conducted in collaboration with the ISR Biospecimen lab.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

09/2020 - 04/2021 10/2020 - 03/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2020 Pretest Start: 10/09/2020 Pretest End: 10/15/2020 Recruitment Start: 09/22/2020

Staffing Completed: 01/15/2021 GIT Start: SS Train Start: 01/25/2021 SS Train End:

> DC Start: 10/19/2020 DC End: 03/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: Andrew Hupp, Debbie Zivan Dan Tomlin, Tony Romanowski, Daniah Buageila, Anna Fugua-Smith, Janet McBride, Jim Rodgers, Laura Yoder, Holly Ackerman, Pam Swanson, Deb Wilson, David Bolt, Matt Hanger, Tricia Blanchard, Lloyd

Hemingway, Dianne Casey, Gary Hein. HRS Antibody, Antibody Project

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

MSMS

Data Col Tool Other (COVID Antibody Saliva Kit, Paper/Pencil) Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (MSMS)

QC Recording Tool

N/A Yes, R **SRO Group**

Incentive Administration

Check, prepaid (\$20)

Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Feb, 2021 (HRS 2020 ABT) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level Some Concerns

All test kits were mailed out by December 20, 2020 and all reminder postcards mailed by January 7, 2021. **Monthly Update**

MSMS data collector application and protocol rules were updated for the reminder calling effort and logging consents. Webinar training was conducted with field and SSL staff on 2/8/21. Additional sample was added to the reminder calling sample during February (total sample = 3,830).

Minnesota received 6,156 samples by end of February. Positive results started to be delivered. Result letter mailings continued through the month. The team worked closely with the ISR Biospecimen lab to ensure replacement kits, requested by respondents during reminder calling, we shipped out in a timely fashion.

As of 3/26/21 the overall response rate was at 43% (see details below)

Original sample selected=17,639 Sample removed from kit mailing = 1,351 Total Sample Sent A Kit = 16,288

Samples received by MN: 6,987 (43%) Refusals: 1,780 (11%) Deceased: 108 (1%) Received Vaccine: 403 (2%)

Undeliverable - No Forwarding Address: 109 (1%)

Pending response: 6,901 (42%)

Special Issues

A revised budget was submitted based on work scope changes made in early October.

Added complexity collaborating on production tasks with the ISR Biospecimen lab.

Data manager and MSMS team resources

HRS Core data collection ongoing in field while Antibody test kits are being shipped to respondents.

Reminder calling work scope in late December added to be implemented in a short timeline. Additional sample added

in February.

MN lab doing additional analysis/quality checks on samples which are delaying results being sent to respondents.

Respondent contacts to SRO often very upset due to delay in receiving results.

Cost Mar 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 799,486.49

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 822,446.39

 Total Budget:
 817,809.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -4,637.39

Reason For Variance: CRS does not yet reflect updated projections. We are estimating a \$19,792

underrun. The selected sample was 17,639 but the actual number of respondents sent a kit was 16,287 based on updated data from HRS core data collection. In addition, voids were not included in the budget but have been projected in CRS. Reminder calling projections for additional sample has been added to the projections and logging and result letter mailing

projections have been extended into April.

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:106,606.10Actual Dollars Used:81,973.37Variance (Projected minus Actual):24,632.73

Reason For Variance: The variance is primarily due to postage and Rpay. Minnesota has only

delivered results for a portion of the respondents so postage and supply costs for results mailings are being pushed forward. In addition, there were more check voids than projected in February. Future projections for check

voids have been adjusted accordingly.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	10,587	65%		
Current actual:	6,987	43%		
Estimate at Complete:	7,000	43%		
Variance:	3,587	22%		

Project Name HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study (HRS 2021 OYMS)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 543,273.00 InDirect Budget: 195,580.00 Total Budget: 738,853.00

Principal Dr. David Weir (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS))
Investigator/Client Dr. Helen Levy (UM-ISR (SRC-HRS))

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

IRB HUM#

HUM#: HUM00196577 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: lan Ogden

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:Pooja Varma-LaughlinSenior Project Advisor:Evanthia LeissouProduction Manager:Ian Ogden

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project refers to what will be the first of up to three HRS mail studies planned for the 2020-2022 off-year. Following the model of past off-year mail studies, a subsample of up to 12,000 HRS respondents will be sent a paper booklet, as well as a prepaid incentive by check, and will be asked to complete the paper survey and return to Ann Arbor via prepaid return materials. Logging of paper booklets will take place in Ann Arbor, where booklets will be packaged and shipped to an external vendor, DataForce, for scanning and data-export. This study will follow a Dillman-like reminder sequence - initial mailing, followed by up to three reminder mailings (booklet #2, postcard, booklet #3).

An external vendor, DataForce, will handle (1) formatting, printing and mailing of the booklet (in English and Spanish); (2) sending reminder mailings to each batch of sample; and (3) scanning completed booklets and delivering data to SRO for processing and delivery.

Unlike past instances of HRS off-year mail studies, the 2021 COVID Survey sample is expected to be split into between two and four batches (each with its own mailing and reminder schedule). This is due to the timing of this study and other ongoing HRS components. Secondly, and also in contrast with past off-year mail studies, it is expected that this sample may overlap with HRS CAMS.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Security Plan Milestone Dates 03/2021 - 12/2021 04/2021 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2021 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 05/03/2021
 SS Train End:
 05/03/2021

 DC Start:
 05/03/2021
 DC End:
 12/31/2021

Other Project

TSG and additional PDMG resources are to be confirmed (as of 3/16/2021).

Team Members:

Other Project HRS 2021 Off-Year Mail Study
Names: HRS 2021 OYMS (COVID)
Sample Mgmt Sys HRS 2021 OYMS (COVID)

Sample Mgmt Sy Data Col Tool

HAS 2021 Perspectives on the Pandemic Survey

Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (MSMS DCA Logging Interface)

QC Recording Tool

N/A

 Incentive
 Yes, R

 Administration
 SRO Group

 Payment Type
 Check, prepaid

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (HRS 2021 OYMS)

Project Phase

Initiation

On Track Risk Level

Small project and technical teams are beginning to form. **Monthly Update**

A final questionnaire (in English) has been received; booklet-formatting and translation are clear to begin.

Respondent-facing materials are under development and should be completed soon.

SRO is awaiting details of the initial batch of sample. We are aiming to launch English and Spanish in tandem and are

targeting the first mailing to the first batch of sample in late-April or early-May.

An SSL production manager has been identified, and recruitment for one logger is underway.

Identification of space for logging and storage is also underway.

DataForce has been engaged as we begin conversations related to formatting, printing, mailing and scanning.

Considering revisions to typical mailing protocol due to issues reported with USPS service (e.g. switching to trackable "pay-on-use" return labels, potential move away from USPS Priority for the final reminder mailing, considering

including "plant" addresses to gather delivery information to different parts of the country for each mailing).

Cost Mar 03, 2021

Special Issues

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00

0.00 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): Total Budget: 738,853.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 738,853.00

Reason For Variance: Entry of projections (salary and non-salary) into CRS is pending.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Mar 03, 2021

Actual Dollars Used: 0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance: Entry of projections (salary and non-salary) into CRS is pending.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ
Current Goal:	TBD	TBD	N/A
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name India Human Development Survey Wave 3 (IHDS3)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 333,895.61 InDirect Budget: 198,006.92 Total Budget: 531,902.53

Principal Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland)
Investigator/Client Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan)

Santanu Pramanik (National Council of Applied Economic Research)
National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services

Funding Agency National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Se IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Sarah Elisa Broumand Production Manager: Jennifer M Kelley

Proposal #: no data

Description: The India Human Development Survey (IHDS) is a nationally representative, multi-topic, longitudinal survey of

41,554 households in 1503 villages and 971 urban neighborhoods across India. The first round of interviews were completed in 2004-5; data are publicly available through ICPSR. A second round of IHDS reinterviewed most of these households in 2011-12 (N=42,152). SRC joins IHDS Wave 3 to automate data collection, the scope of work

includes methodological design, sample design, questionnaire design, technical instrument design, supervisor/interviewer training, production monitoring, quality control, data dissemination, and 2-3 weekly

conference calls for capacity building.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 05/2022 06/2020 - 05/2022

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SGIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Project Management Team

Stephanie Chardoul -- Senior Project Advisor (SPA) Gina Cheung - Lead Project Manager and Tech Lead

Sarah Broumand - Project Manager Jennifer Kelley - Project Manager

Programming Team Collette Keyser - Blaise Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak Holly Ackerman - WebTrak Ashwin Dey - Offline Transfer

Cheng Zhou - Sync

HelpDesk Team Genise Pattullo Emmanuel Ellis Andrea Pierce

Data Manager Team Jonathan Harrison Matthew Scibiorski

DBA Team LihShwu Ke Cheng Zhou Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (SurveyTrak INTL)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))

DE Software QC Recording Tool

Other (TBD) DRI-CARI

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)

Administration Other (TBD)

Payment Type Other (TBD)

Payment Method Other (TBD)

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (IHDS3)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Special Issues

We are using the different fund to cover the development work, and this fund will be reserved for the production management

managen

There are several concerns, with the foremost as the timeline, given the size and complexity of the project. The other concerns include:

- Receiving timely translations from the client
- o Mitigation: conducted a BTT training this month and continue to communicate back and forth to make sure they understand how to use the system.
- SRO staffing this is the largest and one of the most complex projects and there is concern about having enough staff to fully support the project and we lost a data manager a few months ago and now have recently lost our data manager again.
- o Mitigation:
- We now have a data manager team (Laura Yoder, Cheng Zhou and Sarah Broumand) and trying hard to catch up and complete all the required tasks
- The Field User Management tool was develop to give the NCAER staff more responsibilities for certain data management tasks
- Continue to working closely with NCAER to keep the timeline on track to avoid unnecessary rework

Cost

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 487,294.82

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 511,133.35

 Total Budget:
 531,902.53

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 246,751.18

Reason For Variance: Budget has increased to \$757,884.53 due to the addition of the Gates3

Fund. This project is saving hours because this project will be put on hold and we dk what additional issues will arise when restart happens. We also need to preserve hours to support production. With the scale of this project

it will be necessary to save to contingencies.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:765.92Actual Dollars Used:369.74Variance (Projected minus Actual):396.18

Reason For Variance: Implementation phase got extended. Froze the House Hold project at the

end of November.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Malaysia Ageing and Retirement Study Wave 2 (MARS 2)

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: **Budget** 187,281.00 InDirect Budget: 67,421.00 Total Budget: 254,702.00

Principal

David Weir (UM SRC - HRS)

Investigator/Client

Health and Retirement Study

Funding Agency IRB

HUM#: NA Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Margaret Lee Hudson Richard Warren Krause

Kasyera Kowalczyk

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

The Social Wellbeing Research Centre (SWRC) at the University of Malaya is conducting the second wave of data Description:

> collection for the MARS study, including questionnaire revision, questionnaire translation into Mandarin and Malay, and instrument technical redesign in order to incorporate Wave 1 preload information. In this effort, SWRC will revisit n=5,613 respondents from the first wave, as well as 1,000 additional households from which they will select up to three eligible respondents. The first wave of MARS included up to three randomly-selected members from each household aged 40 and older. The average interview length is expected to be 70 minutes. Data collection will

be conducted in English, Malay, and Mandarin.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

01/2020 - 09/2021 09/2020 - 08/2021

Security Plan NA **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: Pretest End: Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Technical Lead Jay Lin Gina Cheung Technical Advisor **Team Members:**

Peter Sparks Blaise Programmer Holly Ackerman WebTrak Programmer

John Gawlas HelpDesk Specialist Genise Pattulo HelpDesk Supervisor Cheng Zhou Database Administrator LihShwu Ke **Database Administrator**

SurveyTrak Intl Programmer Marsha Skoman

SurveyTrak Offline Ashwin Dey Brad Goodwin Data Manager

HelpDesk Specialist (back up) Emmanuel Ellis

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (ST International)

Blaise 4.8 **Data Col Tool** Hardware Laptop **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** N/A Incentive Not used

Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Report Period Feb, 2021 (MARS 2) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The second interviewer training, which has been delayed since November, is now planned for March 2-3. The first portion of the training will be completed virtually, with additional in-person training planned for ~ 2 weeks later, once travel restrictions within Malaysia are relaxed and interviewers can come to the training (and travel for work FTF). Production continues largely via telephone at a steady pace. Completion of physical measures for Rs completing by telephone are planned once travel restrictions are eased. In the meantime, the project team continues to focus on QC checks, steady production, and preparing for training.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 177,972.09

Mar 15, 2021

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 237,173.11

 Total Budget:
 254,702.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 17,528.89

Reason For Variance: Lack of international travel to begin project; additional months of data

collection added due to pandemic delays and slower monthly data collection

due to travel restrictions within Malaysia

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 11,179.44
Actual Dollars Used: 5,728.50
Variance (Projected minus Actual): 5,450.94

Reason For Variance: Fewer programmer and data manager hours used this month than projected

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Mellon College and Beyond II (CBII)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 643,762.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 643,762.00

Principal Paul Courant (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy)
Investigator/Client Kevin Stange (Gerald R Ford School of Public Policy)

Susan Jekielek (ICPSR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: 00173324 Period Of Approval: 10/2020-10/2021

James Koopman

Project TeamProject Lead:Maureen Joan O'BrienBudget Analyst:Carl S RemmertProduction Manager:Lisa J CarnSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: TBD

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

10/2020 - 10/2021 01/2021 - 06/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/15/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 11/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/15/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/18/2021 **SS Train End:** 02/18/2021

DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Peter Batra - Programmer and technical lead, former MCBII Pilot Lead, Laura Yoder - Data Ops, Dave Dybicki -

Team Members: Systems Programmer, Grace Tison, 2nd Budget Analyst

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS
Data Col Tool Illume

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Check, post (30)

Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (CBII) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

Production is well underway and there are now just 2024 completed interviews and 128 partials. Just over 1200 sample lines have been attempted in reminder calling, with 1445 call attempts. 32 surveys have been completed after having been contacted by reminder callers, and currently 84 sample lines have agreed during a reminder call to complete the survey. The manual locating effort has not yet started, manual locators are focusing effort on reminder calling. 94 sample lines are ready to have manual locating when the effort starts. Occupation/industry coding is underway as well, with 775 cases having been coded and 279 checked. The third email reminder has been sent. Email reminders 4 & 5 have not yet been scheduled. We are monitoring sample balance for minorities, liberal arts, and institution, to see if they are close to their proportion to the total sample. The University of Houston is 10 points behind their proportion to the total sample, so has been prioritized (appear first in the list of sample to work in SMS). In the past two weeks, UH RR has increased by 3 points as a result.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 167,779.59 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 643,103.81 Total Budget: 643,762.00

> Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 658.19

Reason For Variance:

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 123,002.47 Actual Dollars Used: 103,874.89 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 19,127.58

Reason For Variance: Non-salary costs were projected high for this month, so were lowered a bit

and some moved forward.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
3000	20%		
2152	14.3		
	3000	3000 20%	3000 20%

Project Name Monitoring the Future - Base Year 2017-2022 (MTF base year 2017-2022)

Primary: Class SAQ **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget 4,701,300.00 Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: 2,615,631.00 **Total Budget:** 7,316,931.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Richard Miech (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

HUM#: 00131235 Period Of Approval: 4/18/2019 - 4/17/20 **IRB**

Rebecca Gatward **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Mary Johnson Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson Production Manager:

Production Manager: no data Proposal #:

Description: The Monitoring the Future study is an epidemiological and etiological research project begun in 1975. The project

functions as a basic research study, as well as one of the nation's major sources of reliable information on trends in

smoking, drinking and drug use.

It is based on two interconnected series of surveys using nationally representative samples:

(a) self-administered annual in-school surveys of 8th, 10th, and 12th graders (about 15,000-17,000 in about 140 8th

grade schools, about 120 10th grade schools and about 125 12th grade schools per year);

(b) panels of high school graduates aged 19-30, 35, 40,45, 50, 55, and 60 (surveyed by mail). Panel members aged 19-30 are sent a questionnaire every other year/asked to complete a web survey and the older sample members are sent questionnaires (mail and web) at five-year interval. The MTF panel study has three parts - early

in the year a locating effort targets panel members who

DRAFT - Hueichun Peng, Minako, David B, Debra Heier

The web panel launches in spring and around June a telephone non-response effort begins.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

04/2017 - 04/2022 02/2018 - 07/2022

Security Plan Yes **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: 04/30/2017 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: DC End: 07/30/2022

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Names:

Data Col Tool

SurveyTrak; Project specific system (SurveyCTO) Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (SurveyCTO)

Tablet Hardware **DE Software** N/A **QC Recording Tool**

Incentive Yes, Other (Honorarium paid to school by MT project staff)

Administration ISR Group (MTF project team)

Payment Type

Payment Method Check through other system

Report Period Feb, 2021 (MTF base year 2017-2022) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update February updates

- Final adjustments were made to ST and WebSMS that were required to launch data collection.

- IWERs were certified.

- The MTF research team (School recruiters) began passing schools to IWERs for them to begin contacting the designated Contact

Person to arrange a Pre Survey Administraton meeting (various details are shared and confirmed during this meeting) and the date

of the Administration is set.

Reason For Variance:

- Data collection began -in February ~2900 surveys were completed by students in 27 schools.

Special Issues

Cost Mar 15, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 5,287,210.04

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 7,061,886.10

 Total Budget:
 7,316,931.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 255,044.90

- Wave 1 (2017-18) & Wave 2 (2018-19) 50 supplemental school admins (not budgeted)
- Wave 2 travel costs were higher than budgeted due to staff availability.
- Wave 3 (2019-2020) 500 additional tablets were purchased. The cost of these and other necessary equipment was not budgeted.
- Wave 4 below budget because data collection was halted on 13 March (due to COVID pandemic all FTF research was halted). All data collection costs were below those projected.
- Wave 5 Due to change in data collection design (new web mode) IWER hours and other costs associated with in person school admins are are lower than originally projected (based on initial assumptions about the IWERs role in the remote admins and the number of in person admins and travel costs). Hours required by SRO staff have also been reduced projections are still included for some FTF admins beginning in May 2021. It is unlikely that these admins will take place in-person.

The wave 4 projections include staff hours required to undertake the development work to implement the web mode (limited to a basic sample management system, portal and field procedures) and a small pilot. The total cost is estimated to be \$81,131.71 (August - December 2020) This work was not included in the original scope of work for 2017-2022.

The salary and non-salary cost projections for wave 4 (2021) have been revised based on the assumption that the majority of the school administrations will be conducted in web mode (remote or in-school). The hours required for Field Researchers to conduct the remote admins and the number of remote and in person admins are estimated. We have compared these estimates with actual interviewer hours used during the pilot, the estimates seem to be at an appropriate level. We will review actual interviewer hours during production to ensure that projected hours are at the appropriate level.

The projected costs for 2021 have been updated to include Illume charges for completed surveys (\$.95 per submitted survey).

The notes sent with the January Client cost report will be uploaded (in MPR).

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:241,700.78Actual Dollars Used:105,536.29Variance (Projected minus Actual):136,164.49

Reason For Variance: The variance is

The variance is due to lower IWER hours generally and the balance of hours between IWER II and III was not accurate. The total projected IWER hours were evenly spread across the data collectio period. Now that we have a month's worth of actual costs and have a better idea (now reports are developed) of how many schools will be completed every month, the Hours Per Admi (HPA) and the split across IWER II and III , I have updated the projections.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Monitoring the Future Panel (web) 2021 (MTF Panel (main data collection) 2021)

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Total Budget: Direct Budget: 729,094.00 InDirect Budget: 405,907.00 1,135,001.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

John Schulenberg (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM-0013R02 Period Of Approval:

Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Mary Johnson

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson Production Manager: Rebecca Gatward

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of MTF Illume Web 2020. The new budget has been combined with previous to allow

for "additional funding" of the continuing portion and includes some development/programming work for 2021.

In continuing Review

PI staff will edit and test 12 survey versions, all previously programmed in Illume by SRO. SRO will further test the surveys as part of the systems integration process. All 12 surveys will be launched in 2021. After testing is complete, SRO will launch the 2021 Web survey data collection with an estimated sample size of 20,000 cases identified by the Principal Investigator who will deliver the contact information including e-mail address to SRO. The Web survey data collection will replace aspects of the standard mail-based data collection. Both the separately funded Winter Location calling effort and Non-Response follow-up calling will include this sample - with the calling effort being integrated with the standard MTF activities.

This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 12 months commencing in January 2021 with the data collection taking place during a 7-month period, beginning April of 2021. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$355,783 (\$228,066 direct, \$127,717 indirect), budgeted at the currently negotiated on-campus recovery rate of 56%.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2021 - 12/2021 04/2021 - 10/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:** Gregg Peterson (SPA), Rebecca Gatward (Director), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Lloyd Hemingway.

Shaowei Sun, Minako Edgar, Peter Sparks, Ashwin Dey, Hongyu Johnson

Other Project

Sample Mgmt Sys

MTF Illume Web 2021

Names:

Incentive

Report Period

Web SMS; Project specific system (RLM, RIMS)

Data Col Tool Illume Hardware NA **DE Software** N/A

QC Recording Tool N/A

Yes, Other (Managed by SRC PI Staff)

Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

> Feb, 2021 (MTF Panel (main data colle Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

- 1. PI study staff and SRO staff finished editing 6 forms and started to test them. To maintain timeline SRO is also helping to test
- 2. Programming continued on Lab SMS, Web SMS and RLM. Preparing for systems integration testing in March.
- 3. Unique URLs and login credentials for production were generated and delivered to PI team needed to send production invitation letters to the Printer. testing preload was delivered and is being prepared for integration testing.
- 4. Integration testing plan developed for March testing.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 829,938.11 Feb 28, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,132,598.93

Total Budget: 1,135,001.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 2,402.07

Reason For Variance: The funds for Panel 2021 have been transferred to the PG in Feb. The

amount of underrun is reasonable due to some programming work delayed

to Feb.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 27,427.83 Feb 28, 2021 25,529.09 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): 1,898.74

Reason For Variance: Some programming work delayed to February.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name

MTF High Intensity Drinking (MTF HID)

Project Mode

Primary: Web

Total of Modes: 1

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

Budget

Direct Budget:

301,160.00

InDirect Budget: 167,822.00

Total Budget:

468,982.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Megan Patrick (Univ of Minnesota)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#:

00159183

Period Of Approval:

Project Team

Project Lead: Peter Rakesh Batra
Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

SRO's work on this project includes administering multiple web surveys to a sample of respondents who participated as 12th graders in Monitoring the Future's Base Year study. Using a starting sample of approximately 2000 respondents from MTF, SRO will administer a data collection "burst" consisting of one 30-minute survey and 14 days of 7-minute surveys every 12 months for 4 years. SRO will conduct all surveys as self-administered web surveys, with no telephone contact to respondents. SRO will coordinate all electronic contact with respondents (email and text message), but will not handle any paper mailings, respondent management or the payment of respondent incentives. SRO's activity will start in February 2019, with the first data collection burst in May 2019, lasting through to the first week of July 2019. Deliverables include final copies of the questionnaires (including programming source code), clean and documented data sets from each of the data collection bursts, and a methodological report on protocols.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

03/2019 - 07/2020 05/2019 - 07/2019

Hueichun Peng

Web SMS

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Staffing Completed:

SS Train Start:

DC Start:

GIT Start: SS Train End: DC End:

Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Sample Mgmt Sys

Names:

 Data Col Tool
 Illume

 Hardware
 NA

 DE Software
 NA

 QC Recording Tool
 NA

 Incentive
 Yes, R

 Administration
 NA

Payment Type

Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method

Check through other system (handled by Study Staff)

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (MTF HID)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

In February we started data collection and there were no issues to report. Our current response rate for completion of the annual survey is 34%, and between 76 and 91% for completion of the 14 Daily surveys. (Remember that only those R's who complete an annual survey are invited to complete the daily surveys). There are currently 3 more reminder email messages scheduled to go out prior to closing the annual survey on April 5.

Special Issues

Cost Mar 08, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):194,259.82Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):326,015.70Total Budget:468,982.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):142,966.30

Reason For Variance: Mainly our scope has been significantly reduced as the project team is

handling much of the day-to-day respondent activity. This amount has been

fairly constant since the beginning of the project.

Projections Mar 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:17,575.80Actual Dollars Used:1,330.52Variance (Projected minus Actual):16,245.28

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2021 (PSID21)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,434,021.00 InDirect Budget: 2,483,047.00 Total Budget: 6,917,068.00

Principal David Johnson (UM)
Investigator/Client Katherine McGonagle (UM)

Narayan Sastry (UM)

Funding Agency

IRB

NSF, NIA, NICHD, The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation of the United States, DHHS, The Econom

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval:** 8/20/2020 - 8/19/202

Project TeamProject Lead:Shonda R Kruger-NdiayeBudget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Stacy QuisenberrySenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Sarah Crane

Production Manager: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: PSID (known to Respondents as the Family Economics Study or FES) is a longitudinal survey of several thousand

individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and 2017/2019. The total 2021 sample size will be approx. 11,200, with approx. 9,700 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main

time. The 2021 instrument also features questions related to the impact of COVID on the family.

PSID 2021 is the first full wave of PSID Core to be conducted with a Web, self-administered option and using Blaise 5 and MSMS and the work scope includes the re-write of the 68-ID website and the Splitoff program to be compatible with new technical systems.

focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over

TAS21 will follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample members on a flow basis following their Core interviews.

Note: The Budget listed in MPR is the original 2021 budget. It has not been approved by PIs, who are currently reviewing a rebudget.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2020 - 04/2022 03/2001 - 10/2021

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2020
 Pretest Start:
 09/28/2020

 Pretest End:
 10/25/2020
 Recruitment Start:
 10/15/2020

Staffing Completed: 12/02/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/24/2021 SS Train End: 03/05/2021 DC Start: 03/18/2021 DC End: 12/31/2021

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Family Economics Study 2021, PSID Core 2021

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Proxy, Locator)

Administration ISR Group (PSID)
Payment Type Check, post (Varies)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Other (Electronic RPay)

Report Period Feb, 2021 (PSID21) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update

February work focused heavily on technical systems development and contingent staff training.

Work on Concurrent Offline continued, with testing, issue identification, and issue resolution. As of the writing of this report 3/17 all but 2 scenarios have been tested and are working. The 2 not working save the proper record, but do not archive the losing record or write the proper scenario name to the database. Ongoing troubleshooting of Concurrent tapped resources that would otherwise have moved on to the next priority.

Refinement of the Blaise instrument programming proceeded apace. Work on development of other necessary programs (e.g. the Splitoff program, the 68-ID site, the Self Scheduler) continued, but at a slower pace than hoped.

Study Specific Training occurred in the last week of Feb and first week of March. It was largely well-received and successful although technical systems (particularly those covered in week 2) were finalized only immediately prior to (sometimes less than a day before) training.

The Some Concerns designation for this report reflects concerns about the amount of development work related to MSMS and related technical systems that still remains.

As of the writing of this report (3/17), we have yet to launch production data collection.

Special Issues

Cost

Reason For Variance: We now project an overrun of approx. 0.5%. Not yet included:

--Proposed TSG modifications (increases) requested 3/16

--Increases to management effort to reflect proposed changes to Shonda's

and Rachel's roles

--The \$46K direct cost CASIC recharge increase

Projections Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Both technical systems development and management costs were higher

than projected. The management costs related both to coordination of TSG

work and to training development.

227,084.86

237,612.19

-10,527.33

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019) (CDS-19)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 5,801,561.00 InDirect Budget: 3,164,398.00 Total Budget: 8,965,959.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC)
Investigator/Client Paula Fomby (SRC)

Funding Agency

NICHD, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

IRB HUM#:

HUM00166316 *Period Of Approval:* 6/11/18 - 8/4/2021

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Dianne G Casey
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

A 2019 wave of the Childhood Development Supplement (CDS) is planned for September 2019 – May 2020. The sample for CDS is comprised of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Core 2019 families we interview and their primary caregivers. Approximately 3,700 families will be included, with some Core families containing several CDS children. As part of the CDS, families are asked to complete multiple interviews (i.e., coverscreen, PCG interview, Child interview--including an IVR component) and data collections (i.e., physical measurements, educational assessments, saliva collection, time diaries, school and birth record linkage forms), several of which are completed in-person. CDS interviewing will be conducted by Field interviewers, with the SSL supporting follow-up efforts. SurveyTrak and Blaise 4.8 will be the primary technical systems employed.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 02/2021 09/2019 - 12/2020

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 01/07/2019
 Pretest Start:
 07/15/2019

 Pretest End:
 08/04/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 05/02/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 08/05/2019
 GIT Start:
 09/16/2019

 SS Train Start:
 09/18/2019
 SS Train End:
 09/23/2019

 DC Start:
 10/01/2019
 DC End:
 12/31/2020

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software Other
QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)
Payment Type Check, post; Cash, post

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PS

Report Period Feb, 2021 (CDS-19) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Notified PIs that protocol deviation had been discovered (confirmed on 1/14/21 upon investigating data alert from

Minako) -- IWERs had incorrectly collected school linkage forms from 40 age ineligible 5-7 year old children. First

occurrence of the deviation was 10/27/19. ORIO submitted 2/1/2021. ORIO acknowledged on 2/11/21.

IRB amendment for E-Signature PCG/Child Saliva Consent Collection approved on 2/2/21. E-Signature emails sent out 2/10-2/11, followed by text messages. Emails to Spanish cases were proceeded by a phone call. Emailed 31 consents, received 12 responses (includes 1 refusal). Only 3 consents were correctly filled out.

Data manager delivered finalized CS lines to PSID on 2/8. DMSS delivered CS analytic data set on 2/12. Data manager delivered finalized PCG lines on 2/22. DMSS delivered PCG analytic data set on 2/25.

SSL Follow up reminder calling, Respondent mailings, and outbound contact to Respondents concluded on 2/15.

SRO working on Child Line code out and documentation.

Logging and Time Diary Coding & Data Entry are on-going. Saliva sample delivery is also on-going, on a less frequent schedule (monthly).

Special Issues

Cost

 Total Budget:
 8,965,959.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -7,620.21

Reason For Variance: Decreased post collection processing hours to reflect response rate.

Projections Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:75,415.96Actual Dollars Used:62,182.01Variance (Projected minus Actual):13,233.95

Reason For Variance: Lower postage costs than expected. Lowered TL/PC projections to reflect

actuals entered in Tenrox.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Qatar World Mental Health Survey (WMH-Qatar)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

62,440.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: 12,488.00 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Principal Zeina Mneimneh (University of Michigan) Investigator/Client Salma Mawfek Khaled (Qatar University)

Funding Agency

Project Team

IRB

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

> Sarah Elisa Broumand Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

> > Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

SRC joins Social & Economic Survey Research Institute at Qatar University for the upcoming World Mental Health Study in Qatar to conduct CIDI train-the-trainer training and build a quality assurance tool. The timing expectation is that SRC has an initial working version of the QC tool in time for a January 2020 data collection pilot, then refinements will be made for an October 2020 production launch. The Qatar team will be using the standard CIDI questionnaire instrument (Blaise 5), and they have Blaise programming expertise and their own sample management system. SRC had originally envisioned this as a version of our OLAP Cube, but have since moved away from that and toward a more "ODS"-type approach. SRC scope of work includes CIDI training, methodological designs for in-person and phone call verification, quality control indicators/interventions, and technical designs for quality assurance infrastructure and dashboard, etc.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

04/2019 - 10/2021 01/2020 - 10/2021

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Cheng Z. (Application Programmer), Jay L. (PM), Gina-Qian C. (SPA), Sarah B. (System Analyst)

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (Blaise 5)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5 Hardware

Laptop; Tablet

DE Software QC Recording Tool

N/A N/A

Incentive Administration Yes, Other (TBD) Other (Qatar University)

Payment Type Other (TBD) **Payment Method** Other (TBD)

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (WMH-Qatar)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The SRO/SRC team met with the SESRI team several times to review the dashboard and monitoring team's processes. The SRO/SRC team gave feedback on the dashboard design and layout, reminded SESRI of the goals of the dashboard, and firmly suggested SESRI reference the original design, as the design provided an efficient and clear picture of the quality control measures. The SRO/SRC team also gave feedback on SESRI's monitoring processes and where they could make the process more efficient, and the types of interventions they might use. The teams have moved to bi-monthly meetings, as all deliverables have been met for the project.

Special Issues

None

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 60,563.84 Feb 28, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 65,326.76 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 9,601.24

Reason For Variance: Project team will be re-allocating some of the hours to be consumed during the remaining term of the contract. Saving dollars for production.

Projections Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 706.97 Actual Dollars Used: 1,422.30 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 715.33

Reason For Variance: Some of time was not consumed because client was busy launching a new

pilot that became necessary due to the COVID19 pandemic. As a result,

they had to create a pilot for phone interviewing rather than FTF.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS Waves 3 &

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 8,210,436.00 InDirect Budget: 4,597,851.00 Total Budget: 12,808,287.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency

Project Team

Department of Defense

IRB HUM#: HUM00180765 Period Of Approval: 6/3/2020 - 6/2/2021

Project Lead: Meredith A House
Budget Analyst: William Lokers
Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou
Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland
Production Manager: Juan Carlos Donoso
Production Manager: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers). Army STARRS is a multi-component epidemiological and neurological study designed to generate actionable evidence-based recommendations to reduce US Army suicides and increase basic knowledge about the determinants of suicidality. The goals of STARRS Longitudinal Study (STARRS-LS) are to enhance DoD/Army actionable findings, maintain productivity of the Army STARRS data and systems established, and enable science-based answers to questions related to health, resilience, and manpower management for the Army of 2025

For STARRS-LS, we have attempted to reinterview respondents from the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS), and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multimode study. We started with a group of approximately 73,000 eligible persons who had been interviewed in one of those three surveys and gave consent to link administrative data to their survey data.

To date, we have completed 2 waves of STARRS-LS interviewing. During Wave 1, we attempted to contact 50,000 individuals and completed approximately 14,500 full interviews. All Wave 1 participants that completed a full Wave 1 interview were asked to participate in Wave 2. Waves 3 and 4, which are covered in this application, will include the full STARRS-LS Wave 2 sample, regardless of whether they completed the interview.

In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS-LS will continue to maintain and support the Army STARRS Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as coded historical administrative data received from the Army and DoD. Additionally, STARRS-LS will continue to receive administrative data updates and link coded administrative data to survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS-LS surveys).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 05/2020 - 04/2025 11/2020 - 10/2024

Yes

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2020 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/23/2020

Staffing Completed: 10/01/2020 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 11/18/2020 SS Train End: 11/24/2020 DC Start: 11/09/2020 DC End: 11/03/2022

Other Project Team Members: Heather Schroeder, Paul Burton, Ryan Yoder, Leah Roberts, Keith Liebetreu, Kelsey Mulka, Becky Loomis, Cheng Zhou, Andrew Piskorowski, Lamont Manley, Lisa Van Havermaet, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Genise Pattulo, Andrew Hupp, Jim Rodgers, Kyle Goodwin, Marcus Blough, Nick Hinkle-DeGroot, Aimee Miller, Maureen O'Brien

Other Project

STARRS-LS Continuation

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Other (Blaise CARI)

Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$50-\$100)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS)

Report Period	Feb, 2021 (STARRS-LS Waves 3 & 4) Project Phase Initiation
Risk Level	On Track
Monthly Update	We have released three replicates (2,847 sample lines) and completed 1,689 interviews as of March 1. We worked with the Harvard team to resolve discrepancies found during quality control checking of the first ~600 Wave 3 surveys. The batch of survey changes, largely in the homelessness section, and the change of CATI length estimate to 100 minutes, were released to production. We continued programming and testing another set of changes to the survey (mostly clarifications in the personal relationships and social networks sections). We continued bi-weekly meetings with the ODUSA to coordinate Safety Plan and respondent locating activities. We coordinated with USUHS and HJF on timing of the STARRS-LS Wave 3 Year 2 award with the goal of avoiding funding delays. We began work on an analysis of the areas in our budget where we are spending more than anticipated. When completed, we will share the information with the Pls. We created draft W3 Safety Plan reporting tables for the ODUSA/GSC, and these were approved by the Pls. Meredith worked with Paul H. to create of a "STARRS Cohorts and Data" flowchart. IRB: The Wave 1 ORIO was submitted on February 3 on the original STARRS-LS IRB protocol. STARRS-LS Continuation amendment (#4), for changing the estimate for participation in the CATI survey from 60 minutes to 100 minutes was approved by USUHS on February 11. A STARRS-LS Continuation amendment (#5) for additional survey changes (clarifications in the personal relationships, social networks sections) was submitted to the U-M IRB on February 5, approved on February 12, and sent to USUHS on that same day. The Annual Security Training Renewals were completed by all external users of the U-M STARRS Data Enclave. Seven geospatial and admin construct files were transferred from AAG to U-M, ID swaps were completed and the files were posted to the Enclave. U-M completed the annual update to the SSN/Linkage ID file and the file was transferred to AAG. We continued to work on the geocoded link file request and

Special Issues

We continue to track areas of risk and develop mitigation strategies.

- □ To date, our projected costs for Waves 3 and 4 are higher than anticipated. Some areas for the increased cost estimates are a longer survey instrument time for CATI, and increased hours for programming instrument changes. We are monitoring the situation closely and have begun work on an analysis of the areas in our budget where we are projecting a deficit. When completed, we will share the information with the PIs.
- ☐ Wave 3 Challenges
- Wave 3 consent review and approval process these risks were described in a September 30, 2020 memo to the ODUSA.
- ☐ The DHA Privacy Board has approved the DSA modification for the MHS HIPAA form; however, we will not receive any sort of VA prior approval. With the VA, we could be in a situation where we employ a VA HIPAA authorization forms that ends up not being acceptable to the VA when it comes time to request data for linkage. While unlikely, it's also possible the approved MHS HIPAA form might not be acceptable to the providers of those data.
- o HIPAA authorization cannot be provided verbally during CATI interviews. We have established detailed procedures for telephone interviews and, so far, have the results from the first completed replicate. 65% of Replicate 1 CATI respondents completed the consent supplement survey. About 1/3 of these CATI consent supplement completions required at least some reminder calling. The consent rates themselves were 92% for both MHS and VHA HIPAA. These early results look positive, but we will continue to monitor the success of gaining the HIPAA consents for CATI respondents.
- □ Enclave Support
- o We continue to monitor priorities for Enclave support. We balance the primary Enclave support work with a number of more complex biomarker data support requests, which are outside of the reduced Enclave team scope in the STARRS-LS contract. We prioritize the primary work above other requests in accordance with project goals and to remain within budget/scope. As a result, the biomarker data support requests can take longer to service. As long as requestors are ok with this arrangement, we can continue to manage the work and priorities in this fashion.
- Scope additions
- o There are a few cost estimates for new scope that have yet to be made (Table 2 above). As decisions are made, we will work with the research team to schedule and implement this work.
- o We have received some information on additional public use data releases, including release to the NIH National Data Archive. Several unknowns need to be clarified before we can finalize our scope and cost estimates and determine what staffing will be needed for this work.

Cost Jan 31, 2021

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,402,574.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 13,175,559.00

 Total Budget:
 12,808,287.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -366,169.00

Reason For Variance: After this month's updates, our projected deficit for the total project

decreased to \$366,169 (from last month's deficit of \$558,694). This is about 2.8% of the 5-year budget. We will continue to monitor production rates and

update the cost figures accordingly.

Projections Jan 31, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:239,766.00Actual Dollars Used:263,021.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-23,255.00Reason For Variance:Production costs

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2021)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1.00 InDirect Budget: 1.00 Total Budget: 1.00

Principal Richard Curtin (SCA)
Investigator/Client Tuba Suzer-Gurtekin (SCA)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Theresa CameloBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the

contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing

staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

12/2020 - 12/2021 01/2021 - 12/2021

Plan NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:

DC Start: 01/02/2021 DC End: 01/25/2021

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Not used
Administration N/A
Payment Type N/A
Payment Method N/A

Report Period Feb, 2021 (SCA 2021) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update SCA FEB 2021 began as scheduled on 1/27/2021, and ended as scheduled on 2/22/2021.

We completed 604 IWs (322/182/100). Four IWs above our goal of 600 (320/180/100); at an overall hpi of 2.75 (.25

below our budgeted hpi of 3.0).

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 165,847.64 Mar 16, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,113,711.17 Total Budget: 1.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 8,870.83

> Reason For Variance: reduced hpi

Projections Mar 16, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 93,548.50 82,871.78 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 10,676.72

Reason For Variance: reduced hpi

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	600		3.0	
Goal at Completion:	600		3.0	
Current actual:	604		2.75	
Estimate at Complete:	604		2.75	
Variance:	4		25	

Project Name Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection (VCT)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 241,958.00 InDirect Budget: 135,497.00 Total Budget: 377,455.00

Principal Dr. Frederick G. Conrad (University of Michigan)
Investigator/Client Dr. Michael F. Schober (The New School)

Funding Agency

National Science Foundation

IRB HUM#: HUM00159711 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp

Budget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-LaughlinSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This research project will examine data quality, participation, respondent experience, and costs in two promising but not yet widely deployed survey modes that use off-the-shelf video technology and are less costly than face to face (FTF) interviews: video-mediated (VM) interviews (live two-way communication via platforms like Skype) and video self-administered (VS) interviews, in which video-recorded interviewers ask the questions and respondents answer by typing or clicking. This project will compare these measures of data quality and costs in VM and VS interviews carried out by the same professional interviewers and in conventional online (textual) self-administered questionnaires, asking the same survey questions to members of a representative sample who are randomly assigned to one of these three modes. Because VM is synchronous and "live" like face-to-face (FTF) interviewing, and VS is asynchronous and recorded but still projects a human face, the project's comparisons will provide new insights regarding how these decomposable aspects of human contact affect behavior and experience in surveys. The project's results will reveal the extent to which, and for whom, less costly interaction (live but remote vs. recorded) with an interviewer promotes engagement and data quality comparable to what is found in similar FTF interviews. More generally, the findings will address when and in what ways modern communication modes that reduce social presence and are less personal might be equal to or even more effective than FTF interaction. Findings from this project will provide valuable information relevant to the future of survey measurement and will be of interest to survey researchers in the Federal statistical system and other survey organizations.

Exempt

Even as survey data continue to be central to public policy and decision-making, survey measurement is challenged by declining response rates, increasing costs, declining trust in survey organizations, and rapidly changing communication habits among the public. Understanding how video technologies could fit into the future of survey data collection is important both because it may meet potential respondents "where they live" and because it may provide a significantly lower cost alternative to FTF interviewing. There is even the potential to reach some members of the public whose location makes FTF interviewing difficult or expensive, but who may well be able to participate in a video interview (e.g., people who live in remote rural areas or members of the military deployed overseas). In comparing data quality across these three survey modes, the project will quantify participation rates, connectivity problems, respondent compliance with the video interviewing protocol, conscientious responding (giving precise answers to numerical questions, thoughtfully differentiating answers), and disclosure of sensitive information. The project will measure the potential impact of individual interviewers, feelings of engagement with the interview, rapport with the interviewer, and respondent satisfaction. The project also will allow assessment of data collection costs across these modes. Access to and use of video technologies are not universal, and even among those with access some are willing to engage in video interaction while others are reluctant. The project will begin to address whether and how the effects of video technologies on survey data collection differ for participants with different levels of prior experience and preference for using the technologies.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 06/2020 08/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/29/2019 **DC End:** 04/01/2020

Other Project Kallan Larsen - PSM MS Student Ai Rene Ong - PSM PhD Student Team Members:

Tianheao Wang - PSM MS Student

Kevin Jensen (TSG) - portal/Blaise programming Shanti Suresh, Matt Hanger, & Laura Yoder (TSG) - data management

Kyle Goodman - MSMS set-up programming

Jim Rodgers - MSMS consultation

Brady West - Research Associate Professor, Survey Research Center

Other Project

Video Communication Technologies

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS** Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Desktop; Other (Webcam, USB headset)

DE Software QC Recording Tool N/A Yes. R Incentive

Administration SRO Group; Other (CloudResearch (TurkPrime))

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (ABS=\$2 giftcode -> prepaid; \$20/\$22 giftcode -> post) **Payment Method** Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (TurkPrime (now CloudResearch))

Feb, 2021 (VCT) Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track

Risk Level

The team continues to meet regularly to discuss analyses that Tian and Kallan are doing. Monthly Update

> Work continues on the first findings paper based on the 2020 AAPOR presentation. A first draft has been shared with the group and revisions are being made.

Closina

The interviewer effects paper submitted to JSSAM has been reviewed. The team will work on revising based on reviewer comments.

Andrew and Kallan are working on a paper on respondent burden that will use some data from the video project.

Andrew met with Dean to update cost projections. Overall we are projecting to be about right on target (projecting a slight under run on both budgets).

Our 2021 AAPOR submissions were accepted.

- -A panel on video at AAPOR (Andrew H. coordinated and submitted, the panel will consist of...
- --A paper on willingness to participate in video interviews (submitted by the New School). Kallan and Andrew H. are
- --A paper from the European Social Survey (ESS) about their experience augmenting with video in the current wave of ESS.
- --A paper from the American National Election Studies (ANES) about their experience with web and video in their preand post-election surveys.
- --A paper on recruitment and participation that Kallan will present (Andrew H. is a co-author).
- -In addition to the panel, Kallan and Andrew are...
- --Co-authors of a paper on interviewer effects in video interviews that Brady is the lead on (Ai Rene a PhD student will present).
- --Lead authors of a paper on a model for respondent burden that uses data from SCIP, the iPhone study, and the video study

We've been invited to an ESRA panel (likely two different panels) on video interviewing for social surveys, and another session on new communication channels in web-based surveys. Andrew and Kallan are authors on all of the submissions.

- -- A paper on recruitment and participation (panel session).
- --A paper on data quality in live video interviews (panel session).
- --A paper on willingness and discomfort answering sensitive questions in live video survey interviews (panel session).
- --A paper on precorded video interviews (closed session)

The team has decided to not submit to the General Online Research (GOR) 2021 conference.

Laura will need to do a final MSMS data set in the future. Andrew and Kallan need to finish finalizing the remaining lines. That won't happen until sometime after the PSID launch.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):359,600.22Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):376,003.65Total Budget:377,455.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):998.35

Reason For Variance:

VM costs are on target. The total costs to date are lower than last month.

The archivist charged projected hours that weren't worked yet and were

backed out. Those projections are in a future month. The projections have been made for the MiCDA funds. The current cost projections for that

account are below.

The cost information for the MiCDA analysis funds are:

Total Budget: \$30,089.00 Total Cost to Date: \$6,547.65

Estimated cost at completion: \$29,737.33

Variance: \$351.67

The cost information for the MiCDA supplement funds are:

Total Budget: \$54,207

Total Cost to Date: \$54,118.00

Estimated cost at completion: \$54,118.00

Variance: \$89.00

Projections Dollars Projected For Month:

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:-531.33Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: The archivist charged projected hours that weren't worked yet and were

backed out. Any project time in February was projected on the MiCDA

account.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	855	20%		
Goal at Completion:	855			
Current actual:	1,109	12.5%		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Development Initiative and No Data Collection Projects

February 2021



Developmental Initiatives

Day in the Life Videos

TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE-WRITE

TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL

TSME21-Illume Upgrade

TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing

TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade

TSME21-DCO Tech System Support

TSME21-QC-Systems

TSME21-RCLS

TSME21-Replayer

Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS

TSME21 ST international

NonArchived Development Initiative and No-DataCol Projects

Project	Туре	Status	Project Lead	Jan	Feb
Day in the Life Videos	Initiatives	Current	Vivienne Y Outlaw	()	()
TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE- WRITE(483257)	Initiatives	Current	Jeffrey L Smith		
TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE- GENERAL (483910)	Initiatives	Current	Jeffrey L Smith		
TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466)	Initiatives	Current	Hueichun Peng		
TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562)	Initiatives	Current	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung		
TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197)	Initiatives	Current	Hueichun Peng		
TSME21-DCO Tech System Support	Initiatives	Current	Vivienne Y Outlaw		
TSME21-QC-Systems (483249)	Initiatives	Current	Sarah Elisa Broumand		
TSME21-RCLS (425196)	Initiatives	Current	Jim Rodgers		
TSME21-Replayer (425267)	Initiatives	Current	Jennifer C Arrieta		
TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424)	Initiatives	Current	Andrew L Hupp	()	()
TSME21-ST international (483227)	Initiatives	Current	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung		

Project Name Self-Scheduling Interface for MSMS (TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483424))

Primary: Not Available **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type Developmental Initiatives**

Direct Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Andrew L Hupp **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This technical initiative will create an outward (respondent) facing interface for participants to schedule an

appointment in MSMS. Initially an interface with core functionality that all projects will benefit from will be built out. We will leverage existing work from the prior self-scheduling application (developed by PSID) to define a core set of functionality. An additional functionality list based on feedback about the existing scheduler, needs from other projects and organizational needs will be developed. We will work with the stakeholder group to prioritize that list

and add the additional functionality as funding allows.

Work involves the development of the outward facing interface as well as related MSMS core work.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

NA

07/2020 - 06/2021

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

James Rodgers - Tech Lead

Holly Ackerman - Developer (MSMS API and other appointment related work) **Team Members:**

Peter Sparks - Developer (R facing page) Dave Padot - Development consultation

Stakeholder team

Kallan Larsen - Alternative scheduling representative Heather Schroeder - Stat Unit/Reporting representative

Pooja Varma-Laughlin - SSL representative

Gary Hein - HRS representative Maryam Buagelia - PSID representative

Stacy Quisenberry - DCO/HRS/PSID representative

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA Incentive NA Administration

Payment Type NA **Payment Method** NA

NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME21-SelfSchedUI (483² Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Development of the self-scheduler began in January. Time in February was spent integrating the API developed by the MSMS team, and cycles of revisions and testing.

The team implemented the feedback from PSID PIs about the respondent's user interface.

Time in March will be devoted to:

- 1) Testing
- 2) Development of inclusion/exclusion parameters (e.g., when/how many appointment slots are available)
- 3) Development on the MSMS side needed for item #2

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):17,913.33Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):29,976.39Total Budget:30,000.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):23.61

Reason For Variance: Planning to spend funds. Projecting an another hour puts the project in a

overrun.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:8,074.79Actual Dollars Used:7,788.10Variance (Projected minus Actual):286.69

Reason For Variance: The few hours projected for other development aid (Kevin and Dave P)

weren't needed this month.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE-WRITE(483257) (TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE-WRITE(483257))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 7,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 7,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Jeffrey L Smith
Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project will be used to support all enhancement needs for R-PAY to process payments from Surveytrak, SSL

and MSMS.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

10/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SI Frain Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project

Team Members: Marsha Skoman

Other Project

Names:

Incentive

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; MSMS

Jeffrey Smith

 Data Col Tool
 NA

 Hardware
 NA

 DE Software
 NA

 QC Recording Tool
 NA

NA NA NA

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME R-PAY SYSTEM RE- Project Phase Planning

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update In February, we will meet to discuss process flow of payments (cash /check / electronic) from MSMS to CPS (Check

Processing System) and bank reconciliation data updates to MSMS. The process will be vetted through TSG Data Managers before programming begins. Peter Sparks will join the team in March to update our CPS DLLs from 34 bit to

64 bit.

Special Issues

Cost Mar 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):1,510.30Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):8,560.85Total Budget:7,000.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-1,560.85Reason For Variance:In planning stage

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:1,510.30Variance (Projected minus Actual):-1,510.30Reason For Variance:In planning stage

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name

TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL (483910) (TSME SRO SYS MAINTENANCE-GENERAL

Project Mode

(483910)) Primary: Not Available

Project Type

Developmental Initiatives

5. . . .

Direct Budget: 20,000.00

InDirect Budget:

Project Status Current

Total Budget: 20,000.00

Budget Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#:

Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead:
Budget Analyst:

Jeffrey L Smith Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project will be used to support all maintenance/ enhancement needs for applications or systems directly related to the Surveytrak Production database which would include Surveytrak, ST Administration, SRS RT and

RCLS to name a few.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

10/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:

Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End: DC End:

Pretest Start:

SS Train Start: DC Start:

Other Project Team Members: Marsha Skoman Pam Swanson

Ashwin Dey Jeff Smith

Other Project

Sys Maint General

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool

SurveyTrak NA

Hardware
DE Software
QC Recording Tool

NA NA NA

Incentive Administration Not used NA

Payment Type Payment Method NA NA

Report Period

Feb, 2021 (TSME SRO SYS MAINTEN.

Project Phase

Initiation

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

ST build was updated

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 15, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 23,730.73 24,901.54

Total Budget:

20,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

-4,901.54

Reason For Variance:

Updates

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:4,046.08Actual Dollars Used:5,697.06Variance (Projected minus Actual):-1,650.98

Reason For Variance: Updates

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21 - ST international (TSME21-ST international (483227))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 40,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 40,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung
Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:
Senior Project Advisor:
Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The ongoing development project, maintain the SRO international domain system infrastructure and support new

feature development

Production Manager:

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project

LihShwu, Genise, Sarah, Cheng, Marsha, Pam, and Gina

Team Members:

Other Project

TSME21 - ST international

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME21-ST international (Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update minors updates with ST international and FUM

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 18,718.00
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 40,000.00

Total Budget: 40,000.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 89.00

Reason For Variance: no issues

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:2,337.00Actual Dollars Used:2,447.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-39.00

Reason For Variance: no issue

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466) (TSME21- Illume Upgrade (424466))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Direct Budget: 10,000.00 Total Budget: **Budget** InDirect Budget: 10,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Hueichun Peng Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Meredith A House Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This is the plan to create a 2nd environment for Illume. Next version for Illume version upgrade Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

NA

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Administration

Payment Type NA **Payment Method** NA

Feb, 2021 (TSME21- Illume Upgrade (4 Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

Planning for testing **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 180.71 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7,255.90

Total Budget: 10,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 2,744.10

Reason For Variance: na Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:578.44Actual Dollars Used:180.71Variance (Projected minus Actual):397.73

Reason For Variance: na

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562) (TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (423562))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Gina-Qian Yang CheungBudget Analyst:Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project has been moved from the MSMS budget to TSME this FY. The budget is for mainly Blaise new features

testing.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Data Col Tool

Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME21-Blaise 5 Testing (4 Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update start to test Blaise 5.9 version features

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 11,488.00

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 27,152.00
Total Budget: 30,000.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance: No issue

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:3,576.00Actual Dollars Used:3,951.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):-375.00

Reason For Variance: No issue

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197) (TSME21-ColdFusion Server Upgrade (425197))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 10,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 10,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Hueichun Peng
Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: HollyJoyce Stewart Ackerman

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

07/2020 - 06/2021

Proposal #: no data

Description: This initiative plans to upgrade the CF server for Web Logging and PIPPA.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME21-ColdFusion Server Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Plan to meet with Holly and Ashwin to discuss a feasible timeline on this initiative.

Special Issues

Cost

| Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00 | Mar 15, 2021 | Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7.007.38

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 7,007.38

 Total Budget:
 10,000.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 2,992.62

Reason For Variance: na

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: not sure..

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name TSME21-Day in the Life Videos (425201) (Day in the Life Videos)

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 18,000.00 **Budget** 18,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Vivienne Y Outlaw **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Funding to be used to update the Day in the Life videos for both the field and SSL. Description:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Russ Stark Barb Homburg

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Feb, 2021 (Day in the Life Videos) Report Period

We are unable to progress as planned due to the restrictions as a result of the pandemic. We are hopeful that this will **Monthly Update**

Project Phase

change in the coming months.

Some Concerns

Special Issues Due to the pandemic we have not been able to move forward with filming.

Cost

Feb 15, 2021

Risk Level

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 8,236.43 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 10,374.54 Total Budget: 18,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 7,625.46

Reason For Variance: Due to the pandemic we have not been able to move forward with filming.

Implementing

Projections Feb 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:1,710.48Actual Dollars Used:286.99Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,423.49

Reason For Variance: Minimal work due to the pandemic.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name TSME21-DCO Tech System Support (483248) (TSME21-DCO Tech System Support)

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

25,000.00 Direct Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** InDirect Budget: 25,000.00

Principal

IRB

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Vivienne Y Outlaw **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Production Manager: Hueichun Peng Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This includes all the support and development work for a suite of tech systems DCO uses, including Fred, Description:

Recruitment Website, Iwer Web Site, etc.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Sample Mgmt Sys

Names:

NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA

Incentive NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Feb, 2021 (TSME21-DCO Tech System Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

1. Implemented enhancements to Fred Footprint modules to accommodate the transition to use SRO_ID in system **Monthly Update**

interface

2. Investigated issues and support for Drupal environment for iwer website

3. Investigated work involved in Fred User List management

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 14,574.82 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 32,285.70

Total Budget: 25,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -7,285.70

Reason For Variance: development and support of the many DCO systems continues. We

implement enhancements as needed and update as needed. This month we made changes to support SSL laptops, support of the iwer website and spent time with TSG on their understanding of FRED management level

data.

Projections Mar 15, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month: 1,309.90 Actual Dollars Used: 325.33 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 984.57

Reason For Variance: staff assigned to other work -- only high priority work performed in Feb.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name TSME21-QC-Systems (483249) (TSME21-QC-Systems (483249))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Developmental Initiatives Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 30,000.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 30,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Sarah Elisa BroumandBudget Analyst:Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This is the QC Systems project that covers mostly QC tool development and support such as Olive.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA

Administration NA Payment Type NA Payment Method NA

Report Period Feb, 2021 (TSME21-QC-Systems (483: Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

Began working to transition Olive to not using 8 digit IDs and release user maintenance features into Olive. Historic data needed to be scrubbed to remove duplicate user information and correct project management structure data.

Expecting a substantial hike in hours for the month of March.

Special Issues none

Cost Feb 28, 2021

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):11,314.44Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):29,126.50Total Budget:30,000.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):873.50

Reason For Variance:

Unable to spend the hours due to other project priorities. Planning on using

funds on 3rd and 4th quarter.

Projections Feb 28, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:4,487.60Actual Dollars Used:4,907.44Variance (Projected minus Actual):-419.84

Reason For Variance: Team had to make up work that hadn't been performed.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name TSME21-RCLS (TSME21-RCLS (425196))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Project Status Current **Developmental Initiatives**

Direct Budget: 15,000.00 15,000.00 **Budget** InDirect Budget: Total Budget:

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Jim Rodgers Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

> Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This project will allow MSMS, Blaise SMS, and WebSMS to update RCLS as respondent contact information is Description:

updated in each SMS. This provides 800 line staff with current contact information in their use of RCLS as they

look up and identify the correct respondent against which call records can be recorded.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

07/2020 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Respondent Lookup

Sample Mgmt Sys

Names:

SurveyTrak; SMS; Web SMS; MSMS

Data Col Tool

Hardware Other (MSMS and SuveyTrak servers)

DE Software NA **QC Recording Tool** NA Not used Incentive

Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Feb, 2021 (TSME21-RCLS (425196)) Initiation Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

No new work this month. **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 6,561.32 Mar 08, 2021

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 6,561.32 Total Budget: 15,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 8,438.68 Reason For Variance: No new work this month Projections Mar 08, 2021

Dollars Projected For Month:369.99Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):369.99Reason For Variance:No new work this month

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name TSME21-Replayer (TSME21-Replayer (425267))

Project Mode Primary: Not Available

Project Type Project Status Developmental Initiatives Current

Direct Budget: 20,000.00 **Budget** InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 20,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

SRC and HRS

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Jennifer C Arrieta Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: In collaboration with HRS, develop a utility:

-For production data review for Blaise 5 projects,

-That takes into account needs and design by multiple stakeholders,

-That is agnostic of sample management systems, and

-That has an underlying structure in place for future enhancements and continued Blaise 5 development.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

09/2019 - 06/2021

Security Plan Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:** Youhong Liu, Colette Keyser, Jason Ostergren

Other Project

Replaher

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware Desktop **DE Software** N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A Incentive Not used Administration N/A **Payment Type** N/A

N/A

Report Period

Payment Method

Feb, 2021 (TSME21-Replayer (425267) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

During the month, the team focused on programming and testing bug fixes in the Replayer utility. In addition, the team began the design work needed for the Phase II work related to set-up and deployment of the utility.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 6,154.02 Mar 15, 2021 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 19,286.11

Total Budget: 20,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -14,286.11

Reason For Variance: The budget analyst is updating CRS today to reflect the total budget amount

of \$20,000. Minimal variance projected between total budget and estimated

cost to complete.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 4,015.91 Mar 15, 2021 Actual Dollars Used: 2,608.57 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 1,407.34

> Reason For Variance: Unused hour projections pushed forward to work on implementation of the

> > Phase 2 design.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance: