Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

July 2015



Sponsored Projects

(A-STARRS LS) Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (BAM2) Becoming A Man 2

(Biobanks) Donors' Moral Concerns About Biobanks: National Survey and Public Deliberation

(HRS 2016) Health and Retirement Study

(CAMS 2015) HRS 2015 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(CogVal) HRS Cognitive Diagnosis Validation Study

(HRS Screening Initiatives) HRS Screening Initiatives

(Forgiveness2015) Humility, Forgiveness and Social Relations: Ethnic & Racial Comparison

(MTTS) Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study

(MTF-WPSP Year 2) Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot

(NSFG 2010-2020) National Survey of Family Growth

(AHRB) Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior

(YRS) Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department

(PSID-CE (aka FES-CE)) Panel Study of Income Dynamics Childhood Experiences Web/Mail Project (TA15) PSID Transition to Adulthood Study 2015

(SRS W3) Social Relations, Aging and Health: Competing Theories and Emerging Complexities, Wave 3 (SCA 2015) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(SCIP-2015) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2015

(CDS 2014) Transitions from Preschool through High School: Family, Schools and Neighborhoods

Project Name Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (A-STARRS LS)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 5,696,399.00 InDirect Budget: 3,133,016.00 Total Budget: 8,829,415.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency Department of Defense

IRB HUM#: HUM00099203 Period Of Approval: Not submitted Yet

Project Team Project Lead: Nancy J Gebler
Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Puth R Philippop

Production Manager:Ruth B PhilippouSenior Project Advisor:Mary P MaherProduction Manager:Margaret Lee Hudson

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in

Servicemembers). For STARRS LS, we will attempt to reinterview all respondents form the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS) and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multi mode study. Each of the approximately 70,000 eligible respondents will be invited to participate once every two years. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS LS will continue to maintain and support the Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as de-identified historical administrative data received from the Army and Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, STARRS LS will continue to receive and link de-identified administrative data to the survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS LS surveys). These data will also

be made available in the Research Data Enclave.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 02/2015 - 11/2019 10/2015 - 11/2019

NA

PreProduction Start: 02/01/2015 Pretest Start: 10/01/2015

Pretest End: 01/31/2016 Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 04/01/2016 **DC End:** 08/30/2019

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS
Data Col Tool Blaise 5
Hardware Desktop
DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive Yes. R

centive Yes, R

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$20-\$50)

Payment Method NA

Report Period July, 2015 (A-STARRS LS) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update

This report provides a summary of the July activities of the Michigan team for the STARRS LS project, as well as our monthly expenses for June 2015 and estimated cost to complete for Year 1 of the project. The cost estimates are not commitments to our final cost or scope, but are intended for planning purposes to give the Principal Investigators our

current and best estimates of Michigan activities and costs through November 2015.

BLUF:

	Preparation continues for the October pilot. We are in the process of adding a non-response component in
Jan	uary, and the start of main data collection has been moved to April 2016.
	IRB protocol will be reviewed July 30.
	Costs are increasing as we ramp up pre-production activities. We are projecting a 9% over-run for Year 1 due to
co	pe increase. We are monitoring this closely and will make staffing adjustments as needed to bring our costs within
oud	get by the end of Year 1.
	New budget (incorporating scope additions) is in process.
	We have begun preparing to migrate our eRoom files to a new online file sharing system.
	Data loading, data export, and user support activities are ongoing for the Enclave staff.
	We continue to process requests for Flux access. Requests for background checks are still on hold, until the
e-Q	IP system is back online.

Activities for July 2015 include:

Project Planning:

- We continue to plan for the launch of our pilot in October. We are planning to add a nonresponse follow up in January 2016 for the pilot, and have moved the start of the first wave of production data collection to April.
- We are submitting a letter asking for Army (ODUSA) assistance with the safety plan.

We continue to monitor areas of risk, and work on mitigation strategies.

- We are working on procurement and programming to include a system for automated interviewing with voice recording. This will be used for the short nonresponse interviews.
- The IRB board meeting has been moved to July 30. James Wagner (UM site PI) and Margaret Hudson (project manager) will attend the meeting to answer any questions the board members may have about the pilot materials or protocols.
- We continued to meet with Harvard to discuss and adjust our data collection protocols.
- We met with the Michigan Office of General Counsel on the legal implications of recent changes in interpretations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- We have been informed that the eRoom system is being retired in the near future. We have begun reviewing
 user lists and files, and are preparing to migrate the files to a new system.

Enclave and User Support:

- We processed and loaded the last of the biomarker data files (telomere and proteomics) onto Flux and the Enclave.
- We continue to await delivery of the Genotype intensity data for NSS2 blood samples. Rutgers reports that the
 structure and size of intensity data output files are posing difficulties with the ability to parse out results for NSS2 study
 component. The Michigan team worked to develop a process that may help Rutgers accomplish this task. Thus far
 neither Rutgers nor Michigan has been able to write a program that can handle these large and complex files.
- · We requested a final blood tube inventory from Rutgers, and worked on the final report format.
- Team members were called on to prepare a variety of additional tables and flow charts as analysts work with the AAS/PPDS and SHOS-B data files.
- We continue to receive, check, and store the incoming Army/DoD quarterly administrative data files. Quarter 17 files were received in July.
- We continue to work with HJF and UCSD to provide Flux access to new users as data use agreements are signed.
- The e-QIP system has been taken down due to security concerns, so requests for background checks are on hold.

Financial Planning, Cost Monitoring

- We are continuing to work on revising our five-year budget for STARRS LS, incorporating the scope changes made this month.
- · The anticipated award from the VA will require a revised budget when the scope and timeline are finalized.
- Any additional work to create public use data release(s) will require a revised budget when the scope and timeline are finalized.

Technical Systems Development, Programming

- · We finished initial programming of the web questionnaire, and have begun testing.
- We created a telephone version of the web questionnaire which will be programmed in August.
- Optimizing the main STARRS LS questionnaire for use with mobile devices will not be ready in time for the October pilot.
- We plan to optimize the 10-minute nonresponse questionnaire for use with mobile devices.
- We received the new Blaise 5 release from Statistics Netherlands and are working with their developers on a
 design that will allow us to use the same data model for both web and telephone modes. This increases the amount
 of up-front hours needed for programming and testing, but will reduce the amount of data management needed for
 post processing and analysis.
- · SRC is continues to develop additional modules in our new sample management system (MSMS) that will be

needed for STARRS LS. The project team continued work on specifying the contact and tracking protocols, as well as reports to be used for the pilot. Initial testing has begun on the MSMS modules that have been programmed.

 We plan to have all programming and basic testing completed by the end of August. September will focus on testing the integration of the Blaise and MSMS systems, to ensure that we are ready for the October launch of the pilot.

Cost Report:

Our estimate of current costs, and a preliminary cost-to-complete projection for Year 1 is shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1: STARRS LS Cost Report for June 2015

Component Data Collection + Project Management Enclave and

User Support STARRS LS Total Project

Year 1 Budget* \$824,120 \$245,783 \$1,069,903 Year 1 Costs through May 2015 \$81,413 \$0 \$81,413 Costs for Current Month (June 2015) \$26,915 \$0 \$26,915

Costs to Date \$108,328 \$0 108,328

Year 1 Projections (July-Nov 2015) \$789,414 \$267,842 \$1,057,256 Total Year 1 Projected Cost \$897,414 \$268,842 \$1,166,256

Variance (Budget-Total) -\$73,622 -\$22,059 -\$95,681 Variance last month \$-71,611 \$-12,617 -\$84,228

Cost Explanation:

Our costs for June 2015 continued to be modest, reflecting the small number of staff working on STARRS LS, and the fact that Enclave costs were covered by Year 6 funds. Our costs will continue increase in the coming months, as we ramp up our activities in preparation for the start of the October pilot study. Costs for the Enclave and user support are moved to the STARRS LS account starting in July.

We are showing an over-run of \$95,681 (8.9%) for Year 1. This is an increase of \$11,453 from last month (\$2,011 from the main data collection and \$9,452 for the enclave). The \$71,611 total cost over-run in the management/data collection component is due to new scope transferred from Harvard and USUHS (increase in the number of interviewer hours for the pilot, and respondent incentives), and additional planning needed as we continue to adjust our data collection protocols. The projected over-run for the Enclave grew to \$22,059 last month, and we are monitoring this closely. We have had quite a few requests related to year 6 activities (including processing and transferring biomarker data; updating AAS/PPDS and SHOS-B summary sample tables and response rate flow charts; as well as working with Rutgers to process the GWAS data for Emory). If necessary, we will reduce staff hours to bring our Enclave costs back within budget by the end of Year 1, and work with the research team to prioritize the tasks in our queue.

We are working on revising our cost estimates, and will include the new budget figures in these tables as soon as they have been finalized and approved.

Special Issues

Areas of Risk, Mitigation Strategies:

We continue to track several areas of risk, and develop mitigation strategies.

- Locating respondents, and response rate. Our contact information (particularly for AAS and NSS) is limited and outdated. The Army address updates are helpful, but they provide only military addresses. Harvard has requested approval for the Army to include home address, telephone number, and personal email information with the quarterly address updates. If approved, the added information will be a great help.
- In addition, we know from PPDS Time 3 and other studies that contact rates are declining, with more individuals choosing to not answer phone calls. We are working to set up our calling and locating protocols (including using email, text message and letting contact persons know we are trying to reach the participants) to maximize our contact and completion rates. We are also asking Harvard to identify cases with the greatest analytic interest, so we can focus our location activities on high priority cases.
- New interpretation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). The new interpretation of the TCPA includes the requirement of express consent from respondents before using automated calling or text messaging to mobile devices. We believe our telephone calling protocols are within the legal guidelines, as our interviewers manually enter the telephone number for each call. The text message software that we use is considered automated. We believe our consent language is sufficiently specific that it will allow us to use text messages for STARRS LS. We are compiling our study introduction and consent language and will submit it for administrative and legal review. We will also add language in our next IRB modification to increase the specificity of our request for updated contact information.
- New technical systems. We still have a substantial amount of development and testing left to do before we launch the pilot. We are monitoring progress, and working with the technical teams to prioritize the development to ensure that the most important pieces are finished first. It is likely that we will use a "beta" version for the pilot, with development continuing to get us ready for an April 2016 production launch.
- Questionnaire length. The questionnaire is very lengthy. This will result in additional programming and testing time, and also may increase the number of partial interviews as respondents start but do not finish the interview. We will monitor this during the pilot and work with Harvard to implement adjustments as necessary.

^{*}February 2015 budget. Budget revisions are in process to incorporate added scope.

Cost Jul 15, 2015

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 108,328.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 8,925,096.00

 Total Budget:
 8,829,415.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -95,681.00

Reason For Variance:

We have added data collection scope that has not yet been budgeted. We are also projecting an overrun in the enclave component of this budget, due to scope creep (that has not been approved or funded). We are monitoring closely and will adjust as necessary to bring variance down closer to zero.

Projections Jul 15, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:45,639.00Actual Dollars Used:26,915.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):18,724.00

Reason For Variance: Many of our June costs were included in the Army STARRS Year 6 budget.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Becoming A Man 2 (BAM2)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,210,708.00 InDirect Budget: 671,522.00 Total Budget: 1,882,230.00

Principal Jens Ludwig (University of Chicago)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency NIH

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Sarah Crane

Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek

Production Manager:Barbara Aghababian-HomburgSenior Project Advisor:Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager: Hongyu Johnson **Production Manager:**

Proposal #: no data

Description: The purpose of this study is to complete in-person interviews with approximately 1200 male students aged 12-18

from 21 pre-selected Chicago Public Schools.

SRO Project Period
Data Col Period
Security Plan

Milestone Dates

06/2015 - 05/2016 11/2015 - 04/2016

Yes

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SGIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Jeff Smith SurveyTrak

Team Members: Holly Ackerman WebTrak/WebLog/reports

Jim Hagerman CAI

Minako Edgar Data Manager

Shaowei Sun SRIS

Other Project

Remediating Academic and Non-Academic Skills Deficit Among Disadvantaged Youth

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other (SRIS)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Other (PAPI math assessment)

Hardware Laptop; Paper and Pencil; Other (barcode scanners)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA; Other (post collection transcription from audio files)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI; Camtasia

Incentive

Yes, R

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$50.00); Other (Cash, prenotification letter)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period July, 2015 (BAM2) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update No update information provided on July activities.

Special Issues

Cost Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00 Aug 31, 2015 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 1,882,230.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00 Reason For Variance: **Projections** Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Aug 31, 2015 0.00 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00 Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Donors' Moral Concerns About Biobanks: National Survey and Public Deliberation

Project Mode (Biobanks)
Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 4

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 115,017.00 InDirect Budget: 63,834.00 Total Budget: 178,851.00

Principal Raymond De Vries (University of Michigan)
Investigator/Client Tom Tomlinson (Michigan State University)

Funding Agency

National Institute of Health

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:Lisa J CarnBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Lisa J Carn

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Lisa J Carn

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description:The purpose of this IRB exempt project is to explore public attitudes toward non- welfare interests in biobank research, especially around issues of consent. Eligibility requires agreement (from an adult at least 21-years-old)

research, especially around issues of consent. Eligibility requires agreement (from an adult at least 21-years-old) to attend an all-day democratic deliberation (DD) forum (if selected) plus the completion of three surveys. A packet will be mailed to residents of this ABS pool – drawn from households within a 50-60 mile radius of the forum

locations in Ann Arbor and Lansing.

Respondents will express interest by submitting their contact information through an Illume application or by mailing back a response card. The SSL will follow up with phone contact using a Blaise screener to confirm eligibility, ask some basic (primarily demographic) questions, and address any respondent questions. The SSL will deliver data for all confirmed respondents to project staff, who will resume responsibility for all subsequent respondent contact (survey-sending&reminding, random assignment of respondent group, incentive-sending).

A pilot will take place in January-February to test current assumptions and to further refine overall design - for recruitment purposes, as well as for project team administration of the democratic deliberation event.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

09/2013 - 06/2016 01/2015 - 12/2015

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 10/05/2014
 Pretest Start:
 01/05/2015

 Pretest End:
 02/23/2015
 Recruitment Start:
 12/03/2014

 Staffing Completed:
 12/03/2014
 GIT Start:
 01/05/2015

 SS Train Start:
 12/15/2014
 SS Train End:
 12/20/2014

 DC Start:
 01/05/2015
 DC End:
 10/15/2015

Other Project Team Members: Dean Stevens, Budget Analyst Dave Dybicki, Blaise Programmer Jas Sokhal, Illume Design Qi Zhu, Data Manager Paul Burton, Sampling Paul Schulz, Sampling Dan Zahs, Sampling

Becky Loomis, Production Assistant

Other Project

Names:

Biobanks

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS

Data Col Tool

Blaise 4.8; Illume

Hardware NA
DE Software Illume
QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Yes, R

Administration UM Group (Medical School, Center for Bioethics and Medicine Science)

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Payment Type Check, post (\$120, \$30)

Payment Method N/A

Report Period	July, 2015 (Biobanks)	Project Phase	Initiation
Risk Level	Not Rated		
Monthly Update	No update information provided on July a	activities.	
Special Issues			
Cost	Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):		0.00
Aug 31, 2015	Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):		0.00
	Total Budget:		178,851.00
	Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):		0.00
	Reason For Variance:		
Projections	Dollars Projected For Month:		0.00
Aug 31, 2015	Actual Dollars Used:		0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

0.00

Project Name Health and Retirement Study (HRS 2016)

Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

8,548,154.00 Total Budget: 32,293,028.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 23,744,874.00 InDirect Budget:

Principal David Weir (SRC)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

NIA

Ken Langa (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 1/15/2015 - 1/14/201

Nicole G Kirgis **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Stephanie Sullivan Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager: Piotr Dworak

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

> The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. In 2016, the late baby boomer cohort will be added. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security

Administration records and Veterans Administration (VA) records is requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

04/2015 - 06/2017 02/2016 - 04/2017 NA

Security Plan

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2015 Pretest Start: 10/16/2015 Pretest End: 11/07/2015 Recruitment Start: 06/01/2015

GIT Start: 02/11/2016 Staffing Completed: 12/31/2015 SS Train Start: 02/13/2016 SS Train End: 04/24/2016 DC Start: 02/21/2016 DC End: 04/01/2017

Other Project **Team Members:**

Rebecca Gatward (Survey Director), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordinator), Frost Hubbard (New Cohort), Jennifer Kelley (Respondent Contact Coordinator), Jaime Koopman (Project Manager), Russ Stark (SSL Production Manager), Ian Ogden (Project Assistant), Heather Rejto (Project Assistant), Lisa deRamos (Project

Assistant)

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Laptop Hardware **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** DRI-CXM Incentive Yes. R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period July, 2015 (HRS 2016) **Project Phase** Initiation

Risk Level On Track

Panel: During the month of July, we continued with pre-production activities including field staff recruitment, **Monthly Update** respondent materials development, specifications and programming of technical systems, and training material

preparation. In addition, whole blood draw planning meetings began as this effort will impact both panel and HCAP.

New Cohort: Sampling released count of lines by PSU, mapping continues. Listing project scheduled for September.

Technical development as specified below moving on target for the Gamma test (following Alpha and Beta) in later August. Finalizing contact protocols and pre-contact materials for the new cohort screening and baseline interview.

Technical Development:

The team is working towards a second field test of the touch screen screener instrument and SurveyTrak starting around 24th August.

The HRS-SRC Blaise programming team continues to work on changes to the Main interview. We will receive a datamodel which includes the majority of changes expected for 2016 on 20th August.

- Work continues on the following key developments for HRS 2016 ...
- Whole Blood Draw flow of data between SRO (HRS and HCAP) and Hooper Holmes (Phlebotomists)
- Touch screen Screening instrument
- Optimising ST for touch screen data entry
- Operationalising eligibility criteria and logic in ST and Blaise screener
- Systematic collection of Respondent interactions and outcomes
- Move from the update database to a 'Living Address Database'
- Verifications in MSMS

No current TSG resource issues.

Special Issues

Cost

Jun 30, 2015

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 399,516.87

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 30,869,337.99

 Total Budget:
 32,293,028.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 1,423,690.10

Reason For Variance: Projection refinements continue for both Panel and New Cohort.

Projections Jun 30, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:239,601.98Actual Dollars Used:194,328.10Variance (Projected minus Actual):45,273.88

Reason For Variance: Actual salary came in lower than projected salary for the month of June,

mostly due to staff vacation time.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS 2015 Consumption and Activity Mail Study (CAMS 2015)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 305,700.00 InDirect Budget: 110,052.00 Total Budget: 415,752.00

Principal David Weir (SRC)
Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

Funding Agency

National Institute on Aging (NIA)

IRB HUM#:

HUM00079949 *Period Of Approval:* 8/28/2015-8/27/2015

Project Team Project Lead:
Budget Analyst:

Jennifer C Arrieta

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Richard Warren Krause

Mary P Maher

Production Manager:
Production Manager:

no data

Proposal #: Description:

CAMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of CAMS is to gather additional data on household consumption and activities of daily living from participants in the HRS. In 2015, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 8,784 respondents of which 6,000 will receive the full questionnaire and 2,784 spouse/partners will receive a brief questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

06/2015 - 02/2016 09/2015 - 01/2016

Yes

_ .

PreProduction Start: 06/01/2015 Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 09/16/2015 **DC End**: 01/31/2016

Other Project Team Members:

Data Manager: Joel Devonshire Project Assistant: Jeannie Baker Programmer: Holly Ackerman Assembly Coordinator: Vicki Wagner

Other Project

CAMS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool

SAQ

Hardware

Paper and Pencil

DE Software

Other (HRS study staff is responsible for data entry)

QC Recording Tool

N/A

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, Other (spouse)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type

Check, prepaid (\$25 to main R and \$10 to spouse R)

Payment Method

Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

July, 2015 (CAMS 2015)

Project Phase

Planning

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

During the month of July, questionnaire changes were implemented and translated, technical specs were updated,

weblog programming started, material procurement began, and the ammendment was submitted to IRB.

Special Issues

Large sample size presents a challenge for finding space and staff resources for assembly and logging.

Cost Jun 30, 2015

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 5,891.09
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 412,596.90
Total Budget: 415,752.00

Total Budget:415,752.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):3,155.10

Reason For Variance: Based on initial projections entered into CRS using CAMS 2013 as a model.

Projecting to be over in salary but under in non-salary.

Projections Jun 30, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:7,381.72Actual Dollars Used:5,891.09Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,490.63

Reason For Variance: 23 hours less than projected were worked in month of June.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	6,149	70%		
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS Cognitive Diagnosis Validation Study (CogVal)

Primary: Face to Face **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: Total Budget: 455,127.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 334,652.00 120,475.00

Principal David Weir (ISR) Investigator/Client

Mary Beth Ofstedal (ISR)

Ken Langa (ISR)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Kathleen S Ladronka

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: For this project a sample of 60 main subjects and 60 family informants of those main subjects will be interviewed in person. The goal will be to complete interviews with 12 main sample members who have normal cognitive function (as determined by Michigan Alzheimer's Disease Center [MADC] information), 24 with mild cognitive impairment, and 24 with dementia, as well as to interview a family informant of each of the main sample members. SRO will administer a one-hour cognitive assessment to the main subjects and a 15 minute proxy assessment to the family

informants. Both of those interview types will be completed with a Blaise instrument. In addition, SRO will obtain feedback from respondents regarding their experiences with the assessments via a brief paper and pencil interview.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

01/2014 - 06/2014 09/2014 - 11/2014

No

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project **Team Members:**

The team will be comprised of a survey director, production manager, six field interviewers, a Blaise programmer, help desk supervisor, help desk specialist, application programming supervisor, data ops research associate, office assistant, and a SPA.

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; Paper and Pencil

DE Software N/A QC Recording Tool N/A

Yes, R; Yes, INF Incentive Administration **SRO Group** Payment Type Cash, post

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)

Initiation Report Period July, 2015 (CogVal) **Project Phase**

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update In late June we trained 13 interviewers--10 for the Cog USA sample and 3 for the Seattle ACT sample. The training

was 2 days long for the Seattle and 2.5 for the Cog USA interviewers.

Prenotification letters were mailed on June 23rd and completed the first interview on July 1. s of July 31 we completed 55 Respondent interviews and have 10 appointments through August 21. The debriefing is scheduled for August 20th.

Interviewing in Seattle did not start until July 29 due to delays with IRB (ceding of control issue). The first batch of

sample was delivered on July 27 and included 14 cases (Mild Impairment diagnosis). More batches will be delivered on a weekly basis until we receive enough sample to complete 60 interviews. The goal is to complete interviews with respondents who have the following diagnosis:s 12 Normal, 24 Mild Impairment, and 24 Dementia.

Special Issues

Cost

Jul 17, 2015 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 322,877.05

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 450,423.88

Total Budget: 455,127.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 4,703.12

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month:

10.00

Jul 17, 2015

Actual Pollars Month:

Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS Screening Initiatives (HRS Screening Initiatives)

Primary: Face to Face **Project Mode** Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 3

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 512,452.00 InDirect Budget: 184,484.00 696,936.00

David Weir (UM Survey Research Center) Principal

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (UM Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Frost Alexander Hubbard **Project Team** Project Lead: Richard Warren Krause **Budget Analyst:**

Production Manager: Theresa Camelo Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis Production Manager: Kyle Steven Kwaiser

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The purpose of the HRS Screening Initiative is to come up with a concrete plan for making the sample design and operational screening methods more cost efficient than what was done for HRS 2010-11. In addition, since the funding for the sampling work for HRS 2016 new cohort screening will not be received by the ISR until January 2015, the production sampling work of determining the number of PSUs and segments to select, creating the PSU

sampling frame, and selecting PSUs, were all done under this budget.

The following were all conducted under this project's budget in order to design the optimal 2016 screening methods:

(1) A detailed analysis of the HRS 2010-11 screening results

(2) an experiment to examine the household rostering method which provides the best balance between high coverage and response rates and lowest cost (i.e. interviewer attempts)

(3) a tracking experiment to determine the most cost effective method(s) for determining the current address of the LBB birth cohort members identified during the 2010.

(4) developing a 2016 sample design which was submitted as part of the proposal sent to NIA for sending for the 2016 new birth cohort screening.

Note: After a 9/18/2013 meeting with the HRS PIs, we found out that due to the sequestration, funding for this initiative had been cut. We told the HRS PIs that we would keep the budget reined in. However, the PI's did not specify the amount to which the budget should be limited

In terms of presenting results regarding the HRS 2010-11 screening, from August through November 2013, we conducted in-depth analyses of the HRS 2010-2011 screening and sample design for David Weir to present to the HRS Data Monitoring Committee in September 2012 and for Richard Valliant to present to the Committee on National Statistics on November 19, 2012. Both of these presentations generated many ideas for making the HRS sampling and screening methods more efficient.

Since the both the Cycle 7 and 2011-2019 National Survey of Family Growth's (NSFG) screening cooperation rates have been consistently higher than what HRS achieved in 2010-11, as of April 2013 we are in the process of adapting the NSFG screening techniques for the planned August-November 2013 screening experiment to improve the efficiency of field screening. The use of external information will include the acquisition of commercial lists of households which contain demographic information that may be used in screening, investigation of the availability and the feasibility of the use of motor vehicle records, and contacts with the Health Maintenance Organization Research Network (HMORN) to determine whether membership lists can be used in some states to facilitate screening. Note that as of April 2013, we have determined that using the HMORN is not feasible for HRS 2016 screening because the HMORN will not give us a list of their members. Instead, the HMORN would send a letter to their members asking if they would like to opt-in to the study.

Address lists will be compiled utilizing information from external databases such as MSG and Aristotle. The DMV data was too difficult to obtain for states other than Michigan and the Valassis data did not have commercial data at the address level. Three PSUs and 3 segments per PSU were selected to reflect geographic and demographic variations. Experienced interviewers were be hired and trained for the screening experiment during August 2013. Each interviewer completed screening interviews in at least one segment.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

09/2012 - 12/2015 08/2013 - 10/2015

Yes

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2013 Pretest Start: Recruitment Start: Pretest End: Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 08/20/2013 SS Train End: 08/21/2013 DC Start: 08/22/2013 DC End: 11/03/2013

Other Project **Team Members:** Frost Hubbard, Heidi Guyer, Wen Chang, Nicole Kirgis, Piotr Dworak, Richard Valliant, Sunghee Lee, Theresa Camelo, Daniel Tomlin, Joel Devonshire, Emily Blascyzk, Marsha Skoman, Holly Ackerman, Deb Wilson, Heather Reijto, Jamie Koopman, Rick Krause, Daniel Guzman, Paul Burton, Kyle Kwaiser, Ann Vernier, Heather Reijto,

Jeannie Baker

All included under this initiative: Other Project

LBB Mail Survey, LBB Tracking, HRS Screening Experiment Names: Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other (Weblog for LBB/EGENX mailings)

Data Col Tool

Hardware Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software NA

QC Recording Tool Other (None used)

Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Cash, prepaid (\$10 mailed to half of the LBB Mail Survey cases.) **Payment Type**

Payment Method

Report Period

July, 2015 (HRS Screening Initiatives)

Project Phase

Initiation

0.00

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update No update information provided on July activities.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Aug 31, 2015

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 696,936.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Aug 31, 2015 Actual Dollars Used: 0.00

Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

RR HPI **Units Complete**

Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Humility, Forgiveness and Social Relations: Ethnic & Racial Comparison (Forgiveness2015)

Primary: Telephone **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Total Budget: 512,676.00 **Budget** Direct Budget: 445,806.00 InDirect Budget: 66,870.00

Toni Antonucci (Life Course Development Program - SRC) Principal Investigator/Client Kristine Ajrouch (Life Course Development Program - SRC)

Kira Birditt & Noah Webster (Life Course Development Program - SRC)

Funding Agency Templeton Foundation

IRB

HUM#: HUM00099310 Period Of Approval: thru 3/3/2016

Cheryl Wiese **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Jody Dougherty Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: Humility and forgiveness represent two key temperance virtues that have significant implications for well-being on an individual and societal level. Both can inform individuals' understanding of their own lives, how they interpret the actions of others, and their willingness to work for a better society. Each signify personal characteristics, yet develop through social relationships. We propose that social networks are centrally important for character development in that they constitute the circle of significant others through which efficacy emerges, support is received and overall trust is generated. We argue these may work as key pathways through which social networks lead to the development of humility and forgiveness, and ultimately well-being. Yet, there is little population-level empirical data exploring the direct and indirect ways in which social networks influence the character virtues of

humility and forgiveness and whether this process varies by ethnicity or race.

We propose an innovative approach to survey racially and ethnically diverse adults from the Detroit metropolitan area. The method includes an experimental component that will test hypothesized pathways through which individuals acquire the virtues of humility and forgiveness as well as a dyadic component. Expected outputs include a data archive, scientific presentations and publications, as well as media and practitioner outreach. Anticipated outcomes include creating a new focus within social relations research that links to character development and well-being across the life span. One of our goals is to create a focus on the virtues of humility and forgiveness in media discourse. We also hope to stimulate practice and program initiatives that enhance character development through social relations. Finally, we envision this work being expanded internationally in an effort to foster humility, forgiveness and peace world-wide.

The present proposal builds upon recent scientific developments in the field of social relations, and benefits from ongoing advances in the areas of humility and forgiveness. We target humility and forgiveness because they are uniquely associated with positive group relations as

well as better health and well-being. We hypothesize that humility and forgiveness are essential not only for interactions between social partners, but extend to interactions between ethnic and racial groups.

We propose to examine humility and forgiveness among three groups prominent in the metro-Detroit area: Black Americans (300), Arab Americans (300), and Non-Hispanic White Americans (300) using survey and experimental data to address the following questions:

- 1) How do social networks influence the virtues of humility and forgiveness?
- 2) Do social networks influence well-being via humility and forgiveness?
- 3) Do links among social relations, humility/forgiveness and well-being vary by ethnicity/race?
- 4) Do patterns of social relations, humility, and forgiveness predict acceptance and/or discrimination between ethnic groups?

The experimental component is intended to identify causal pathways in survey findings. Inclusion of dyadic data (100 spouses within each racial group) will furthermore provide a unique opportunity for in-depth examination of relational dimensions of humility and forgiveness. Deliverables include a data archive, scientific dissemination, as well as media and practitioner outreach. We envision this work as providing important insights into how individuals develop humility and forgiveness in the context of their social relations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

04/2015 - 12/2014 07/2015 - 11/2015

Security Plan

NA

Milestone Dates

 PreProduction Start:
 05/01/2015
 Pretest Start:
 07/27/2015

 Pretest End:
 08/21/2015
 Recruitment Start:
 09/01/2015

 Staffing Completed:
 07/07/2015
 GIT Start:
 07/19/2015

 SS Train Start:
 08/31/2015
 SS Train End:
 08/31/2015

 DC Start:
 09/02/2015
 DC End:
 11/25/2015

Other Project

Project Team:

Team Members: Ruth

Ruth Philippou, Production Manager Admin Asst/Prod Asst TBD

Dan Zahs, Statistician Tech Lead TBD

Paul Schulz, Research Associate Stats

Julie de Jong, Consultant Dave Dybicki, Programmer Chrissy Evanchek, Budget Analyst Emily Blasczyk, Data Manager Jody Dougherty, Senior Project Advisor

25 interviewers, 4 TLs

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware NA
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA
Incentive NA
Administration NA

Payment Type
Payment Method

Report Period

July, 2015 (Forgiveness2015)

Project Phase

Initiation

Risk Level

Some Concerns

NA

NA

Monthly Update

Project delayed. Training originally scheduled for 7/20 has been postponed to late August (24th or 31st). We suffered attrition of 7 interviewers (from 25 down to 18) and 1 TL (to car problems, health problems, full-time employment, and general flakiness), so we are re-posting in hopes we can pick up some interviewers and team leaders coming off MTF. In lieu of pretest, we are conducting an experiment to test the effectiveness of an ABS sample, inviting those who receive letters to complete either a web survey or mail back a completed PAPI. If effective, it may resolve the issue of oversampling older whites and African Americans and result in a more cooperative pool to phone, reducing HPI. In addition, it may allow us to make fewer attempts to ineligible households (due to our goal of completing 300 interviews with each of Middle Easterners, African Americans, and Whites.

Special Issues

Training and fielding delayed by about a month (see monthly update).

Cost

Jul 31, 2015

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):23,002.82Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):512,676.00Total Budget:512,676.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month: 56,356.85
Actual Dollars Used: 16,571.77
Variance (Projected minus Actual): 39,785.08

Reason For Variance:

Data collection did not begin as originally budgeted.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1200		4	
Goal at Completion:	1200		<4	
Current actual:	0			
Estimate at Complete: Variance:	1200			

Project Name Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study (MTTS)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 792,030.00 InDirect Budget: 438,195.00 Total Budget: 1,230,225.00

Principal Heather Hill (Harvard Graduate School of Education)

Investigator/Client Patty Maher (ISR PI)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM90379 Period Of Approval: 6/25/2014-6/25/2015

Project TeamProject Lead:Barbara Lohr WardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Russell W Stark

Production Manager: Russell W Stark
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: For the last 25 years, three major goals have animated the U.S. mathematics education community: the need for

more knowledgeable teachers, more challenging curricula for students, and more ambitious instruction in classrooms. And yet despite volumes of policy guidance, on-the-ground effort and research over the past decades, few comprehensive and representative portraits of teacher and teaching quality in U.S. mathematics classrooms exist. Instead, most research into these topics has been conducted with small samples or non-representative

samples (e.g., Kane & Staiger, 2012), with the result that it is difficult to

ascertain what, if any, progress has been made toward the three goals. To provide information on such progress, we will collect data on teacher content knowledge, curriculum use, and instruction from a nationally representative

sample of U.S. middle school

mathematics teachers. A written survey will build on a similar study conducted in 2005 – 06 (Hill, 2007), allowing for the comparison of teachers' curriculum use and content knowledge – and more specifically, their mathematical knowledge for teaching (MKT) –across time periods. An observational component will record and score videotapes of instruction, allowing for a

description of current instruction as well as a comparison of current instruction to that observed during the TIMSS video study (Heibert et al., 2005). The new video dataset will also serve as a baseline for future studies of instruction, for instance ones comparing current instruction to that in 2025, to assess whether Common Core State Standards have been met.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2014 - 06/2016 01/2015 - 12/2015

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2014 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 01/26/2015

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 03/02/2015 DC End: 03/31/2016

Other Project

Barb Ward - Lead

Team Members: Russ Stark - Production Lead

Judi Clemens, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson - District IRB

Dan Zahs, Paul Burton - Sampling Hueichun Peng - Technical Lead, SRIS

Jim Hagerman - Blaise Shaowei Sun- SRIS Laura Yoder - Data Mgt Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS; Project specific system (SRIS)

Data Col Tool SAQ; Other (video recorded on tablet)

Hardware Desktop; Tablet; Other (Tablets, Swivls, Tripods provided by research team)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA

 QC Recording Tool
 N/A

 Incentive
 NA

 Administration
 NA

Payment Type Check, post (\$50 for SAQ, \$200 video); Cash, prepaid (5)

Payment Method Check through other system (ISR Business Office); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (ISR Business

Report Period

July, 2015 (MTTS)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

During July, 2015, SRO activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

 Participated in weekly project management meetings with Barb Gilbert to discuss preparation progress, production schedule, and work scope.

Held a project review.

- Adjusted monthly projections and staffing plan based on estimated project schedule and district recruitment activity.
- Prepared June 2015 monthly report.

Task 2: Sampling

- Evaluated current status; consulted on new study design
- · Provided replacement schools as needed for district-level research applications

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

Task 4: CAI Programming

Task 5: Systems Programming

- Data Management
- o Met with project team to discuss reports for Fall production
- o Loaded alternative schools as needed
- SRIS Development
- o No activity
- Tested MKT web invite.

Task 6: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring

Task 7: Training

Task 8: Main Data Collection

- District Recruitment –Research Applications
- Prepared and submitted research applications for 6 districts.
- Responded to questions and requests for protocol updates from districts.
- o Contacted districts for status updates.
- Rostering
- o Defined protocol for roster re-verification (schools rostered in the Spring but not included in data collection cohorts).
- MKT Production
- o Reconciled imprest cash fund (pre-paid respondent incentives).
- MQI Production
- Received and logged equipment from two teachers (the teachers did not record).
- o Ordered supplies for MQI production
- o Cut foam and assembled boxes
- o Packed boxes with paired equipment. Tested equipment prior to packing.

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

Task 10: Weighting

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

Cost information: Harvard subcontract funded by the National Science Foundation

Total survey funding available: \$ 1,230,225

Total Expended as of 6/30/2015 \$ 433,816

Expected cost at complete: \$ 1,218,338

Expected Variance: \$ 11,890

Cost explanation:

The cost estimate reflects survey funding available and awarded to Michigan, current expenditures, and estimated expenses to the end of the award based on the current estimates of work scope, and without elimination of the MKT. The report does not include supplemental funding awarded for video storage and processing.

This report incorporates a modest amount of additional labor for processing research applications. The cost estimate includes the purchase of SD micro storage cards for the tablet computers (\$15,550 in total cost) and an extension of data collection to 3/31/2016. The cost estimate does not include release of an additional replicate of districts, or other work scope related to processing classroom videos submitted by teachers that will be incurred by Lesli Scott's group in EWB (budgeted and monitored separately).

The projected variance anticipates a possible underrun due to anticipated SRO work scope decreases, however there is uncertainty in these projections. There is uncertainty regarding the total effort that will be needed to recruit districts, schools and teachers. The MQI shipment protocol will be more complex due to the need to tailor shipping & contact activities by district. Teacher participation rates are not yet known. All projections are based on historical averages for similar work. These are areas that are being carefully monitored and projections will be updated as needed.

Note: the cost estimate does not incorporate the removal of the MKT work scope, which is currently being discussed.

Special Issues

Special Issue (arising late July): The project team proposed a major redesign of the study. The project team proposes to remove the MKT data collection (the paper and pencil portion) from SRO workscope in order to improve response rates to both the MKT and the video data collection. The project team has sought additional funding from NSF in order to draw another sample for the MKT and to run the paper & pencil portion as a separate study. Michigan has been asked to assist with design, sample development, sample monitoring, and final study reports and documentation for the paper & pencil data collection. The actual data collection would be conducted by Harvard.

Areas of risk:

There exists some schedule risk due to the production schedule.

• District recruitment has been both slower than had been anticipated and has required more effort than previously anticipated. These factors are delaying the launch of both MKT and MQI production. The slower pace of district recruitment will push more sample into the Fall 2015 schedule. District recruitment will extend into the Fall of 2015. We are anticipating that data collection will extend at least until March 2016.

There exists some financial risk due to work scope changes, however other work scope reductions may offset some of the risk. The project will need to be rebudgeted after final decisions on work scope have been made.

- Scope increases include a larger effort to complete research applications, addition of district recruitment replicate, launching multiple small mailings, and tailoring shipping activities by district due to district requirements. Other scope increases include the use of color printing for recruitment, increased equipment shipment costs, and printing more recruitment pages than planned. We are carefully monitoring costs and updating projections as we move through the spring data collection in order to better anticipate costs in the larger Fall 2015 production period.
- o As we gain more experience with the sample it is becoming clear that the video data collection activities will need to be tailored by district, reducing the efficiency of bulk-mailing operations. We are incorporating multiple flags and other information into the technical systems to provide directions for those assigned to prepare materials for the video data collection effort. We will be increasing the estimate for programming to accommodate these changes.
- o Rostering costs to date have been lower than projected, however we note that most districts coming on board were "early adopters" and eager to participate in the study. We are retaining the more robust estimates for rostering in the Fall, assuming a lower level of cooperation as the majority of the sample hits the field. Rostering costs will be carefully monitored as production progresses.
- o The project anticipates issuing at least two additional replicates in order to reach the target number of participating districts. This is a small increment in shipping for SRO, but may result in a more substantial increase in labor hours (not currently included in cost projections) depending upon the number of research applications that SRO is asked to process. Michigan will carefully monitor the labor necessary to complete IRB applications and will make adjustments to the level of effort and cost estimates as needed.
- Anticipated work scope decreases include elimination of the pilot, some initial district recruitment effort, and
 questionnaire printing. Teacher thank you notes and most reminder calls will be replaced with email communications.

The delay in the launch of production will impact SRO staffing projections and financial projections. Cost projections are being closely monitored and adjusted as necessary.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 433,816.00 Jul 24, 2015 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

1,218,338.00 Total Budget: 1,230,225.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 11,890.00

Some work scope decreases are leading to the lower cost estimates Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 24, 2015

0.00 **Dollars Projected For Month:** 0.00 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance: Slow production led to an underrun in most non-salary categories. Fewer

TSG hours were charged than anticipated.

Measures

HPI **Units Complete** RR **Current Goal:** Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Other Measures

Expected Vs Actual

District Participation 55% 26% School Rostering 85% 58% Teacher MKT 60% 33%

Teacher MQI 75% no participation data yet, consent rate 55% Project Name Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot (MTF-WPSP Year 2)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 226,233.00 InDirect Budget: 125,560.00 Total Budget: 351,793.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Megan Patrick (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, National Institutes of Health

IRB

00081391 **Period Of Approval:** 8/1/2012 - 4/30/2017

Project Team Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst:Christine EvanchekProduction Manager:Lloyd Fate HemingwaySenior Project Advisor:Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

ним#:

Description:

In each year of this project SRO will maintain the programmed MtF web surveys, including making up to ten changes to each programmed Web survey each year. Once tested by SRO, all programmed Web surveys will be tested by the Principal Investigator and her staff before being released. In years 1 and 2, after testing is complete, SRO will manage the Web survey data collection. In years 3 through 5, after testing is complete, the surveys will be released to the MtF staff for fielding – in years 3 through 5 SRO staff will have no involvement in the implementation of data collection. For all years after the data collections are completed, SRO will assist with the updating of the data dictionaries and other documentation.

Starting during Year 2 data collection, we will do Winter Location and Nonresponse. Calling for the web survey implementation portion of the survey. This is in addition to the normal Panel Winter Location/Nonresponse that SRO routinely handles. SRO will field the pilot survey in 2014 with forms 1, 6, and 2. MTF staff will provide a participant list and SRO will set up the participant list and provide programming production support.

Deliverables include the programmed Web Surveys, Data Dictionary, Test Dataset, Documentation of the Instruments, and Survey datasets

SRO involvement will commence in the Fall of 2012 and will continue through April of 2017.

Monitoring budget against the budget for the first two years 2012 - 2014

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 08/2012 - 08/2015 04/2014 - 08/2014

Yes

PreProduction Start:
Pretest Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SGIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Andrew Piskorowski, Aaron Pearson, Max Malhotra, Lloyd Hemingway

Other Project

MTF Web

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA

Report Period

Payment Method

July, 2015 (MTF-WPSP Year 2)

Project Phase

Initiation

Risk Level

Not Rated

NA

Monthly Update

No update information provided on July activities.

Special Issues

Cost

Aug 31, 2015

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 0.00

Total Budget:

351,793.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections Aug 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:

0.00 Actual Dollars Used: 0.00

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:

Goal at Completion:

Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** 29,713,370.00 InDirect Budget: 10,439,833.00 40,153,203.00

Principal Joyce Abma (NCHS) Investigator/Client Mick Couper (ISR)

Funding Agency

NCHS, CDC, NICHD

ним#: 0002716 Period Of Approval: 7/17/13 - 7/17/14 **IRB**

Heidi Marie Guyer **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Nancy Oeffner

> Production Manager: Theresa Camelo Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Maureen Joan O'Brien Production Manager: Production Manager: **Daniel Tomlin**

no data Proposal #:

Description: The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-44 years of age designed to provide national estimates of

factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire

data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

09/2010 - 07/2020 09/2011 - 06/2019

Yes

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2011 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 06/01/2011 Staffing Completed: 08/17/2011 GIT Start: 09/13/2011 SS Train Start: 09/15/2011 SS Train End: 09/19/2011 DC Start: 09/20/2011 DC End: 07/01/2019

Other Project Team Members: Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst, Jennifer Kelley--Project Manager

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (ODK)

QC Recording Tool

N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (babysitting fee)

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$5; \$40); Cash, post (\$40; \$60)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

July, 2015 (NSFG 2010-2020) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

On Track Risk Level

In the months of June and July, year 5 staffing plans were finalized and interviewer recruitment began. It is anticipated **Monthly Update**

that 17 current interviewers will remain on staff in year 5 and will be staffed in a PSU; 8 current interviewers will continue on the project as travelers/back-up and only work as needed; 6 SRO on-staffers new to NSFG will join the project; 20 new hires will be recruited in new year 5 PSUs. Interviewer wages will be increased in year 5 to be compatible with the contract and cycle 7 hourly wages in hopes of recruiting a more highly qualified field staff thereby reducing the HPI, reducing attrition, reducing travel, recruitment and training costs, and improving outcomes. Year 4 data collection ends on September 12. Year 5 preparations are well underway. All interviewers will attend training in September to receive their new laptop and become familiar with the new touchscreen screening instrument, changes

to the main interview and updates to other study materials and processes. A quarterly meeting was held at NCHS in July to report on Q14 activities and the overall financial and production status. The goals below represent current overall data collection as of 7/30/2015 and goals through year 4. This represents the first two public release files in this contract period.

Special Issues

The security clearance process has been a special issue in the months of June and July due to processing delays at NCHS/CDC, the eQip process being taken offline, and the OPM security breach.

Cost Jul 13, 2015

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 19,493,212.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 42,962,289.00

 Total Budget:
 40,153,203.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -2,361,081.00

Reason For Variance: A large variance is currently shown for the remaining years of the contract

(2015-2019). This is primarily due to increased data collection costs in comparison to the original budget proposed in 2010 for the ten year contract. Projections are being updated for the remaining years to try to bring this in line. NCHS has annual meetings with their funders to discuss the potential need for increased funding above the original award. Additional funding was received in prior data collection years and is

anticipated for year 5 (Sept2015-Aug2016).

Projections Jul 13, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:446,837.45Actual Dollars Used:437,985.21Variance (Projected minus Actual):-8,852.24

Reason For Variance: The 2% variance in the month of June was due to increased interviewer

hours and very high travel costs.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	19400	75%	9.0	
Goal at Completion:	20000	75%	9.0	
Current actual:	19996	71%	10.2	
Estimate at Complete:	20650	72%	10.0	
Variance:	650	3%	1.0	

Project Name

Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior (AHRB)

Project Mode

Primary: Class SAQ

Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

Budget

Direct Budget:

804,447.61

InDirect Budget: 446,468.49

Total Budget: 1,250,916.10

Principal

Investigator/Client

Daniel Keating (U-M SRC)

Funding Agency

Health and Human Services (HHS), Department of-National Institutes of Health

IRB

HUM00084650

Period Of Approval: 3/4/2015 - 3/3/2016

Project Team

Meredith A House Project Lead: Budget Analyst: **Bethany Benton** Production Manager: Kathleen S Ladronka Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

ним#:

Description:

During early adolescence systems in the brain that are characterized by heightened reactivity to motivational stimuli and rewards mature rapidly, while systems that enable more effective cognitive control and judgment mature more slowly. This "developmental maturity mismatch" has been proposed as a key contributor to health risk behavior among adolescents, which is of critical importance because: (1) risk behaviors are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in this age group, including diseases arising from unprotected sexual activity and casualties arising from reckless behavior (including driving fatalities and serious injuries); (2) it is the peak age for the onset of a wide range of risk behavior patterns with potential long-term consequences, including substance use and abuse, and delinquency. The "developmental maturity mismatch" hypothesis, however, has not been directly tested in relation to risk behavior at a level sufficient to inform this critical health area. The primary aim of the ANDH study is to understand the behavioral, cognitive, and neural bases of risk taking, through integrated analyses of age differences, developmental trajectories, and individual differences in psychosocial, neurocognitive and neural imaging assessments.

The study will involve data collection from 10th and 12th grade students (~2000 students total) in 7-8 local high schools (approximately 150 students from each age group per school), with group administration in the schools using laptops in a baseline data collection to be completed over a 3-month period in the fall of 2014. Each respondent will attend 2 ~45 minute sessions: one survey and one neurocognitive tests. After the baseline data collection, SRO will modify the survey questionnaire to operate as a web-based survey, and will administer the web survey to all 2,000 respondents in years 2, 3, and 4 of the project (in the fall of 2015, 2016 and 2017). A small number of respondents (150-160) will be sub-selected to undergo neural imaging at U-M facilities in Ann Arbor (SRO will not be directly involved in this portion of the study).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

04/2014 - 03/2018 03/2015 - 06/2015

Yes

PreProduction Start: 08/01/2014 Pretest End: 11/13/2014 Staffing Completed: 01/23/2015

Pretest Start: 11/10/2014 Recruitment Start: 02/02/2015 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 02/25/2015 **SS Train End:** 02/26/2015 DC Start: 03/02/2015 DC End: 10/31/2015

Other Project Team Members: Louis Daher, Larry Daher, Emmanuel Ellis + other help desk (private network tech team), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kyle Kwaiser (tech lead, data manager), Becky Loomis, Max Malhotra, Shaowei Sun, Laura Yoder (data management)

Other Project Adolescent Neurodevelopmental Health (ANDH) (Internal)

Names: Adolescent Health Risk Behavior Study (Public)
Sample Mgmt Sys Illume: Project specific system (SRIS)

Data Col Tool Illume; SAQ; Other (Inquisit neurocognitive task software; NC helper app)

Hardware Laptop
DE Software Other (SRIS)

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (School)

Administration SRO Group; ISR Group (Dan Keating, PNG Group)

Payment Type Check, post (Rs, \$50 year 1, \$20 years 2-4; schools, \$1000)

Payment Method Check through other system (RPay not through STrak (R payments)); Other (ISR mechanism (school payments

Report Period July, 2015 (AHRB) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Activity level was very low in July. We -

- handled a few questions/requests from the researchers

- did some analysis of the survey time-outs
- processed the paradata and created some reports using the paradata (scope increase see below)
- established Illume access, instructions, and training information for study staff (first steps in training them to use

Illume for their brain imaging phase of the project). (scope increase - see below)

2 pieces of scope were added in June-July:

1. Illume training and support; SD to provide to study staff for brain imaging component. ~\$5950 total cost (\$3,850 direct + \$2,100 indirect)

2. Paradata processing and reporting (DM and SD). ~\$714.79 direct.

Special Issues

Rick O'Neil has been working hard to recruit more high schools for this fall, but has not had much luck. There is general concern that we will not have the number of schools/students needed to make the study successful.

Cost Jul 31, 2015

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):587,448.26Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):1,257,601.65Total Budget:1,250,916.10Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):-175,548.65

Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:45,509.92Actual Dollars Used:43,860.43Variance (Projected minus Actual):1,649.49

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	2,000			
Goal at Completion:	2,000			
Current actual:	469			
Estimate at Complete:	1,500			
Variance:	•			

Project Name Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department (YRS)

Primary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Budget Direct Budget: 917,405.00 InDirect Budget: 505,822.00 Total Budget: 1,423,227.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Cheryl King (Professor of Psychiatry, University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Esther H Ullman **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This multi-site collaborative project proposes to implement a "universal suicide risk screen" strategy with eligible

> youths, ages 12-17, who present at one of 14 emergency departments across the country. The research team will conduct initial screening of approximately 9,090 youths randomly chosen in these emergency departments (ED), over a period of two years. Based on the results of the screening, youths will be contacted for follow-up (youths who present with an actual suicide or self-injury concern, youths who present with at least two suicide risk factors, and youths at low/no risk for suicide) by the Survey Research Center's (SRC) interviewing staff in Survey Research Operations (SRO). SRO will receive electronic files with contact information for the selected youths on a flow basis, with the expectation of receiving approximately 4,360 in total. Using computer-assisted interviewing techniques from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) on the Ann Arbor campus, we will attempt contact with each selected respondent's parent and then the respondent, with the goal of completing brief (10-minute) interviews with ~85% of the respondents 3 months after their ED screening, and ~80% of these same

respondents 6 months after their ED screening

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

03/2015 - 12/2017 07/2015 - 07/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

NA Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** NA Incentive

NA Administration NA **Payment Type** NA

Payment Method

Report Period

NA

July, 2015 (YRS) **Project Phase** Planning

Risk Level On Track

In July we received additional spec material as well as preload information which is allowing us to move forward to **Monthly Update** completing Blaise specs, program instrument and conduct CTT testing. Also actual "preload" has been provided

which also has helped with system development. Jas and Showei are working on mechanisms with Boys Town for

Safety protocol (secure transmission of cleint information).

Special Issues

Cost

 Jul 31, 2015
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 75,527.25

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,294,051.51

 Total Budget:
 1,423,227.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 53,965.49

Reason For Variance: Early in development phase so not all costs known yet, no HPI estimate

was included for parent interview (English and Spanish) so will add

projections for this after production start.

Projections Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:30,486.68Actual Dollars Used:18,524.33Variance (Projected minus Actual):11,962.35

Reason For Variance: Client has been "slow" to provide all spec's for questionnaire so not as

much programming or testing has occurred at this point

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	2000	85%	3.0	
Goal at Completion:	2000	85%	3.0	
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Other Measures

There will actually be two surveys in phase 1 (at 3 months and 6 months)...and then a second phase survey. Each has their own RR expected

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics Childhood Experiences Web/Mail Project (PSID-CE (aka FES-CE))

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 412,530.00 InDirect Budget: 228,954.00 Total Budget: 641,484.00

Principal Vicki Freedman (U of M Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client James Smith (RAND)

Kate McGonagle (U of M Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency Note:

IRB HUM#: HUM00051456 Period Of Approval: Approved w/Conting.

Project Team Project Lead: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Budget Analyst:William LokersProduction Manager:Anthony RomanowskiSenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: PSID-CE is the first web survey associated with the PSID. The sample for the study is comprised of virtually all

PSID respondents and spouses and will include approximately 13,100 individuals. Potential respondents will be invited either to complete an on-line instrument or—in the case of those who have not reported Internet access at home—given the option to complete the instrument on-line or on paper. Follow-up efforts will consist of both hard-copy and e-mailed reminders as well as non-response calling. The interview content includes questions about childhood health conditions, socioeconomic status, neighborhood(s), friendships, school experiences, criminal activity as well as the parenting experienced as children. To help respondents accurately recall their ages when various events occurred, the on-line version of the questionnaire features a custom-built dynamic life history

calendar. Due to the sensitivity of the content, a Certificate of Confidentiality will be obtained.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period 08/2013 - 11/2014 05/2014 - 10/2014

Security Plan Yes Milestone Dates

 PreProduction Start:
 08/01/2013
 Pretest Start:
 02/10/2014

 Pretest End:
 03/31/2014
 Recruitment Start:
 03/10/2014

Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: 05/08/2014 DC End:

Other Project

Other Project

Emily Blasczyk--Data Manager and Report Programmer

Team Members: Hueichun Peng--Custom Project SMS Programmer

Donnalee Grey-Farquharson--Custom Project SMS Design/Specifications

Robert Fenton--Illume Programmer
Youhong Liu--Illume Programmer Consultant

Meredith House--Web Consultant

Becky Loomis & Gail Arnold--R Materials Assistance Family Economics Study Childhood Experiences Project

Names: PSID Web/Mail

Sample Mgmt Sys Web SMS

Data Col Tool Illume; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Illume
QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post (\$20); Cash, prepaid (\$0, \$5 or \$10 to End Game Rs (planned for early Oct 2014))

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID's RAPS); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (PSID's RAPS)

Report Period July, 2015 (PSID-CE (aka FES-CE)) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update No update information provided on July activities.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00 Aug 31, 2015 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 Total Budget: 641,484.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections Aug 31, 2015

0.00 Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Actual Dollars Used: 0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	НРІ
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Project Name PSID Transition to Adulthood Study 2015 (TA15)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 674,017.00 InDirect Budget: 373,236.00 Total Budget: 1,047,253.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC)
Investigator/Client Katherine McGonagle (SRC)

Funding Agency

Project Team

NICHD

IRB HUM#:

HUM00102914 *Period Of Approval:* 06/3/15 - 06/2/16

Project Lead: Maryam N Buageila
Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer
Production Manager: Sara D Freeland
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Transition to Adulthood (TA) study is a supplemental study in the PSID suite. 2015 is TA's sixth wave; SRO

conducted the first five waves of data collection for this project in 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011 and 2013. The study's purpose is to collect data from youth ages 18-27 years old, whose families participated in the PSID during the 2015 data collection year. The goal is to collect information on education and employment during the time when

major investments are made in education and when careers are planned and initiated.

The 2015 wave will be conducted via decentralized CATI. The 2015 sample will be comprised of approximately 2,000 respondents who 1) have previously completed an interview during one of the waves of CDS (Child Development Supplement), 2) are born between 1988 and 1997, and 3) have completed the main PSID 2015 interview (either their own interview, or as a member of another household's interview). The number of interviews targeted for completion for TA 2015 is 1,809 (92% Response Rate).

The interview will be conducted using the CAI instrument used in previous waves of data collection for the Transition into Adulthood project with minor modifications. The instrument is estimated to average 62 minutes in length (72.5 minutes for the OFUMS respondents and 50.4 minutes for the H/W respondents) and will be programmed in Blaise. The average HPI is assumed to be 6.0 (6.1 hours for the OFUMS respondents and 5.9 hours for the H/W respondents). This survey will be conducted concurrently with the main PSID study during 2015. All interviewing will be done by the SRO decentralized field staff. As in past waves of TA, SRO will provide CAI and systems programming, hiring and training of field staff, and data collection management.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 06/2015 - 07/2016 09/2015 - 04/2015

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/20/2015 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/01/2013

Staffing Completed: 07/31/2015 GIT Start:

Other Project Team Members:

Jeff Smith,Tech Lead, ST programmer, Youhong Liu,CAI Programmer, Holly Smith,WebTrak, WebLog Programmer, Qi Zhu,Data Manager, Brad Goodwin,Data Manager, Peggy Lavanger,Production Assistant, Andrea Pierce,Help Desk Coordinator, TBD,Project Assistant

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys
Data Col Tool
Hardware
DE Software
QC Recording Tool
Incentive
Administration
SurveyTrak
Blaise 4.8
Laptop; Desktop
Blaise 4.8 BIA
DRI-CARI; Camtasia
Yes, R; Yes, INF
SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$5 - \$90); Other (Money Order)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID Study Staff processes check and money order payments)

Report Period July, 2015 (TA15) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Majority of Blaise programming completed. Systems programming continuing.

Decentralized staff has been recruited and should be finalized by the end of July. All staffing needs were met with experienced on-staffers. Training materials and presentations are in development for 2 day webinar training. Regular meetings continue with technical staff, project and production team and have begun with TA PIs. Respondent contact materials have been approved by IRB. The instrument is due to be reviewed in early August.

A new SSA has been added to the TA team to help with training and respondent contact materials. Evaluation and verification work with QC implementation team and TA tech team is underway.

Special Issues TA had planned to used texting as a respondent contact mode and is closely watching the OCG decisions regarding

it's use.

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,039,471.37

 Total Budget:
 1,047,253.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 1,771.12

Reason For Variance: Too early for exact costs.

Projections
Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month: 24,477.68
Actual Dollars Used: 26,936.16

Variance (Projected minus Actual): 20,950.10

Reason For Variance: Work began earlier than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	na	na	na	
Goal at Completion:	1809	92%	6.0	
Current actual:	na	na	na	
Estimate at Complete:	1809	92%	6.0	
Variance:				

Project Name Social Relations, Aging and Health: Competing Theories and Emerging Complexities, Wave 3 (SRS

Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Sponsored Projects **Project Type** Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 950,999.00 527,805.00 1,478,804.00

Principal Toni Antonucci (SRC) Investigator/Client Kira Birditt (SRC)

Funding Agency

National Institute of Health

HUM#: **IRB**

00074983 Period Of Approval: Exp3-11-15

Esther H Ullman **Project Team** Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: **Bethany Benton**

Production Manager: Joseph Matthew Matuzak Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description:

SRO's work on this project will include the conduct of centralized telephone interviews with panel respondents and identified members of their 'core network'. After completing their centralized telephone interview, all respondents (both panel respondents and core network members) will be asked to complete monthly web-based journals for twelve months to demonstrate instances where they have relied on their "core network" to assist in dealing with life course events that they have faced, or in the case of core network members (CNMs) instances where they have provided support to the panel respondents in dealing with life course events that they have faced. The sample for the panel respondents will include the surviving members of the 1993 adult and child Social Relations cohorts

(panel).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

01/2014 - 01/2017 07/2014 - 10/2016

Yes

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start

SS Train Start: 06/24/2014 SS Train End: 06/25/2014

DC Start: 07/13/2014 DC End:

Other Project

Rebecca Loomis, Dave Dybicki, Dan Zahs, Hueichun Peng, Max Malhortra, Minako Edgar, Robert Fenton, Shaowei Sun

Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Social Relations 2014

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS; Web SMS; Illume; Project specific system (WebSMS)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Hardware Laptop; Desktop Illume

DE Software QC Recording Tool Incentive

DRI-CARI Yes. R **SRO Group**

Administration **Payment Type**

Payment Method

Check, post (\$25,\$20, \$5-\$95) Check through other system

July, 2015 (SRS W3) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

Plan was agreed to with PI defining end-game and how cost overruns would be covered. CNM calling will end in **Monthly Update**

mid-August, outbound Panel calling will end at the end of August. Web calling for "no internet" cases will continue the first 10 days of the month and call-ins for Panel and CNM will be taken until Dec. LCD proposed one more increase in

panel incentive go into place in August for \$90.

Special Issues

Cost Jul 31, 2015

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,069,433.41

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,255,869.94

 Total Budget:
 1,478,804.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -50,494.36

Reason For Variance: Memo regarding end-game and coverage of over-run was agreed to by P.I.

Projections Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:40,333.47Actual Dollars Used:36,072.93Variance (Projected minus Actual):4,260.54

Reason For Variance: Lower respondent costs than projected, however cost to complete remains

the same as we agreed to extend CNM calling into August (memo had

indicated would end in July).

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1317		5.5	
Goal at Completion:	1356	.75	5.2	
Current actual:	995	.56	4.09	
Estimate at Complete:	1282	.57		
Variance:				

Other Measures

we are also collecting monthly web surveys. PI has also said Panel interviews are top priority (above CNM and web)

Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2015)

Primary: Telephone **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 699,673.00 699,673.00

Principal

Dr. Richard T. Curtin (SRC)

Investigator/Client **Funding Agency**

Bloomberg, others for Riders.

HUM#: **IRB**

Period Of Approval: thru 10/30/2015

Project Team Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Production Manager: Andrea Sims

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the

contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing

staff obtains 500 interviews.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

01/2015 - 12/2015 01/2015 - 12/2015

Security Plan Milestone Dates

Yes

PreProduction Start:

Pretest Start: Recruitment Start:

Pretest End: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start:

DC Start:

GIT Start: SS Train End: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Dave Dybicki Ann Munster Pamela Swanson

Jennie Williams LaVelvet Harrison

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Hardware Desktop **DE Software** Blaise 4.8 BIA **QC Recording Tool DRI-CXM** Incentive

Yes, R Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Check, post (\$5); Cash, prepaid (\$5)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period July, 2015 (SCA 2015) **Project Phase**

Implementing

Risk Level On Track

SCA finished its July study one day early, the eleventh month in a row we have managed an early finish. We **Monthly Update**

completed 503 interviews, with 339 RDDs and 164 Recons. This month was the first month where all of sample, including Recontact cases, came from cell phone sample. The instrument was 32.3 minutes in length, and our HPI was about 2.65. We used 1332.8 interviewer hours in production. We introduced a significant change in our methodology, as we stopped leaving messages each time we reached voice mail, and instead focused on leaving only one message in the first three dials as well as leaving messages when a missed appointment occurred. Since about two-thirds of our dials reach voice mail, and leaving a message adds about a minute to each call, this meant that not only did we see a reduction in overall interviewer hours, and our lowest HPI on a 30+ minute instrument since January 2014. It also pushed our dials per hour up considerably, to over 16 dials per hour, our highest recorded rate.

Special Issues

Cost

 Jul 31, 2015
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 369,575.21

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 741,379.08

 Total Budget:
 699,673.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -41,706.08

Reason For Variance: Our projected deficit dipped slightly, and we expect that to continue as we

see the effect of our protocol changes, but costs per interviewer hours

remain higher than were originally projected for this budget.

Projections Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month: 61,256.38
Actual Dollars Used: 6,424.00
Variance (Projected minus Actual): -8,727.38

Reason For Variance: Our HPI was still somewhat higher than projected, and our cost per

interviewer hour remains higher that was originally projected. We anticipate savings as we move forward via our increased dials and lowered SSI

charges.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	500	10	2.7	
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:	503	10	2.65	
Variance:	3	0	-0.05	

Project Name Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2015 (SCIP-2015)

Primary: Web **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget InDirect Budget: Total Budget: Direct Budget: 69,535.00 69,535.00

Principal John Callewart (Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute)

Investigator/Client Robert Marans (UM-Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

HUM#: 00068573 Period Of Approval: 6/5/2015-6/4/2016 **IRB**

Andrew L Hupp **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Sherri Cranson

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The goal of the overall Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP), a joint project of the Institute for Social

> Research (ISR) and the Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute (Graham), is to measure changes in sustainability-related knowledge, commitments, and practices in the University of Michigan (U-M) community over time. The principle component of SCIP is a large-scale annual survey, to be conducted with U-M students, faculty,

and staff from 2012 to 2018.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

07/2015 - 06/2016 10/2015 - 11/2015

Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Andrew Hupp - instrument revisions/project management/methodological experimental design

Team Members: Mick Couper/James Wagner- methodological experimental design

> Sherri Cranson - financial support and analysis Hueichun Peng - e-mail tracking programming

Minako Edgar - sample prep, dataset creation, GIS analysis

Dan Zahs - weighting and sampling support

Paul Burton - analysis

Will Chan - analysis (PSM graduate students working on PI side)

Other Project

Campus Sustainability

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Illume **Data Col Tool** Illume Hardware NA **DE Software** N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (A portion of R's (a raffle))

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Other (Amazon gift code)

Payment Method Other (Amazon gift code sent via e-mail)

Report Period July, 2015 (SCIP-2015) **Project Phase** Planning

Risk Level On Track **Monthly Update** July '15

Work in July included:

-Andrew and Minako continue to meet with the PIs regularly. This month the meeting focused on revisions to the

questionnaire for the Fall 2015 survey.

- -Minako continues to do some analysis for Bob.
- -Andrew and Paul B. are working on methodological analysis from the 2014 survey.

To do:

- 1. Produce final datasets once all weights have been created and values recoded.
- 2. Continue writing 2014 methods report. This includes rewriting the previous years into a comprehensive report that has information on each of the years (with tables for comparisons) rather than a separate report each year.
- 3. Analyze data (experiments, e-mail, device usage, etc.).
- 4. Work with research team on appending other data sources to survey data.
- 5. IRB amendment for the Fall 2015 survey

Special Issues

Cost

Jul 31, 2015

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):0.00Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):69,364.83Total Budget:69,535.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):170.17Reason For Variance:

Projections

Jul 31, 2015

Dollars Projected For Month:6,625.62Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Project Name Transitions from Preschool through High School: Family, Schools and Neighborhoods (CDS 2014)

Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 3 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

Budget Direct Budget: 4,416,693.00 InDirect Budget: 2,450,668.00 **Total Budget:** 6,867,361.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (University of Michigan Survey Research Center)

Investigator/Client Kate McGonagle (University of Michigan Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development

IRB Project Team Project Lead:

ним#: HUM00075944 Period Of Approval: 2/6/2014 - 2/5/2015 Jennifer C Arrieta

Budget Analyst: William Lokers Production Manager: Dianne G Casev Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The Child Development Study is part of the Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID) suite. The goal of the CDS is to gather comprehensive and nationally representative, longitudinal data about children and their families to study how social, economic, and other factors affect children's and adolescents' development. The original CDS followed a cohort of children in PSID families who were 0-12 years of age in 1997 through three waves of data collection and focused on understanding the socio-demographic, psychological, and economic aspects of childhood in an on-going nationally-representative longitudinal study of families. In 2014, all of the children in the original cohort have reached adulthood, and a new generation of children has replaced them in PSID families. The goal is to collect information in 2014 on all children aged 0-17 years in this new generation, shifting the orientation from a cohort study to one that obtains information on the childhood experiences of all children in PSID families, who will become primary respondents in the Core PSID when they form their own economically-independent households. These new data will support studies of health, development, and well-being in childhood; the relationship between children's characteristics and contemporaneous family decision-making and behavior; and the effects of childhood factors on subsequent social, demographic, economic, and health outcomes over the entire life course for these individuals as they are followed into the future as part of PSID. The sample will consist of approximately 6,400 children aged 0-17 and 3,500 primary caregivers.

Data collection will be conducted in a variety of modes (FTF, TEL, MAIL) and will include the following:

- A cover screen interview with an adult member of the household, preferably the expected primary caregiver, other caregiver, or the PSID 2013 respondent, to identify the actual primary caregiver and children;
- A telephone interview with the child's primary caregiver;
- A telephone interview with each child in the family unit ages 12-17;
- An interactive voice response (IVR) administration of sensitive questions with each child ages 12-17;
- An in-person interview with a sub-set of children ages 8-11;
- Woodcock Johnson assessments with a sub-set of primary caregivers and children ages 3-17;
- A weekday and weekend time diary about the primary caregiver's activities;
- A weekday and a weekend time diary about each child's activities;
- Height and weight measurements for each child ages 3-17;
- Height, weight, and waist circumference measurements for the primary caregiver:
- Collection of a saliva sample from the primary caregiver and from children ages 5-17;
- School records and birth records linkage consent forms for the primary caregiver and each child ages 0-17; and
- Neighborhood and in-home interviewer observations with a sub-set of households.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

03/2014 - 08/2015 10/2014 - 04/2015

Yes

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2014 Pretest Start: 07/24/2014 Pretest End: 08/14/2014 Recruitment Start: 06/01/2014 Staffing Completed: 09/08/2014 GIT Start: 10/15/2014 SS Train Start: 10/17/2014 **SS Train End:** 10/22/2014 DC Start: 10/27/2014 **DC End:** 04/26/2015 Other Project Jeff Smith/Louis Daher - Tech Team Leads Sara Freeland - Training Coordinator **Team Members:**

Youhong Liu/Peter Sparks/Karl Dinkleman- CAI Programmers

Marsha Skoman/Holly Ackerman - Sample Management System Programmers

Lingling Zhang/Brad Goodwin - Data Managers Genise Pattullo - Help Desk Supervisor Winter Freeman - Project Assistant

Ryan Yoder - Instrument testing and instrument specs

Jay Lin - Instrument testing Andrea Pierce - Help Desk

Other Project

New Age Child Development Study, Child Development Supplement, CDS IV

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Other (Weblog, WebTrak)

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (PSID Study Staff developed system)

QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia Yes. R: Yes. INF Incentive

Administration SRO Group; ISR Group (PSID Study Staff)

Check, post (between \$5 and \$180); Cash, post (between \$5 and \$180); Other (Money Order) Payment Type

Check through other system (PSID Study Staff processes check and money order payments); Interviewer paym **Payment Method**

Report Period July, 2015 (CDS 2014) **Project Phase** Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update

During the month of July, SRO met again with the PIs to discuss a fall follow-up effort. During that meeting the PIs verified their interest in planning a follow-up on the following components: IVR, Linkage Consents, and Time Diaries. SRO project manager and data managers worked with study staff to clearly define the eligible sample in order to obtain sample counts for the fall follow-up. In addition, CDS project managers and SPA will be meeting with the PIs at the end of the month in hopes to finalize design decisions the fall effort.

In addition to fall follow-up efforts, the PIs confirmed workscope for SRO to code 28 open-ends, in addition to the already budgeted occ/ind coding. Data managers delivered the remainder of the special data sets that had been requested by study staff. Time diary coding/data entry continued.

Special Issues

- Coding application delivery to SRO pending so all coding activities (occ/ind as well as the 28 new variables) on hold.

- Fall follow-up has potential of overlap with PSID Web-Mail study in spring 2017.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 5,432,166.49 Jun 30, 2015 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 5,608,222.88

Total Budget: 6,867,361.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 1,259,138.12

Smaller sample size than projected, workscope changes since budget Reason For Variance:

approved, fewer actual programmer hours than budgeted, and hosting costs

less than budgeted.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 75,177.66 Jun 30, 2015 52,158.55 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): 23,019.11

Reason For Variance: Hours for the month were over-projected as coding activities were delayed

pending programming of applications by study staff.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	2881	93%	4.29	
Current actual:	2,854	89% unweighted	4.09	
Estimate at Complete:		_		
Variance:				

Other Measures

Coverscreen Interviews: 93% RR goal (listed in the chart above)

From those families who complete the coverscreen interview, the response rate goals by component are listed below:

PCG Blaise Interviews: 95% (tentative final RR is 88% RR)

Child Blaise Interviews: 92% (final RR is 81% RR) Birth Linkage Consents: 92% (current 38% RR) School Linkage Consents: 92% (current 36% RR)

Saliva Collection: 85% (current 45%RR) Child Time Diaries: 85% (current 46%)

IVR: SRO feels 50% RR is achievable although research indicates 30% RR is norm for IVR with adults in market

research. PIs have indicated that they expected a 75% RR for IVR. (Final is 59% RR)