Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

February 2020



Sponsored Projects

(AFHS) American Family Health Study

(ACL6) Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(Child First) Child First

(C.A.R.E.) Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium

(ED-Stars Continuation) Emergency Department Study to Assess Risk of Suicide - Continuation Project

(ECHO) Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes

(EDCPE) Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation

(HRS 2018) Health and Retirement Study 2018

(HRS 2020) Health and Retirement Study 2020

(H&WB) Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan

(HID) High Intensity Drinking

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(CAMS 2019) HRS 2019 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(HRS - LHMS Spring 2019) HRS Enhancing Retrospective Life History Data 2019

(HRS-Neuro) HRS Neuroimaging Pilot

(OYMS 2019) HRS Off Year Mail Survey 2019

(IHDS3) India Human Development Survey Wave 3

(MTF Web 2020) Monitoring the Future Web 2020

(NDIC DMAS) National Data Innovation Centre -- Delhi Metropolitan Area Study

(PSID19) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2019

(PSID 2019 Full Pilot) PSID 2019 Full Mixed Mode Pilot

(CDS-19) PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019)

(WMH-Qatar) Qatar World Mental Health Survey

(RDSL Recontact) Relationship Dynamics & Social Life Study, Re-contact Test

(STARRS-LS Supplement) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers - Longitudinal Study S

(STARRS-LS) Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(SCA 2020) Surveys of Comsumer Attitudes

(YWC2020) The Promise of a School-Based, Trauma-Informed Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Intervention

(TAS2019) Transition Into Adulthood Supplement

(VCT) Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection

(WHS) Women's Health Study

Projects Report Query/Monthly Update Quick Reference

D: 1	T.	C1 - 1	7.	- 1
Project	Туре	Status	Jan	Feb
ACL6	Sponsored	Current	-	9
AFHS	Sponsored	Current	•	9
BFY	Sponsored	Current	•	9
C.A.R.E.	Sponsored	Current		•
CAMS 2019	Sponsored	Current		•
CDS-19	Sponsored	Current	•	•
Child First	Sponsored	Current		•
ECHO	Sponsored	Current		•
EDCPE	Sponsored	Current		
ED-Stars Continuation	Sponsored	Current		
H&WB	Sponsored	Current		
HCDC, H&C	Sponsored	Current		•
HID	Sponsored	Current		
HRS - LHMS Spring 2019	Sponsored	Current		•
HRS 2018	Sponsored	Current		•
HRS 2020	Sponsored	Current		()
HRS-Neuro	Sponsored	Current		()
IHDS3	Sponsored	Current		
MTF base year 2020	Sponsored	Current		
MTF Web 2020	Sponsored	Current		•
NDIC DMAS	Sponsored	Current		
NDIC DMAS	Sponsored	Current		
NSFG 2010-2020	Sponsored	Current		
OYMS 2019	Sponsored	Current		•
PSID 2019 Full Pilot	Sponsored	Current		
PSID19	Sponsored	Current		
RDSL Recontact	Sponsored	Current		•
SCA 2020	Sponsored	Current		
STARRS-LS	Sponsored	Current		
STARRS-LS Supplement	Sponsored	Current		
SWEL	Sponsored	Current		
TAS2019	Sponsored	Current		
VCT	Sponsored	Current	•	
WHS	Sponsored	Current		
WMH-Qatar	Sponsored	Current		
YWC2020	Sponsored	Current		-

Project Name American Family Health Study (AFHS)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,596,238.00 InDirect Budget: 893,875.00 Total Budget: 2,490,133.00

Principal Brady West (Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client William Axinn, Mick Couper and James Wagner (Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health (NIH)

IRB HUM#: 00167171 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward

Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens

Project Team Project Lead: Rebecca Gatward

Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:Pooja Varma-LaughlinSenior Project Advisor:Grant D Benson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description:

The American Family Health Study is a methodological project which aims to evaluate the feasibility of collecting national family, fertility and reproductive health data on a nationally-representative sample using a self-administered mode that is comparable to data collected with an in-person (interviewer-administered) study.

We plan to screen around 42,120 randomly selected U.S. households by mail and web to identify an eligible population (aged 18-49 years). The screening work will be divided across two successive nine month periods (n=21,060 per effort). Each 'replicate' is a fully representative sample of U.S. households. Eligible respondents will be invited to complete three modules of content (administered separately or one instrument), either online or a paper questionnaire. The plan is obtain at least one returned module from 4,000 respondents.

There will be a two month gap between replicates. The first replicate will begin in September 2019 and the second in around July 2020.

The sample (addresses) will be selected via Market Systems Group (MSG). The following response assumptions have been made: at the screening stage, we estimate a response rate of 50% (around 21,000). Of these we expect approximately 12,000 addresses to contain an eligible person. In households with more than one eligible person we will randomly select one person.

The contact protocol for the screener and main data collection is designed to, initially, push completion by web. Addresses or selected participants will only be mailed a paper screener or questionnaire after reminders encouraging completion online. This is a recent change to the protocol and was made after the SRO budget proposal was provided to the PI.

The main interview consists of three modules which will replicate almost all NSFG content. The PI group is leading the process of reviewing and translating the content to web format and will provide specifications for programming to SRO.

The following revisions have been made to the study design as detailed in the latest budget proposal (SRO scope of work);

- o We will use an external vendor to scan returned paper screeners, rather than manual data entry. This vendor will also format the paper forms, print materials and be responsible for most of the respondent mailings.
- o The original study design did not include web as a mode of data collection for screening. Additional funding from SRC has been obtained by the Principal Investigator to cover the development of a web screener and associated data management and reporting costs.
- o Telephone reminder calls remains one of the steps in the non-response protocol for the main data collection. However, it is likely that this effort will be targeted to a subset of the selected sample, rather than across the entire sample.
- o The amount budgeted for the TOA for the screener was \$2 and \$5 50% of the sample to receive each amount. The TOA amount will now be \$2 for the full sample. We may provide an additional TOA of \$5 to a subset of the non-responders as part of one of the follow-up reminder mailings (depending on available budget).
- o The eligibility age range for the study has been changed from 15-49 to 18-49. This eliminates the need to contact to parents/guardians of all eligible respondents who have not reached the age of majority to gain consent to participate in the study. There are three states where the age of majority is above 18 years of age in these states we will adjust the selection protocol as necessary.
- o A further change to the study protocol is around completion of the main modules. In some circumstances we will provide Respondents the option to move directly to complete the main modules after completing the screener without being sent an invite in the mail/email to do so. This will only happen if the selected R is also the person who completed the screener.
- o An experiment around completion of the modules has been introduced to the protocol. Twenty per cent of the

selected eligible respondents will be invited to complete the full 60 minute survey as one instrument, rather than completing three separate modules.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 10/2021 09/2019 - 03/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:05/03/2019Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:

DC Start: 04/01/2020 **DC End:** 10/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: SRO Team: Leah Roberts, Andrew Hupp, Matt Hanger, Neil Erikson, Pooja Varma-Laughlin, Jim Rodgers, Keith

Liebetreu, Colette Keyser

Other Project

During the budget proposal stage this project was known as 'A More Efficient Web-Based Approach to Collecting

Names: National Family, Fertility and Reproductive Health Data'.

Sample Mgmt SysMSMSData Col ToolBlaise 5; SAQHardwareDesktop

DE Software Other (Blaise 5 (for Mail questionnaires)); External vendor (TBD)

QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type
Payment Method

Check, post (Modules 1 - \$20, Module 2 - \$20 and Module 3 - \$30); Cash, prepaid (Screener IW - \$2 Potentially Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Info. from MSMS and transfer information via

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (AFHS)

Project Phase

Planning

Monthly Update

Risk Level

Some Concerns

March update

- An IRB amendment was submitted on 3/20/2020. The amendment included some updates to the questionnaire minor wording changes to some respondent letters. emails etc.
- The questionnaire is now ready for release. Some final testing of the emails, texts and a protocol/MSMS/DM item needs to be resolved.
- We are working with Data Force to finalise a few final survey materials.
- --The DCA is being worked on.
- COVID-19 items/impact -
- Data Force are responsible for the initial Screener mailings I am clarifying with them the impact of their home state moving to a 'Shelter in place' order. The PI has agreed that if Data Force are not able to continue with their work then we will pause the project.
- SRO are responsible for main survey mailings, non-response phone follow up calls and sending texts. We are working on a plan to prepare for all these mailings etc. to be completed outside the office. After an initial visit to collect any necessary equipment or materials the goal is to only come to the office to pick up checks from the mail room.
- ***Below is the January report by ahupp; leaving for RG***
- -Much of January was spent conducting integration tests among all of the various systems.
- -Launch date has been confirmed as 02/10/2020.
- -Worked with DataForce to finalize respondent materials.
- ***Below is from December report, leaving for RG***
- Task rules are still being set-up in MSMS
- Launch date has been confirmed as 1/21/20 we are working towards launching on 1/21. Currently ensuring DataForce have final copies of documents to print, reviewing iterations of formatted paper surveys they are developing, working with Jenna Tyson to finalise respondent materials she is designing and doing what is needed to begin integration testing. The protocol is complex so testing will be a lengthy process.
- We had planned to carry out full protocol test during week of 12/16 this hasn't happened. Protocol testing will not begin until early January.
- SPN materials have been submitted as an amendment (12/13) along with a few English materials. We are awaiting approval before we can print some of the Spanish materials.
- James and Raphael are in the final stages of selecting the sample.
- The next Project Review has been scheduled for 3/6/2020

Special Issues

Teamviewer - this is an alternative software to Bomguard (Bomguard does not work on the tablets). Help Desk hope that this can be used across all SRO projects. We have approval from CMT but are awaiting any procurement steps - there is some discussion about whether a Data Protection Agreement is required. Meanwhile TeamViewer has been loaded on the tablets ready to use.

Text messaging - ideally we would like to send all texts using the automatic method. Sending them manually will increase risk of inaccuracies, delays and increase cost.

Cost

 Feb 28, 2020
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 604,749.61

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,211,896.13

 Total Budget:
 2,490,133.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 278,236.87

Reason For Variance: Projections need to be updated in line with the slower sample release - we

have agreed to be cautious and let the 100 'soft launch' cases run through

the protocol longer than we had planned.

Projections Feb 28, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:148,162.61Actual Dollars Used:69,868.74Variance (Projected minus Actual):78,293.87

Reason For Variance: The variance was due to the delay in launching data collection - the

projections need to be updated to reflect this and the more recent change to

the sample release plan.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	42,120 screened		NA	
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:	NA		NA	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

- Screener response rate = 50% (n=21,000) of these, 12,000 households will include an eligible respondent.
- Oversamples: Women =55% of sample, Teens (18-19) =7.3%, Blacks=20% and Hispanics=20%.
- At the main data collection stage we plan to obtain at least one completed module from 4,000 respondents (RR=33.3%).

Project Name Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6 (ACL6)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 462,919.00 InDirect Budget: 259,234.00 Total Budget: 722,153.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Margaret Hicken (University of Michigan, SRC - SEH)

Funding Agency

National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging

IRB HUM#:

HUM00153243 Period Of Approval: Pending

Project Team Project Lead:

Terri Ann Ridenour

Budget Analyst:

Grace Tison Lisa J Carn

Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor:

Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Production Manager: James Koopman

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Led by Dr. Maggie Hicken, ACL6 will build on 5 waves (25 years) of data from the nationally-representative Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) study by adding a 6th wave of data collection (ACL6), along with blood collection for DNA methylation analysis. With ACL6, there will be data for over 30 years of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors experienced cumulatively over adulthood, which the investigators will link to DNA methylation and then examine the impact on healthy aging. The objective of ACL6 is to identify underlying epigenomic mechanisms linking racial residential segregation to key markers of healthy aging, for which there are known inequalities.

The ACL6 project period began in July of 2018, with SRO involvement starting in September of 2018. The data collection period is April to August 2019.

SRO's work scope includes survey data collection and Home Visit coordination. SRO will build systems that: 1) equip SSL interviewers (and potential low-level field interviewers at the end of the study) with tools to conduct survey data collection and sample management; 2) provide a basic mechanism (i.e. Weblog) for the Study Coordinator to log written consent received for the Home Visit, log specimen chain of custody and support SSL follow up efforts (reminder call outcomes); 3) support SRO coordination between the Core Study Team (CST), ExamOne, the 3rd party vendor responsible for Home Visit (health assessment, blood sample collection and health-related measurements), and CLASS lab biorepository; and 4) serve basic reporting needs to provide regular progress updates during the production period.

There is a broad overlap with the ACL5 study with about 15% of the questionnaire requiring revisions and additional items for Wave 6. SRO is responsible for the documentation for the complete ACL6 instrument, programming specifications in Blaise 4.8, developing SurveyTrak sample management system for use in the SSL, building the Weblog interface and creating Reports.

The Core Study Team (CST) conducted locating procedures and updated sample records with information about deceased members, prior to delivery of sample to SRO. Newsletters were sent to all living members of the Americans' Changing Lives cohort who participated in any of the previous 5 waves and asked to participate in Wave 6. All surviving ACL respondents (~1,526) are eligible to participate; there will be no screening for participation. After CST delivers the final ACL6 sample, SRO prepares/loads in SurveyTrak sample management system. On April 22 SRO mailed the pre-interview letter to potential interview participants, along with a prepaid incentive (\$30) to participate in the interview.

A 60-minute telephone interview will be conducted. Respondents will be asked to provide information on various social, economic, psychological, and health matters. SRO assumes 1,220 interviews will be successfully completed (an 80% response rate). It is also anticipated that more interviews may be conducted by proxy this wave (approximately 108 proxy interviews last wave). Although the data collection will primarily be conducted by SSL interviewers, SRO's systems will support the possibility of interviews to be conducted face-to-face for respondents who cannot be reached by telephone or prefer an in person visit. As data collection unfolds, SRO will revisit the need for face-to-face work with the PI and prepare a budget estimate for the scope of work based on location of respondents and other factors.

After the survey is completed, or the interview is suspended, the Interviewer will discuss the Home Visit and ask if the R is interested in participating. If R agrees, the Interviewer will obtain oral consent for the R to be contacted by ExamOne to schedule this Home Visit. SRO will send the R a pre-home visit packet by mail, which includes: (a) a letter briefly describing this second part of the study; (b) two copies of the consent form, one to sign and provide to the ExamOne Health Professional (HP) and one to keep; and (c) a \$50 incentive for participating in the Home Visit.

During the Home Visit, the Health Professional (HP) will collect the signed informed consent document. The signed U-M consent form will be mailed by ExamOne to the Home Visit Study Coordinator and logged in Weblog.

SSL interviewers will be responsible for reminder calls related to the Home Visit (e.g. Rs who do not schedule their appointment with vendor). Iwers will use the Weblog system to record outcomes.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 09/2019 04/2018 - 08/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 02/25/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:
 04/01/2019

 SS Train Start:
 04/02/2019
 SS Train End:
 04/03/2019

 DC Start:
 04/23/2019
 DC End:
 09/14/2019

Other Project Team Members: Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA

Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager Jaime Koopman: SSI (Blaise/STrak Specs)

Gary Hein: SSI (Weblog Specs)
Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)
Becky Scherr: SSA (Project Coordination)

Grace Tison: Financial Analyst Lisa Carn: Production Manager

Russ Stark: SSL STrak systems consultant and lab management

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Stephanie Windisch (and Chris Greene): Data Managers

Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer Dave Dybicki: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

Other Project

Names:

"Racial inequalities in health throughout adulthood: The cumulative impact of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors on epigenomic pathways" (aka, "Social epigenomics of racial health inequalities - SERHI")

Sample Mgmt SysSurveyTrakData Col ToolBlaise 4.8HardwareDesktopDE SoftwareNA

Hardware Desktop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$30 (interview)); Other (Check, prepaid - \$50 (consent to WBD/making home appointment))

Payment Method NA

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (ACL6)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Phase 1 of the ACL6 project is currently on hiatus. The Phase 2, decentralized (Reboot) proposal and updated ballpark budget was submitted to the PI on 12/24/19. Dr. Hicken submitted the request for an administrative supplement from sponsor (NIMHD) and is awaiting response.

REF: ACL6 Decentralized Reboot - Reduced scope

SRO #18-0002R01S04

This work is a continuation of data collection activities for the Social Stress and Vulnerability to Environmental Toxicants: Epigenomic Pathways to Health Inequalities project, and proposes to transfer approximately 290 sample lines from the original Survey Services Laboratory (SSL) data collection to the field for face-to-face data collection. These lines include all non-Hispanic Black respondents and other respondents deemed high priority. We have also budgeted additional effort to recontact 392 respondents who have already completed the interview, but who did not consent to or complete the Home Visit portion of the data collection. For the main interview portion of the project the interview length is 60 minutes with an additional 15 minutes for consent to a follow-up ExamOne home visit; we estimate that approximately 88 respondents will agree to complete the interview; all 290 samples lines will receive a pre-paid incentive of \$30; each respondent who completes a face-to-face interview will receive a post-paid incentive of \$70; and each respondent who completes the ExamOne home visit will receive an additional post-paid incentive of \$100. For the Home Visit Refusal Conversion we have assumed 392 respondents who completed the interview will be

requested to consent to and complete the ExamOne Home Visit; we expect that we will be able to attempt 278 of these lines face-to-face, and the remaining 114 by decentralized telephone; this budget contains all effort and materials needed to attempt to obtain consent from these individuals; this work must be done concurrently with the Reboot interviewing activity described above; respondents who complete the ExamOne Home Visit will receive a \$100 post-paid incentive.

Please see this folder for latest Proposal Docs that were submitted: L:\projects\ACL6\02 Budget\Proposal Docs\Reboot Ballpark Estimate

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 09, 2020

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 775,070.02

**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 775,698.64

 Total Budget:
 722,153.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -53,545.64

Reason For Variance: Please see Monthly Updates.

Projections Mar 09, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:38.68Actual Dollars Used:321.48Variance (Projected minus Actual):282.80

Reason For Variance: Some unexpected charges hit for replacement incentive checks -- and staff

time associated with these requests.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1,058 (1,526 sample)	80%	5	
Goal at Completion: Current actual:	729	54%	6.7	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Other Measures

Home Visit Consent

Goal at Completion: 700

Current Actual: 413 Current Undecided: 20 **Project Name** Baby's First Years (BFY)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

4,330,584.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: 1,797,114.00 Total Budget: 6,127,698.00

Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine) Principal

Investigator/Client Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University)

Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)

Funding Agency

Description:

IRB

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) HUM#: HUM00137963 Period Of Approval:

Piotr Dworak **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger Anthony Romanowski Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

> University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old:
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019

Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

10/2017 - 12/2020 04/2018 - 12/2020

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2017 Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 01/01/2018

 Staffing Completed:
 02/07/2018
 GIT Start:
 03/19/2018

 SS Train Start:
 03/20/2018
 SS Train End:
 03/22/2018

 DC Start:
 05/07/2018
 DC End:
 06/30/2022

Other Project Team Members: Stephanie Chardoul (SPA) Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM) Jim McClure (DCS) Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer) Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager) Dave Dybicki (Blaise) Colette Keyser (Blaise)

Colette Keyser (Blaise)
Tricia Blanchard (MSMS)
Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project Names:

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Sample Ma

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool

Other (to be specified)

Incentive Administration

Yes, R

Administration SRO Group
Payment Type Cash, prepaid (50)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (BFY)

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Project updates:

Age 1 week 38 out of 53 ended with 643 completes just shy of the cumulative goal (goal @ week 38 = 652). Age 1 data collection is ending in 21 weeks (end of June 2020). As part of adaptations for Covid-19, BFY interviewing has switched to phone only while maintaining the same level of incentive (\$100). Appropriate information is sent to the non-final respondents and interviewers have adapted to the change very well. Pace of interviewing has increased. Since the switch on 03/15 we have completed 61 interviews.

Covid is modifying preparations for Age 2 data collection. It is assumed that we will have to conduct end-of-June Age 2 training by phone and start with a phone-only protocol until the restrictions on in-person work are lifted.

Data collection / Sample:

Cumulative goals and actual by site:

Goal Actual Difference

 Overall
 678
 660
 -18

 Nebraska
 192
 202
 10

 New York
 192
 184
 -8

 Minnesota
 85
 81
 -4

 Louisiana
 209
 193
 -16

Staffing: "Age 1 Staffing:

16 iwers in total (including 5 trained in January)

NE: 3 (1 NH BFY Enrollment experience / 2 from Jan 2020 hire)

MN: 2 (1 Enrollment NH / 1 Jan 2020 hire) NY: 4 OS (3 with Enrollment experience)

NOLA: 5 (2 Enrollment NH and 1 OS / 2 from Jan 2020 hire) Travelers: 3 (OS, one with shared responsibility as a locator)

Outreach team: 3 (OS)

Reason For Variance:

Outreach team: 3 (OS, not trained as BFY interviewers)"

Technical system: MSMS experiences intermittent issues. Two releases required roll-backs after causing issues in field. Interviewers also report system bugs such as inability to sort/filter by column, disappearing contact notes, duplicate or re-appearing tasks.

Finances: Additional cost projection now includes estimates for hiring and increased scope of R outreach has been approved by the Pls. Budget has be re-projected to model increase in interviewer costs due to outreach activities and increase in interviewer pay (+\$2 approved by the Pls). The current budget after accounting for all additions needs to be reviewed internally and presented to the Pls.

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 19, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 3,220,481.61

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 7,140,828.29

 Total Budget:
 6,127,698.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -1,013,130.29

The total cost at completion has increased to include additional locating work projected to cost \$433K. This amount was approved by the PIs but has not yet been reflected in the Total Budget. The remaining overrun overrun was presented to the Pis and reflected our assumptions about work/scope given additional Age 1 and Age 2 requests.

Projections Feb 19, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Age 1 R payments are "parked" in June 2020 resulting in \$10K monthly

overrun. Salary = \$14K overrun. Travel = \$10K overrun. R&D fees are underprojected by \$15K. Additional travel to training/outreach \$10K

overrun).

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	652	_	9	
Goal at Completion:	900	_	11	
Current actual:	643	_	11	
Estimate at Complete:	900	_	11	
Variance:				

111,102.95

165,715.22

-54,612.27

Project Name Child First (Child First)

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 3

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

1,041,810.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: 104,182.00 Total Budget: 1,145,992.00

Principal

Stephanie Chardoul (SRO/SRC) Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

MDRC, (Laura and John Arnold Foundation, The Duke Endowment)

HUM#: **IRB**

HUM00166328 Period Of Approval: 9/11/2020

Project Team Project Lead:

Barbara Lohr Ward Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Nicole G Kirgis Taylor Franklin

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

Child First (CF) is a comprehensive, home-based, therapeutic intervention targeting multi-risk young children and families, embedded in a coordinated system of care. An earlier randomized control trial (RCT) of CF showed very promising impacts in one site with a small sample of families (N = 157; see Lowell et al., 2011 published in Child Development). The current RCT will be conducted in a broader number of sites across two states (Connecticut and North Carolina) to help answer questions about whether the demonstrated impacts of CF can be replicated at-scale across multiple locations. The study aims to estimate the impact of the Child First program on outcomes for children, parents, and families measured 12-and 36-months after random assignment. In addition, the current study will look at whether Child First is more beneficial for certain subgroups of families, including caregivers who have experienced trauma or substance abuse, have a history of depression, and children exhibiting issues with behavior or language development.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

10/2019 - 03/2022 08/2020 - 12/2021

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2019 Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 03/01/2020 Pretest End: Staffing Completed: 07/01/2020 GIT Start: 07/25/2020 SS Train End: 07/31/2020 SS Train Start: 07/27/2020

DC End: 12/31/2021

Other Project Team Members: Tricia Blanchard, Genise Pattullo, Shanti Suresh, Neil Eriksen.

DC Start: 08/01/2020

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Other (Video Recording Equipment)

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI; Other (Video Recording)

Incentive

Yes. R

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type

Check, post (\$50); Cash, prepaid (\$2 locating payment); Cash, post (\$50 cash post assessment)

Payment Method

Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest C

Report Period Feb, 2020 (Child First) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns **Monthly Update Project Status**

> The overall project is on track. Three-month locating activities are continuing. Reports on locating activities are current. SRC is finalizing programming specifications for the caregiver interview. Further work on programming for the PLS-5 is on hold pending a decision by MDRC regarding mode of administration.

The coronavirus epidemic has emerged as a potential risk to the project. At present, all in-person (face-to-face) human-subjects research has been temporarily suspended at the University of Michigan, and domestic travel is strongly discouraged. The Survey Research Center team will provide regular updates to the MDRC team and will advise on any potential schedule or other impacts.

Project Schedule Status

Programming for the child assessment has been delayed pending a decision on mode of administration. If the decision is to continue with programming a full CAI administration, the MDRC testing originally scheduled for early June may be delayed. Preparation of programming specifications for the caregiver interview are on track.

Outreach to respondents for three-month locating efforts is on-track and proceeding according to schedule. Sample management systems development is continuing and work on specifications for the field interviewing sample management system have started.

During February 2020 SRC activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

- Participated in project meetings with research team to discuss scope and schedule; produced and distributed meeting notes.
- Reviewed and updated spending projections
- Finalized job descriptions for interviewer and team leader positions. On-boarded a field production manager and sample management system analyst.
- Worked with programmer to develop a specification for PLS-5 data structure.
- Completed annual data security plan.

Task 2: Sampling

No effort this month

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

- · Continued review of PLS5 English and Spanish administration documentation.
- · Continued preparation of programming specifications for the child assessment.
- Continued work with programmer to finalize screen design for PLS-5 data capture.
- Began developing programming specifications for caregiver questionnaire.

Task 4: CAI Programming

- Developed mock screens for PLS-5
- Prepared mock-up of data structure for PLS-5

Task 5: Systems Programming

- Prepared data for loading into sample management system.
- Continued work on field data collection design.

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

- · See Task 1 Management for work on interviewer hiring
- · Continued contract negotiation with Headway Recruiters for recruitment of interviewers.

Task 8: Main Data Collection

No effort this month

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· No effort this month

Task 10: Weighting

No effort this month

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

· No effort this month

Task 12: Locating

- · Reconciled imprest cash for February.
- Reviewed and cleaned sample file.
- 3-month mailing activities were as follows:
- Finalized cohort 6 initial mailing and shipped to respondents.
- o Prepared and shipped 2nd 3-month mailing for Cohort 4
- o Conducted Accurint search on cohort 5 non-responders.

- Conducted outgoing calls for cohort 5 and updated addresses as appropriate.
- Began to prepare mail-merge files for cohort 7 3-month mailing.
- Prepared reports.
- Tested sample management systems.

Activities Planned for March 2020

- Management
- Review team staffing, make adjustments as necessary
- Review financial projections, adjust as necessary 0
- Prepare project review materials for Survey Operations management
- 0 3-month mailing activities
- Ship the 3-month initial mailing to cohort 7. П
- Conduct Accurint search for cohort 6 non-responders П
- Conduct outgoing calls for cohort 6
- Prepare and ship the 2nd 3-month locating mailing to cohort 5
- Questionnaire Development
- 0 Adjust PLS5 programming specifications as necessary (pending decision from MDRC on mode of administration)
- Finalized programming specifications for PLS5 assessment
- Finalize Blaise specifications for adult questionnaire, submit for approval 0
- **CAI** Programming
- O If approved, begin programming Child assessment data capture
- Begin programming caregiver questionnaire
- Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training
- Post positions for field interviewers/team leader
- Develop specifications for training facility
- Begin procurement documents for training facility 0
- Sample Management Systems Programming
- 0 Continue work on specification for field production sample management system
- Assess need for systems programming related to video capture

Special Issues

Recruitment for the project is running significantly behind what was anticipated. This will create issues for interviewer work load and retention.

There are several areas where the project budget was significantly under-estimated, including data management, programming, interviewer training, Help Desk support, and project management.

Cost Mar 10, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 81,201.42 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,238,242.57 Total Budget: 1,145,992.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -92,250.57

Reason For Variance: There are several areas where the project budget was significantly

under-estimated, including data management, programming, training, Help

Desk support, and project management.

Projections Mar 10, 2020

40,065.35 Dollars Projected For Month: Actual Dollars Used: 27,322.34 12,743.12 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Programming has been delayed by the client, as they work to establish what Reason For Variance:

the license arrangement for the child assessment allows. In addition, significant modifications were required for the caregiver interview to bring it in to scope, which is also delaying work. Recruitment is running behind

what was projected by the client, reducing respondent payments.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium (C.A.R.E.)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 821,295.00 InDirect Budget: 213,538.00 Total Budget: 1,034,833.00

Principal Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology)

Investigator/Client Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of Wisconsin)

Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University School of Medicine)

Funding Agency

 IRB
 HUM#:
 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst:William LokersProduction Manager:Kathleen S LadronkaSenior Project Advisor:Barbara Lohr Ward

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 24 months commencing in January 2019 with data collection taking place during a 20-month period, beginning April 2019. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$1,034,833 (\$821,295 direct, \$213,538 indirect), budgeted at the NCAA's published indirect cost recovery rate of

26%.

SRO will provide consultation, respondent location activities and data collection for the NCAA-DoD Grand Alliance (Cumulative and persistent effects of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure: An intermediate follow-up study of US Military Service Academy members and NCAA student-athletes in the CARE Consortium) project. Specifically, SRO will provide consultation on respondent locating and panel maintenance procedures and instruments, locate and contact respondents by mail and phone to prompt them to access the online data collection questionnaire, and conduct telephone interviews with participants who fail to respond to invitations to complete follow-up interviews on the web.

The estimate assumes that approximately 7,500 (approximately 5,000 in the first year and 2,500 in the second year of the project) respondents are included in the initial outreach/email request to complete the online instrument by your research team, and that approximately 20% comply. The remaining 6,000 respondents will be followed up by SRO with locating, further contacts and prompting to complete the web survey. Finally, we anticipate direct outreach by telephone interviewers with access to the online application. All respondent incentives will be paid by the research staff.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 12/2018 - 09/2020 02/2019 - 06/2020

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kathy LaDronka, William Lokers, Hongyu Johnson, Keith Leibetreu, James Koopman

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (QuesGen) Data Col Tool Other (QuesGen)

Hardware Laptop

DE Software External vendor (QuesGen)

QC Recording Tool

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by Consortium)

SRO Group Administration

Payment Type N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (C.A.R.E.)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

Training

Main Data Collection Training was conducted remotely, via Blue Jeans 02/26 - 02/27). Seven "new" on-staffers were trained and six passed certification. As was done in the Pilot training, a part of the certification required pulling data Interviewers entered during the Round Robin certification and using code to compare each entry against a gold standard (data entry carried out by certification staff).

Programming and testing is continuing to streamline/refine the system. A Version 2 update is expected in March.

Production

The PIs have agreed to release more sample in an attempt to increase response counts. SRO received Release 4 (1827 cases) on February 28, 2020. We expect at least 2 more releases of approximately the same size sometime in the future.

The Pls would also like to use the current underrun to extend data collection. Project Lead is working with the financial analyst to model extended scenarios in the CRS sandbox to see how far we could extend. Currently it seems we could go another 2 months (ending data collection October 1 instead of August 1) but that decision will be made in March.

Production Statistics at the end of February:

OVERALL

rel2 rel3 rel4 rel1

Response Rate	8%	26%	13%	13%	0%
WEB	5%	9% 9%	12% 0%	6	
CATI	3%	17%	4% 2% 0%	6	

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 431,637.84 Feb 29, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 955,601.23

> Total Budget: 1,034,833.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 79,230.77

Reason For Variance: Programming and data management work that was budgeted is no longer a

part of SRO's scope. Adjustments have been made to accommodate the reduced production time - this has reduced the under-run. We will continue

to refine and adjust into spring.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: Feb 29, 2020

81,867.86 61,028.01 20,839.85

Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Field staff production hours were lower than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Project Name Emergency Department Study to Assess Risk of Suicide - Continuation Project (ED-Stars Continuation)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Sponsored Projects Project Status **Project Type** Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 341,436.00 187,848.00 Total Budget: 529,284.00

Principal Cheryl King, Ph.D., ABPP (University of Michigan)

Jacqueline Grupp-Phelan, M.D., MPH (Children's Hospital Medical Center, I Investigator/Client

David A. Brent, M.D. (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center)

Funding Agency

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

IRB

ним#: HUM00134293 Period Of Approval: 7/31/2017-7/30/2018

Project Team Project Lead: Maureen Joan O'Brien Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The study looks at suicide warning signs for the 24 hour period prior to a suicide attempt among adolescents in the United States. The main aim of this study is to gain a better understanding of which adolescents are at imminent risk and when they are at imminent risk for attempting suicide. Warning signs for suicide attempts are determined by examining differences between adolescent cases (suicide attempters) and controls (non-attempters) in emotions, thoughts, behaviors, and life events during the 24-hour period prior to suicide attempts, and by determining which 24-hour warning signs are commonly associated with suicide attempts for subgroups of adolescents. Approximately 1800 of ED STARS Study 2 sample age 12-18 are expected to participate in the ED-Stars Continuation; their parents will also be consented for the project. Ubitrix, an outside software organization under contract, sends text messages to selected respondents every two weeks, for eighteen months, asking mental health questions including if they have attempted suicide in the last two weeks. When a respondent endorses suicide items, SRO is alerted and completes a phone questionnaire with the respondent. SRO attempts to reach these "safety" cases within 24 hours. There are three controls selected for each case by PECARN. The instrument used is the Warning Signs for Suicide Attempt-Adolescent (WSSA-A). All Respondents who endorse items of suicide either by text or by phone interview are transferred to Boys Town National Suicide Hotline at the completion of the phone interview.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2018 - 12/2020 01/2019 - 09/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/15/2017 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 11/01/2017

Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 01/22/2018 **SS Train End:** 01/23/2018 DC Start: 01/24/2018 DC End: 09/30/2019

Other Project

Note that the initial project lead was Esther Ullman. Maureen O'Brien served as project manager. As of April 1, 2019,

Maureen is project lead. Team Members:

Other Project

Continuation, Warning Signs, ED-Stars Continuation,

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **SMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8 Hardware Desktop **DE Software** NA NA

QC Recording Tool Incentive

Yes, Other (Amazon gift card)

Administration NA **Payment Type** NA **Payment Method** NA

Feb, 2020 (ED-Stars Continuation) Report Period

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Currently 135 Case interviews and 297 Control interviews have been completed, for a total of 432 completed interviews. Overall RR is 76% for closed cases. Controls continue to have a higher RR than Cases (82% for Controls and 64% for Cases). 1092 Study 2 respondents agreed to participate out of 1321, for an overall consent rate of 82% (both parents + teen). 139 sample lines were determined ineligible due to no cell phone access which makes them unable to participate in the txt survey from Ubitrix. We have been delivered 165 unique cases (first attempters) and have completed 103. We have identified a date for the end of data collection, April 10, 2020. In order to account for a 2 week activation period, the last case will be texted on 3/26/2020. Case sample delivery continues to be very low as sample have been very well worked through. We are continue with less SSL shifts, 5 shifts per week. Iwer practice sessions continue with the Co-PI. Iwers have now advanced to Phase II and only meet biweekly with the Co-PI. Practice sessions with the Co-PI are still taking place 1-2 times monthly even with very low sample delivery. We lost the only Spanish interviewer last month, as she has taken a full-time position elsewhere in the university. She will continue to work contractually for SRO while the project is in production so that she can complete Spanish interviews if we are to receive one. Spanish interviews are completed for parents only. We continue to see cost savings due to the reduced interviewer shifts and practice sessions, and funds will carry us through mid-April, the end of data collection. As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, SSL staff will begin working remotely at least partially. We are currently working through the implications for Warning Signs, including whether or not interviews will have the capacity to be recorded. The PI makes all amendments to the IRB as it is a med IRB project. She is checking to see if an amendment will need to be done as interviews will not take place in the lab.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):611,660.32Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):640,601.61Total Budget:529,284.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-180.61

Reason For Variance:

Total budget dollars is \$640,421.00. It was \$531,221.00, but the PI increased funds by 70k direct so that we could continue data collection. The reason for variance is increased costs due to increase in programming needs for instrument changes, increase in management time due to extensive time and resources to prepare and implement lwer training

sessions with PI's.

Projections Mar 31, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:17,540.09Actual Dollars Used:17,195.37Variance (Projected minus Actual):344.72Reason For Variance:Variance is due to staffin

Variance is due to staffing hours decrease due to lowered sample delivery.

Also, December was a holiday month in which people worked less hours.

January is a 3 pay-period month and we should see costs balance out more

in Jan.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	1800			
Current actual:	432	76	3.06	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 302,269.00 InDirect Budget: 169,270.00 Total Budget: 471,539.00

Principal Nigel Paneth (Michigan State University)
Investigator/Client Michael Elliott (University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

NIH

IRB HUM#:

HUM00139050 **Period Of Approval:** 10/7/2019-10/6/2020

Project TeamProject Lead:Terri Ann RidenourBudget Analyst:Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:Evanthia LeissouProduction Manager:Ian OgdenProduction Manager:Sharon K Parker

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The goal of ECHO is to understand pressing childhood health concerns such as autism spectrum disorders, low birth weight and childhood obesity. The project will collect survey data and a series of bio-specimens in order to assess the effects of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals, the maternal nutritional or weight status in pregnancy, and pregnancy infection and inflammation on the health of children.

The project will include two sample cohorts; one cohort from previous, ongoing data collection efforts as well as new sample. The existing sample cohort includes mothers and children recruited in 2011 or later for the Archive for Research in Child Health (ARCH) study in the Lansing area. The newly recruited sample cohort, MARCH (Michigan Archive for Research in Child Health), will consist of 1,100 pregnant women from 21 clinics associated with 11 hospitals in Michigan; a statewide probability sample of 1,000 women from 20 clinics in Detroit, Saginaw, Traverse City, Grand Rapids, and Sturgis, and 100 women from one clinic in Flint.

SRO's work scope is divided into two phases. During Phase 1, SRO will provide assistance with prenatal questionnaire development, and design technical systems for MARCH cohort recruitment to be conducted by Michigan State University recruiters. During Phase 2, SRO will develop systems and conduct data collection for MARCH and ARCH samples, and perform data management of MARCH data.

For the MARCH sample, expectant mothers will be recruited during their initial visit to a healthcare provider, and asked to complete an interview about nutrition, levels of physical activity, use of healthcare services, physical and mental health, prescription medications and other substance use. In addition, women will be asked to give blood samples in the first and second trimesters and urine samples in all three trimesters.

The sample recruitment and administration of prenatal interviews will be done by MSU interviewers using SRO's technical systems and laptops. SRO will program all questionnaires to be administered in the study, the sample management system(s), and the system to keep track of the collection and storage of blood and urine samples. When babies are born, the research team will obtain hospital birth records for the mother and child, and a placenta sample. The first MARCH babies were born in late Fall, 2017.

SRO's involvement in data collection starts after the MARCH babies are born. The first interview with the mother will be done when the baby is 3 months old. Mothers will be interviewed again when the children are 12 months old and yearly after that until the child is 6 years old. When the children are 3 months old, mothers will provide fecal samples, and at age 4 they will provide shed teeth. Children from the two cohorts will be assessed using standardized developmental assessments at age 2, 4, and 6 years old. The assessments will be done during in-person or in-home visits. MSU will start interviews and in-person assessments of children from the ARCH cohort in the summer 2019 and SRO will start interviews and in-home assessments of children from MARCH cohort in winter 2021.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2017 - 12/2020 05/2018 - 12/2020

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: lan Ogden: Project Manager Parina Kamdar: Financial Analyst

Gregg Peterson: Senior Technical Advisor

Jeff Smith: Technical Lead

Jonathan Harrison and Neil Eriksen: Data Managers (Phase 1)

Brad Goodwin: Data Manager (Phase 1)
Jeff Smith: Systems Programmer
Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer
Hueichun Peng: CAI Programmer-Illume
Jim Hagerman: CAI Programmer-Blaise

Shaowei Sun: Biospecimen Logging Application Programmer

Deb Wilson: Help Desk

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Illume
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Illume

Hardware Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil DE Software Other (Custom Biospecimen Logging Application)

QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$20 (3-Month IW), \$20 (Biospecimen))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (ECHO)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

In response to the COVID-19 global pandemic, here are the latest data collection plans for ECHO. We will continue our weekly calls and check in regularly regarding updates from the PIs who are also epidemiologists. Communication is critical at this time.

[Phase 1 - Prenatal Recruitment (Non-SRO staff)]: The recruiters working in each clinic are managed by the MSU team. They use our hardware systems in prenatal clinics, hospitals, laboratories and in their homes. Recruitment (consent / enrollment) activities are paused until the end of April at clinics affiliated with the following sample hospitals: Ann Arbor (St. Joe), Novi (St. John), Dearborn (Beaumont), Detroit (DMC), Saginaw (Covenant) and Grand Rapids (Spectrum-Butterworth).

[Phase 1 - Prenatal Follow Up (Non-SRO staff)]: Prenatal follow-up data collection activities may continue. Recruiters will plan to follow up with women by TEL-only to complete their PN1 and/or PN2 surveys. However, the MSU team plans to defer to each clinic / hospital institution's protocols (and the PIs direction), regarding prenatal biospecimen follow up activities (e.g. urine, blood, placenta). They will determine whether to suspend the collection of specimen for enrolled pregnant women, or to restrict those research activities altogether.

We trained the last new recruiters (data collectors) last Thursday/Friday (March 12-13). They will be working in Ann Arbor (St. Joe) and Port Huron (McLaren). Although they won't be actively recruiting in the clinics (as recruitment is paused), they will continue their training activities and transition to prenatal follow up (telephone interviews) when they are ready.

[Phase 1: Data Collection Summary]: To date, 838 women have been recruited in clinics. Among those cases, 704 were still eligible and active study participants during Phase 1 (prenatal period up until child birth).

[Phase 2 - Three-month Telephone Interview (SRO staff)]: Because the 3M interview is TEL-only, we believe there is less impact. The field staff were instructed to refer to the CDC guidelines posted on the interviewer website and they've received direction from Sharon, our production manager. She and our management team will continue to monitor / assess and plan accordingly.

[Phase 2 - Three-month Infant Biospecimen Collection and Follow-up (SRO staff)]: Interviewers will continue to affirm consent after 3M interviews and project staff will ship kits from Ann Arbor to respondents. We will also proceed with Biospecimen Follow-Up where needed. Dr. Comstock has confirmed that MSU will allow her to receive specimen samples at her lab and we may continue with protocol.

[Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection Summary]

Babies born: 586

3-month sample released: 565 3-Month Interviews Completed: 401

Production HPI: 3.14 Average Attempts / lw: 7.3

Iw length: 31.24 Response Rate: 72%

Special Issues

Cost Mar 09, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):217,825.62Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):460,120.59Total Budget:471,539.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-11,418.41

Reason For Variance:

Despite additional Y4 scope (i.e. expansion of biospecimen logging application to include ARCH in-person specimen and new MARCH ECHO specimen protocols, and Illume programming updates to add required ECHO National consent language and survey questions), we are currently

projecting a small cost underrun.

A 2nd biospecimen collection protocol (child nails) has been added to infancy stage (3-month protocol). We are currently assessing the scope and requirements of this additional protocol, as the MSU study office prepares materials for us to review prior to IRB submission. We are not expecting a significant impact on the budget due to this additional biospecimen protocol.

Projections Mar 09, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

37,969.41

33,067.19

4,902.22

We continue to monitor and adjust our projections for the Y4 budget based on scope of work. This month's underrun was driven, in part, by the closeout of several interviewer laptops returned after recruitment/data collection complete. Another factor that is impacting budget is the availability of our Illume programmer and data manager (Phase 2) compared with the hours we have projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual:	See Monthly Updates			
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Project Name Every Dollar Counts Program Evaluation (EDCPE)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,510,629.00 InDirect Budget: 453,189.00 Total Budget: 1,963,818.00

Principal Y Combinator Research

Investigator/Client Dr. Elizabeth Rhodes (Y Combinator Research)

Dr. Sarah Miller (University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00145626 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Karin Schneider

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager:Donnalee Ann Grey-FarquharsonProduction Manager:Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

Proposal #: no data

Description:

Y Combinator Research (YCR) and affiliated researchers at Stanford University are undertaking this randomized controlled trial as an exploration of an alternative to the traditional social safety net. Basic income is a method of redistributing resources to address economic insecurity. This study will examine individual-level effects of the

receipt of monthly unconditional payments.

The budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 22 months commencing in April 2018 with the main data collection taking place during a 9-month period, beginning March 2019. A single-county pilot of 80 cases is expected to take place over a two-month period in the late summer of 2018.

YCR is expected to select counties in two states and census tracts within counties to be included in the study, and sample addresses within these tracts. YCR will then send outreach/enrollment packets to the addresses requesting that households respond online (web-based form) and complete the study screener. After screening, YCR will select approximately 3,500 households that are eligible to be enrolled in the main study by in-person visits. SRO will approach these 3,500 households and conduct the baseline data collection with approximately 3,000 individuals. Following the baseline data collection, YCR will randomize the participants to the treatment and control groups and continue monthly payments and further contacts.

Prior to the main data collection, YCR will implement address selection and outreach within Alameda County and SRO will conduct an 80-case pilot data collection with in-person enrollment interviews. In the pilot, YCR will implement an experimental protocol to test recruitment methods that result in higher response and take-up rates.

Sampling:

• YCR is responsible for sample selection and for providing SRO with selected respondent contact information for both the pilot and main data collection.

Questionnaire Development:

- YCR will provide SRO with finalized questionnaires to be used for the pilot and baseline enrollment, with approximately 80% overlap between the two instruments.
- The questionnaire will collect demographic information, baseline measures of outcome variables (e.g., subjective wellbeing, financial health, employment, income, self-rated health and healthcare utilization, housing stability, food security, material hardship, etc.) and control variables (e.g., educational attainment).
- SRO will provide Spanish translation of the pilot and baseline enrollment instruments.

Computer Assisted Interviewing (CAI) Programming:

· SRO will program and test the 45-minute pilot and baseline instruments in both English and Spanish.

Sample Control Systems:

• SRO will customize our sample management system to control all screened sample provided by YRC, and track all appointments, progress, and outcomes at each sampled address for both the pilot and main data collection.

Training

- SRO will hire and train approximately 28 total interviewers (including three interviewers to conduct the pilot) data collection. Separate trainings will be held in each state for the main study and one training will be held for the pilot.
- SRO will develop all study-specific interviewer training materials in consultation with YCR.

- Interviewers new to SRO (approximately 23) will receive approximately two days of training on general interviewing techniques. The entire interviewing staff will then receive approximately two additional days of training on study-specific protocols.
- · Approximately 30% of the field staff will be bilingual.

Data Collection:

- For the main data collection, SRO will visit approximately 3,500 addresses of screened households up to three times to confirm participation and conduct the baseline data collection with 3,000 participants
- o Two regions included: 1500 participants in Michigan, 1500 in California
- o Multi-county area in Michigan (assumed to include Wayne, Oakland, Macomb and Genesee counties) and a multi-county area in California (potentially, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced counties)

	each eligible household, SRO will:
	firm participation consent
□ Req	quest authorization to access participants' records in administrative data, and contact information for friends
and famil	ly to help locate the participant if we cannot reach them
□ Req	uest participants complete a W-9
□ Dete	ermine if the participant has consistent internet access, a smartphone with text capability (confirm number
by sendir	ng a test text), and an e-mail address
□ Prov	vide individuals with a basic smartphone if they do not have consistent internet access (hardware costs are
not includ	ded in the SRO budget) and data plan (costs are not included in the SRO budget) for the duration of the
study (de	etermination that the participant has an alternative and no longer wishes to use the phone provided by the
study afte	er this point of contact will be the responsibility of YCR)
☐ Give	e participant a pre-loaded bank/debit card with \$50 and help them register the card online
□ Adm	ninister baseline survey, programmed by SRO, of approximately 45 minutes in length (in total, we have
estimated	d a two-hour in-home interaction with the participant)
□ Adm	ninister consent and collect the following physical measurements:
□ Bloc	od pressure
□ Heig	ght and weight
_	

- We assume these procedures will be implemented in the single-county pilot, where SRO interviewers will visit households pre-selected by YCR.
- · All participant incentive payments are the responsibility of YCR.

Post Collection Processing:

- SRO will conduct standard data cleaning for the survey datasets administered by SRO interviewers.
- We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended.

Weighting and Estimating:

SRO will develop survey weights for analysis.

Deliverables:

- SRO will provide daily progress reports on baseline data collection to YCR as well as:
- o A summary of field methods at the end of the baseline data collection
- o A full dataset with all participant contact information for further follow up halfway through the data collection phase, and again at the close of the baseline phase,

The SRO budget does not include:

- Administrative data collection
- · Collection of expenditure data from financial institutions

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2018 - 02/2020 03/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SIT Start:
SIT Start:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Kirsten Alscer (SPA), Karin Schneider (Project Lead), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Project Manager) Marsha Skoman (Tech Lead), Jeff Smith (Tech Lead backup), Jim Hagerman (Blaise Programmer), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak Programmer), Chris Greene (Data Manager), Barb Homburg (Production manager), Carlos Macuada (Production Manager), Megan Gomez-Mesquita (Financial Analyst)

Other Project

EDC Program Evaluation

Names:

YCombinator Research Basic Income Study

Sample Mgmt Sys

Making/Frals Meet

Data Col Tool Hardware

Blaise 4.8 Laptop

DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive

NA NA NA

Administration **Payment Type Payment Method** NA NA NA

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (EDCPE)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

New sample was delivered to SRO but it was quite a bit less than expected. Although production efficiency has improved, it is still below what would be needed to ensure full enrollment at or near to current projected field period.

SRO continued working on a revised budget and Memo to present the PIs with realistic financial information as to what it will take to accomplish the goals as they are currently set out for the project. The memo will be delivered in March.

YCR continues to request revisions and additions to SurveyTrak and Blaise and this is a contributing factor to the projected increased overrun.

Project Team is encountering data delivery issues in terms of having available resource to pull data requested by Pls and deliver in a reasonable time.

Special Issues

Final Survey version should have been received May 25, not received from Study Staff. Currently 2 hours and should be reduced to 45 minutes. Also has implications for pilot timeline.

Promised sample has not been received and this is reducing efficiency, productivity, affecting RR, and increasing

projected costs.

Cost

Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1.594.359.01 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 2,338,997.59 Total Budget: 1,963,818.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -375,179.59

Reason For Variance: 10/2019

There is a budget overrun due to violation of various assumptions on which

the budget was based such as sample received, interview length,

production locations, hosting rates, extensive changes to the questionnaire.

The EDC team has been notified about a ~80% of the over-run.

Projections

Feb 29, 2020

167,504.60 Dollars Projected For Month: 183,670.45 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): -16,165.85

Reason For Variance: Travel hours, programming, material printing and mailing were more than projected - projections were based on data available from previous months.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name Health and Retirement Study 2018 (HRS 2018)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 13,337,980.00 InDirect Budget: 4,801,674.00 Total Budget: 18,139,654.00

Principal David Weir (ISR-SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Nicole G Kirgis

Budget Analyst:Richard Warren KrauseProduction Manager:Stephanie SullivanSenior Project Advisor:Mary P MaherProduction Manager:Rebecca GatwardProduction Manager:Jennifer C Arrieta

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records and Veterans Administration (VA) records is requested. A self-administered "leave behind" paper questionnaire is given

to respondents interviewed in person.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2018 - 06/2018 04/2018 - 04/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/01/2018 Pretest Start: 02/02/2018

Pretest End: 02/10/2018 Recruitment Start:

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:
 04/07/2018

 SS Train Start:
 04/09/2018
 SS Train End:
 04/14/2018

 DC Start:
 04/19/2018
 DC End:
 06/01/2019

Other Project Team Members: Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordinator), Andrea Sims (Production Manager), Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Russ Stark (SSL Production Manager), Tony Romanoski (Respondent Contact Coordinator), Dan Tomlin (Project Manager), Lisa deRamos (Project Manager), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Anna Fuqua-Smith (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Assistant).

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other

DE Software NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00); Cash, post (20.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (HRS 2018) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update HRS 2018: Cost monitoring was the only activity during month of February.

HRS 2019 (EGenX): Data collection began on 1 July. The sample is a subset (n=2,959) of the 2016 pre-identified Early Generation X (EGenX) cohort. Interviewers completed 656 interviews (goal # of interviews was 579). The overall average interview length was 45.5 minutes. Seventy-one percent of the respondents have been found. Data collection wrapped up 10/12/19. Cost to complete is estimated at \$999,470.58 which is \$53,795.58 above the funds that have

been allocated by HRS project staff.

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 18,142,738.51

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 18,142,738.51

 Total Budget:
 18,139,654.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -3,084.51

Reason For Variance: We were approximately 21,000 interviewer hours over the budgeted amount

(due to the high HPI) in order to attain the 74% response rate. In addition, Whole Blood Draw data collection extended December 2019. HRS project staff has allocated funds to cover the \$1.2 million over-run. MPR has been

updated to reflect the current budget.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:497.47Variance (Projected minus Actual):-497.47

Reason For Variance: Variance is due to non-salary items such as postage and respondent tokens

hitting that were not projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	19,012	77%	8.7	
Goal at Completion:	19,012	77%	8.0	
Current actual:	18,247*	74%	8.7	
Estimate at Complete:	18,247	74%	8.9	
Variance:	-901	-3%	0.9	

^{*}Including preferred mode web interviews (n=1,823)

Project Name Health and Retirement Study 2020 (HRS 2020)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 11,961,346.00 InDirect Budget: 4,306,085.00 Total Budget: 16,267,431.00

Principal David Weir (ISR-SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 10/3/18-10/2/19

Project Team Project Lead: Evanthia Leissou

Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause
Production Manager: Andrea Pierce
Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta
Production Manager: Rebecca Gatward

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain a representative sample. A series of physical measures and bio-markers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a

 $self-administered\ question naire.\ Additionally,\ permission\ to\ link\ to\ Social\ Security\ Administration\ records\ is$

requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 08/2021 03/2020 - 03/2021

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 01/01/2019
 Pretest Start:
 11/11/2019

 Pretest End:
 11/23/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 09/01/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 12/15/2019
 GIT Start:
 02/17/2020

 SS Train Start:
 02/19/2020
 SS Train End:
 02/26/2020

 DC Start:
 03/02/2020
 DC End:
 03/27/2021

Other Project Team Members: Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordination), Andrew Hupp (Project Manager), Dan Tomlin (Project Manager), Gary Hein (Project Manager), Leah Roberts (Training Coordinator), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Anna Fuqua-Smith (Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Paul

Burton (Stats/Sampling)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Blaise 5 Coding Application); External vendor (DataForce Scanning SAQs)

QC Recording Tool Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00); Check, post (\$50); Cash, post (20.00); Other (Money Order (by request))

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Rpay system set up for MSMS); Interviewer payment Method

Report Period Feb, 2020 (HRS 2020) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update The team focused on technical development, systems testing, interviewer supplies, precontact mailing preparations,

interviewer training, sample assignments, and prod test in preparation for a March 2nd start to data collection.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 2,828,699.18 Feb 29, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 16,532,923.51

Total Budget: 16,267,431.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -265,492.51

The 6 year renewal proposal, which includes 2020, was based on a Reason For Variance:

> targeted dollar amount. 2020 revised projections (approved by project staff) are based the 2018 response rate and 2018 actuals. Estimated over-run has increased an additional \$100k over original projections due adjusting the iwer rates to match the predicted rates provide by DCS. Future

projections are being reviewed and will be updated in CRS.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: 2,564,103.79 Actual Dollars Used: 1,427,854.11 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 1,136,249.68

Reason For Variance: Respondent tokens, travel costs related to training, hosting costs, and

suppy costs did not hit in February as projected. Future projections are

being updated accordingly.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	17/191*	74.3%	8.7	
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:	17,191	74.3%	8.7	
Variance:	0	0	0	

^{*}including preferred mode web

Project Name Health and Wellbeing in Southeast Michigan (H&WB)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 1,433,860.00 802,964.00 Total Budget: 2,236,824.00

Principal Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program, SRC) Investigator/Client Toni Antonucchi (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Laura Zahodne (Life Course Development Program, SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM#: HUM00146040 Period Of Approval: 4/9/2020 **IRB**

Juan Carlos Donoso **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Theresa Camelo Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Ian Ogden

Production Manager: Lisa Van Havermaet

no data Proposal #:

Description: Conduct 600 interviews with recently identified Arab Americans aged 65 or older residing in Southeast Michigan

and 330 interviews with Social Relations sample members aged 65 or older. The Arab American sample will be selected based on an in-person household screening. The interview will consist of a 60 minute core interview

(content from the Social Relations interview), a 60 minute cognitive interview and a series of physical

measurements. Social Relations respondents will only complete the cognitive interview. An informant interview will also be conducted for all sample members. Interviews will be conducted in English or Arabic. A pretest will be conducted in August 2019 with main data collection occurring from November 2019 through July 2020.

SRO Project Period

05/2019 - 08/2020 11/2019 - 07/2020 **Data Col Period** No

Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2019 Pretest Start: 11/12/2019 Pretest End: 12/13/2019 Recruitment Start: 08/15/2019

Staffing Completed: 03/01/2019 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 04/01/2020 DC End: 11/30/2020

Other Project

Taghreid Lovell, Becky Scherr, Kallan Larsen, Dan Zahs, Pam Swanson, Dave Dybicki, Ashwin Dey, Brad Goodwin,

Team Members:

John Gawlas, Paul Burton

Other Project

Alzheimer's Disease Risk and Ethnic Factors: The Case of Arab Americans

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (Weblog possibly) QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$60, \$15)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Feb, 2020 (H&WB) **Project Phase** Planning Report Period

Risk Level Some Concerns

The research team and SRO worked to finalize instrument translations. PI team delivered translated instruments but **Monthly Update**

we are still waiting on guidance on some guestions asked by SRO regarding specific items in the main and informant

Arabic questionnaires.

Project team is testing all English instruments in CTT. Arabic translations for cognitive assessments, PMs and saliva

collection are also being tested in CTT.

Due to the national health emergency caused by COVID-19, the PIs and SRO team decided to postpone training to

the end of June and main data collection to the beginning of July. We are working to determine the impact these changes will have on the current overrun. Juan Carlos is also working with PDMG supervisors to figure out staff assignments during May and June.

Kirsten and Juan Carlos met with Steve Heeringa to review the current overrun and discuss what caused it and how to move forward. SRC and SRO are considering covering a portion of the \$68K hosting overrun that was the result of an error in budgeting.

Saliva Sample:

Originally, SRO submitted a budget of \$91K total (\$58K direct) to PIs for the saliva supplement. However, when the research team sent the budget to the funding agency they asked for \$91K direct (\$142K total). The PIs agreed to allocate the full \$91K direct from the saliva supplement to cover a significant change in scope that is part of the current overrun. Parina was not able to input the additional \$33K direct into CRS because they have not yet been allocated, so only \$58K direct from the saliva supplement are reflected in the current cost report.

Special Issues

Areas of the SRO work on D-AMP where the original specifications used to create the SRO budget did not hold up as we started implementing the development phase of the project include:

- Respondents from the Social Relations Study sample are also required to complete the core survey, in addition to the previously planned cognitive assessments and physical measures. This change was disclosed during the kick-off meeting, but the hours per interview (HPI) projection for Social Relations respondents was not adjusted to reflect this change.
- SRO staff invested more time than anticipated during the development of the cognitive tests, and the tests were more extensive and more complex to program than SRO anticipated;
- The Arabic translation process required involvement of SRO staff, which was not anticipated, and it took longer to finalize.
- The need to conduct more sessions for pretest training than originally budgeted due to delay in receipt of final instruments (English and Arabic) as well as the CDR certification.
- The realization that the complexity of the data collection protocol would require five more days of production training than originally budgeted, as well as 8 hours for CDR certification

After careful review and consideration of future costs for production recruitment and training and for field production staffing needs, we now estimate that total overrun will be higher, at \$203,536. Several key factors contribute to this higher cost estimate, including the following:

- 1) While the original SRO budget specified that we would be using two categories of interviewers Interviewer II and Interviewer III earlier changes were made in an attempt to cut costs overall, to use only Interviewer I. This approach had been reflected in budget cost projections. However, because most of the interviewers recruited for D-AMP need to be bilingual, we have to classify them as Interviewer II in the budget, since that is a more accurate reflection of the costs, given that bilingual interviewers have a higher pay rate.
- 2) Until December, the cost report did not specify any hours for Team Leaders, although they had originally been budgeted. It is standard SRO policy to have one Team Leader for every 10 interviewers. Team Leaders are in the Interviewer III classification. While the original budget estimated using two TLs, in order to reduce the overrun, we plan to have one TL throughout and another to assist during production training and the first two months of production.
- 3) Production management: The research team needed Taghreid Lovell to be much more involved than anticipated in Arabic questionnaire/materials development as well as some outreach activities. This lead to an increase in time charged to production management work. In our updated projections, reflected in the January cost report, we have increased her projections to full time for production management during production, given her language skills and considering that most of our interviewers will be new hires.
- 4) Training/hosting. As mentioned above, delays in the delivery of English and Arabic instruments resulted in a longer and more costly pretest training. Similarly, the original budget did not anticipate needing an additional five days to cover production study specific training. As a result of having more days/sessions for pretest training and evaluating the time needed for production training, the non-salary costs (hosting) budget line is projecting an overrun of \$67,942. Initially, the budget for hosting was \$3,708. However, the expanded training needs made it necessary for SRO to update the hosting projection to \$71,650. Since hosting is a UG monitored expense, we have requested your permission to shift project costs from other budget lines to this line.

Cost Mar 19, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 744,074.66

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 2,440,360.12

 Total Budget:
 2,236,824.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -203,536.12

Reason For Variance:

The additional days of interviewer training, additional core interview for Social Relations sample, additional programming time and project timeline shift has led to a projected overrun. This will be partially covered by the saliva collection supplemental funding. It is recommended that the budget be reassessed once data collection is underway to determine whether the initial assumptions used for budgeting are accurate. The current overrun does not project the additional expenses that the project will incur due to the postponement of training and main data collection as a result of the COVID-19 crisis.

Projections Mar 19, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:75,423.22Actual Dollars Used:81,177.26Variance (Projected minus Actual):-5,754.04

Reason For Variance: Purchase of supplies for training and fieldwork. Payment for a recruiting

event held in Dearborn last month.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name

High Intensity Drinking (HID)

Project Mode

Primary: Web

Total of Modes: 1

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

Budget

Direct Budget:

301,160.00

InDirect Budget: 167,822.00

Total Budget:

468,982.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Megan Patrick (Univ of Minnesota)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#:

00159183

Period Of Approval:

Project Team

Project Lead: Peter Rakesh Batra
Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

SRO's work on this project includes administering multiple web surveys to a sample of respondents who participated as 12th graders in Monitoring the Future's Base Year study. Using a starting sample of approximately 2000 respondents from MTF, SRO will administer a data collection "burst" consisting of one 30-minute survey and 14 days of 7-minute surveys every 12 months for 4 years. SRO will conduct all surveys as self-administered web surveys, with no telephone contact to respondents. SRO will coordinate all electronic contact with respondents (email and text message), but will not handle any paper mailings, respondent management or the payment of respondent incentives. SRO's activity will start in February 2019, with the first data collection burst in May 2019, lasting through to the first week of July 2019.. Deliverables include final copies of the questionnaires (including programming source code), clean and documented data sets from each of the data collection bursts, and a methodological report on protocols.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 03/2019 - 07/2020 05/2019 - 07/2019

Hueichun Peng

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:

SS Train Start:

DC Start:

Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End:

Pretest Start:

DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Web SMS
Data Col Tool Illume
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes, R
Administration NA

Payment Type

Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method

Check through other system (handled by Study Staff)

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (HID)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

We have been progressing well with Wave 2 of HID. Testing was completed on-time in late January and early February. Letters were mailed on Friday Feb 14 and our surveys were also live then. The email invitations were sent out on Monday Feb 17. Since then we've achieved a 31% completion rate of the annual survey (which then kicks off the 14 daily surveys). This is approximately 5% behind of where the Wave 1 progress was at this point of the production period last year. In order to further boost the response rate, the MTF Team has asked to extend the open

period for the annual survey by another two weeks. The means data collection will now finish on April 16, instead of 2 weeks prior to that.

We had one issue that has so far affected 2 respondents. Both mentioned to us that several pages of the annual survey came up blank. We determined these to be the matrix questions in the survey. Matrix questions are those which present the same response options (such as 'strongly disagree', 'disagree', 'agree', 'strongly agree') for multiple question items. We also determined that both respondents were using an older version of the Chrome browser on their Apple Mac computers. Unfortunately, testing all possible survey completion options something we cannot easily test prior to launch since there are hundreds of combinations of devices (PC, Mac, iPhone, Samsung, etc.), operating systems (MAC OS, Windows, Linux, iOS, Android, etc.), and browsers (Chrome, Safari, Firefox, Opera, etc.) that respondents can be using. I encountered this same issue during the PA-STEM project where thousands of respondents completed surveys. And have also heard of this being an issue with Qualtrics surveys. The solution was to have the respondent re-do their surveys on a different device. Additionally we offer respondents another \$40 incentive to do this.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 09, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):126,501.96Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):355,755.38Total Budget:468,982.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):113,226.62

Reason For Variance: Mainly our scope has been significantly reduced as the project team is

handling much of the day-to-day respondent activity.

Projections Mar 09, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: blah

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				
• 4.74.766.				

Project Name Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 9,647,688.00 InDirect Budget: 2,195,370.00 Total Budget: 11,843,058.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00114794 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Barbara Lohr WardBudget Analyst:Parina Kamdar

Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge

Senior Project Advisor: Grant D Benson
Production Manager: Becky Kay Scherr

Production Manager: Katherine McFall Blackburn

Proposal #: no data

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with \sim 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 09/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 09/01/2018 Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 09/01/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 03/01/2020
 GIT Start:
 04/14/2020

 SS Train Start:
 04/16/2020
 SS Train End:
 04/26/2020

 DC Start:
 04/24/2020
 DC End:
 11/30/2020

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type Payment Method Cash, prepaid (\$5 for subsample, \$2 prenotification); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir

Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

During February 2020, SRC activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Participated in biweekly project meetings with research team to discuss scope, cost projections and schedule.
- Reviewed/monitored project expenses. Revised and adjusted monthly projections.
- Prepared and submitted a quarterly report for activities from November 15, 2019 through February 15, 2020.
- Monitored subcontracts and project invoicing and payments.
- Submitted amendment package to UM IRB. Amendment includes changes to the Coverscreen, Child instrument, PCG questionnaire, inclusion of SSA consent forms.
- Responded to administrative comments from ISR.
- Formatted and submitted State/local administrative records-matching consent forms. Updated amendment text to include the new forms and resubmitted amendment.
- Finalized specifications for quality assurance protocols, including interviewer evaluation and interview verification.
- Completed orders for consumable supplies for Wave 2 production interviewing.
- Began updating manual chapters for Wave 2 training.

- Scripted new training videos on physical measurements and biomarkers. Scripted training video to update interviewers on the Wave 1 data collection.
- Developed and provided ballpark budget for panel maintenance activities.

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

- Continued development of design for Wave 2 population sample.
- Modified Wave 2 sampling plan to include families with no children interviewed in Wave 1, in addition to children in 2-child families who were not interviewed.
- Began modification of preload data

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

% Task Spent to Date

- Updated PCG and Child instrument specifications to include administration of state-level social security forms.
- Continued review of Spanish translations provided by the research team.
- Finalized reformatting the Spanish-language SAQs.
- Continued work to format the English versions of the PCG consent brochures.
- Modified preload and postload specifications.
- Tested instruments and sample management system.
- Began integrated testing of all instruments.
- Received an inventoried supplies. Began testing and battery replacement in interviewing equipment. Checked equipment calibration.
- Finalize specification for kitted materials. Modified sample numbers for specification.

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

- Continued programming changes and updates to PCG interview.
- Incorporated preload and postload elements to all instruments.

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to date

- Finalized the locating instrument.
- Continued programming the Wave 2 sample management system.
- Programmed initial field management application (Webtrak).

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

91% Task Spent to Date

- Conducted in-person interviews with applicants in Cleveland and Dallas. Selected candidates, began hiring paperwork.
- · Reviewed and modified Wave 1 training agenda.
- Began creation of Wave 2 training materials.
- Set up train-the-trainer meetings for physical measures/biomarkers, laser-tape measurements,

Woodcock-Johnson administration.

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

- · Prepared and shipped locating mailing.
- Logged returns and updates from locating mailing.
- Conducted in-depth locating activities for sample members with undeliverable mail.

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

· No effort this month.

Task 10: Weighting

% Task Spent to Date

· No effort this month.

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

· No effort this month.

Special Issues

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics):

Wave 2

- The project will be delayed due to the coronavirus epidemic. The extent of the delay is unknown, and may impact interviewer retention. The delay will impact project cost due to the unanticipated delay of training (facility costs) as well as ongoing management costs.
- The PCG questionnaires (in-area and out-of-area) for Wave 2 were not finalized by Aug 9. SRC added resources to allow us to meet revised questionnaire specification and IRB schedule for Wave 2, leading to increased costs over what is currently projected. This also reduced or eliminated any flexibility to accommodate further scope modifications.
- Programming hours for fundamental structural changes to the Wave 2 PCG instrument, the addition of a
 household screener/exit interview, in addition to changes to the finalized household screener/exit interview that were
 announced in November 2019 after final specifications had been delivered and programming started. This additional
 effort may exceed the budget, which assumed only 25% programming change between Waves.
- High interviewer attrition at Wave 1 and one year delay of data collection will require either that the project hire more new interviewers at Wave 2 than planned (24 new hires anticipated at Wave 2) or that we plan for a larger number of traveling on-staff interviewers than originally budgeted. The higher number of new hires will require the addition of one team leader to the field management staff; a higher number of traveling interviewers will increase travel costs.
- The delay in the data collection field period from 2019 to 2020 will increase fixed costs associated with project and production management. Delays will also impact hourly rates for all staff including data collection staff, may lead to increased locating costs, and will likely impact the number of new hires needed.
- Delays in the start of respondent contact/locating efforts may lead to more intensive locating needs during the Wave 2 data collection, over and above what has been budgeted.

Wave 2 Work Scope Changes:

- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that final clean copies of all instruments be delivered by early August 2019 to allow for review and programming specification delivery using assigned resources.
- A household screener/exit interview has been developed and will be programmed to facilitate locating children
 who do not live with the Wave 1 PCG. The instrument will collect contact information for new PCGs (approved by
 PIs).
- The start of Wave 2 is being delayed until April/May 2020. This will impact the cost of pre-production, respondent locating, and likely also impact the cost of interviewer hiring and training.
- The population sample will be reduced to accommodate cost increased related to the project delay.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 9,297,540.79 Mar 10, 2020

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 11,858,702.37 Total Budget: 11,843,058.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -15,664.37

The overrun is underestimated because of a project delay due to the Reason For Variance:

coronavirus pause. Significant work scope changes have led to increased

programming and management costs.

81,272.89

50,562.11

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 131,835.00 Mar 10, 2020 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual):

> Reason For Variance: Indirect cost was not calculated or applied during February. Domestic travel

> > was projected during February for recruitment but has not yet hit the financial statements. Similarly, supplies and printing costs were projected and incurred but have not yet been invoiced. Programming costs were far lower than estimated due to staff absences and other delays. These costs

will hit the March statements.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS 2019 Consumption and Activity Mail Study (CAMS 2019)

Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

InDirect Budget: 415,183.56 **Budget** Direct Budget: 305,282.00 109,901.56 Total Budget:

Principal David Weir (ISR-SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency NIH

HUM#: HUM00079949 Period Of Approval: 2/14/2019 - 2/13/202 **IRB**

Anna Fuqua-Smith **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager: **Daniel Tomlin**

no data Proposal #:

Description: CAMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of CAMS is to gather additional data on

> household consumption and activities of daily living from participants in the HRS. In 2019, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 8,000 respondents of which 6,000 will receive the full questionnaire and 2,000

spouse/partners will receive a brief questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

05/2019 - 05/2020 09/2019 - 04/2020

NA **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2019

Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: DC End: 04/30/2020

Data Manager: Tim Wright Other Project Programmer: Ashwin Dev Team Members:

Project Assistant: Janet McBride, Debra Heier

Other Project **CAMS**

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool SAQ

Paper and Pencil Hardware

Other (HRS study staff is responsible for) **DE Software**

QC Recording Tool

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Spouse)

Administration

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25 to main R and \$10 to spouse R)

Payment Method Check through other system (Submit excel file to business office)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (CAMS 2019) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

General summary for monthly activities: **Monthly Update**

- Decreased logging as a result of decrease returned questionnaires

- Continued shipping of completed questionnaires over to study staff

- Cost monitoring

N/A Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 469,168.32 Feb 29, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 405,852.81

Total Budget: 415,183.56 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 9,330.74

Reason For Variance: Higher rate of voids projected for project overall based on lower response

rate and number of cashed checks to date.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: -5,740.18 Actual Dollars Used: -5,856.27 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 116.09

Reason For Variance: Small variance due to more a few more checks being voided in February

than originally projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	5,778	67%		
Goal at Completion:	5,778	67%		
Current actual:	4,613	58%		
Estimate at Complete:		58%		
Variance:		9%		

Project Name HRS Enhancing Retrospective Life History Data 2019 (HRS - LHMS Spring 2019)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 443,077.00 InDirect Budget: 248,124.00 Total Budget: 691,201.00

Principal Jacqui Smith (SRC)
Investigator/Client David Weir (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00106904 Period Of Approval: 5/28/2020

Project TeamProject Lead:Barbara Lohr WardBudget Analyst:Parina Kamdar

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis
Production Manager: Becky Kay Scherr
Production Manager: James Koopman

Proposal #: no data

Description:LHMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of LHMS is to give participants an opportunity to share significant events that shaped their lives, reflect on their life history and share where they have lived, went to school, and what important events occurred in their lives. Information like this allows researchers to understand

how individuals' pasts shape their health and economic situations today.

In May 2019 (contingent upon IRB approval), a paper questionnaire will be mailed to a sample of approximately 3,436 HRS Respondents in the Late Baby Boomer cohort. From this sample, approximately 2,061 completed surveys are expected (60% response rate). Data collection will include questionnaire mailings and reminder/thank

you postcard mailings.

In addition, SRO will conduct a non-response follow up calling effort (scheduled for summer 2019) on a sample of 1,279 non-respondents from previous Life History Mail Survey initiatives. This involves sending a questionnaire followed by telephone contact attempts if the questionnaire has not been returned after four weeks. SRO will attempt to complete the 60-minute interview by telephone using the paper questionnaire. From this sample,

approximately 640 completed questionnaires/interviews are expected.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2019 - 03/2020 06/2019 - 09/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2016 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 06/01/2016

Staffing Completed: 06/28/2019 GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 06/12/2019
 SS Train End:
 06/12/2019

 DC Start:
 06/18/2019
 DC End:
 10/25/2019

Other Project Team Members:

Nicole Kirgis, SPA Barbara Ward, Surv Dir Parina Kamdar, Budget Analyst Becky Scherr, SSA James, Koopman, SSI Holly Ackerman, Programmer Dave Dybicki, Programmer **Other Project**

2019 LHMS

Names:

Hardware

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS; Project specific system (Weblog)

Data Col Tool

Desktop; Paper and Pencil

DE Software QC Recording Tool NA NA

Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type

Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method

NA

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (HRS - LHMS Spring 2019)

Project Phase

Initiation

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Completed questionnaires were logged and shipped to the scanning vendor.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 10, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 447,488.32 536,133.61 691,201.00

Total Budget:

155,067.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): Reason For Variance:

Extremely low response rates and a truncation of the telephone data

collection period is leading to the underrun.

Projections

Mar 10, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: 7,101.00 Actual Dollars Used: 645.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 6,455.00

Reason For Variance:

An expected billing from the scanning vendor did not hit the project. Coding

is delayed pending the completion of other HRS coding projects.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS Neuroimaging Pilot (HRS-Neuro)

Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 2

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Budget Direct Budget: 169,363.00 InDirect Budget: 16,938.00 Total Budget: 186,301.00

Principal Professor Kenneth Langa, MD, Ph.D (UM SRC-HRS)

Investigator/Client Professor Michael Weiner, MD (University of California, San Francisco)

Professor David Weir, Ph.D (UM SRC-HRS)

Funding Agency Alzheimer's Association

ним#: HUM00142251 Period Of Approval: 04/2018-01/2021 **IRB**

Ian Ogden **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This pilot study will invite HRS-HCAP respondents to undergo medical imaging at one of three selected sites within

> the continental United States. SRO's role in the pilot is to call HRS/HCAP respondents to ask if they are willing to be contacted by an imaging site team in their region. Respondent contact information will be passed to the appropriate site coordinator for eligibility determination, scheduling of the tests, arrangement of transportation, and completion of the scans. SRO will process a token of appreciation for each respondent and monitor production

across the three imaging sites.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2018 - 10/2020 03/2019 - 10/2020

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/15/2018 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 03/20/2019 SS Train End: 03/20/2019 DC Start: 03/25/2019 DC End: 10/31/2020

Other Project [TSG] Technical Lead: Pamela Swanson

Team Members: [TSG] Programmer: Ashwin Dey

[TSG] Data Manager: Madison Goforth [TSG] Blaise Programmer: Jim Hagerman

[DCO] Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge

[DCO] Field TL: 1

[DCO] Field Interviewers: (n=3, one of whom is bilingual)

Other Project HRS Neuroimaging Study Names: **HCAP Neuroimaging Pilot**

Survey Frak; Project specific system (Web Logging for Site Sample Management) Sample Mgmt Sys

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; Other (Optional Laptop for External Site Teams)

DE Software Other (Web Logging for Site Data-Entry); N/A

QC Recording Tool

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Travel Expenses (processed and issued by imaging sites))

Administration SRO Group; Other (Imaging Site Teams (travel expenses only))

Check, post (\$200.00) Payment Type

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Feb, 2020 (HRS-Neuro) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update [Project Status] Remains in "Some Concerns" status.

[COVID-19 / UMOR Directive] On 3/9/2020 one of the three imaging sites (Columbia University Medical Center)

suspended all non-interventional research and on 3/11, we moved to suspend all recruitment in the NY-Region. On 3/13/2020 UMOR suspended all non-therapeutic human subjects research requiring FTF interactions and, as of 3/15 all Neuroimaging teams (SRO, n=3 imaging site teams) have been notified and asked to halt all effort. Effort continues to official place cases on hold in our systems.

[HRS 2020 Coordination, Sample Release Schedule and Workflow] With the suspension of FTF work nationally, we are working with the HRS 2020 team to put on hold in Neuroimaging all cases whose preferred mode in HRS 2020 is TEL or FTF toward shifting them to HRS 2020 Production. It is not yet known when Neuroimaging data collection can resume, but with this decision some number of Neuroimaging cases will be worked in HRS 2020 before Neuroimaging. This reflects a change in the pilot's workflow for some number of respondents.

[HRS 2020 Sample Release Issue] As the HRS 2020 Production neared with Neuroimaging then expected to continue through ~October, 2020, the plan had been to hold all members of the Neuroimaging sample (n=466) from release in HRS 2020 until after HRS training and production launch. In mid-March it became clear that a relatively small number of cases had been released and worked in HRS 2020 in error (n=67), resulting in some respondents being active in both projects at once or contacted in quick succession (no more than n=15).

Efforts to resolve any parallel work were rendered moot by the halting of Neuroimaging production on 3/14/2020.

[Site Communications and Data-Entry]

In mid-February, updated site communication protocols were shared with imaging sites toward increasing frequency of attempts and increasing promptness of updates to Weblog (our sample-management tool / data capture system for imaging site teams). During a subsequent meeting, no concerns were expressed surrounding these communication protocols.

Several weeks later it was not clear that, among those sites where communication and reporting issues had been observed, these issues had been addressed. Near-term monitoring of site effort suspended due to 3/14 production stoppage.

Units completed below may not be up-to-date due to delayed data-entry by 1-2 imaging sites.

Special Issues

- (1) [New] Coordinating effectively with HRS 2020 / HCAP following decision to shift (some) non-final Neuroimaging cases into HRS 2020 in mid-March.
- (2) [New] Determining follow-on effects of both (a) 3/13 UMOR directive and (b) related revision of Neuroimaging-->HRS2020 workflow.
- (3) [Ongoing] Determining appropriate effort / yield when relying on self-managing partner sites over whose practices and operations we have little-to-no leverage;
- (4) [Ongoing] Ensuring effective monitoring in the absence of coordinating center staff with clinical expertise;
- (5) [Ongoing] Examining success of pilot in involving underrepresented groups (as compared with a volunteer-sample)

Cost Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	189,202.83
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	223,547.18
Total Budget:	186,301.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	-37,246.18

Reason For Variance: August, 2019: SRO projections updated to reflect extension of the data

collection period (~\$36,000 of projected overrun)

December, 2019: SRO projections updated once again to reflect an approximate doubling of the pilot study sample (~\$26,000 of the projected overrun).

January, 2020: Per SRC-HRS team, PDMG management hours will be shifted to HCAP 2020 from 1/1/2020, reducing the projected overrun to ~\$37,000. Additional funds to cover this balance are pending.

As of 3/15/2020, no significant changes following the three updates above.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:4,804.67Actual Dollars Used:8,880.22Variance (Projected minus Actual):-4,075.55

Reason For Variance:

As noted in 12/2019 update, additional sample will result in a larger number of respondent checks being printed, but ultimately voided; final realized costs will settle at (or below) \$21,000. The budget analyst adjust future projections to ensure a final Respondents/Subjects cost of \$21,000 is maintained.

Note: Because of the larger number of respondent checks being printed, the Research and Development Fee (significant for this project - \$2,151.82 in 02/2020) will also increase. The Neuroimaging budget analyst has confirmed that the R&D Fee tracks project costs in both upward and downward directions; as such, when the analyst enters negative Respondents/Subjects projections in future months to maintain a final cost of \$21,000, the projected R&D Fee will be reduced (perhaps negative) accordingly.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal:	105	65%	1.0	
Goal at Completion:	[pending]	[pending]	[pending]	
Current actual:	30	20.3%	1.7	
Estimate at Complete:	[pending]	[pending]	[pending]	
Variance:	[pending]	[pending]	[pending]	

Other Measures

As of 3/15/2020:

[SRO Recruitment Rate - Goal] - 90.0%

[Projected SRO Recruitment Rate] - 58.5% (126 recruited / 215 finalized); 93 non-final

[Projected Completion / Scan Rate] 20.3% (30 completed / 148 finalized across recruitment & scanning phases).

Project Name HRS Off Year Mail Survey 2019 (OYMS 2019)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 857,251.00 InDirect Budget: 361,169.00 Total Budget: 1,218,420.00

Principal David Weir (ISR/SRC)
Investigator/Client Helen Levy (ISR/SRC)
Jacqui Smith (ISR/SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00168761 Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Daniah BuageilaBudget Analyst:Grace TisonProduction Manager:Russell W StarkSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: OYMS is part of the Health and Retirment (HRS). The goal of OYMS is to gather additional data on health and life

history from participants in the HRS. In 2019, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 13,000 respondents of which 6,500 will be sent the health survey, and the other 6,500 the life history survey. Telephone

follow-up will be conducted in the SSL with a sub-set of LHMS non-responders.

Jennifer C Arrieta

HUM00168761 is for the Health Survey

HUM00106904 is for the Life History Mail Survey

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 06/2019 - 05/2020 10/2019 - 04/2020

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 11/15/2019
 SS Train End:
 11/15/2019

 DC Start:
 10/21/2019
 DC End:
 05/01/2020

Other Project Non-Response Project Manager: James Koopman

Team Members: Programmer: Ashwin Dey

Data Manager: TBD

Project Assistant: Janet McBride, Debra Heier

Other Project OYMS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool SAQ

Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software External vendor (DataForce)

QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration N/A

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25)

Payment Method Check through other system (Excel sheet to business office)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (OYMS 2019) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update The final health survey mailing was sent out on February 5th to respondents. LHMS completed questionnaires

continue to be sent to DataForce for scanning. We are still working with the PIs and Dataforce to finalize the health

survey schema so scanning of completed questionnaires can begin.

Health Survey RR: 83%

LHMS Questionnaire only protocol RR: 38%

Special Issues

Cost

 Feb 29, 2020
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 763,510.37

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 889,435.05

 Total Budget:
 1,218,420.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 318,213.05

Reason For Variance: Underrun due to much lower response rate than budgeted for the LHMS

survey, lower HPI for non-response followup in SSL as fewer Rs completed the questionnaire over the phone than budgeted. In addition the HRS PI decision to stop all contact attempt efforts with HRS Rs 8 weeks prior (rather than in past of "at least a month") to data collection meant we cut the

timeline for calling short.

Projections
Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:

Dollars Projected For Month:64,520.90Actual Dollars Used:17,197.76Variance (Projected minus Actual):47,323.14

Reason For Variance: Dataforce hasn't started scanning completed health questionnaires and

check voids hit in February that were projected for a future month.

Projection have been updated for these accordingly.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name India Human Development Survey Wave 3 (IHDS3)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 333,895.61 InDirect Budget: 198,006.92 Total Budget: 531,902.53

Principal Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland)
Investigator/Client Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan)

Santanu Pramanik (National Council of Applied Economic Research)
National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services

Funding Agency National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Se IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:Stephanie A ChardoulProduction Manager:Sarah Elisa BroumandProduction Manager:Jennifer M Kelley

Proposal #: no data

Description: The India Human Development Survey (IHDS) is a nationally representative, multi-topic, longitudinal survey of

41,554 households in 1503 villages and 971 urban neighborhoods across India. The first round of interviews were completed in 2004-5; data are publicly available through ICPSR. A second round of IHDS reinterviewed most of these households in 2011-12 (N=42,152). SRC joins IHDS Wave 3 to automate data collection, the scope of work

includes methodological design, sample design, questionnaire design, technical instrument design, supervisor/interviewer training, production monitoring, quality control, data dissemination, and 2-3 weekly

conference calls for capacity building.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2019 - 05/2022 06/2020 - 05/2022

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain Start:
SS Train End:
DC Start:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Project Management Team

Stephanie Chardoul -- Senior Project Advisor (SPA) Gina Cheung - Lead Project Manager and Tech Lead

Sarah Broumand - Project Manager Jennifer Kelley - Project Manager

Programming Team Collette Keyser - Blaise Marsha Skoman - SurveyTrak Holly Ackerman - WebTrak Ashwin Dey - Offline Transfer

Cheng Zhou - Sync

HelpDesk Team Genise Pattullo Emmanuel Ellis Andrea Pierce

Data Manager Team Jonathan Harrison Matthew Scibiorski

DBA Team LihShwu Ke Cheng Zhou Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (SurveyTrak INTL)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))

DE Software Other (TBD)
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)
Administration Other (TBD)
Payment Type Other (TBD)
Payment Method Other (TBD)

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (IHDS3)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

The project continues to be in pre-production phase. Due to the size and complexity of the project meetings are being held on how to best design the technical systems and infrastructure. Questionnaire programming is underway, with the tracking interview and portions of the household interview started. This past month, the team has been meeting frequently with the client to document all project requirements and design decisions.

Meeting with TSG have been held to keep the staff informed and help with the project design.

Special Issues

There are several concerns, with the foremost as the timeline, given the size and complexity of the project. The other concerns include:

- Receiving timely CRQs and translations from the client
- Mitigation: Created a timeline and shared with the customer. Reminding client frequently about time sensitive do-outs.
- SRO staffing this is the largest and one of the most complex projects and there is concern about having enough staff to fully support the project
- o Mitigation:
- Exploring ways to give the NCAER staff more responsibilities for certain data management tasks
- Working closely with NCAER to keep the timeline on track to avoid unnecessary rework
- Filtering communication from NCAER to programmers to help control the scope

Technical infrastructure - how to best design (e.g., one database or 12 databases for each of the 12 agencies, offline transfers, the client will share laptops across agencies, etc.)

- o Mitigation:
- Consulting with CMT, DBA administrators to identify issues with either design and prepare for possible performance bottlenecks
- Purchased a new firewall to help with connection speed issues
- Working with NCAER to understand their needs early on in the process

Cost

Feb 29, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 126,688.69

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 601,005.86

 Total Budget:
 531,902.53

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -41,121.33

Reason For Variance: There was a high ramp up of hours earlier in 2019 that has taken time for

the project to level off. This project is also receiving additional funding that

will help mitigate any budget overruns.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:52,217.54Actual Dollars Used:26,336.58Variance (Projected minus Actual):25,880.04

Reason For Variance: TSG staff have been heavily involved with other project needs that have

prevented the hours from being consumed as originally planned.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Project Name Monitoring the Future Web 2020 (MTF Web 2020)

Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Budget Direct Budget: 606,400.00 InDirect Budget: 337,199.00 Total Budget: 943,599.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

John Schulenberg (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM#: **IRB Project Team** Project Lead:

HUM-0013R02

Period Of Approval:

In continuing Review

Budget Analyst:

Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson Mary Johnson

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Gregg Peterson Rebecca Gatward

Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This project is a continuation of MTF Illume Web 2019. The new budget has been combined with previous to allow for "additional funding" of the continiung portion and includes some development/programming work for 2021.

SRO will program and test six new survey versions, all will be programmed in Illume. The 6 "older" forms will be edited and tested. 12 Surveys will be launched in 2020. After testing is complete, SRO will launch the 2020 Web survey data collection with an estimated sample size of 16,500 cases identified by the Principal Investigator who will deliver the contact information including e-mail address to SRO. The Web survey data collection will replace aspects of the standard mail-based data collection. Both the separately funded Winter Location calling effort and Non-Response follow-up calling will include this sample – with the calling effort being integrated with the standard MTF activities.

This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 12 months commencing in January 2020 with the data collection taking place during a 7-month period, beginning April of 2020. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$301,116 (\$193,023 direct, \$108,093 indirect), budgeted at the currently negotiated on-campus recovery rate of

56%.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2020 - 12/2020 04/2020 - 10/2020

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Gregg Peterson (SPA), Rebecca Gatward (Director), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Oeng, Lloyd Hemingway,

Shaowei Sun, Laura Yoder, Timothy Wright, Peter Sparks, Ashwin Dey

Other Project

MTF Illume Web 2020

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive

Yes, Other (Managed by SRC Study Staff)

Administration NA **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Report Period Feb, 2020 (MTF Web 2020)

Project Phase

Planning

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Preparation for Integration testing began. With the huge sample (~16,000), SRO has talked with Study Staff and there is agreement that all emails will go out in 3 replicates. There are 7 new email templates, in addition to the previous (11 in total) so SRO has busy setting up the Illume schedule and protocol. Also in February RLM and Lab SMS

programming began, as well as updates to the Web SMS.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 589,480.47 Feb 29, 2020

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 849,033.10 Total Budget: 943,599.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 94,565.90

Reason For Variance: Includes an under-run carried over from 2019. project just loaded and

projections need to be updated.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 9,729.02 Feb 29, 2020 Actual Dollars Used: 7,097.43

> Variance (Projected minus Actual): 2.631.59 Slightly off on projected hours Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name National Data Innovation Centre -- Delhi Metropolitan Area Study (NDIC DMAS)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode** Secondary: Telephone

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

InDirect Budget: 450,261.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 409,329.00 40,932.00 Total Budget:

Sonalde Desai (University of Maryland) Principal Investigator/Client Stephanie Chardoul (University of Michigan)

Santanu Pramanik (National Council of Applied Economic Research)

Funding Agency Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Gina-Qian Yang Cheung **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Stephanie A Chardoul Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The main objective of NCAER-NDIC is to serve as a laboratory for experiments in data collection, interfacing with

> partners in think tanks, Indian and international universities, and government. In particular, the Centre will address problems with existing data streams and important data currently not collected; foster, incubate, mainstream, and increase uptake of data innovations; and improve the triangulation and compatibility of distinct but related datasets. SRC joins NCAER-NDIC to launch Delhi Metropolitan Area Study, including baseline (N=5,255; HH-level, FtF), monthly (N=2,387, R-level; TEL), quarterly (N=2,700, HH-level, FtF), hospitalization follow-up (N=TBD, HH-level, FtF), and midline (N=5,255; HH-level, FtF) surveys (22 surveys in total) within ~20 months data collection period. SRC scope of work is to enhance NCAER-NDIC staff skills through formal and informal training and through the building of a broader collaborative network, including methodological design, sample design, questionnaire design,

technical instrument design, supervisor/interviewer training, production monitoring, quality control, data

dissemination, and 2-3 weekly conference calls for capacity building.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

08/2018 - 10/2020 01/2019 - 09/2020

Security Plan NA **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Andrea P. (Help Desk), Ashwin D. (SurveyTrak Intl), Brad G. (Data Management), Christopher G. (Data Management), Colette K. (Blaise), Emmanuel E. (Help Desk), Gina C. (Tech Lead), Holly A. (WebTrak), Jay L. (Project Management), Team Members:

Jonathan H. (Data Management), LihShwu K. (DBA), Marsha S. (SurveyTrak Intl), Rama G. (Sync), Stephanie C.

(SPA)

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (SurveyTrak INTL)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; Other (NCAER Phone (In India))

DE Software N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)

Administration Other (National Council of Applied Economic Research)

Other (TBD) Payment Type Other (TBD) **Payment Method**

Report Period Feb, 2020 (NDIC DMAS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

We launched production for both Q4 and Endline projects. due to the limited the integration tests, there are a few of **Monthly Update**

bugs both in ST and data models were discovered. New data models and SurveyTrak both are updated. the project

is on going .

Special Issues

many problems discovered after the production launch

Cost

Feb 28, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 450,261.00

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 404,692.74

Total Budget: 450,261.00

Total Budget:450,261.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):45,568.26

Reason For Variance:

on target

Projections Feb 28, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: 33,931.48
Actual Dollars Used: 33,572.17

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

359.00

Reason For Variance: on Target!

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2019 (PSID19)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 4,878,942.00 InDirect Budget: 2,683,420.00 Total Budget: 7,562,362.00

Principal David Johnson (UM)
Investigator/Client Katherine McGonagle (UM)

Vicki Freedman (UM)

Funding Agency

IRB

NSF,NIA, NICHD, The Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation of the United States, DHHS, The Economi

HUM#: HUM00062417 Period Of Approval: 1/23/2019 - 1/22/20

Project TeamProject Lead:Shonda R Kruger-NdiayeBudget Analyst:Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Sarah Crane

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description: PSID (known to Respondents as the Family Economics Study or FES) is a longitudinal survey of several thousand

individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997/1999 and

2017/2019. The total 2019 sample size will be approx. 11,200, with approx. 9,750 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over

time.

A Mixed Mode Pilot, CDS, and TAS19 will all follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample

members on a flow basis following their Core interviews.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2018 - 03/2020 02/2019 - 12/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2018 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:
 02/19/2019

 SS Train Start:
 02/217/2019
 SS Train End:
 02/26/2019

 DC Start:
 02/28/2019
 DC End:
 12/31/2019

Other Project Additional Production Managers: Stacy Quisenberry, Russ Stark

Team Members: Tech Lead: Jeff Smith

Other Project Family Economics Study 2019, PSID Core 2019

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool Camtasia

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (Proxy, Locator)

Administration ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post (Varies); Cash, post (Varies)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PS

Report Period Feb, 2020 (PSID19) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update February work was mostly Core 2021 development, although some lingering 2019 close-out activities continued within

DCS. Calls to the toll-free line (usually payment-related) also continued.

Special Issues

Cost

Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 7,306,301.81

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 7,334,820.13

Total Budget: 7,562,362.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 227,541.87

Reason For Variance: Lower HPI than budgeted, lower travel costs, some lower salary costs.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:39,487.11Actual Dollars Used:27,896.11Variance (Projected minus Actual):11,591.00

Reason For Variance: The PSID PIs instructed SRO to charge PSID 2021 CAI development time

to Core 2019. However, due to a mix-up, it was charged to MM Pilot. ET

corrections are forthcoming.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	9,724	89%	8.02	
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:	9,614	88.6%	7.79	
Variance:	110	0	0.23	

Project Name PSID 2019 Full Mixed Mode Pilot (PSID 2019 Full Pilot)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 210,093.00 InDirect Budget: 117,652.00 Total Budget: 327,745.00

Principal David Johnson (UM)
Investigator/Client Kate McGonagle (UM)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00062417 Period Of Approval: 1/23/2019 - 1/22/202

Project TeamProject Lead:Shonda R Kruger-NdiayeBudget Analyst:Megan Gomez-Mesquita

Production Manager: Derek Dubuque
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Katherine McFall Blackburn

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The PSID 2019 Full Mixed Mode Pilot will sample a subset of PSID Core 2019 respondents, beginning with 250

lines, with additional releases as needed. The interview will be mixed mode: self-administered web and interviewer-administered CATI, using SSL iwers. The production target is to complete a total of 200 completed

interviews (150 web and 50 CATI).

The contact protocol includes an advance letter and one email, one text message, and one reminder call each week. Emails will be sent automatically through MSMS, as will the initial text message. Subsequent texts will be

manually sent.

SRO will program the CAI instrument, web portal, MSMS, data out, and simple reports. The CAI instrument will

contain most--but not all--of the content of the Core interview.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2019 - 03/2020 10/2019 - 12/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2019 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

Stoffing Completed: CIT Stort:

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 11/18/2019 SS Train End: 11/18/2019

DC Start: 10/22/2019 DC End: 12/31/2019

Other Project Gina-Qian Cheung, Jim Rodgers, Kevin Jensen, Youhong Liu, Heather Schroeder, Kyle Goodman, Laura Yoder,

Team Members: Maddie Goforth

Other Project MM Pilot

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Other (R's computer for web survey)

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID Staff)

Payment Type Check, post (\$100); Other (Electronic RPay, \$100)

Payment Method Check through other system (RAPS); Other (Electronic RPay)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (PSID 2019 Full Pilot) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update February work was extremely limited. The project is currently working on delivering post-iw obs data missing for 6

completed iws. Otherwise, we are just waiting for costs to be finalized.

Special Issues

Cost Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 334,400.99 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 329,155.00 Total Budget: 327,745.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

-1,410.00

Reason For Variance:

The overrun is attributable to increased programmer and management time.

It will decrease after remaining ET corrections are made.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: 6,521.64 Actual Dollars Used: 11,924.72 Variance (Projected minus Actual): -5,403.08

Reason For Variance: This month's variance was due mostly to mischarges which are being

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
200	80%		
220	89%		
220	89%		
-20	-9		
	200 220 220	200 80% 220 89% 220 89%	200 80% 220 89% 220 89%

Project Name PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019) (CDS-19)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 5,801,561.00 InDirect Budget: 3,164,398.00 Total Budget: 8,965,959.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC)
Investigator/Client Paula Fomby (SRC)

Funding Agency

NICHD, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

IRB HUM#:

HUM00075944 *Period Of Approval:* 6/11/18 - 6/10/19

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Budget Analyst:Megan Gomez-MesquitaProduction Manager:Dianne G CaseySenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

A 2019 wave of the Childhood Development Supplement (CDS) is planned for September 2019 – May 2020. The sample for CDS is comprised of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Core 2019 families we interview and their primary caregivers. Approximately 3,700 families will be included, with some Core families containing several CDS children. As part of the CDS, families are asked to complete multiple interviews (i.e., coverscreen, PCG interview, Child interview--including an IVR component) and data collections (i.e., physical measurements, educational assessments, saliva collection, time diaries, school and birth record linkage forms), several of which are completed in-person. CDS interviewing will be conducted by Field interviewers, with the SSL supporting follow-up efforts. SurveyTrak and Blaise 4.8 will be the primary technical systems employed.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 08/2020 09/2019 - 05/2020

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 01/07/2019
 Pretest Start:
 07/15/2019

 Pretest End:
 08/04/2019
 Recruitment Start:
 05/02/2019

 Staffing Completed:
 08/05/2019
 GIT Start:
 09/16/2019

 SS Train Start:
 09/18/2019
 SS Train End:
 09/23/2019

 DC Start:
 10/01/2019
 DC End:
 05/31/2019

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software Other

QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)
Payment Type Check, post; Cash, post

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PS

Report Period Feb, 2020 (CDS-19) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Stats as of 3/1 FPR:

Coverscreen - 2,637 completes (3,531 lines) PCG - 823 completes (2,651 lines) Child - 1,448 completes (4,943 lines)

Child - 1,448 completes (4,943 lines) Other adult - 331 completes (2,431 lines)

Submitted IRB amendment with PCG Iw End Game protocol, incentive, and materials; keep appointment/caregiver

support incentive increase and materials; family size PCG lw bonus and materials; and adolescent materials on 2/11. Received approval on 2/21.

WebLog for reminder calls still in development.

Presented second travel cost analysis on 2/27. February is the month with the most trips to-date. Postponed making a decision on Hold FTF replicates until review of projected response rate comparison.

On 2/3, released PSID Release 4 sample (no TAS holds) - n = 338. Need to finalize split-off process.

Coverscreen End Game Batch 1 (n=600) launched on 2/6. Response rate lower than expected.

CDS PIs, PSID PIs, CDS Survey Directors, and SRO Operational Leadership met on 2/28 to discuss fieldwork strategies since current protocol yielding lower than expected response rates for home visits and adolescent iws. Conducted brief iwer web survey to systematically gather feedback regarding respondent concerns and various field strategies.

Special Issues

lwer effort -- iwers state they do not have enough sample to work full commitment. Not meeting production goals -- will not complete data collection by the end of May.

Cost Feb 29, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 5,387,976.23

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 8,901,842.04

 Total Budget:
 8,965,959.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 64,116.96

Reason For Variance:

Underrun has increased primarily due lower field and SRO staff hours and modifications to non-salary projections based on remaining project needs

Projections Feb 29, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:783,860.27Actual Dollars Used:596,344.26Variance (Projected minus Actual):187,516.01

Reason For Variance: Lower field and SRO staff hours. Less iwer travel.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Qatar World Mental Health Survey (WMH-Qatar)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 62,440.00 InDirect Budget: 12,488.00 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Principal Zeina Mneimneh (University of Michigan)
Investigator/Client Salma Mawfek Khaled (Qatar University)

Funding Agency

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Sarah Elisa BroumandBudget Analyst:Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: SRC joins Social & Economic Survey Research Institute at Qatar University for the upcoming World Mental Health

Study in Qatar to conduct CIDI train-the-trainer training and build a quality assurance tool. The timing expectation is that SRC has an initial working version of the QC tool in time for a January 2020 data collection pilot, then refinements will be made for an October 2020 production launch. The Qatar team will be using the standard CIDI questionnaire instrument (Blaise 5), and they have Blaise programming expertise and their own sample

management system. SRC had originally envisioned this as a version of our OLAP Cube, but have since moved away from that and toward a more "ODS"-type approach. SRC scope of work includes CIDI training, methodological designs for in-person and phone call verification, quality control indicators/interventions, and technical designs for

quality assurance infrastructure and dashboard, etc.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2019 - 10/2021 01/2020 - 10/2021

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain Start:
SS Train End:
DC Start:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Cheng Z. (Application Programmer), Jay L. (PM), Gina-Qian C. (SPA), Sarah B. (System Analyst)

Team Members Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (Blaise 5)

Data Col Tool Blaise 5
Hardware Laptop; Tablet
DE Software N/A

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, Other (TBD)

Administration Other (Qatar University)

Payment Type Other (TBD)
Payment Method Other (TBD)

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (WMH-Qatar)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

Worked on modifying schema for QC Indicator at request of customer to allow more flexibility updating models when

new data is generated.

Documented changes to the model and status of all indicators.

Documented data sources for each of the indicators.

Investigated and reported data anomalies generated during the Pilot.

Special Issues

None

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 43,026.43 Feb 29, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 75,459.65 Total Budget: 74,928.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -531.65

Reason For Variance: New PM Jennifer Kelley was hired and now has a new rate. But expecting to

spend less and re-balance the hours that will no longer be needed for

another labor category.

Projections Feb 29, 2020

3,465.05 **Dollars Projected For Month:** Actual Dollars Used: 4,982.06 1,516.40 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Had to shift future hours to cover work needed to be done at this time. We Reason For Variance:

expect the time to decrease for the next few months allowing budget to re

balance.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name Relationship Dynamics & Social Life Study, Re-contact Test (RDSL Recontact)

Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 25,284.00 Total Budget: 25,284.00

Principal Jennifer Barber (ISR)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency Internal PI funds

ним#: HUM00014150 Period Of Approval: 12/11/07-No CR **IRB**

Rebecca Loomis **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager: Pooja Varma-Laughlin Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project seeks to determine the feasibility of obtaining current contact information for respondents who were

> part of the Relationship Dynamics & Social Life Study (RDSL), and the success of an outreach effort asking these respondents to complete a short, web-based instrument to confirm or update their address, telephone number and email. The original study, also known as the Michigan Study of Young Women, was conducted in 2008-2009 with approximately 1,000 women aged 18-22 residing in Genesee County, Michigan. This is an attempt to locate and re-contact a sample of 100 cases in order to test the feasibility of a survey of the entire original survey sample. Data collection will run for 7 weeks, beginning with the mailing of a pre-notification letter with a \$2 incentive to the 100 case sample, along with an email and text invitation, as appropriate. Email and manual text reminders will be sent, weekly to non-final lines with appropriate contact information. SSL interviewers will attempt to contact by phone all cases that have not responded to the letters, email or texting. Respondents who complete their interviews will be

sent \$10 checks.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

10/2019 - 04/2020 02/2020 - 04/2020 NA

Security Plan Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 11/30/2019 Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 03/05/2020 SS Train End: 03/05/2020 DC Start: 02/19/2020 DC End: 04/06/2020

Other Project Team Members: Dan Zahs, batch locating and sampling

RDSL Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Web SMS **Data Col Tool**

Hardware Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Other (Rs' devices for self-admin Web completes)

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$10); Cash, prepaid (\$2)

Payment Method Check through other system (ISR RPay System (not STrak)); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (ISF

Report Period Feb, 2020 (RDSL Recontact) **Project Phase** Initiation

Some Concerns Risk Level

Data collection began Feb 19th. The PI and her staff decided to undertake their own locating efforts after sample was **Monthly Update**

loaded and production launched. Significant SRO work went into determining a workflow that would incorporate a (potentially unlimited) number of additional new leads. We're now maintaining a shadow spreadsheet of contact information and having iwers call, text, and email off lists. Call records are still being recorded in the project Web SMS.

Interviewer training occurred 2/27 (texting via SideSync) and 3/5 (calling). The project budget is insufficient for the work the PI is requesting. We're trying to control scope appropriately.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 16,090.19 Feb 29, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 24,366.05 25,284.00 Total Budget:

> Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 917.95

Reason For Variance: Projections have not yet been updated after the Feb cost report was

received. The projected underrun will disappear once adjustments are

made.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 5,667.04 Feb 29, 2020 Actual Dollars Used: 4,386.67 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 1,280.37

> Reason For Variance: Dan Zahs was ooto for part of Feb and charged none of his projected hours.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	80	80%		
Current actual:	39	39%		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Other Measures

Note: the goals reflected above are based upon conversations with the PI post-proposal. The proposal materials did not specify a target RR.

Project Name Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers - Longitudinal Study Supplement

Project Mode (STARRS-LS Supplement)
Primary: Not Available

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 410,256.00 InDirect Budget: 229,744.00 Total Budget: 640,000.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency

Department of Defense

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00099203 **Period Of Approval:** 9/4/2019 - 9/3/2020

Project Team Project Lead: Meredith A House
Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland Production Manager: Ryan Yoder

Production Manager: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps

Proposal #:

no data

Description: The STARRS-LS Supplement budget is for the scope of two tasks during the period April 2019 through June 2020.

Task 1 is to prepare and load de-identified survey data and project documentation for the STARRS-LS study into the ICPSR public use holdings for Army STARRS. This work will cover the entire 15-month project period. Task 2 is to extend support for project management and U-M STARRS Data Enclave activities for seven months beyond the November 30, 2019 funding end date for the current STARRS-LS award. Task 2 work will include the period

December 2019 through June 2020.

Staffing Completed:

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

Yes

04/2019 - 06/2020

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Kelsey Mulka, Lamont Manley, Stephanie Windisch

Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End:

Pretest Start:

SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Team Members:

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive NA
Administration NA

Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (STARRS-LS Supplement)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

See monthly update for STARRS-LS

Special Issues

See monthly update for STARRS-LS

Cost Jan 31, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 158,024.85

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 521,764.86

Total Budget: 640,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 118,235.14

Reason For Variance:

As noted in the monthly update for STARRS-LS, we are working on spending down that 5-year STARRS-LS account, therefore the Supplement budget is currently under-spent. We will be working on adding projections to the Supplement for the work from the STARRS-LS no cost extension period

that gets pushed forward.

Projections Jan 31, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:75,530.43Actual Dollars Used:80,920.84Variance (Projected minus Actual):-5,390.41

Reason For Variance: Varian

Variance was due to some of James's hours being moved from the 5-year

to the supplement.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Proiect Name	Study to Assess F	Risk and Resilience ir	n Servicemembers-L	ongitudinal Study (STARRS-LS)

Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode** Primary: Web Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

4,554,645.00 12,834,699.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 8,280,054.00 InDirect Budget: Total Budget:

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency Department of Defense

IRB

ним#: HUM00099203 Period Of Approval: 9/4/2019 - 9/3/2020

Meredith A House **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Lisa S Holland Production Manager: Juan Carlos Donoso Production Manager: Lisa M Lewandowski-Romps

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in

> Servicemembers). For STARRS LS, we will attempt to reinterview all respondents form the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS) and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multi mode study. Each of the approximately 70,000 eligible respondents will be invited to participate once every two years. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS LS will continue to maintain and support the Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as de-identified historical administrative data received from the Army and Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, STARRS LS will continue to receive and link de-identified administrative data to the survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS LS surveys). These data will also

be made available in the Research Data Enclave.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

02/2015 - 03/2020 10/2015 - 07/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Heather Schroeder, Paul Burton, Ryan Yoder, Leah Roberts, Keith Liebetreu, Kelsey Mulka, Madison Goforth, Lamont

Manley, Stephanie Windisch, Youhong Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Genise Pattulo, Andrew Hupp

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 5 Hardware Desktop **DE Software** N/A

QC Recording Tool

Live monitoring

Incentive

Yes. R

Administration

Payment Type

SRO Group

Payment Method

Check, post (\$50-\$100); Cash, prepaid (\$2 (or Challenge coin)); Other (Army STARRS challenge coin (provide Check through other system (MSMS); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS); Other (Army STA

Report Period Feb, 2020 (STARRS-LS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update U-M and HJF/USUHS representatives met to discuss next steps on STARRS 3 funding / request a letter of intent to fund from HJF to U-M.

We made good progress on creating the sample file that will be sent to the CDC to obtain the NDI data.

We received confirmation that Gretchen is still working on a formal memo to describe ODUSA's request to the research team for an SSN list to send to NIOSH to obtain the IRS addresses. Her memo will be addressed to the PIs.

ST.	We participated in multiple exchanges between the research team and the ODUSA/Dr. Cox about the Waves 3 d 4 timeline and Wave 3 consent protocol. IRB: USUHS provided secondary approval of the HADS HIPAA Waiver amendment. We worked with teams at each site to retrieve the U-M laptops that were previously used to access the U-M ARRS Data Enclave. Annual DoD training renewals were completed. Our Enclave computing group continued work on installing new hardware, and on software transitions. We continued work on biomarker group requests for assistance, and analyst requests for assistance. We sent the annual update of the SSN-Linkage ID file (file identifies the STARRS cohort that have consented to ministrative data linkage) to AAG. We continued work on the LS Wave 2 internal/Enclave data delivery. ICPSR completed their work to add AAS and NSS to the Social Science Variables Database (SSVD) searching ctionality on the ICPSR website
We	e continue to track areas of risk, and develop mitigation strategies.
wri coh be Arr cor it w it c	NIOSH addresses The ODUSA has secured CDC approval and funding to obtain the addresses, but it is still not clear how the eviously understood barriers and restrictions on obtaining and sharing the data have been resolved. Gretchen is ting a formal memo describing their request to the research team for an SSN list (which identifies the STARRS nort that have consented to administrative data linkage) to send to NIOSH to obtain the addresses. The memo will addressed to the PIs. We do not have permission from the full 72,000 to use their SSNs for locating (outside of my databases). We have only gathered this type of consent from LSW1 and LSW2 respondents. We are necerned about this situation as it potentially leaves the study (U-M in particular) in a situation to have to say "no" and would look like we are resistant to helping obtain the addresses. We have no objections to obtaining them as long as an be done in a way that honors STARRS commitments to privacy and data security as provided to our spondents. The ultimate plan will also need to be one that is likely to receive U-M IRB approval. Wave 3 Challenges
o des	Currently, the primary risks to an April 2020 pre-production start and October 2020 launch are the following: The GSC has suggested that the proposed 24-month data collection period may not be acceptable. Changing the sign at this point would require a new budget and the potential for delays while waiting for that budget to be veloped, reviewed, and accepted.
HJI tow wo me	Funding delays. Working backwards from April 2020, we would have needed to receive the draft sub-award from F in January in order to get approvals and have accounts in place by April. In February, we took positive steps vard getting a letter of intent to fund issued by HJF, which will allow U-M to set up hardship accounts and begin rk. We will need to do so no later than the beginning of March. These hardship accounts would be a temporary assure. We have staff time reserved to begin work in April. Any changes to this schedule would be very disruptive diversity we would risk staff being reassigned to other projects.
we for for and ulti	Wave 3 consent review and approval process. Some progress was made in this area in February, for example, received a VA HIPAA form template and had some helpful, open email exchanges with the ODUSA. But the path ward remains complicated and time-sensitive. There are risks whichever path we choose – if we move forward with m development (single or combined) without prior agency approval, we risk DHA and VA not approving the forms daccepting the consents "down the road." In addition, the U-M IRB will be hesitant to approve language that is mately within the control of the agencies. If we pursue prior approval of the forms, we bear the risk of working with w-moving agencies/processes and risk having to postpone Wave 3 activities. We are already behind on the eline created last month for these activities. We will continue to move forward as best as we can.
o cor Wh not nov cor the dev cor is u	In terms of the development of wording and procedures for HIPAA consent, it has been suggested that we make the HIPAA forms for the DHA and VA data to be less off-putting and to reduce burden for our respondents, alle we agree with this from an operational perspective, in doing so, there may be more risk that the agencies would approve the approach. It is also possible that a combined form would be more confusing for respondents. We are we beginning work on both separate and combined form options. As we have just started, we do not yet know if a make the seven possible. After drafting wording and procedures that we think will be acceptable to IRB and to exampliers of medical records, they will need to be reviewed and approved by these entities. We will also need to welop new procedures for telephone interviews since a verbal consent is not acceptable for a HIPAA-related assent to linkage. This is a problem that we are confident we can solve, but how much time and effort will be required uncertain.
the ren	Enclave Support We continue to monitor priorities for Enclave support. We balance the primary Enclave support work with a mber of more complex biomarker data support requests, which are outside of the reduced Enclave team scope in STARRS-LS contract. We prioritize the primary work above other requests in accordance with project goals and to nain within budget/scope. As a result, the biomarker data support requests can take longer to service. As long as puestors are ok with this arrangement, we can continue to manage the work and priorities in this fashion. Scope additions There are a few cost estimates for new scope that have yet to be made (Table 6 above). As decisions are made,

Special Issues

we will work with the research team to schedule and implement this work.

o We have received some information on additional public use data releases, including release to the NIH National Data Archive. Several unknowns need to be clarified before we can finalize our scope and cost estimates, and determine what staffing will be needed for this work.

Cost Jan 31, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 12,646,610.73

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 12,834,327.91

 Total Budget:
 12,834,699.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 371.09

Reason For Variance:

We spent a total of \$29,898 in January. We continue to focus on charging ongoing management and enclave work to the 5-year no cost extension accounts given these funds expire at the end of March. In doing so, the supplement shows a larger underrun, but we are expecting that some of the work we hoped to accomplish in the no cost extension period will be pushed forward, so we will be working on adding those projections to the

and the second and th

supplement as the work and timeline become clearer.

Projections Jan 31, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:35,380.07Actual Dollars Used:29,897.90Variance (Projected minus Actual):5,482.17

Reason For Variance:

Variance this month was due to some of James's hours being moved from the 5-year to the supplement. The \$2,900 spent in computer supplies was accounted for in the February projections (that projection will now be removed). Youhong continues to use a good chunk of hours toward Blaise survey version updates.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Surveys of Comsumer Attitudes (SCA 2020)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,109,882.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 1,109,882.00

Principal Richard Curtin (SCA)

Investigator/Client tUBER sUZER-gURTEKIN (sca)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Theresa CameloBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Ruth B Philippou

Senior Project Advisor: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the

contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing

staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

12/2019 - 12/2020 12/2020 - 12/2020

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:

DC Start: 01/29/2020 **DC End**: 02/25/2020

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8
Hardware Desktop
DE Software NA

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

Incentive Not used
Administration N/A
Payment Type N/A
Payment Method N/A

Report Period Feb, 2020 (SCA 2020) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update SCA February 2020 began as scheduled on 1/29/2020 and ended one day later than was originally scheduled, at the

request of project staff, on 2/25/2020. We completed 620 interviews in February (340 RDD, 180 RECON, and 100 RECON 12) (20 above the original goal, at the request of project staff), at a cumulative HPI of 3.36 (.04 higher than

the budgeted HPI of 3.32).

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 88,341.62 Mar 11, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 88,341.62

Total Budget: 1,109,882.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

NA Reason For Variance:

Projections Mar 11, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month: 92,490.17 88,341.62 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 4,148.55

Reason For Variance: Training costs were lower than expected due to a smaller training class than

was originally projected.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
620		3.32	
620		3.36	
620		3.36	
0		.04	
	620 620 620	620 620 620	620 3.32 620 3.36 620 3.36

Project Name The Promise of a School-Based, Trauma-Informed Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Intervention for Young

Project Mode Women in Chicago-Follow up (YWC2020)
Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,403,220.00 InDirect Budget: 65,218.00 Total Budget: 1,468,438.00

Principal Dr. Monica Bhatt -PI (University of Chicago Urban Lab)
Investigator/Client Harold Pallock - Co-PI (University of Chicago Urban Lab)

Jon Guryan - Co-PI (University of Chicago Urban Lab)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: 00172428 Period Of Approval: 04/2020 - 11/2020

Project Team Project Lead: Hongyu Johnson
Budget Analyst: Parina Kamdar
Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberry

Production Manager: Stacy Quisenberr **Senior Project Advisor:** Sarah Crane

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The purpose of this study is to complete in-person interviews (April 2020-October 2020) with approximately 1500 female high school aged students from 10 pre-selected Chicago Public Schools at a response rate of 75 percent.

Respondents will have been pre-sampled prior to SRO involvement and the Principal Investigator will work with both the Chicago Public School District and the selected schools to obtain permission for SRO to interview students in school in small group settings. The interview will also be conducted at the respondent's home or other

mutually-acceptable location, or will be accessed via web for self-administration.

Students will be asked to complete approximately 60-minutes of self-administered assessments on Tablet, or complete the survey via invited weblink, comprised of a Student Health Survey and the standardized Behavior Assessment System for Children Third edition (BASC-3). Students will receive a \$40 gift card as a token of appreciation for their time and effort.

University of Michigan has agreed to cede IRB review to the University of Chicago for the study.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

11/2019 - 11/2020 04/2020 - 10/2020

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project

Sarah Crane: SPA

Team Members: Hongyu Johnson: SRO Project Lead/Project Manager

Melissa Granville: Project Assistant Stacy Quisenberry: Production Manager Parina Kamdar: Budget Analyst Kenneth Szmigiel: HR Hiring

Dan Zahs: Sampling

Jeff Smith: Tech Lead & SurveyTrak Shanti Suresh: Data Manager Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog

Shaowei Sun: SRIS

Peter Batra: Qualtrics Programmer Genise Patullo: Help Desk Supervisor

David Bolt: Help Desk

John Gawlas: Help Desk Lead

Mike Etzel: Equipment Inventory Control Greg Peterson: Tech Consultant

Other Project

Working on Womanhood (WOW)

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak Data Col Tool SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Other (scanner)

DE Software Other (Qualtrics)

QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group **Payment Type** Other (Gift Card)

Payment Method Other (Gift card delivered FTF)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (YWC2020) **Project Phase** Planning

On Track Risk Level

Project Management: weekly project management meeting on discussing project updates, working on management **Monthly Update**

related tasks, discussing training agenda, editing project study manual chapters, testing survey questionnaire on web

and tablet modes, assisting the tech group staff on tablet inventory preparation. PI Team: maintaining weekly check-in meetings with the UC-PI team, sharing updates.

System: setting up, modifying and testing projects/systems (SurveyTrak, WebTrak, Weblogging, SRIS, Mapping Tool).

Training: procured and finalized training facility.

Recruitment: finalized and completed recruitment tasks.

Special Issues

Cost Feb 29, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 95,895.63

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 800,575.88 Total Budget: 1,468,438.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 667,862.12

Reason For Variance: We are still in the planning period.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: Feb 29, 2020

Actual Dollars Used: 95,895.63 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 608,784.62

Reason For Variance: All projections (including interviewers and recruitment expenses) are not in

the system yet due to current COVID-19 emergency situation.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

704,680.25

Project Name Transition Into Adulthood Supplement (TAS2019)

Primary: Mixed Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 3 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Direct Budget: 1,206,654.00 **Budget** 778,487.00 InDirect Budget: 428,167.00 Total Budget:

Principal

Investigator/Client

Narayan Sastry (ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Lead: Piotr Dworak **Project Team**

07/2019 - 08/2020

Budget Analyst: Megan Gomez-Mesquita Production Manager: Carlos Andres Macuada Lopez

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Daric Thorne Production Manager:

Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

no data Proposal #:

TAS 2019 is the 8th Wave of TAS study, part of the PSID Suite of projects. Description:

> Approximately 3,500 youth aged 18 - 28 years who are part of families who participate in the ongoing Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) are invited to take part in a 60-minute survey. Eighty percent of respondents (approximately 3,000) will be offered an option to complete the survey either online or by phone. A fifth of respondents will be offered only the option of completing the survey by phone. As in TAS 2017 and 2018, all respondents will be offered a up to \$100 for completing the interview. Phone interviews will be completed by

Survey Research Center Survey Services Lab (SSL) interviewers.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

11/2019 - 06/2020 NA **Security Plan**

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 08/01/2019 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 11/14/2019

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: DC End: 06/30/2020

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Transition Into Adulthood Supplement

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS**

Data Col Tool Blaise 5 Hardware

Desktop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software

QC Recording Tool Camtasia Incentive Yes. R Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Check, post (\$70)

Check through other system (PSID RAPS) **Payment Method**

Feb, 2020 (TAS2019) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

Project updates: **Monthly Update**

> TAS finished week 18 of the planned 30 data collection weeks. Last 2017 wave of TAS was in field for 39 weeks and the current 2019 is considering extending the planned time in field to a similar duration. All sample n=3005 is released. Current response rate on all sample is 66%. Some lines are still on hold while being shared with CDS. Completion without counting those lines is higher -- around 72%.

As part of Covid adaptations TAS SSL staff has moved to work remotely. SSL transition was extremely smooth. We were able to retain all 19 interviewers in some capacity. Some respondent materials have been modified to include a note about Covid adaptations.

TAS is considering a few non-response adaptations to meet the goal on time: a) welcome to / welcome back +\$30 incentive boost for new / returning TAS respondents. b) additional letters to respondents and to family members of TAS respondents and c) hiring experienced decentralized field staff to work on assigned sample.

Data collection / Sample:

As of 2/10 all TAS 2019 sample was released totaling n = 3005. As of 3/24 we have completed 1963 interviews.

Staffing:

19 SSL interviewers (including TLs)

Technical system:

SSL reported shift cancellations due to issues with MSMS. SSL continues to report having to do excessive number of sync resets.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2020

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 594,553.33

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,055,759.65

 Total Budget:
 1,206,654.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 150,894.35

Reason For Variance: Lower management cost, lower indirect charges.

Projections Mar 31, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:132,678.47Actual Dollars Used:105,264.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):27,414.47

Reason For Variance: Lower management cost and lower indirect charges.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1963	.6		
Goal at Completion:	2675	.89		
Current actual:	1963	.58		
Estimate at Complete: Variance:	2675	.89		

Project Name Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection (VCT)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 241,958.00 InDirect Budget: 135,497.00 Total Budget: 377,455.00

Principal Dr. Frederick G. Conrad (University of Michigan)
Investigator/Client Dr. Michael F. Schober (The New School)

Funding Agency

National Science Foundation

IRB HUM#: HUM00159711 Period Of Approval: Exempt

Project TeamProject Lead:Andrew L HuppBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Pooja Varma-LaughlinSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

This research project will examine data quality, participation, respondent experience, and costs in two promising but not yet widely deployed survey modes that use off-the-shelf video technology and are less costly than face to face (FTF) interviews: video-mediated (VM) interviews (live two-way communication via platforms like Skype) and video self-administered (VS) interviews, in which video-recorded interviewers ask the questions and respondents answer by typing or clicking. This project will compare these measures of data quality and costs in VM and VS interviews carried out by the same professional interviewers and in conventional online (textual) self-administered questionnaires, asking the same survey questions to members of a representative sample who are randomly assigned to one of these three modes. Because VM is synchronous and "live" like face-to-face (FTF) interviewing, and VS is asynchronous and recorded but still projects a human face, the project's comparisons will provide new insights regarding how these decomposable aspects of human contact affect behavior and experience in surveys.

The project's results will reveal the extent to which, and for whom, less costly interaction (live but remote vs. recorded) with an interviewer promotes engagement and data quality comparable to what is found in similar FTF interviews. More generally, the findings will address when and in what ways modern communication modes that reduce social presence and are less personal might be equal to or even more effective than FTF interaction. Findings from this project will provide valuable information relevant to the future of survey measurement and will be of interest to survey researchers in the Federal statistical system and other survey organizations.

Even as survey data continue to be central to public policy and decision-making, survey measurement is challenged by declining response rates, increasing costs, declining trust in survey organizations, and rapidly changing communication habits among the public. Understanding how video technologies could fit into the future of survey data collection is important both because it may meet potential respondents "where they live" and because it may provide a significantly lower cost alternative to FTF interviewing. There is even the potential to reach some members of the public whose location makes FTF interviewing difficult or expensive, but who may well be able to participate in a video interview (e.g., people who live in remote rural areas or members of the military deployed overseas). In comparing data quality across these three survey modes, the project will quantify participation rates, connectivity problems, respondent compliance with the video interviewing protocol, conscientious responding (giving precise answers to numerical questions, thoughtfully differentiating answers), and disclosure of sensitive information. The project will measure the potential impact of individual interviewers, feelings of engagement with the interview, rapport with the interviewer, and respondent satisfaction. The project also will allow assessment of data collection costs across these modes. Access to and use of video technologies are not universal, and even among those with access some are willing to engage in video interaction while others are reluctant. The project will begin to address whether and how the effects of video technologies on survey data collection differ for participants with different levels of prior experience and preference for using the technologies.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2018 - 06/2020 08/2019 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start: 10/29/2019
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain End:
DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:**

Kallan Larsen - PSM MS Student Ai Rene Ong - PSM PhD Student Tianheao Wang - PSM MS Student

Kevin Jensen (TSG) - portal/Blaise programming

Shanti Suresh, Matt Hanger, & Laura Yoder (TSG) - data management

Kyle Goodman - MSMS set-up programming

Jim Rodgers - MSMS consultation

Video Communication Technologies

Brady West - Research Associate Professor, Survey Research Center

Other Project

Data Col Tool

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

MSMS Blaise 5

Hardware Desktop; Other (Webcam, USB headset)

DE Software QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes. R

Administration SRO Group; Other (CloudResearch (TurkPrime))

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (ABS=\$2 giftcode -> prepaid; \$20/\$22 giftcode -> post) Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (TurkPrime (now CloudResearch)) **Payment Method**

Report Period

Feb, 2020 (VCT)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

In February we continued to use umhealthresearch.org (MiCHR) to recruit participants. They continued to show at a much higher rate (74% completed v. 28%). We were able to fill most of the higher than high school education quotas using them. It seems they have less high school or less in their pool. We were able to get 210 VM interviews with MiCHR. In March we will return to recruiting via CloudResearch to see if we can get the 80 or so remaining VM interviews. The team worked through the plans to relaunch VS and TS using MiCHR as the recruitment source for additional interviews. That will be implemented in March.

Kallan and Andrew continued to enter the appointments scheduled by recruits into MSMS. They also enter the payment information for the system to issue the giftcodes. Kallan and Andrew also worked on preregistration documentation with the research team. The team is pre-registering the project via OSF.

ITS is prepared to deliver the final Blue Jeans dataset when data collection has concluded. We will notify them when we have finished. We have also projected their costs to the appropriate line, now that we know how they come through (we received their "bill" for the preliminary work.

Neil has been delivering weekly data sets to help us with the quota and interviewer balancing (since we are no longer randomly assigning recruits to interviewers). The data management time has gone down since the change.

Andrew and Kallan met with Dean to update cost projections. Overall we are projecting a small surplus. Most time is currently being charged against the MiCDA funding. Those funds need to be spent by the end of June. We cannot do a no cost time extension with those funds (we can with the NSF funds).

We have more interviews than the original target. This is due to over filling some of the quota groups (across all three modes). We have approximately 80 VM interviews that we need to complete to meet our original target.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Mar 31, 2020 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 297,837.45 376,130.58

Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 377,455.00 871.42

Reason For Variance:

VM costs are on target. Interviewing time (and other associated costs, like ProdMgr) are projected on the MiCDA funds. There is currently a slight

projected overrun of \$786.05. We are currently comfortable with this since we need to spend these funds by 06/30/2020. There is a projected similar surplus on the NSF budget. We have currently spent \$20,137.06 of the

\$54,207.00.

Projections Mar 31, 2020

7,270.77 **Dollars Projected For Month:** 6.003.96 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 1,266.81

Reason For Variance:

Data management on the main account took less effort than expected.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
855	20%		
855			
925	12.5%		
	855 855	855 20% 855	855 20% 855

Project Name Women's Health Study (WHS)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 25,412.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 25,412.00

PrincipalWilliam G. Axinn (SRC-SPE)Investigator/ClientBrady T. West (SRC-PSM)

Funding Agency

PI Discretionary Funds

IRB HUM#:

#: HUM00171241 Period Of Approval: Exempt

Project Team Project Lead: Andrew L Hupp

Budget Analyst: Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Gregg Peterson

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

This feasibility pilot study will ask women ages 18-49, living in the United States, to complete a short web survey on women's health issues and fertility. The goal of the pilot is to test the presentation of a web-based event history calendar. An event history calendar is an approach that is designed to collect retrospective reports of events and the timing of their occurrences for reference periods that can range from several months to an entire life course. The primary aim of the event history calendar approach is to maximize the accuracy of autobiographical recall. The pilot study aims to collect 300 responses using an online panel vendor.

A targeted U.S. sample of approximately 300 cases will be invited to participate in an online (web, using Blaise) survey. Upon completion of the survey, the participant will receive compensation in the amount agreed upon with the platform through which they entered the survey. This is usually a modest amount and is determined by the platform.

An email invitation is sent to a targeted (based on demographics) group. The email contains a URL to a site maintained at the U-M. The first few survey questions determine if the participant is eligible (based on demographics). If eligible, they progress through the rest of the survey. If a person does not finish, there is no follow up; we do not collect contact information. A new participant is recruited instead

CloudResearch appends an ID to a generic survey URL provided by U-M. When a participant clicks the URL it passes the ID to the U-M (Blaise) survey. At the conclusion of the survey (either due to ineligibility or completion) the participant and ID are redirected (sent back) to CloudResearch. The survey data only resides at U-M. CloudResearch only has the URL and the ID they have assigned, no survey data. Each time the link is accessed a new record is created, so if anyone tried accessing the link they would never see any previous responses.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2019 - 12/2019 11/2019 - 02/2020

NA

PreProduction Start:09/01/2019Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:

DC Start: 11/04/2019 **DC End:** 02/10/2020

Other Project Team Members: Blaise Programmer - Colette Keyser Data Manager - Jonathon Harrison Consultant - Nicole Kirgis Budget Analyst - Nancy Oeffner Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware NA

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (Determined by online platform)

Administration SRO Group; Other (CloudResearch (TurkPrime))

Payment Type Other (Determined by online platform)

Payment Method Other (Determined by online platform, varies, could be points, \$, etc.)

Report Period Feb, 2020 (WHS) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update In February the PIs authorized asked how much an additional 1,000 would cost. CloudResearch provided a quote of

\$5,500 dollars. The PIs approved the additional costs for the project. 1,058 additional interviews were obtained in

February. Two datasets (survey and paradata) were delivered to the research team.

The CloudResearch invoice was paid at the end of February. There may be minimal data manager time the needs to

hit.

Special Issues

Cost Mar 31, 2020

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):20,065.92Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):20,065.92Total Budget:25,412.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 346.08

Reason For Variance: It took slightly less effort than planned.

Projections

Mar 31, 2020

Dollars Projected For Month:5,500.00Actual Dollars Used:5,500.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: Since we are using PI discretionary funds, this project is not in CRS. The

dollars projected are the dollars spent.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1,300	NA	NA	
Goal at Completion:	1,300	NA	NA	
Current actual:	1,461	NA	NA	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				