

Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

March 2013



Sponsored Projects

(Army STARRS) *Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers*
 (CogUSA Supp) *NGCS Supplement to Cognition and Aging in the USA*
 (DUST II 2013) *Health and Daily Life*
 (HealthDisp) *Health Disparities*
 (HRS 2012) *Health and Retirement Study*
 (IMAK-R) *Interactive Multi-Media Assmt of Tchrs' Knowledge*
 (IMDU) *Intensive Measurement of Drug Use during Transition to Adulthood*
 (iPhone) *Responding to Surveys on Mobile Multimodal Devices*
 (LMT MS) *Math Solutions*
 (MANCS) *National Children's Study*
 (MDRC) *MDRC - Reading Partners Program Evaluation Project*
 (MRRS III) *Effects of Recession and Economic Stimulus in Southeast Michigan Wave III*
 (NSFG 2010-2020) *National Survey of Family Growth*
 (PSID 2013) *Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2013*
 (PTMS) *Project Talent Mail Survey & Non-Response Telephone Follow-up*
 (SHOS-B) *Army STARRS SHOS-B*
 (Transcript Study) *Impact of the Michigan Merit Curriculum & Michigan Promise Scholarship on Studen*
 (UMCOP Alum Study) *UM College of Pharmacy Alumni Study*

Project Name	Army STARRS SHOS-B (SHOS-B)				
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone		Secondary: Web	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			Project Status	Current
Budget	Direct Budget:	918,952.00	Indirect Budget:	238,927.52	Total Budget: 1,157,879.52
Principal Investigator/Client					
Project Team	Project Lead:	Nancy J Gebler			
	Budget Analyst:	Heather Barrus			
	Production Manager:	Lisa J Carn			
	Senior Project Advisor:				
	Production Manager:	Margaret Lee Hudson			
	Production Manager:				
Description:	<p>SHOS-B stands for Solder Health Outcomes Study B. The primary research aim addressed by SHOS-B is to find the risk and protective factors for suicide death among Army Soldiers. SHOS-B will assess potential risk and protective factors from multiple domains including: the presence and accumulation of mental disorders, receipt of psychological treatment, developmental history and medical family history, the experience of specific military or general life stressors, and recent experiences/state of mind prior to death.</p> <p>The Soldier Health Outcomes Study B (SHOS-B) represents the psychological autopsy component of the Army STARRS project and broadly aims to identify risk and protective factors for suicide among Army Soldiers. SHOS-B is the study of those Soldiers who have died by suicide while on active duty in the Army. Soldiers who are regular Army, as well as Soldiers who are Reserve and National Guard are included in the study.</p> <p>A "psychological autopsy" is a term for a detailed and broad investigation of a person and the circumstances surrounding that person's death. It is frequently used if the death was from suicide. The investigators attempt to reconstruct what the person thought, felt, and did before death, based on information gathered from personal documents, police reports, medical and coroner's records, and interviews with families, friends and others who had contact with the person before death.</p> <p>There are many advantages to using psychological autopsy. These studies aim to reconstruct an individual's psychological makeup (e.g., thoughts, feelings, behaviors, intentions, motivations, life circumstances), identify risk factors for death, and understand the mode and details of the suicidal behavior. Psychological autopsy studies offer a unique opportunity to gather information on a number of areas linked to suicide that are not generally accessible to epidemiological studies.</p> <p>In addition to the strengths of psychological autopsy studies in general, SHOS-B is unique relative to other Army STARRS components as it is the only component of the study to obtain new data on risk and protective factors for suicide among Soldiers who have recently died by suicide. SHOS-A will collect information on risk and protective factors from recent suicide attempters (a group known to differ somewhat from those who actually die by suicide), and the aggregate database component will examine information about risk and protective factors available among Army records.</p> <p>The SHOS-B study seeks to recruit and interview two Informants (a next of kin and an Army Supervisor) for each Soldier who has died. The research team will also examine administrative data for the Soldiers, in order to better understand the circumstances of that Soldier's time in the Army, and subsequent death.</p> <p>SHOS-B is a case/control study. For every Case Soldier (a Soldier who has died), we will recruit two Control Soldiers. These will be Soldiers who may have similar backgrounds and/or experiences but have not died. SHOS-B will interview two Informants (next of kin and Army Supervisor) for each Control Soldier. The inclusion of this control group allows for the possibility of assessing which risk factors are most linked with suicide. In other words, we will compare the two groups of Soldiers to determine what leads some Soldiers to be more resilient to experiences common to Soldiers, and what decreases resilience in others.</p> <p>The SHOS-B project is necessary to provide previously unavailable information about a wide range of factors that may be useful in better understanding and predicting suicide death among Army Soldiers. Ultimately, we hope that this information will help to prevent unnecessary deaths among Soldiers.</p>				
Project Period	01/2010 - 06/2014			Proposal No:	
Data Collection	03/2012 - 12/2013				

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project
Team Members

Report Period Mar, 2013 (SHOS-B) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update Update included in Army STARRS report.

Special Issues

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	1,157,879.52
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:		

Other Measures

Project Name Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS)
Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2
Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current
Budget **Direct Budget:** 24,375,004.00 **Indirect Budget:** 6,332,159.00 **Total Budget:** 30,707,164.00
Principal Investigator/Client Steve Heeringa (University of Michigan)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Nancy J Gebler
Budget Analyst:	Mary Anne Kern
Production Manager:	Dante Vasquez
Senior Project Advisor:	Beth-Ellen Pennell
Production Manager:	Margaret Lee Hudson
Production Manager:	Andrew L Hupp

Description: The Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Service Members (STARRS) is the largest study of suicide and mental health among military personnel ever undertaken. The purpose of the collaborative study is to identify modifiable risk and protective factors and moderators of suicidal behavior, to help inform the Army's ongoing efforts to prevent suicide and improve Soldiers' overall psychological health and functioning. To do this, investigators from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), the University of Michigan, Harvard Medical School, Columbia University, and the National Institute of Mental Health will conduct an epidemiologic study of mental health, psychological resilience, suicide risk, suicide-related behaviors, and suicide deaths in the Army. The study will evaluate representative samples of Soldiers across all phases of Army service, both retrospectively and prospectively.

Project Period 07/2009 - 06/2014 **Proposal No:** 09-0046
Data Collection 01/2011 - 12/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members Lead Team: Mary Kern; Barbara Lohr_Ward, Lisa Holland, Lisa Wood, Kathy LaDronka, Margaret Hudson, Andrew Hupp, ZoAnne Balckburn, Meredith House, Dante Vasquez, Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, LaMont Manley, Louis Daher.

Report Period Mar, 2013 (Army STARRS) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update Update info given directly to Admin.

Special Issues

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	30,707,164.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Effects of Recession and Economic Stimulus in Southeast Michigan Wave III (MRRS III)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 553,930.00 **Indirect Budget:** 75,000.00 **Total Budget:** 628,930.00

Principal Investigator/Client Sheldon Danziger (University of Michigan National Poverty Center)
 Kristen Seefeldt (University of Michigan School of Social Work)
 Sarah Burgard (University of Michigan School of Social Work)

Project Team **Project Lead:** Jennifer C Arrieta
Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek
Production Manager: Dianne G Casey
Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description: - aka Michigan Recession and Recovery Study (MRRSIII)

The purpose of this project is to explore who is most negatively affected by the economic crises and who benefits most from the economic stimulus package on a variety of dimensions. SRO will be responsible for a 68-minute survey to approximately 767 respondents (who participated in the wave 2 interview) of an expected sample size of 847 respondents from within the Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb tri-county area. When respondents are within a 50 mile radius of the tri-county area, they will be given the option of being interviewed in-person or by telephone. We will attempt to conduct telephone interviews with respondents located outside of the area.

Project Period 03/2013 - 02/2014 **Proposal No:** #13-0019R01
Data Collection 06/2013 - 10/2013

Milestone Dates	PreProduction Start:03/05/2013 Pretest End: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start: DC Start:06/17/2013	Pretest Start: Recruitment Start:03/15/2013 GIT Start: SS Train End: DC End:10/31/2013
-----------------	---	--

Other Project Team Members Jeff Smith - Tech Lead/STrak Programmer
 Jim Hagerman - Blaise Programmer
 Holly Ackerman - WebTrak/Weblog Programmer
 Data Manager - Jennie Williams
 Help Desk Supervisor - Genise Pattullo
 Help Desk Lead - Deb Wilson
 Instrument Testing - ZoAnne Blackburn
 Tech Specs/Project Assistant - Jeannie Baker

Report Period Mar, 2013 (MRRS III) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update The wave 3 budget was approved by the client in early March. Resource assignments were made, Blaise programming began, and the recruitment website for on-staffers was updated. An initial meeting with the technical team was held to give an overview of the study and discuss planned changes for Wave 3.

Special Issues Some concerns for the study include:
 Cost over-runs if the interview length is longer than projected.
 Managing multiple funding sources.
 The budgeted scope of work requires a short field period while aiming to obtain a high response rate. Obtaining this response rate may require more effort, including a longer data collection period.

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	628,930.00
Total Budget:	628,930.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:	767	90%	4.34
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Health and Daily Life (DUST II 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 744,423.00 **Indirect Budget:** 459,804.00 **Total Budget:** 1,204,227.00

Principal Investigator/Client Vicki Freedman (UM, ISR, SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead: Robert Lee

Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Russell W Stark

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: _UnAssigned

Production Manager: _UnAssigned

Description: This project is a supplement to the 2013 Panel Study of Income Dynamics. Respondents from PSID 2013, fitting the proper age criteria, will comprise the survey sample. The PSID Staff will be responsible for selecting the sample that meets the demographics desired for this project. The sample will consist of both coupled households, and single person households. For all sample members, interviews will be obtained for one random weekday and one random weekend day. Among coupled households, interviews will be obtained for husbands and wives on the same random weekday and random weekend day. In total, 4,698 diaries will be completed. Two instruments will be administered - the first interview will have a CATI Time Diary averaging 40 minutes in length and a Blaise instrument averaging 15 minutes in length (55 minute total); the second interview will have a second Time Diary and a smaller Blaise instrument that, combined, will average 40 minutes. Each respondent will be interviewed twice in the course of the study, once on a weekday and once on a weekend day. For each respondent, one interview will average 50 minutes and the second will average 40 minutes. The data collection period is from June, 2013 to January, 2014. All interviews will be conducted by telephone in the Survey Services Lab (SSL) using a Blaise instrument, using SurveyTrak on PC's.

Project Period 01/2013 - 04/2017 **Proposal No:**

Data Collection 06/2013 - 01/2014

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 01/01/2013	Pretest Start: 04/11/2013
Pretest End: 05/02/2013	Recruitment Start: 03/15/2013
Staffing Completed: 05/31/2013	GIT Start: 06/03/2013
SS Train Start: 06/04/2013	SS Train End: 06/06/2013
DC Start: 06/10/2013	DC End: 01/31/2013

Other Project Team Members Jas Sokhal, Tech Lead; Jeff Smith (SurveyTrak), Jim Hagerman (Blaise), Holly Ackerman (WebTrak), Genise Pattullo (Help Desk), Minako Edgar (Data Ops), Beth Jones (Site Coordinator)

Report Period Mar, 2013 (DUST II 2013) **Project Phase** Planning

Monthly Update In the month of March the development of the technical tools needed for the project continued in preparation for the April Pretest. SSL began recruitment for its staffing needs for both the Pretest and the Production phases of the project. Work continued with the development of the training materials that will be needed for the Pretest. Regular meetings between the PI and the Survey Director were held.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	117,619.98
Estimated Cost at Completion	1,204,227.00
Total Budget:	1,204,227.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	1,515	80%	8.99
Goal at Completion:	1,515	80%	8.99
Current actual:	0	0%	0
Estimate at Complete:	1,515	80%	8.99
Variance:	0	0.00%	0.0

Other Measures Note that the completion goal of 1,515 and the HPI goal of 8.99 are based on Family Units (FU) rather than on individuals. The combination of coupled households and single ones should yield approximately 4,698 completed diaries.

Project Name Health and Retirement Study (HRS 2012)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 13,160,794.00 **Indirect Budget:** 4,737,885.00 **Total Budget:** 17,898,679.00

Principal Investigator/Client David Weir (UM, ISR, SRC)
Mary Beth Ofstedal (UM, ISR, SRC)
Kenneth Langa (UM, ISR, SRC)

Project Team **Project Lead:** Heidi Marie Guyer
Budget Analyst: Jeffrey Keeler
Production Manager: Stephanie Sullivan
Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Rebecca Gatward
Production Manager: Robert Lee

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992. The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. In 2012, panel members asked to participate in the PM/Bio & SAQ will be asked again, as well as the 50% of baseline respondents who were not asked in 2010/11 as part of their baseline interview. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records, Medicare and Medicaid are obtained. In 2012, consent to link to Veterans Administration (VA) records will be requested of all veteran respondents (n~6,000). The HRS 2010 sample will include approximately 26,500 respondents. The total budget amount reflected here represents pre-production, main data collection and post-production cost estimates.

Project Period 08/2011 - 04/2012 **Proposal No:** 12-0044

Data Collection 04/2012 - 02/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 08/01/2011	Pretest Start: 01/30/2012
Pretest End: 02/15/2012	Recruitment Start: 11/06/2011
Staffing Completed: 03/29/2012	GIT Start: 04/15/2012
SS Train Start: 04/19/2012	SS Train End: 04/23/2012
DC Start: 04/24/2012	DC End: 01/31/2013

Other Project Team Members Maryam Buageila, Erin Burgess, Dianne Casey, Piotr Dworak, Rebecca Gatward, Heidi Guyer, Frost Hubbard, Bob Lee, Heather Rejto, Andrea Scott, Stephanie Sullivan

Report Period Mar, 2013 (HRS 2012) **Project Phase** Implementing
Monthly Update Interviewing continued in March with emphasis placed on customizing the approach needed to complete each case through a line-by-line review conference between the Iwers and their TL's. Staff consolidations were made to better align the number of staff with the amount of available sample.

Special Issues

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	17,934,689.27
Estimated Cost at Completion	17,879,626.55
Total Budget:	17,898,679.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	19,052.45

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	21,678	87.0	7.72
Goal at Completion:	21,790	88.0%	7.6
Current actual:	21,603	87.7	7.45
Estimate at Complete:	21,790	88.0%	7.6
Variance:	0	0.00%	0.0

Other Measures

Project Name Health Disparities (HealthDisp)
Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1
Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current
Budget **Direct Budget:** 8,180.00 **Indirect Budget:** 4,265.00 **Total Budget:** 12,466.00
Principal Investigator/Client Dr. James Jackson (Research Center for Group Dynamics - ISR)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Andrew L Hupp
Budget Analyst:	Andrew L Hupp
Production Manager:	
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: The study involves recruiting patients participating in Cardiac Rehab at the UM Hospital. Project staff will collect biological measures, extract information from patient records and administer a web based survey. SRO's involvement is in developing the web based instrument and providing support once the survey is launched.

Project Period 01/2009 - 06/2013 **Proposal No:** 09-0007

Data Collection

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	Pretest Start:
Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed:	GIT Start:
SS Train Start:	SS Train End:
DC Start:	DC End:

Other Project Team Members Marta Murray Close, Paul Schulz

Report Period Mar, 2013 (HealthDisp) **Project Phase** Implementing
Monthly Update The project staff tested the latest version of the instrument and has given a list of items they want changed/updated/fixed. Those changes will be made. The project staff asked the SPA in a meeting about the follow-up instruments (which they had not provided) and a budget for a new project with a similar instrument.

Most of the changes/updates/fixes have been made. The proejct staff will be given a new version to test in March. Paul Schulz will be coming on to finsih any fixes after this and will work on the subsequent waves of the instrument. A meeting is scheduled with the project staff, Andrew and Paul to transition the work of finalizing the main instrument

and begin work on the follow-up instruments.

Andrew and Paul met with the project staff about the new changes and fixes that are still needed and the next follow-up survey that needs to be programmed. Paul is taking over the programming moving forward. Paul will make the changes and give a testing version to the project staff. Once the initial instrument is finalized the work on the follow-up instrument will begin. The project staff has been learning about the instrument as they interview participants using paper surveys which have prompted changes in the programming of things they had not foreseen. The follow-up instrument is largely based on the main instrument. Once everything is working there, that instrument will be used as the basis for the follow-up instruments.

May '12

Paul continues to provide testing version and makes corrections and additions as necessary as they test. The main instrument is close to being ready. After that Paul will begin programming the follow-up instruments (which are largely subsets of the main instrument). Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them.

June '12

Paul continues to provide testing version and makes corrections and additions as necessary as they test. The main instrument is close to being ready. After that Paul will begin programming the follow-up instruments (which are largely subsets of the main instrument). Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds, but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

July '12

Paul has most of the instrument programmed. There are a few remaining items that need to be fixed. Once those items work, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds, but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

August '12

Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

September '12

Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

October '12

Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH. Andrew spoke with the research team and they have provided SRO with access to an account on the RCGD side for Paul to charge to. We will be able to move the overrun to their accounts once they have their year 3 funding from SPH.

November/December '12

Paul made the last few updates to the instrument. The project staff tested and signed off on the production instrument. Paul worked with them on the best way to handle loading the sample and is available to answer questions. He has begun working on the follow-up instruments now that they have signed off on the base instrument.

January '13

Paul has been working on the Wave 2 follow-up instrument. That is close to being finished with a few changes expected after further testing has occurred. After that he will program the next two waves which are based on the Wave 2 instrument with only minor wording changes expected. All time is being charged to a project staff account. I spoke with the financial person on their side about the overrun. They will roll the SRO balance up into the RCGD balance thereby absorbing the overdraft.

February '13

Paul provided the Wave 2 instrument and has been working on the Wave 3 instruments. The client has come back with changes to the Wave 1 instrument they would like made. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact about absorbing the SRO overrun.

March '13

The Wave 3 instrument has been provided for testing. A bug in the medication grid is being fixed. Next month work on the Wave 4 instrument should begin. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

Special Issues

This project has been slow to get started. The development time for the questionnaire was quite long. The project shows a slight overrun. A staff member not working on this project mistakenly charged time. That time was be removed.

Cost		
Mar 31, 2013	Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	14,399.95
	Estimated Cost at Completion	14,399.95
	Total Budget:	12,466.00
	Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	-1,933.95

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name	Impact of the Michigan Merit Curriculum & Michigan Promise Scholarship on Student Outcomes Project			
Project Mode	(Transcript Study)			
	Primary: Data Processing	Secondary: Not Available		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	Project Status	Current	
Budget	Direct Budget: 72,443.00	Indirect Budget: 39,481.00	Total Budget:	111,924.00
Principal Investigator/Client	Barbara Schneider (Michigan State University)			
	Brian Jacobs (University of Michigan)			
	Kenneth Frank (Michigan State University)			
Project Team	Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann		
	Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek		
	Production Manager:			
	Senior Project Advisor:	Heidi Marie Guyer		
	Production Manager:			
	Production Manager:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson		

Description:

SRO will implement technical system and protocol development, and data collection activities for a five-school pilot study. The objectives of the data collection pilot include 1) design instruments, protocols and technical systems for the collection of student transcripts and other course-related materials; 2) estimate the uncertainties and contingencies that would likely ensue for the eventual full scale study (150 schools); and 3) define/propose the work scope and costs for the full scale data collection effort.

Survey Research Operations involvement will cover a period of approximately 7 months, starting in June and continuing through December 2012.

Between June , 2012 and December 31, 2012, a small SRO team will develop and implement the pilot study in five Michigan schools designed to obtain the following:

- Transcripts on high school seniors from the initial time period (2002-2003) to the present.
- End of course assessments (math & science) from the initial time period to the present.
- Syllabi of math & science courses, textbooks, and other materials from the initial time period to the present.
- Teacher rosters of class assignments from the initial time period to the present.
- School surveys.

Post Collection Processing:

- All collected materials will be imported into the sample management system, requiring scanning of paper forms. No additional coding or data entry is included in the SRO budget.
- All data file management and analysis will be performed by the EWB research staff.

Weighting & Estimating:

- There are no sample weights or estimates expected for this pilot project.

Deliverables:

- Data files and documentation of instruments, protocols, and technical systems.
- Proposal for the work scope/budget associated with data collection and coding activities for the full study sample.

Project Period

06/2012 - 12/2012

Proposal No:**Data Collection**

08/2012 - 12/2012

Milestone Dates***PreProduction Start:******Pretest Start:******Pretest End:******Recruitment Start:******Staffing Completed:******GIT Start:******SS Train Start:******SS Train End:******DC Start:******DC End:*****Other Project
Team Members**

Lesli Scott, Heidi Guyer, Karin Schneider, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Katie Huang, Hueichun Peng, Rebecca Loomis

Report Period

Mar, 2013 (Transcript Study)

Project Phase

Implementing

Monthly Update

Total budget for 2013 is \$222k - \$112k was the pilot budget total. We do not expect to overrun the 2013 budget. All the school payment checks have been drawn, those costs hit in Feb. We only send out the check once we rec'd data from the school.

72 schools have agreed thus far

Special Issues**Cost**

Feb 28, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 232,690.00

Estimated Cost at Completion 347,570.00

Total Budget: 111,924.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -235,646.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i>Current Goal:</i>			
<i>Goal at Completion:</i>			
<i>Current actual:</i>			
<i>Estimate at Complete:</i>			
<i>Variance:</i>			

Other Measures

Project Name Intensive Measurement of Drug Use during Transition to Adulthood (IMDU)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget *Direct Budget:* 102,830.00 *Indirect Budget:* 57,072.00 *Total Budget:* 159,902.00

Principal Investigator/Client Megan Patrick (ISR)

Project Team

Project Lead: Esther H Ullman

Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Sue Ellen Hansen

Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Description: During May and June 2012 approximately 600 high-school seniors will be recruited to complete a paper baseline questionnaire in three high schools in the southern part of Michigan. In September 2012 a letter and email invitation will be sent to 300 of the recruited respondents inviting them to complete a 30 minute web based questionnaire (Wave 1). The respondents will receive three email reminders over 10-14 days and a reminder phone call to complete the survey. They will then be sent, on a rolling basis, an email invitation to complete 14 daily diary surveys with daily email reminders. They will also receive texts and phone call reminders at designated intervals. They will be sent incentive checks based on amount of participation in each phase (i.e. number of daily diary's completed). There will be a second wave of the Web survey January 2013 following the same protocol as Wave 1. A third Wave will be conducted in May 2013 following the same protocol as earlier waves. In addition during the May 2013 administration a control group (N=300) will also receive the mail and email invitations to complete a web questionnaire. The control group will receive the three email reminders over 10-14 days and then phone or text messages but no daily diary questionnaires. In each of these waves the option of mailing a paper questionnaire will be included for those who do not have internet access. There will also be the need to obtain assent based on age at each administration

Project Period 02/2012 - 07/2013 **Proposal No:** 10-0050R02

Data Collection 05/2012 - 06/2013

Milestone Dates

<i>PreProduction Start:</i>	<i>Pretest Start:</i>
<i>Pretest End:</i>	<i>Recruitment Start:</i>
<i>Staffing Completed:</i>	<i>GIT Start:</i>
<i>SS Train Start:</i>	<i>SS Train End:</i>
<i>DC Start:</i>	<i>DC End:</i>

Other Project Team Members Minako Edgars, Rebecca Loomis

Report Period Mar, 2013 (IMDU) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update During March preparation for W2 data delivery was statred. Preplanning for Wave 3 (starting in May) began. Wave 3 will incorporate the control group for the first time.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

<i>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</i>	122,178.17
<i>Estimated Cost at Completion</i>	159,741.54
<i>Total Budget:</i>	159,902.00
<i>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</i>	160.46

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i>Current Goal:</i>			
<i>Goal at Completion:</i>			
<i>Current actual:</i>			
<i>Estimate at Complete:</i>			
<i>Variance:</i>			

Other Measures

Project Name Interactive Multi-Media Assmt of Tchrs' Knowledge (IMAK-R)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 413,231.86 **Indirect Budget:** 214,880.00 **Total Budget:** 628,111.86

Principal Investigator/Client Dr. Joanne Carlisle (U of M School of Education)

Project Team

Project Lead: Meredith A House

Budget Analyst: Stephanie A Ford

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Lesli Jo Scott

Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Description: The purpose of this project is to develop and explore the validity and practicality of using a web-based system to assess teachers' knowledge as evidenced through their evaluation of the effectiveness of reading lessons. SRO will participate in phases of the project that involve study and system design discussions with the SOE team; video-taping in classrooms and processing video clips from the footage that will be used in the system; development, programming and testing of a multi-media technical system. The technical system will allow teachers to view and rate the reading lessons using digital video recordings of classroom instruction presented through a multi-media website.

Project Period 08/2008 - 07/2012

Proposal No: 08-0028

Data Collection

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:

Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:

SS Train Start:

DC Start:

Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start:

GIT Start:

SS Train End:

DC End:

Important Project Dates

Classroom video-taping:10/01/2009

Beta Study data collection:06/28/2010

"Main Study" data collection:10/22/2010

Other Project Team Members

Larry LaFerte, Hemant Kannan, Jeannie Baker, Najla August

Report Period
Monthly Update

Mar, 2013 (IMAK-R)

Project Phase Implementing

The majority of the work to organize and document the CSRL content has been completed (Larry and I have our hours "parked" temporarily on other accounts). There is a small amount of work remaining, including moving all content to an external hard drive for transfer. ET short code not available yet as of end of March.

Budget - The PI approved the ballpark budget - we wrote the final and obtained the funds to carry out the content transfer work. New budget added \$7,560.00 (\$4,974 Direct, \$2,586 Indirect) to the overall budget (now reflected in numbers above)

Special Issues

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 620,447.42

Estimated Cost at Completion 628,091.00

Total Budget: 628,111.86

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 20.86

Measures

Units Complete

RR

HPI

Current Goal:

Goal at Completion:

Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Other Measures

Project Name Math Solutions (LMT MS)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Observation Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget *Direct Budget:* 1,249,959.00 *Indirect Budget:* 681,228.00 *Total Budget:* 1,931,187.00

Principal Investigator/Client Heather C. Hill (Harvard University)
Robin Tepper Jacob (University of Michigan)
Douglas Corey (Brigham Young University)

Project Team *Project Lead:* Karin Schneider
Budget Analyst: Mary D Hopper
Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg
Senior Project Advisor: Lesli Jo Scott
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description: Evaluation of the Math Solutions program. Recruit teachers (80) in Norfolk, VA, to be randomized into case group (receive Math Solutions training August 9-13, 2010) or control group (do not receive MS training). Teachers fill out 3 SAQs in year 1 (90 min & 30 min), 2 SAQs (30 min) in years 2 and 3. Administer student assessments (60 min) in Fall and Spring from 2010 to 2013. Videotape and code cases teachers on 3 different occasions (2 consecutive days each) in each of Spring and Fall of each year. Videotape control teachers 3 occasions (2 consecutive days each) in year 3 only. Teachers are interviewed after each videotaping (5-10 min) and treatment teachers will be interviewed 15-30 minutes each spring.

Project Period 05/2009 - 04/2013 **Proposal No:** 10-0018RO2

Data Collection 12/2010 - 04/2013

Milestone Dates

<i>PreProduction Start:</i>	<i>Pretest Start:</i>
<i>Pretest End:</i>	<i>Recruitment Start:</i> 03/01/2010
<i>Staffing Completed:</i> 07/01/2010	<i>GIT Start:</i>
<i>SS Train Start:</i> 09/23/2011	<i>SS Train End:</i> 09/26/2011
<i>DC Start:</i> 11/14/2011	<i>DC End:</i> 06/30/2013

Important Project Dates

<i>teacher recruitment-YR 2:</i> 05/01/2011	<i>treatment prof dev:</i> 11/07/2011
<i>SR re-training (if needed):</i> 09/23/2011	
<i>fall student assessment:</i> 10/01/2011	<i>New teacher SAQs:</i> 11/07/2011
<i>contamination study SAQs:</i> 06/01/2011	<i>SRIS programming Year 2:</i> 05/31/2011
<i>Videotaping treatment teachers:</i> 11/01/2011	<i>Spring Student Assessment:</i> 04/01/2012

Other Project Team Members

Report Period Mar, 2013 (LMT MS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update no update available.

Special Issues

Cost

Apr 30, 2013 *Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):* 0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion 0.00
Total Budget: 1,931,187.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i>Current Goal:</i> <i>Goal at Completion:</i> <i>Current actual:</i> <i>Estimate at Complete:</i> <i>Variance:</i>		

Other Measures

Project Name MDRC - Reading Partners Program Evaluation Project (MDRC)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget *Direct Budget:* 612,409.00 *Indirect Budget:* 122,481.00 *Total Budget:* 734,890.00

Principal Investigator/Client Robin Jacob (EWB)

Project Team

Project Lead: Sarah Crane

Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek

Production Manager: Sarah Crane

Senior Project Advisor: Nicole G Kirgis

Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Description: Reading program assessment project in three different areas of the country. School-based group SAQ administration as well as individual assessments to evaluate student progress.

Project Period 08/2012 - 09/2013

Proposal No:

Data Collection 10/2012 - 08/2013

Milestone Dates

*PreProduction Start:*07/11/2012

Pretest End:

*Staffing Completed:*08/22/2012

*SS Train Start:*09/25/2012

*DC Start:*10/01/2012

Pretest Start:

*Recruitment Start:*07/27/2012

GIT Start:

*SS Train End:*09/27/2012

*DC End:*06/15/2012

Other Project Team Members

Becky Loomis

Jessica Huff

Rachel Rifkin

Report Period Mar, 2013 (MDRC) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update Spring data collection will launch with a 1-school pilot the first week of May.

Special Issues

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 413,867.53

Estimated Cost at Completion 725,832.63

Total Budget: 734,890.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 9,057.36

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i>Current Goal:</i>	19 Schools Overall	90% Overall	N/A
<i>Goal at Completion:</i>			
<i>Current actual:</i>	19 Schools Fall	98% Fall	N/A
<i>Estimate at Complete:</i>			
<i>Variance:</i>			

Other Measures

Project Name National Children's Study (MANCS)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget *Direct Budget:* 2,463,586.10 *Indirect Budget:* 359,585.49 *Total Budget:* 2,823,171.59

Principal Investigator/Client Nigel Paneth (MSU)
Daniel Keating (UM)
Michael Elliot (UM)

Project Team

Project Lead: Kirsten Haakan Alcser
Budget Analyst: Mary D Hopper
Production Manager: Sarah Crane
Senior Project Advisor: Beth-Ellen Pennell
Production Manager: Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye
Production Manager:

Description: This is a longitudinal study that will enroll and follow a nationally representative sample of approximately 100,000 children born in the US. The study will collect informatin on children from birth through 20 years of age. Data collection starts during pregnancy or in some cases prior to pregnancy. The study combines survey research with biological, environmental and developmental assessments to measure how risk factors interact with each other to influence children's health. Eligibility requirements include: Women residing in randomly selected segments, aged 18-44, not infertile and not cognitively impaired. The study targets (1) non-pregnant women who are 18-44 and actively trying to become pregnant; (2) women age 18-44 who are in the first trimester of pregnancy; (3) several groups defined in terms of whether the women (age 18-44) are in a sexual relationship, using birth control, or are beyond the first trimester at initial screening.

The Michigan study is currently funded to be carried out in Wayne County. It is a highly interdisciplinary study involving a large group of investigators across many fields, primarily in medicine or epidemiology, as well as many local institutions.

NOTE (January 30, 2013: SRC contract expired end of September 2012. We received a 6 month extension (JPFOC) to close down the study including final data submissions and data destruction (in compliceance with FISMA.

The budget for the extension has just been established and entered into CRS (January 2013). I am going to continue reporting on the extension under this entry. Thus, the budget numbers will not match the totals indicated here. I will make a note about that with each monthly update. The extention budget for SRO is \$165,239 (\$106,263 direct).

The current funding in Wayne County is for 5 years. The UM/SRO budget includes costs for the two UM principal investigators, M. Elliott and D. Keating.

A random selection of 15 segments will be done. SRO interviewers will update electronic listing information and screen all households in a selected segment. SRO will conduct baseline in-person interviews and repeated follow-up interviews (phone and in-person) with eligible mothers and fathers of children. SRO will screen approximately 12,000 households to complete about 1,750 effective screens and will enroll about 250 "births" during each of the 5 years for a total of 1000 "births".

PILOT:

(April 2010) MANCS Wayne County Pilot: The full MANCS workscope (and budget) is reduced. Various scopes of work will now be proposed and funded, the total budget not to be exceeded).

As of October 2010, the CRS has been established for the NCS Provider-Based study only. This pilot is to be conducted in Wayne County and will recruit eligible women through their providers. Eligibility is still limited to age eligible women living within the boundaries of the originally sampled and listed 15 segments. The study goal is to recruit 100 women during a six month period, starting in January 2011. The NCS Project Office is expected to review progress from across different Study Centers each using one of 3 recruitment methods and then make a decision about how to proceed for the main data collection.

For this reason (and per request from SRO financial group), we are changing the cost monitoring to monitor only for the Pilot.

The original main MANCS was SRO #07-0055F; We have now input the number pertaining to the Pilot.

Project Period 10/2007 - 09/2012 **Proposal No:** SRO #05-0055S01
Data Collection 01/2010 - 08/2012

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 05/01/2010	Pretest Start:
Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 01/17/2011
Staffing Completed: 11/15/2010	GIT Start: 01/10/2011
SS Train Start: 01/12/2011	SS Train End: 01/14/2011
DC Start: 02/17/2011	DC End: 09/30/2012

Other Project Team Members Hemant Kanan; Jenny Bandyk; Brad Goodwin; Julie Webb; Donnalee Grey-Farquharson; Shaowei Sun; Rebecca Loomis

Report Period Mar, 2013 (MANCS) **Project Phase** Closing
Monthly Update KEY: As of October 1, SRC's involvement in data collection has ended on this project. MSU was awarded the JOFOC -- extension contract -- for the period Oct 1, 2012 through March 31, 2013. UM's involvement is reduced during this

period, consisting primarily of continued VDR data delivery till the end of November (when even MSU/WSU cease data collection), as well as other final data deliveries to assist in the transfer of data collection to NORC for any future follow up with our Wayne County study participants. NOTE: Total cost for SRO to cover this extension is \$165,239.

The WSU staff wrapped up their interviews with the study women on November 30, 2012. SRC continued to pull the interview and SurveyTrak data from the laptops until the end of their data collection. SRC submitted all data via the VDR through the end of the WSU field period as well as prepared one last VDR submission for Dec 13.

SRC worked with MSU and WSU to assist in the launch of re-contacting the study women by WSU staff to obtain consent from them to permit MSU to follow the women outside of the NCS study proper. The NCS study will continue to follow up women across all study centers via regional data collection agencies. NORC will follow the MANCS women.

In November and December we engaged in discussions with MSU and SRC-CMT on various aspects of close-out procedures as well as transfer of data to NORC.

UPDATE for February 2013: In February we continued to work closely with CMT to document precise location of all data, including backup/storage so as to prepare a comprehensive plan for data destruction per the requirements of the PO. We got all the necessary approvals to deliver data to MSU, including instructions for what and how to deliver data from the FISMA enclave.

We delivered data files for all the questionnaire data (not including personal identifying information) to MSU. MSU will deliver these data to S3 (the NCS data repository outfit). We also transferred scanned copies of consent forms to MSU, which they will transfer to PO designate.

Update for March 2013 -- final month of the award: We successfully destroyed all files per contract requirements. This was the first time that we had to work with destruction of files in the FISMA environment. It was a very collaborative effort between TSG and CMT. A formal notice that everything was scrubbed was sent to MSU a few days prior to the end of the contract.

[The previous account was closed out in November. PI signed off and we ended up de-obligating \$85,108.98. Since we were receiving funds in increments during the last year, the total budget was never awarded. Thus, we were awarded \$1,080,555 and expended \$995,446. This was only for the period of November 2011 through September 27, 2012.

Because of the way that the budget was funded, there is no complete match with the original budget input into MPR.]

PLEASE SEE SPECIAL ISSUES BELOW REGARDING BUDGET STATUS FOR THIS 6-MONTH EXTENSION.

Special Issues

NOTE: We have completed the 6-month phase (extension or JOFOC) of our NCS involvement. This is a phase to "transition" the project to another organization by end of March 2013.

Final JOFOC budget status at the end of the project (March 31, 2013):

Total SRO budget: \$165,239. Total costs at completion: \$164,617.13. Variance: \$621.87.

Cost Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	2,718,295.59
Estimated Cost at Completion	2,718,295.65
Total Budget:	2,823,171.59
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	104,875.94

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	100		
Goal at Completion:	100		
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name	National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)			
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects		Project Status	Current
Budget	Direct Budget:	29,643,043.00	Indirect Budget:	10,415,123.00 Total Budget: 40,058,166.00
Principal Investigator/Client	William Mosher (NCHS) Mick Couper (ISR)			

Project Team

Project Lead: Nicole G Kirgis
Budget Analyst: Nancy Oeffner
Production Manager: Sharon K Parker
Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Jennifer M Kelley
Production Manager: Sarrah Ahmed Buageila

Description: The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-44 years of age designed to provide national estimates of factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.

Project Period 09/2010 - 07/2020

Proposal No:

Data Collection 09/2011 - 09/2019

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2011	Pretest Start:
Pretest End:	Recruitment Start: 06/01/2011
Staffing Completed: 08/17/2011	GIT Start: 09/13/2011
SS Train Start: 09/15/2011	SS Train End: 09/19/2011
DC Start: 09/20/2011	DC End: 07/01/2019

Other Project Team Members Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst, Dan Tomlin--Project Support

Report Period Mar, 2013 (NSFG 2010-2020)

Project Phase Implementing

Monthly Update

In February and March, data collection for the second quarter of Year 2 continued. The second quarter ended on March 23. In this quarter, there were several new developments--an experiment with oversampling expected eligible lines as well as implementing a model-based listing reduction (not listing in some segments for the next quarter). In addition, work continues on development of a smart phone application for the entry of interview observations. This will be tested out with a few interviewers in April.

Special Issues

Contract modification 6 is in progress to restructure the contract so that contract line items are in yearly increments. This should help with the flow of funds and monitoring the contract. The contract amount remains at 40,058,166.

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	8,076,626.97
Estimated Cost at Completion	40,058,166.00
Total Budget:	40,058,166.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	1300	75%	9.1
Goal at Completion:	1300	75%	9.1
Current actual:	1329 (Final Q6)	70% (final Q6)	9.6 (cumulative)
Estimate at Complete:	1329	70%	9.6
Variance:	29	-5	.5

Other Measures

Project Name NGCS Supplement to Cognition and Aging in the USA (CogUSA Supp)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 98,863.00 **Indirect Budget:** 53,880.00 **Total Budget:** 152,743.00

Principal Investigator/Client

Project Team

Project Lead: Zoanne Blackburn
Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens
Production Manager: Esther H Ullman
Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Bonnie C Andree**Production Manager:****Description:**

The purpose of this study is to continue the work done for CogUSA, Waves 4 & 5 – exploring the ways to best collect cognitive ability information from respondents across various modes. The sample for this effort will consist of a group of respondents who participated in the NGCS study with your collaborator Jack McArdle (“cogNGCS”).

Interviews will be conducted using both telephone and web modes. The telephone interviews will be completed from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) in Ann Arbor, using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technology and our own electronic sample management system (SMS). The web survey component will be managed by SRO's Ann Arbor-based staff. Content of both telephone and web questionnaires will be taken from the questionnaires administered for CogUSA Waves 4 & 5.

Project Period

03/2014 - 07/2014

Proposal No:**Data Collection**

04/2014 - 07/2014

Milestone Dates**PreProduction Start:**03/01/2013**Pretest Start:****Pretest End:****Recruitment Start:****Staffing Completed:****GIT Start:****SS Train Start:****SS Train End:****DC Start:**04/22/2013**DC End:**07/14/2013**Other Project
Team Members**

ZoAnne Blackburn, Kirsten Alcser, Esther Ullman, Hueichun Peng, Youhong Liu, Dave Dybicki, Aaron Pearson, Bonnie Andree, Joel Devonshire, Emily Blasczyk, Jeannie Baker, Dean Stevens

Report Period

Mar, 2013 (CogUSA Supp)

Project Phase

Planning

Monthly Update

Received specs for the changes needed to both Web and Telephone questionnaires. Programmers completed the changes and testing started. Received the NGCS sample and sent it to Lorton Data for NCOA updates. Return updated sample to project staff for preparation of preload. Began work on changes to sample management systems needed.

Special Issues

We are currently projecting a \$10K overrun. \$8,000 of this is due to the fact that the sample that we are sending incentives to is twice the number budgeted. This has been discussed with project staff and they are not concerned. They have additional funds in the project grant if needed. There are several unknowns at this point - the largest one being the number of respondents we will actually find. Initial contact with this sample was in 1987 and last contact was in 1996.

Cost

Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 23,900.03**Estimated Cost at Completion** 163,612.18**Total Budget:** 152,743.00**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -10,869.18**Measures****Units Complete****RR****HPI****Current Goal:****Goal at Completion:****Current actual:****Estimate at Complete:****Variance:****Other Measures****Project Name**

Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2013 (PSID 2013)

Project Mode

Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status

Current

Budget**Direct Budget:** 3,238,350.00 **Indirect Budget:** 1,797,280.00 **Total Budget:** 5,035,630.00**Principal****Investigator/Client**

Charles Brown (Director) (ISR-SRC)
Vicki Freedman & Narayan Sastry (Associate Dirs) (ISR-SRC)
Katherine McGonagle (Assistant Dir) (ISR-SRC)

Project Team**Project Lead:** Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye**Budget Analyst:** William Lokers**Production Manager:** Sara D Freeland**Senior Project Advisor:** Stephanie A Chardoul**Production Manager:** _UnAssigned

Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta

Description:

PSID is a longitudinal survey of several thousand individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997 and 1999. The total 2013 sample size will be approx. 10,500, with approx. 9,650 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over time.

The 2013 wave features substantial questionnaire changes, including both content additions and more extensive use of preload. The increased preload is intended to reduce interview length and respondent/interviewer burden by permitting the interview to be streamlined based upon information already known. Those efficiencies are hoped to off-set the increase in length due to content additions.

Additionally, the DUST and TA ancillary studies will follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample members via telephone. In an additional ancillary effort, PSID Heads and spouses may be contacted via mail and asked to consent to Social Security Administration record linkage.

The project is also in the midst of an SRO leadership transition, with Shonda Kruger Ndiaye transitioning to the role of SRO Project Lead after data collection is launched.

Project Period

04/2012 - 03/2014

Proposal No: SO # 10-0056

Data Collection

03/2013 - 12/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:06/14/2012

Pretest Start:10/31/2012

Pretest End:11/13/2012

Recruitment Start:09/28/2012

Staffing Completed:01/01/2013

GIT Start:

SS Train Start:02/23/2013

SS Train End:03/08/2013

DC Start:03/11/2013

DC End:12/06/2013

**Other Project
Team Members**

2011 Study Director/Advisor--Eva Leissou
Tech Lead--Jeff Smith
Blaise Programming--Youhong Liu
STrak Programming--Brant Zhang
Data Ops--Brad Goodwin, Minako Edgar, and Emily Blasczyk
WTrak/WLog Programming--Holly Ackerman
Help Desk Lead--Andrea Pierce
Production Manager Support--Peggy Lavanger

Report Period

Mar, 2013 (PSID 2013)

Project Phase

Implementing

Monthly Update

Interviewer Training 1 was held Feb 28 - March 3. Interviewer Training 2 was March 5 - March 8.

Final, production versions of Blaise, SurveyTrak, WebTrak and WebLog (Tracking) were programmed and tested. SSL Carrels were also loaded.

Production launched March 11. Each interviewer was required to successfully complete a DRI sound check before being released to Production. This process uncovered a systemic problem in the SSL, which was subsequently resolved (after purchase of Headset Polarity Cables and significant testing of various hardware configurations). There remained a small group of interviewers (SSL and Field, but disproportionately SSL) that had lingering DRI problems.

The management team began using the Dashboard for production monitoring.

The first batch of production split-off processing revealed a limitation in the number of sample lines the program could successfully process. A very acceptable work-around was put in place but we await programmer availability to address this issue definitively.

Special Issues

We are still working on the 2013 Budget. Additionally, the monthly cost reports' EACs have varied dramatically (primarily due to variation in interviewer rates). The cost data above are through February. Shonda, Bill and Eva are working on the March cost report, however, we're not confident that it's currently accurate. **The EAC above includes projections for an HPI of 5.9. It also incorporates the SSL work. Training Payments have not yet been removed and replaced with direct bill of all hours.** The project needs to be formally rebudgeted to accommodate the following changes in scope: 1) Official increase of HPI to 5.9 or 6 to align with 2011 experience, 2) Addition of SSL work team to staffing plan, 3) Addition of Dashboard development costs (limited, but not associated with additional funding), 4) Elimination of Training Payments, 5) Incorporation of additional SD hours, per SD Transition plan. During the rebudget we will also use the actual Field and SSL staff rates for 2013. This will make estimates more precise.

Cost
Feb 28, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	682,377.30
Estimated Cost at Completion	5,111,548.65
Total Budget:	5,035,630.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	-75,919.65

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	1,259		5.66
Goal at Completion:	9,470	94% overall	5.8
Current actual:	1,447	16%	4.86
Estimate at Complete:	9,470	94% overall	5.8
Variance:	0	0	0

Other Measures

Project Name Project Talent Mail Survey & Non-Response Telephone Follow-up (PTMS)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget **Direct Budget:** 431,464.00 **Indirect Budget:** 165,520.00 **Total Budget:** 596,984.00

Principal Investigator/Client David Weir (HRS PI, SRC)
 Project Talent (American Institutes for Research (AIR))
 Mary Beth Ofstedal (HRS, SRC (MiCDA PI))

Project Team **Project Lead:** Jennifer E Bandyk
Budget Analyst:
Production Manager: James Koopman
Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Jennifer E Bandyk
Production Manager:

Description: This is a 50-year Project Talent follow-up feasibility and “proof of concept” study. Project Talent, located at the American Institutes for Research (AIR), is collaborating with SRC to have SRO assist with the development of materials, tracking respondents, SSA consent form and questionnaire data collection, and data processing.

The purpose of this Health and Retirement Study (HRS) supplement is to support joint activities with Project Talent to explore feasibility of alternative approaches to administrative linkages to Social Security Administration (SSA) records and collecting data via a self-administered questionnaire.

Project Talent participants are similar in age to the median HRS participant. This study will use a one-percent (1%) representative sample selection of 9th through 12th grade students from 1300 high schools in 1960 (N=440,000).

There are two funding sources:
 *MiCDA (SRO P/G F027942): \$55,109 Direct, \$85,143 Total (CRS)
 *HRS Supplement (SRO P/G F027939) Original \$309,390 Direct, \$420,769 Total (CRS)
 plus supplement workscope (11-0048S01) added October 2011 \$66,964 Direct, \$91,071 Total (CRS)

Project Period 03/2011 - 05/2012 **Proposal No:** 11-0048 & 11-0049

Data Collection 06/2011 - 03/2011

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:**Pretest End:****Staffing Completed:**06/24/2011**SS Train Start:**07/11/2011**DC Start:**09/06/2011**Pretest Start:****Recruitment Start:****GIT Start:****SS Train End:****DC End:**06/29/2011

Important Project Dates

Project Initiation (SRO Admin):04/15/2011
SSL Tracking Training:07/11/2011
SAQ only Non-Response Telephone 11/21/2011
Main Survey Mailing-Initial Packet:01/16/2012
Main Non-Response Telephone 02/24/2012

Project Kick-off:05/06/2011
SAQ only Survey Mailing:10/18/2011
Main Survey Mailing-Adv Letter:01/10/2012
SAQ only Survey Mailing--Packet:02/14/2012
Main NR Calling Ends:05/25/2012

**Other Project
Team Members**

Project Assistant: Jeannie Baker
 Survey Director (Qnaire Dev): Lisa Holland (Spring 2011)
 Technical Coordinator/Programmer: Ming Zhu (to early Sept)
 RLM Programmer: Hemant Kannan
 DBA Programmer: Holly Ackerman
 SMS Blaise Programmer: Dave Dybicki
 Data Managers: Emily Blaszczak, Joel Devonshire
 Stats Unit: Sunghee Lee, Katie Huang
 SSL Staff: Jamie Koopman, Lloyd Hemingway

Report Period Mar, 2013 (PTMS) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update No information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	596,984.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	0.00

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Responding to Surveys on Mobile Multimodal Devices (iPhone)
Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3
Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current
Budget **Direct Budget:** 143,675.00 **Indirect Budget:** 78,301.00 **Total Budget:** 221,976.00
Principal Investigator/Client Dr. Fred Conrad (University of Michigan)
 Dr. Michael Schober (The New School for Social Research)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Andrew L Hupp
Budget Analyst:	Mary D Hopper
Production Manager:	Lloyd Fate Hemingway
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: The primary objectives are to (1) begin the empirical assessment of collecting survey data with multimodal, mobile devices; (2) evaluate the impact of new modes such as automated voice and human text interviews on participation, completion, data quality and user satisfaction, especially in comparison to familiar modes like human voice interviews; and (3) explore how this might differ when it is possible for respondents to choose a response mode – one that is potentially different from the mode in which they are invited. Ultimately, these data will add to basic understanding of human dynamics: when and how people are willing to disclose information to interlocutors (human and computer) with different communicative attributes.

Project Period 01/2011 - 06/2012 **Proposal No:** 10-0003R01
Data Collection 03/2012 - 06/2012

Milestone Dates	PreProduction Start:	Pretest Start:
	Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:
	Staffing Completed:	GIT Start:
	SS Train Start:	SS Train End:
	DC Start:	DC End:

**Other Project
Team Members**

Hemant Kannan - Management system programming consultant
 Yanna Yan - SMP MA Student
 Chris Antoun - SMP PhD Student
 Chan Zhang - SMP PhD Student
 Heather Schroeder - Stat Unit (Sampling and Reporting)

**Report Period
Monthly Update**

Mar, 2013 (iPhone)

Project Phase

Closing

The interface development at Parsons continues. The interviewing interface looks pretty good. There are a few small things that Parsons needs to address. Parsons is focusing more heavily on the automated side. A good portion of the work there is done. They are refining the grammars and the recognition to make the system operate better.

Parsons has provided some output from the system to the analysts so they can see the structure and look to see if there is anything else we would like to capture/add.

There have been some revisions to the questionnaire. I have spoken to the PI to make sure they are going to submit all of the little changes that have been made along the way to various pieces of text (questionnaires, recruiting text, etc.) Rhonda MH is looking into the iTunes incentives that we want to use. Parsons made the initial contact and UM has taken that over.

A training is planned for the SSL staff in March. Development of training materials will occur in February. With HRS starting in the lab in May we need to get started soon to get data collected.

A usability test was conducted in January. A pilot is planned for early March (hopefully rolling into production). We continue to push the developers.

The Michigan team also met to work on the recruiting items around Craigslist. SMP meets regularly to discuss the items in the questionnaire, the screener and the post-survey.

March '12

The interviewers were trained in March. A brief pilot of the system followed the training. 40 interviews (10 in each mode) were conducted to test to make sure everything was working correctly. The feeling thermometer questions were dropped after the pilot due to them being problematic in IVR. There were other recognition issues identified in IVR. Production began at the very end of March in three modes (human-voice, human-text, and automated-text). Refinement of the IVR will continue and will data collection will resume with that once things have been addressed.

Recruiting has been done using Facebook, Craigslist and GoogleAds. Facebook proved to not be very productive so it was dropped. Recruiting continues via Craigslist and GoogleAds. There have been days where recruitment has not kept up with production and interviewing has finished early several days or has not occurred at all due to lack of sample. Various things are being tried to coordinate the availability of sample (including increasing the call limit on cases that are now out of the contact pool).

Apr. '12

The study has progressed well. There were initial problems with IVR which have been fixed. The human interviewing is almost complete. It is expected to finish in early May. Human text interviews will be the first group to finish. Recruiting has been a bit of a hindrance. We have continued to recruit due to not being able to recruit a large enough sample to keep the interviewers busy (between the size of the sample and the calling rules). For the second experiment we will need to recruit a larger group before getting started to keep the group more efficient. The overall HPU has been low but could be lower due to the previously explained inefficiency. There have been some sporadic payment problems but it seems to be due to the user and technical issues rather than the codes provided not being good. The first experiment is expected to be finished prior to AAPOR. The second experiment will start at some point after that (in June or July).

May '12

The first experiment concluded data collection in early May. The goal was 600 interviews. We ended with 642 across all four modes. Human text interviews was the first group to finish with the IVR group the last to finish. The IVR group started later due to technical issues. They did finish quite rapidly due to it being an automated system.

We switched much of the recruiting to Mechanical Turk. Over the coming month the recruiting will be analyzed to determine the best way forward for the recruitment of participants for Experiment 2. The results of the first experiment have received a bit of press and a presentation at AAPOR and a panel at IFDTC were given about the project. Plans are underway to present at next year's conferences with the results of Experiment 2.

A few modifications to the system will be done in May and June to prepare for Experiment 2 (mode switching). Once those have been made and tested the interviewers will receive a brief refresher and data collection will begin. It is estimated that will occur in late June.

June '12

The one developer left at Parsons has made most of the changes to the system for the second experiment. The New School is in contact with one of the developers on the project who has graduated and been unresponsive about fixing

the few items he programmed. Once that has happened we can move forward with further testing and a pilot.

Testing to date shows the most of the fixes and development for the second experiment seem to be in place. Once the last few items and the other programmer fixes items related to the interview UI we can do some final testing and begin the pilot with production (hopefully) to follow shortly thereafter. We will begin recruiting a little before production to build up the pool of respondents.

July '12

Two trainings for interviewers were held. A refresher for those continuing on the project along with what changed and a separate training for those who were experienced in interviewing but new to the project. A test of the system was done with cases for a week which rolled into data collection. We started recruiting to build up a larger pool of cases so we can avoid the issue of running out of cases that we had during the first experiment.

August '12

Data collection for experiment two began in August. So far things have gone smoothly. We will need to interview into September. We will continue recruiting to try and keep the available pool up to keep the staff busy. We are in a better position at the start than we were when experiment 1 began. More iTunes codes will need to be ordered at some point. There is some uncertainty about how many people will switch modes and to which modes they will switch. We will adjust staffing accordingly to go with the flow.

September '12

Data collection for experiment two concluded in September. Things went smoothly. A debriefing was held with the PIs and the interviewing staff at the end of the month. The interviewing staff provided insight to how this worked and things the researchers needed to think about.

We had trouble purchasing more iTunes codes towards the end. The business office had purchased them directly from Apple with no problems previously. Apple changed the way that you could purchase codes (at least for educational institutions (which the contract with UM further complicated). The few remaining codes needed were procured by purchasing iTunes gifts cards at a local store and getting the code from that card and loading it into the system.

Towards the end we tried to balance the sample composition to get close to what we had for experiment one. That was achieved. Analysis will begin on the experiment two data.

October '12

Due to the busy schedule of the PIs, Andrew is helping the research team with the analysis moving forward. Most work in SRO is done. Andrew is working on getting the accounts extended out so he can continue working on the project through the analysis phase. The team is working on deciding the presentations that will be given for AAPOR.

November/December '12

We purchased two Mac laptops with the PI approval on the SRO accounts. Andrew has one and one of the grad students (Chris Antoun) has the other. The laptops are needed to do the interaction coding. The software for the interaction coding only runs on the Mac. The accounts have been extended through the end of the project period (Sept. '13). The project will likely apply for a no cost extension. The work has turned towards the analysis. Andrew will be assisting the research staff with this task moving forward.

January '13

Andrew has created a coding application to code the open ended answers related to mode choice. Andrew and two graduate students will code the open-ended answers (and calculate inter-rater reliability). Andrew spoke with the PI and budgeted some travel on the SRO budget. This will cover the PIs, two graduate students and two SRO staff members to go to presentations related to the project at the Cannell Interviewer Respondent Interaction Workshop, AAPOR and IFDTC. The remainder of the funds will be going back to the PI. Work continues on the analysis of the data collected for the Cannell Interviewer Respondent Interaction workshop, AAPOR, IFDTC and ESRA.

February '13

Andrew and two graduate students have been working through the coding scheme and have coded a few cases. We met after those few cases to calibrate how we were coding particular items and adjusted/added codes as necessary. Coding will continue and then a reliability calculated. The project will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC).

March '13

Andrew and two graduate students coded the open ended data on why participants selected the mode they chose for experiment 2. Analysis work on the three AAPOR presentations and two IFDTC presentations continues. The project will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC) and for others on the project team to travel to conferences (AAPOR/IFDTC/ESRA).

Special Issues

Issues related to privacy have come up due to some recent publicity around the iPhone collecting GPS data and storing it in an unencrypted file. We will need to make sure that people are in a safe place and may need to tell them to delete the text conversations (if in that mode) before synching, otherwise the history will be kept on the users computer and could potentially be subpoenaed.

Working on how to recruit participants and pay them via iTunes.

Cost
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	171,745.70
Estimated Cost at Completion	220,749.45
Total Budget:	221,976.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	1,226.55

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	300/600		
Goal at Completion:	300/600		
Current actual:	341/625		
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name	UM College of Pharmacy Alumni Study (UMCOP Alum Study)		
Project Mode	Primary: Web		
Project Type	Sponsored Projects	Project Status	Current
Budget	Direct Budget: 47,765.00	Indirect Budget: 0.00	Total Budget: 47,765.00
Principal Investigator/Client	Mr. Peter Niedbala (Director of Pharmacy Advancement U of M)		

Project Team	Project Lead: Esther H Ullman
	Budget Analyst:
	Production Manager:
	Senior Project Advisor: Zoanne Blackburn
	Production Manager:
	Production Manager:

Description: The purpose of this project is to contact approximately 4,000 U-M College of Pharmacy alumni, primarily via email, to invite them to participate in a short web survey. SRC's involvement with this project includes programming the short web-based questionnaire including biographical items as well as approximately 15 survey questions, inviting pre-identified respondents by email, mail, and telephone, and tracking non-respondents, as necessary.

Project Period	12/2012 - 06/2013	Proposal No:	13-0005R01
Data Collection	02/2013 - 05/2013		

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	Pretest Start:
Pretest End:	Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed:	GIT Start:
SS Train Start:	SS Train End:
DC Start:	DC End:

Other Project
Team Members

Report Period	Mar, 2013 (UMCOP Alum Study)	Project Phase	Implementing
Monthly Update	In March data collection began for the Pharmacy web survey. Reminder letters and emails were sent. Plans for follow-up calling by the SSL were made and the design of the SMS coversheet was undertaken.		

Special Issues**Cost**
Mar 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	17,722.18
Estimated Cost at Completion	47,757.65
Total Budget:	47,765.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	7.35

Measures	Units Complete			RR	HPI
	<i>Current Goal:</i> <i>Goal at Completion:</i> <i>Current actual:</i> <i>Estimate at Complete:</i> <i>Variance:</i>				

Other Measures