Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

July 2013

Sponsored Projects

(Army STARRS) Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers
(CogEcon2013 Web/Mail Study) Cognitive Economics 2013
(CogUSA Supp) NGCS Supplement to Cognition and Aging in the USA
(DUST II 2013) Health and Daily Life
(HealthDisp) Health Disparities
(HRS 2012) Health and Retirement Study
(HRS Internet 2013) HRS Internet 2013
(HRS Screening Initiative) HRS Screening Initiative
(HRSVA) HRS-VA Data linkage Project - HRS Veterans Administration Consent Collection Project
(IMDU) Intensive Measurement of Drug Use during Transition to Adulthood
(iPhone) Responding to Surveys on Mobile Multimodal Devices
(LMT MS) Math Solutions
(MCEE) Michigan Council on Educator Effectiveness
(MDRC) MDRC - Reading Partners Program Evaluation Project
(MRRS III) Effects of Recession and Economic Stimulus in Southeast Michigan Wave III
(MTF NR 2013) MTF Non-response 2013
(MTF-WPSP) Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot
(NSFG 2010-2020) National Survey of Family Growth
(PSID 2013) Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2013 (Family Economics Study)
(SCA 2013) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes
(SCIP) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project
(SHOS-B) Army STARRS SHOS-B
(TA 2013) Transition to Adulthood (2013)
(Transcript Study) Impact of the Michigan Merit Curriculum & Michigan Promise Scholarship on Studen
(UMCOP Alum Study) UM College of Pharmacy Alumni Study

Project Name	Army STARRS SHOS-B (SHOS-B)
Project Mode	Primary: Telephone Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2
Project Type	Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current
Budget	***Direct Budget:*** 918,952.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 238,927.52 ***Total Budget:*** 1,157,879.52
Principal Investigator/Client	

Project Team

Project Lead:	Nancy J Gebler
Budget Analyst:	William Lokers
Production Manager:	Lisa J Carn
Senior Project Advisor:	
Production Manager:	Margaret Lee Hudson
Production Manager:	

Description:

SHOS-B stands for Solder Health Outcomes Study B. The primary research aim addressed by SHOS-B is to find the risk and protective factors for suicide death among Army Soldiers. SHOS-B will assess potential risk and protective factors from multiple domains including: the presence and accumulation of mental disorders, receipt of psychological treatment, developmental history and medical family history, the experience of specific military or general life stressors, and recent experiences/state of mind prior to death.

The Soldier Health Outcomes Study B (SHOS-B) represents the psychological autopsy component of the Army STARRS project and broadly aims to identify risk and protective factors for suicide among Army Soldiers. SHOS-B is the study of those Soldiers who have died by suicide while on active duty in the Army. Soldiers who are regular Army, as well as Soldiers who are Reserve and National Guard are included in the study.

A “psychological autopsy” is a term for a detailed and broad investigation of a person and the circumstances surrounding that person’s death. It is frequently used if the death was from suicide. The investigators attempt to reconstruct what the person thought, felt, and did before death, based on information gathered from personal documents, police reports, medical and coroner’s records, and interviews with families, friends and others who had contact with the person before death.

There are many advantages to using psychological autopsy. These studies aim to reconstruct an individual’s psychological makeup (e.g., thoughts, feelings, behaviors, intentions, motivations, life circumstances), identify risk factors for death, and understand the mode and details of the suicidal behavior. Psychological autopsy studies offer a unique opportunity to gather information on a number of areas linked to suicide that are not generally accessible to epidemiological studies.

In addition to the strengths of psychological autopsy studies in general, SHOS-B is unique relative to other Army STARRS components as it is the only component of the study to obtain new data on risk and protective factors for suicide among Soldiers who have recently died by suicide. SHOS-A will collect information on risk and protective factors from recent suicide attempters (a group known to differ somewhat from those who actually die by suicide), and the aggregate database component will examine information about risk and protective factors available among Army records.

The SHOS-B study seeks to recruit and interview two Informants (a next of kin and an Army Supervisor) for each Soldier who has died. The research team will also examine administrative data for the Soldiers, in order to better understand the circumstances of that Soldier’s time in the Army, and subsequent death.

SHOS-B is a case/control study. For every Case Soldier (a Soldier who has died), we will recruit two Control Soldiers. These will be Soldiers who may have similar backgrounds and/or experiences but have not died. SHOS-B will interview two Informants (next of kin and Army Supervisor) for each Control Soldier. The inclusion of this control group allows for the possibility of assessing which risk factors are most linked with suicide. In other words, we will compare the two groups of Soldiers to determine what leads some Soldiers to be more resilient to experiences common to Soldiers, and what decreases resilience in others.

The SHOS-B project is necessary to provide previously unavailable information about a wide range of factors that may be useful in better understanding and predicting suicide death among Army Soldiers. Ultimately, we hope that this information will help to prevent unnecessary deaths among Soldiers.

Project Period	01/2010 - 06/2014	**Proposal No:**	
Data Collection	03/2012 - 12/2013		

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:***
Staffing Completed:	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:	***SS Train End:***
DC Start:	***DC End:***

Other Project Team Members

Report Period July, 2013 (SHOS-B) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update Update included in Army STARRS report.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	1,157,879.52
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 24,375,004.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 6,332,159.00 ***Total Budget:*** 30,707,164.00

Principal Investigator/Client Steve Heeringa (University of Michigan)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Nancy J Gebler
Budget Analyst:	Mary Anne Kern
Production Manager:	Dante Vasquez
Senior Project Advisor:	Beth-Ellen Pennell
Production Manager:	Margaret Lee Hudson
Production Manager:	Andrew L Hupp

Description: The Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Service Members (STARRS) is the largest study of suicide and mental health among military personnel ever undertaken. The purpose of the collaborative study is to identify modifiable risk and protective factors and moderators of suicidal behavior, to help inform the Army's ongoing efforts to prevent suicide and improve Soldiers' overall psychological health and functioning. To do this, investigators from the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS), the University of Michigan, Harvard Medical School, Columbia University, and the National Institute of Mental Health will conduct an epidemiologic study of mental health, pyshcological resilience, suicide risk, suicide-related behaviors, and suicide deaths in the Army. The study will evaluate representative samples of Soldiers across all phases of Army service, both retrospectively and prospectively.

Project Period 07/2009 - 06/2014 **Proposal No:** 09-0046

Data Collection 01/2011 - 12/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:***
Staffing Completed:	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:	***SS Train End:***
DC Start:	***DC End:***

Other Project Team Members Lead Team: Mary Kern; Barbara Lohr_Ward, Lisa Holland, Lisa Wood, Kathy LaDronka, Margaret Hudson, Andrew Hupp, ZoAnne Balckburn, Meredith House, Dante Vasquez, Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, LaMont Manley, Louis Daher.

Report Period July, 2013 (Army STARRS) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update Update info given directly to Admin.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	30,707,164.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Cognitive Economics 2013 (CogEcon2013 Web/Mail Study)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 87,247.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 47,550.00 ***Total Budget:*** 134,797.00

Principal Investigator/Client Robert Willis (SRO)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Esther H Ullman
Budget Analyst:	Janelle P Cramer
Production Manager:	
Senior Project Advisor:	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung
Production Manager:	Maryam N Buageila
Production Manager:	Stanley W Hasper

Description: This project would include all HRS Cognition and Aging respondents who participated in the first wave of the Cognitive Economics Internet/mail survey in 2008, except for those who refused to be part of the parent cognition study any longer, or that we know are deceased. The total sample size is approximately 900. Respondents will be invited to complete the survey in the same mode (mail or web) they used to complete the last wave of data collection. It is expected there will be some mode switching once production starts, and we will develop protocols and technical systems to allow for this. The assumed breakdown is 30-40% of participants will respond via mail and the remainder will complete the web survey. The web survey instrument will be programmed by project staff with some additional features and formatting added by SRO (including integration of special “slider” programming from the MiNYVan study), and the entire web survey project will be hosted (sample management system) by SRO.

Project Period 06/2013 - 03/2014 **Proposal No:** SRO# 13-0040

Data Collection 09/2013 - 01/2014

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members Winter Freeman (Project Associate), Emily Blasczyk, Hueichun Peng, Maryam Buageila

Report Period July, 2013 (CogEcon2013 **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update During July planning for CogEcon 2013 started. The tech team was formed and a kick-off meeting was held to review roles and timelines for the project/.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	134,797.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Effects of Recession and Economic Stimulus in Southeast Michigan Wave III (MRRS III)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 553,930.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 75,000.00 ***Total Budget:*** 628,930.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Sheldon Danziger (University of Michigan National Poverty Center)
Kristen Seefeldt (University of Michigan School of Social Work)
Sarah Burgard (University of Michigan School of Social Work)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Jennifer C Arrieta
Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek
Production Manager:	Dianne G Casey
Senior Project Advisor:	Kirsten Haakan Alcser
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description:
- aka Michigan Recession and Recovery Study (MRRSIII)

The purpose of this project is to explore who is most negatively affected by the economic crises and who benefits most from the economic stimulus package on a variety of dimensions. SRO will be responsible for a 68-minute survey to approximately 767 respondents (who participated in the wave 2 interview) of an expected sample size of 847 respondents from within the Wayne, Oakland, and Macomb tri-county area. When respondents are within a 50 mile radius of the tri-county area, they will be given the option of being interviewed in-person or by telephone. We will attempt to conduct telephone interviews with respondents located outside of the area.

Project Period 03/2013 - 02/2014 **Proposal No:** #13-0019R01
Data Collection 06/2013 - 10/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	03/05/2013	***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	03/15/2013
Staffing Completed:	04/16/2013	***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:	06/11/2013	***SS Train End:***	06/12/2013
DC Start:	06/17/2013	***DC End:***	10/31/2013

Other Project Team Members
Jeff Smith - Tech Lead/STrak Programmer
Jim Hagerman - Blaise Programmer
Holly Ackerman - WebTrak/Weblog Programmer
Data Manager - Jennie Williams
Help Desk Supervisor - Genise Pattullo
Help Desk Lead - Deb Wilson
Instrument Testing - ZoAnne Blackburn
Tech Specs/Project Assistant - Jeannie Baker

Report Period July, 2013 (MRRS III) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update
During the first seven weeks of data collection (6/17-8/3), 459 interviews were completed (59 interviews below goal). The average interview length was 74.36 minutes (6.36 minutes above budgeted). The cumulative HPI (4.36) is higher than was originally projected for this point in data collection (4.10) and slightly higher than the budgeted HPI (4.34).

The higher percent of phone interviews (86%) than budgeted (61%) has helped keep the HPI lower. Interviewers were required to reschedule all appointments for Thursday evening through Saturday during week 6 of data collection so that the confidentiality and consent statement could be mailed to all non-final SIDs prior to them participating in the interview. Twenty-seven percent of the total sample had been placed in tracking by the end of week 7 (note: In wave 2, 25% of the sample had been placed in tracking during data collection). The higher HPI, appointment rescheduling, and higher percent of tracking has impacted data collection but Wave 3 actuals are still in line with Wave 2 actuals.

Special Issues

Concerns for the study include:
-Mid-April, the client requested SRO to look for ways to save $10,000 to $20,000 direct;
-Iw length is longer than projected and HPI is higher than budgeted which could lead to cost over-runs. The July cost report assumes a 4.60 overall HPI (budgeted was 4.34). Cost estimates assuming a 4.65 overall HPI have been run and project a slight over-run. The MRRS Research team has been informed if the HPI goes above 4.60, we will need to monitor carefully and have discussions;
-Managing multiple funding sources;
-The budgeted scope of work requires a short field period while aiming to obtain a high response rate. Obtaining this response rate may require more effort, including a longer data collection period; and
-IRB may require callbacks to 324 respondents completed their telephone interview prior to receiving the confidentiality and consent terms document. An ORIO and an ammendment were being drafted the end of July to submit to IRB.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	248,686.36
Estimated Cost at Completion	601,761.69
Total Budget:	628,930.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	27,168.31

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	518	61%	4.10
Goal at Completion:	752	90%	4.34
Current actual:	459	55%	4.37
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Health and Daily Life (DUST II 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 744,423.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 459,804.00 ***Total Budget:*** 1,204,227.00

Principal Investigator/Client Vicki Freedman (UM, ISR, SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Robert Lee
Budget Analyst:	William Lokers
Production Manager:	Russell W Stark
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	_UnAssigned
Production Manager:	_UnAssigned

Description:

This project is a supplement to the 2013 Panel Study of Income Dynamics. Respondents from PSID 2013, fitting the proper age criteria, will comprise the survey sample. The PSID Staff will be responsible for selecting the sample that meets the demographics desired for this project. The sample will consist of both coupled households, and single person households. For all sample members, interviews will be obtained for one random weekday and one random weekend day. Among coupled households, interviews will be obtained for husbands and wives on the same random weekday and random weekend day. In total, 4,698 diaries will be completed. Two instruments will be administered - the first interview will have a CATI Time Diary averaging 40 minutes in length and a Blaise instrument averaging 15 minutes in length (55 minute total); the second interview will have a second Time Diary and a smaller Blaise instrument that, combined, will average 40 minutes. Each respondent will be interviewed twice in the course of the study, once on a weekday and once on a weekend day. For each respondent, one interview will average 50 minutes and the second will average 40 minutes. The data collection period is from June, 2013 to January, 2014. All interviews will be conducted by telephone in the Survey Services Lab (SSL) using a Blaise instrument, using SurveyTrak on PC's.

Project Period 01/2013 - 04/2017 **Proposal No:**
Data Collection 06/2013 - 01/2014

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:01/01/2013	***Pretest Start:***04/11/2013
Pretest End:05/02/2013	***Recruitment Start:***03/15/2013
Staffing Completed:05/31/2013	***GIT Start:***06/15/2013
SS Train Start:06/17/2013	***SS Train End:***06/19/2013
DC Start:06/20/2013	***DC End:***01/31/2013

Other Project Team Members Jas Sokhal, Tech Lead; Jeff Smith (SurveyTrak), Jim Hagerman (Blaise), Holly Ackerman (WebTrak), Genise Pattullo (Help Desk), Minako Edgar (Data Ops), Beth Jones (Site Coordinator)

Report Period July, 2013 (DUST II 2013) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update DUST is a diary study of English-speaking PSID respondents, age 60 and older, who completed the 2013 PSID interview, plus their spouse or communal partner. Each respondent is asked to complete two telephone interviews, each on specified days of the week, and report on their activities over a 24-hour period. Studies of this sort are often referred to as "Time Use" studies. There is a screening interview (CS) that is used to verify eligibility and to set specific appointments with the eligibles. The first time diary (TD1) averages about 55 minutes in length, while the second one (TD2) averages about 35 minutes. Both TD1 and TD2 also contain a small amount of non-diary content. The month of July was spent in intense data collection activity, with most production days fully scheduled with TD appointments. Modifications to the original data model were programmed during July, for release in early August.

Special Issues There are three measures of project progress, each specified in a project goal chart, one for the CS, one for TD1's and the other for TD2's. While we are ahead of schedule with CS completions, we are somewhat behind with each of the TD types. However, keep in mind that the goal chart was created to address the PI's request to complete DC in December, rather than the scheduled late January to early February. While the goal chart "lags" for the TD's, current projections are that DC will be completed in the Jan/Feb time frame, as originally planned. The client is aware of this. Please note that while the PI requested an earlier completion date for DC, this was done only in the form of "it would be good if we could be done in December",he did not demand an actual official change in its end date, and nor was one provided.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	474,000.02
Estimated Cost at Completion	1,204,227.00
Total Budget:	1,204,227.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	226	22.5%	8.87
Goal at Completion:	1515	80%	8.99
Current actual:	341	14.9%	6.45
Estimate at Complete:	1515	80%	8.99
Variance:	0	0.00%	0

Other Measures

Project Name Health and Retirement Study (HRS 2012)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 13,160,794.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 4,737,885.00 ***Total Budget:*** 17,898,679.00

Principal Investigator/Client
David Weir (UM, ISR, SRC)
Mary Beth Ofstedal (UM, ISR, SRC)
Kenneth Langa (UM, ISR, SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Heidi Marie Guyer
Budget Analyst:	Jeffrey Keeler
Production Manager:	Stephanie Sullivan
Senior Project Advisor:	Mary P Maher
Production Manager:	Rebecca Gatward
Production Manager:	Robert Lee

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992. The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. In 2012, panel members asked to participate in the PM/Bio & SAQ will be asked again, as well as the 50% of baseline respondents who were not asked in 2010/11 as part of their baseline interview. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records , Medicare and Medicaid are obtained. In 2012, consent to link to Veterans Administration (VA) records will be requested of all veteran respondents (n~6,000). The HRS 2010 sample will include approximately 26,500 respondents. The total budget amount reflected here represents pre-production, main data collection and post-production cost estimates.

Project Period 08/2011 - 04/2012 **Proposal No:** 12-0044

Data Collection 04/2012 - 02/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 08/01/2011	***Pretest Start:*** 01/30/2012
Pretest End: 02/15/2012	***Recruitment Start:*** 11/06/2011
Staffing Completed: 03/29/2012	***GIT Start:*** 04/15/2012
SS Train Start: 04/19/2012	***SS Train End:*** 04/23/2012
DC Start: 04/24/2012	***DC End:*** 04/24/2013

Other Project Team Members Maryam Buageila, Erin Burgess, Dianne Casey, Piotr Dworak, Rebecca Gatward, Heidi Guyer, Frost Hubbard, Bob Lee, Heather Rejto, Andrea Scott, Stephanie Sullivan

---

Report Period July, 2013 (HRS 2012) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update Close-out activities continue, including the processing of returned SAQ's, processing of returned checks, and the reconciling of equipment and supplies.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	18,056,266.40
Estimated Cost at Completion	18,057,342.13
Total Budget:	17,898,679.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	-232,776.13

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	21,678	87.0	7.72
Goal at Completion:	21,790	88.0%	7.6
Current actual:	21,886	88.8	7.43
Estimate at Complete:	21,886	88.8%	7.43
Variance:	0		

Other Measures

Project Name Health Disparities (HealthDisp)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 8,180.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 4,265.00 ***Total Budget:*** 12,466.00

Principal Investigator/Client Dr. James Jackson (Research Center for Group Dynamics - ISR)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Andrew L Hupp
Budget Analyst:	Andrew L Hupp
Production Manager:	
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: The study involves recruiting patients participating in Cardic Rehab at the UM Hospital. Project staff will collect biological measures, extract information from patient records and administer a web based survey. SRO's involvement is in developing the web based instrument and providing support once the survey is launched.

Project Period 01/2009 - 06/2013 **Proposal No:** 09-0007

Data Collection

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members Marta Murray Close, Paul Schulz

Report Period July, 2013 (HealthDisp) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update

The project staff tested the latest version of the instrument and has given a list of items they want changed/updated/fixed. Those changes will be made. The project staff asked the SPA in a meeting about the follow-up instruments (which they had not provided) and a budget for a new project with a similar instrument.

Most of the changes/updates/fixes have been made. The proejct staff will be given a new version to test in March. Paul Schulz will be coming on to finsih any fixes after this and will work on the subsequent waves of the instrument. A meeting is scheduled with the project staff, Andrew and Paul to transition the work of finalizing the main instrument and begin work on the follow-up instruments.

Andrew and Paul met with the project staff about the new changes and fixes that are still needed and the next follow-up survey that needs to be programmed. Paul is taking over the programming moving forward. Paul will make the changes and give a testing verison to the project staff. Once the initial instrument is finalized the work on the follow-up instrument will begin. The project staff has been learning about the instrument as they interview participants using paper surveys which have prompted changes in the programming of things they had not forseen. The follow-up instrument is largely based on the main instrument. Once everything is working there, that instrument will be used as the basis for the follow-up instruments.

May '12
Paul continues to provide testing version and makes corrections and additions as necesarry as they test. The main instrument is close to being ready. After that Paul will begin programming the follow-up instruments (which are largely subsets of the main instrument. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them.

June '12
Paul continues to provide testing version and makes corrections and additions as necesarry as they test. The main instrument is close to being ready. After that Paul will begin programming the follow-up instruments (which are largely subsets of the main instrument. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds, but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

July '12
Paul has most of the instrument programmed. There are a few remaining items that need to be fixed. Once those items work, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds, but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

August '12
Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

September '12
Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH.

October '12
Paul has most of the instrument programmed. The research team continues to make changes to the instrument. Once those items have been implemented, Paul will begin working on the follow-up instruments. Stephanie C. has been working with the client on all of the scope changes and getting more funds from them. They have agreed to provide more funds (cover our overrun and provide additional money), but they are awaiting all of the funds from SPH. Andrew spoke with the research team and they have provided SRO with access to an account on the RCGD side for Paul to charge to. We will be able to move the overrun to their accounts once they have their year 3 funding from

SPH.

November/December '12
Paul made the last few updates to the instrument. The project staff tested and signed off on the production instrument. Paul worked with them on the best way to handle loading the sample and is available to answer questions. He has begun working on the follow-up instruments now that they have signed off on the base instrument.

January '13
Paul has been working on the Wave 2 follow-up instrument. That is close to being finished with a few changes expected after further testing has occurred. After that he will program the next two waves which are based on the Wave 2 instrument with only minor wording changes expected. All time is being charged to a project staff account. I spoke with the financial person on their side about the overrun. They will roll the SRO balance up into the RCGD balance thereby absorbing the overdraft.

February '13
Paul provided the Wave 2 instrument and has been working on the Wave 3 instruments. The client has come back with changes to the Wave 1 instrument they would like made. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact about absorbing the SRO overrun.

March '13
The Wave 3 instrument has been provided for testing. A bug in the medication grid is being fixed. Next month work on the Wave 4 instrument should begin. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

April '13
The bug in the medication grid was fixed and work on the Wave 4 instrument has begun. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

May '13
Work in May was focused on the Wave 4 instrument. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

June '13
Paul finished the Wave 4 instrument and delivered for testing. Work on the Wave 5 instrument will occur in July and the Wave 6 (the last instrument) instrument in August. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

July '14
Paul finished the Wave 5 instrument in July and will work on the Wave 6 instrument in August. Time continues to be charged directly to project accounts. We have been in contact with the project staff about them absorbing the SRO overrun.

Special Issues

This project has been slow to get started. The development time for the questionnaire was quite long. The project shows a slight overrun. A staff member not working on this project mistakenly charged time. That time was be removed.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	14,399.95
Estimated Cost at Completion	14,399.95
Total Budget:	12,466.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	-1,933.95

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name HRS Internet 2013 (HRS Internet 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 263,974.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 137,019.00 ***Total Budget:*** 400,933.00

Principal Investigator/Client	David Weir (SRC) Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC) Kenneth Langa (SRC)	
Project Team	***Project Lead:***	Maryam N Buageila
	Budget Analyst:	Richard Warren Krause
	Production Manager:	
	Senior Project Advisor:	Mary P Maher
	Production Manager:	James Koopman
	Production Manager:	

Description:

Survey Research Operations will host and manage the HRS 2013 Internet Project. The Health and Retirement Study Internet Project has previously been conducted in 2003, 2006, 2007, 2009 and 2011. The current study will include all those previously invited to participate in the HRS Internet projects as well as a random sample of the additional core HRS sample members who reported using the Internet during their HRS 2012 interview. HRS staff will develop the questionnaire and program it in Illume. The programmed instrument and sample information including unique IDs for the preload will be provided to SRO by the Principal Investigator and his staff. SRO will host and manage the Illume survey and produce reports outlining the progress of the project.
This project will utilize a sample of approximately 7,761 participants including previous Internet sample and random selection of new respondents who self select by indicating that they use the internet. The sample will be released in two replicates; the first being a 200 case pilot test and the second incorporating the remaining sample. SRO will send invitations and up to three reminders via mail and will utilize a specific help desk to address respondent concerns by phone and email.

Project Period 03/2013 - 08/2013 **Proposal No:** 13-PAF05070

Data Collection 04/2013 - 07/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	03/10/2013	***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:	04/22/2013	***DC End:***	

Important Project Dates

Initial Invitation Pilot:	04/22/2013	***Initial Invitation Production:***	05/15/2013
1st follow up Pilot:	05/06/2013	***1st follow up Production:***	05/29/2013
2nd follow up Pilot:	05/20/2013	***2nd Follow Up Production:***	06/12/2013
3rd follow up Pilot:	06/03/2013	***3rd Follow Up Production:***	06/26/2013

Other Project Team Members

Maryam Buageila, Jaime Koopman, management; Rick Krause, budget analyst; Hueichun Peng, programmer; Cathy Myles, project assistant; Karen Donahue, respondent payments; Adam Pocock, Peg Cooley, Megan Hromco, Help Desk

---

Report Period July, 2013 (HRS Internet 2013) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update

Thank you letters were sent out in July and were the only respondent contacts generated by the project for the month. HRS Internet continued to deal with the impacts of the programming issues as Help Desk hours were higher than anticipated for the month in order to handle the increased contacts with respondents.

Special Issues

There were 2 new data models released during May. May 15th and 31st. On May 8th a new participant list was attached to the survey. It was unknown at the time but these changes caused replication errors in the system. The replication errors plagued production in June. HRS staff worked with DATSTAT to resolve some of these li data or migrating to th new version model. This was complicated by complexities in the programming of the survey. The Help Desk called around 100 participants explaining the problem and offering to call when it was resolved. The higher number of Help Desk calls and hours of review and trouble shooting are impacting the budget, but still seems to be within range.

Cost
Aug 23, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	385,362.13
Estimated Cost at Completion	392,220.17
Total Budget:	400,933.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	8,772.83

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	5600	74%	
Goal at Completion:	5700	75%	
Current actual:	5590	73.5%	
Estimate at Complete:	5660	74.7%	
Variance:	40	.3%	

Other Measures

Project Name HRS Screening Initiative (HRS Screening Initiative)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 512,453.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 0.00 ***Total Budget:*** 0.00

Principal Investigator/Client
David Weir (UM Survey Research Center)
Mary Beth Ofstedahl (UM Survey Research Center)

Project Team
Project Lead: Frost Alexander Hubbard
Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause
Production Manager: Theresa Camelo
Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description:

The purpose of the HRS Screening Initiative is to come up with a concrete plan for making the sample design and operational screening methods more cost efficient than what was done for HRS 2010-11
A detailed analysis of the HRS 2010-11 screening results, an experiment to examine the household rostering method which provides the best balance between high coverage and response rates and lowest cost (i.e. interviewer attempts) and a feasibility test of using a smartphone or tablet to screen households have been and will be conducted during the first three years of the HRS 2012-2017 proposal in order to design the optimal 2016 screening methods.

In terms of presenting results regarding the HRS 2010-11 screening, from August through November 2013, we conducted in-depth analyses of the HRS 2010-2011 screening and sample design for David Weir to present to the HRS Data Monitoring Committee in September 2012 and for Richard Valliant to present to the Committee on National Statistics on November 19, 2012. Both of these presentations generated many ideas for making the HRS sampling and screening methods more efficient.

Since the both the Cycle 7 and 2011-2019 National Survey of Family Growth's (NSFG) screening cooperation rates have been consistently higher than what HRS achieved in 2010-11, as of April 2013 we are in the process of adapting the NSFG screening techniques for the planned August-November 2013 screening experiment to improve the efficiency of field screening. The use of external information will include the acquisition of commercial lists of households which contain demographic information that may be used in screening, investigation of the availability and the feasibility of the use of motor vehicle records, and contacts with the Health Maintenance Organization Research Network (HMORN) to determine whether membership lists can be used in some states to facilitate screening. Note that as of April 2013, we have determined that using the HMORN is not feasible for HRS 2016 screening because the HMORN will not give us a list of their members. Instead, the HMORN would send a letter to their members asking if they would like to opt-in to the study.

Address lists will be compiled utilizing information from external databases such as MSG, DMV, Aristotle and Valassis lists. PSUs and segments will be selected to reflect geographic and demographic variations. Experienced interviewers will be hired and trained for the screening validation project. Each interviewer will validate listings and complete screening interviews in two segments within one PSU (total: 5 PSUs, 10 segments). Each interviewer will complete 20 hours of training. The estimated hours of updating the address listing using a stratified sampling frame is 10 hours per segment. The estimated hours per completed screener is 1.5 resulting in approximately 520 completed screening interviews. The distribution of interviewer hours is as follows:

Training Hours 100
Update address listing 100
Debriefing 20
Screening (1.5 HPS) 780
Total Hours 1000

Project Period 09/2012 - 12/2014 **Proposal No:** SRO # 11-0010R01
Data Collection 08/2013 - 11/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:***
Staffing Completed:	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:	***SS Train End:***
DC Start:	***DC End:***

Other Project Team Members Frost Hubbard, Heidi Guyer, Wen Chang, Nicole Kirgis, Piotr Dworak, Richard Valliant, Sunghee Lee, Theresa Camelo

---

Report Period July, 2013 (HRS Screening **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	0.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	**RR**	**HPI**
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name HRS-VA Data linkage Project - HRS Veterans Administration Consent Collection Project (HRSVA)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 93,139.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 33,531.00 ***Total Budget:*** 126,670.00

Principal Investigator/Client
David Weir (SRC)
Ken Langa (SRC)
Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Rebecca Gatward
Budget Analyst:	Richard Warren Krause
Production Manager:	Sara D Freeland
Senior Project Advisor:	Mary P Maher
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: The Health and Retirement Study and the Veterans Health Administration are collaborating to combine VHA health care
information with HRS data. The purpose of the study is to gain a more complete understanding of the health care Veterans receive, and the impact of the care on Veterans' health. SRO's role in this study is to gain permission from HRS participants for their VA health care data to be released and linked to their HRS data. We will also be collecting information about military history and their use and experience of VA health care via a short mail survey. Approximately 4,000 HRS panel members, who are not known to be deceased and who have not requested to be removed from the HRS core sample, are eligible to receive Veterans Administration (VA) benefits. These respondents will be asked for consent to release their VA health care data to the HRS and to complete a brief mail survey. There will be no face-to-face contact with respondents during this study. All contact with the respondent will be via mail or telephone.
During the production period, a maximum of three mailings will be completed.

Project Period 05/2013 - 11/2013 **Proposal No:** 13-0014
Data Collection 05/2013 - 08/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	02/01/2013	***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	07/31/2013

Other Project Team Members

Rebecca Gatward Project Lead
Patty Maher SPA
Sara Freeland Production Manager
Holly Ackerman Programmer Analyst (WebTrak/Weblog)
Joel Devonshire Data Manager
Rick Krause Financial Analyst
Heather Rejto Survey specialist Associate – Project Assistant

Report Period July, 2013 (HRSVA) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update

Sample: Approximately 3,800 HRS panel members who have self-reported military service in a prior wave of the HRS and eligible to receive Veterans Administration (VA) benefits. These respondents were asked for consent to release their VA health care data to the HRS and to complete a brief mail survey.

Initial mailing: The initial mailing was divided into two groups, sample members who were not part of the HRS internet study sample were in group 1, and those who were in group 2. Group 1 were sent the initial mailing on 26 June and group 2 on 1 July. The initial mailing included a questionnaire, data authorisation form, two BRE envelopes and a folded sheet which included a copy of the data authorisation form for their records, an informed consent information sheet and a letter from the VA supporting the study.

Follow-ups:
- 1st follow up (8th & 15th July) – Postcard reminder
- 2nd follow up (15th (22nd) – 3rd August) - Telephone reminder calls
- 3rd follow up (5th August) - duplicate copies of all materials sent in the initial mailing and a reminder letter.
- 4th follow up (19th August) – if necessary will send a further reminder letter by USPS priority mail. PIs agreed that we would not send this 4th reminder.

The mailings have been prepared by Apperson.

Response:
To date we have received 1,719 mail questionnaires (45% unadjusted RR) and 1,492 data authorisation forms (39% unadjusted RR). There are around 580 respondents who told the interviewer conducting the follow-up call that they had already responded or would do so who we have not yet received a form or questionnaire from. Sixty-nine sample members have notified us that they do not eligible for VA benefits.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	53,499.47
Estimated Cost at Completion	118,772.23
Total Budget:	126,670.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	7,897.80

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Impact of the Michigan Merit Curriculum & Michigan Promise Scholarship on Student Outcomes Project (Transcript Study)

Project Mode Primary: Data Processing Secondary: Not Available

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 72,443.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 39,481.00 ***Total Budget:*** 111,924.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Barbara Schneider (Michigan State University)
Brian Jacobs (University of Michigan)

Kenneth Frank (Michigan State University)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann
Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek
Production Manager:	
Senior Project Advisor:	Heidi Marie Guyer
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Description:

SRO will implement technical system and protocol development, and data collection activities for a five-school pilot study. The objectives of the data collection pilot include 1) design instruments, protocols and technical systems for the collection of student transcripts and other course-related materials; 2) estimate the uncertainties and contingencies that would likely ensue for the eventual full scale study (150 schools); and 3) define/propose the work scope and costs for the full scale data collection effort.

Survey Research Operations involvement will cover a period of approximately 7 months, starting in June and continuing through December 2012.

Between June , 2012 and December 31, 2012, a small SRO team will develop and implement the pilot study in five Michigan schools designed to obtain the following:

- Transcripts on high school seniors from the initial time period (2002-2003) to the present.
- End of course assessments (math & science) from the initial time period to the present.
- Syllabi of math & science courses, textbooks, and other materials from the initial time period to the present.
- Teacher rosters of class assignments from the initial time period to the present.
- School surveys.

Post Collection Processing:
- All collected materials will be imported into the sample management system, requiring scanning of paper forms. No additional coding or data entry is included in the SRO budget.
- All data file management and analysis will be performed by the EWB research staff.

Weighting & Estimating:
- There are no sample weights or estimates expected for this pilot project.

Deliverables:
- Data files and documentation of instruments, protocols, and technical systems.
- Proposal for the work scope/budget associated with data collection and coding activities for the full study sample.

Project Period 06/2012 - 12/2012 **Proposal No:**

Data Collection 08/2012 - 12/2012

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members

Lesli Scott, Heidi Guyer, Karin Schneider, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Katie Huang, Hueichun Peng, Rebecca Loomis

---

Report Period July, 2013 (Transcript Study) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	111,924.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Intensive Measurement of Drug Use during Transition to Adulthood (IMDU)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 103,117.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 57,584.00 ***Total Budget:*** 160,701.00

Principal Investigator/Client Megan Patrick (ISR)

Project Team

Project Lead: Esther H Ullman
Budget Analyst: William Lokers
Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg
Senior Project Advisor: Sue Ellen Hansen
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description:

During May and June 2012 approximately 600 high-school seniors will be recruited to complete a paper baseline questionnaire in three high schools in the southern part of Michigan. In September 2012 a letter and email invitation will be sent to 300 of the recruited respondents inviting them to complete a 30 minute web based questionnaire (Wave 1). The respondents will receive three email remainders over 10-14 days and a reminder phone call to complete the survey. They will then be sent, on a rolling basis, an email invitation to complete 14 daily diary surveys with daily email reminders. They will also receive texts and phone call reminders at designated intervals. They will be sent incentive checks based on amount of participation in each phase (i.e. number of daily diary's completed). There will be a second wave of the Web survey January 2013 following the same protocol as Wave 1. A third Wave will be conducted in May 2013 following the same protocol as earlier waves. In addition during the May 2013 administration a control group (N=300) will also receive the mail and email invitations to complete a web questionnaire. The control group will receive the three email reminders over 10-14 days and then phone or text messages but no daily diary questionnaires. In each of these waves the option of mailing a paper questionnaire will be included for those who do not have internet access. There will also be the need to obtain assent based on age at each administration

Project Period 02/2012 - 07/2013 **Proposal No:** 10-0050R02

Data Collection 05/2012 - 06/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:***
Staffing Completed:	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:	***SS Train End:***
DC Start:	***DC End:***

Other Project Team Members Minako Edgars, Rebecca Loomis

Report Period July, 2013 (IMDU) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update In July data cleaning and delivery activities were started now that all three waves of data collection have concluded. In the next couple months final project review, reports and archiving will occur.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	144,300.99
Estimated Cost at Completion	159,147.17
Total Budget:	160,701.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	1,288.13

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Math Solutions (LMT MS)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Observation Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 1,249,959.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 681,228.00 ***Total Budget:*** 1,931,187.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Heather C. Hill (Harvard University)
Robin Tepper Jacob (University of Michigan)
Douglas Corey (Brigham Young University)

Project Team
Project Lead: Karin Schneider
Budget Analyst: Mary D Hopper
Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg
Senior Project Advisor: Lesli Jo Scott
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description: Evaluation of the Math Solutions program. Recruit teachers (80) in Norfolk, VA, to be randomized into case group (receive Math Solutions training August 9-13, 2010) or control group (do not receive MS training). Teachers fill out 3 SAQs in year 1 (90 min & 30 min), 2 SAQs (30 min) in years 2 and 3. Administer student assessments (60 min) in Fall and Spring from 2010 to 2013. Videotape and code cases teachers on 3 different occasions (2 consecutive days each) in each of Spring and Fall of each year. Videotape control teachers 3 occasions (2 consecutive days each) in year 3 only. Teachers are interviewed after each videotaping (5-10 min) and treatment teachers will be interviewed 15-30 minutes each spring.

Project Period 05/2009 - 04/2013 **Proposal No:** 10-0018RO2
Data Collection 12/2010 - 04/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:*** 03/01/2010
Staffing Completed: 07/01/2010	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start: 09/23/2011	***SS Train End:*** 09/26/2011
DC Start: 11/14/2011	***DC End:*** 06/30/2013

Important Project Dates

teacher recruitment-YR 2: 05/01/2011	***treatment prof dev:*** 11/07/2011
SR re-training (if needed): 09/23/2011	
fall student assessment: 10/01/2011	***New teacher SAQs:*** 11/07/2011
contamination study SAQs: 06/01/2011	***SRIS programming Year 2:*** 05/31/2011
Videotaping treatment teachers: 11/01/2011	***Spring Student Assessment:*** 04/01/2012

Other Project Team Members

Report Period July, 2013 (LMT MS) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update My cost report actually shows a budget of $1,905,373 - there was a small de-obligation of funds on the first of the two grants to close. However, there is still a substantial underrun and the project's field work has closed as of 7/31/2013. There will only be a small amount of wrap up activity through August and September, no further data collection is planned.

Special Issues None

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	1,399,000.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	1,420,000.00
Total Budget:	1,931,187.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	511,187.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name MDRC - Reading Partners Program Evaluation Project (MDRC)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 612,409.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 122,481.00 ***Total Budget:*** 734,890.00

Principal Investigator/Client Robin Jacob (EWB)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Sarah Crane
Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek
Production Manager:	Sarah Crane
Senior Project Advisor:	Nicole G Kirgis
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: Reading program assessment project in three different areas of the country. School-based group SAQ administration as well as individual assessments to evaluate student progress.

Project Period 08/2012 - 09/2013 **Proposal No:**
Data Collection 10/2012 - 08/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	07/11/2012	***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	07/27/2012
Staffing Completed:	08/22/2012	***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:	09/25/2012	***SS Train End:***	09/27/2012
DC Start:	10/01/2012	***DC End:***	06/15/2012

Other Project Team Members
Becky Loomis
Jessica Huff
Rachel Rifkin

Report Period July, 2013 (MDRC) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update
Spring data entry concluded in mid-July, and the project made an on-time Spring data submission to the PI on July 26 after rectifying data entry discrepancies and cleaning the data.

The first batch of hard copy materials were delivered for scanning the first week of July, with an estimated electronic delivery (via disk) slated for early August.

August activities include working with the client directly to support their data needs, preparing and delivering the second batch of assessment materials for scanning, and the submission of the Spring Field Methods report.

Special Issues Our close-out projections are very tight and although we are cutting back where we can, we are currently projecting a small overrun of about $6,000.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	714,867.58
Estimated Cost at Completion	741,201.67
Total Budget:	734,890.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	-6,311.67

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	19 Schools Overall	90% Overall	N/A
Goal at Completion:	19 Schls Fall/Spring	90% Overall	N/A
Current actual:	19 Schools Fall	98% Fall	N/A
Estimate at Complete:	19 Schls Fall/Spring	94% Spring	N/A
Variance:			

Other Measures
Teacher survey Response Rate = 80%
RR at Completion = 97%

Project Name Michigan Council on Educator Effectiveness (MCEE)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Secondary: Observation Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 0.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 0.00 ***Total Budget:*** 4,900,000.00

Principal Investigator/Client Brian Rowan (U of M: Education and Well Being and SOE)

Project Team

Project Lead: Stephanie A Chardoul
Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek
Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Meredith A House
Production Manager: Evanthia Leissou

Description: In 2011, Governor Snyder appointed a special advisory council, the Michigan Council for Educator Effectiveness (MCEE), to provide a recommendation to the State on standard teacher evaluation protocol that would be implemented State-wide. Last spring, the Council issued a preliminary report, stating that a pilot study was needed before they could make a recommendation. The chair of MCEE is Deborah Ball (UM School of Education Dean, and member of SRC-EWB faculty); she engaged Brian Rowan (and subsequently, SRC) in conducting the planned Pilot. SRO became involved in summer 2012, with the Pilot project officially starting in August.

The main components of the Pilot are teacher observation tools (4 proprietary tools were selected to be part of the Pilot) and standardized student assessments. With basically no preproduction or planning time, 14 school districts from across the State were selected and recruited into the Pilot sample. The districts were assigned one of the four observation protocols, and the principals and other administrators from every district attended 4 days of training (provided by the vendors but arranged by SRO). The training sessions occurred from mid-August through late September, and 8 SRO field staff ("school researchers") were also trained on the protocols with the principals. As part of the Pilot protocol, the principals are required to complete 3 observations (using their assigned tool) on every classroom teacher, and a subset of those observations will be "paired observations" with our SRO school researchers. We will use the paired observations to measure inter-rater reliability, as a way of assessing the validity of each tool.

In addition to the observations, each district is required to implement the Pilot student testing regime. The regime includes computer-adaptive testing (NWEA-MAP) for all K – 6 grade students, ACT-EXPLORE for 7th and 8th grade, ACT-PLAN for 9th and 10th grade, and ACT for 11th and 12th. All students will take at least two tests (fall and spring), and the results will be used to measure student growth during the year, and will be used to calculate Value Added Measurements (VAMs) for the teachers. SRO is responsible for contracting with the testing companies, providing training to the districts, coordinating all testing activities between the vendors and the districts, and collecting the results to prepare for analysis by EWB.

In order to implement the VAM modeling, links of students and teachers are required. As part of our SRIS sample management system, SRO is developing a "rostering" system that uses student and teacher data provided by the districts to format course lists that are accessed by teachers through a secure portal. The teachers confirm their students, and these rosters are then used as part of the analysis of teacher effectiveness.

In addition to the observation and testing components, SRO is also administering additional surveys of teachers and administrators in the districts, to collect information on their teacher evaluation process, and also their experience with the Pilot. SRO is also coordinating overall communication with the districts, including things such as an interactive web site, newsletters, etc.

The final deliverable is a report to MCEE that provides all analyses of the observations and student growth data, as well as descriptive information of the observation tools, the testing regime, and the general experience of the districts. This report will also include bids that we collect from the observation and VAM vendors, providing estimated costs for implementing their tools State-wide.

Project Period 08/2012 - 06/2013 **Proposal No:** 13-0007

Data Collection 08/2012 - 05/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members

Stephanie Chardoul, Meredith House, Eva Leissou, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Cathy Myles.
Verononica Connors Burge is a second Production Manager.
Programmers are Hueichun Peng and Ahmad Chehade.
Lesli Scott of EWB is a "consultant".

Report Period July, 2013 (MCEE) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update Important Note: To be changed/edited -

- ISR staff continued with the student-teacher rostering pilot, collecting final roster data from the selected teachers and principals in each district. As teachers and principals finished their rostering task, they were sent a brief web survey to share more about their experience with the rostering system.
- In parallel with the rostering pilot, ISR continued to collect updated roster and course information from each district, now obtaining third trimester and second semester final data. ISR data processing staff are putting lots of effort into cleaning these data, reconciling inconsistencies, and putting everything in a final format that can be used for analyses, including VAM calculations.
- The ISR school researchers completed the final paired teacher observations. As of May 16, a total of 696 sessions were completed by the school researchers.
- ISR data processing staff worked with each of the four observation vendors (and, in some cases, the additional vendors who supply the observation technical systems) to finalize the format of the observation data sets and secure

access to all observation rating data (for both the paired observations done with the ISR school researchers and the observations completed by just the principals). We continued with review and cleaning of the observation data, and instructed the administrators in all districts to have their ratings entered for all observation sessions by May 10.

- We implemented the spring testing in all districts, completing the final (third) round of NWEA for grades K-6, the post-test of EXPLORE for grades 7-8, the post-test of PLAN for grades 9-10, and the ACT (either college-reportable or practice) for grade 12 (11th graders all completed their standard MME testing, and that will be used as their Pilot post-test). Many districts did not comply with the standard Pilot spring testing regime, refusing especially to test their 12th graders.
- We continued to keep the selected VAM vendors updated on progress. All MOUs for the VAM work have been signed, we are now waiting for data from MDE and CEPI to deliver to the vendors (using the already-established SFTP sites).
- In June, we received data from the State for the Pilot work, which included MEAP, 2012 MME, and student and teacher demographic files. We have not yet received the 2013 MME files. We are working with them to clarify file formats and structure.
- We received the full set of student assessment data (3 rounds) from NWEA, with complete documentation.
- We received the spring student testing data from ACT (ACT practice, ACT project, EXPLORE, and PLAN) and began the process of formatting the files for analysis. The ACT data also requires a significant amount of “student matching”, since the data does not have UIC attached. ISR’s data processing staff is using UIC local student ID maps that we obtained from most districts, and also is manually matching on student name and birth date.
- The Pilot team continued to contact districts and conduct qualitative interviews (via phone) collecting information on their current implementation of teacher evaluations – including how they incorporate data from observations and student growth measures.
- The Pilot team completed three focus groups – one for principals, one for core subject teachers, and one for non-core subject teachers – to explore various costs associated with teacher evaluation, and prepared a final report on the groups.
- On May 14, we launched a web survey to all Pilot district teachers (2530), requesting their feedback on the evaluation process. We expect to finish this data collection in early July.
- We developed and programmed a web survey to be administered to all Pilot district principals and assistant principals. We expect to launch this data collection in early July.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	2,441,438.29
Estimated Cost at Completion	3,000,918.69
Total Budget:	4,900,000.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	1,899,081.31

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot (MTF-WPSP)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 45,405.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 81,809.00 ***Total Budget:*** 127,214.00

Principal Investigator/Client Megan Patrick (UM-SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Donnalee Ann
Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek
Production Manager:	Lloyd Fate Hemingway
Senior Project Advisor:	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: In each year of this project SRO will maintain the programmed MtF web surveys, including making up to ten changes to each programmed Web survey each year. Once tested by SRO, all programmed Web surveys will be tested by the Principal Investigator and her staff before being released. In years 1 and 2, after testing is complete, SRO will manage the Web survey data collection. In years 3 through 5, after testing is complete, the surveys will be released to the MtF staff for fielding – in years 3 through 5 SRO staff will have no involvement in the implementation of data collection. For all years after the data collections are completed, SRO will assist with the updating of the data dictionaries and other documentation.

Starting during Year 2 data collection, we will do Winter Location and Nonresponse. Calling for the web survey implementation portion of the survey. This is in addition to the normal Panel Winter Location/Nonresponse that SRO routinely handles. SRO will field the pilot survey in 2014 with forms 1, 6, and 2. MTF staff will provide a participant list and SRO will set up the participant list and provide programming production support.

Deliverables include the programmed Web Surveys, Data Dictionary, Test Dataset, Documentation of the Instruments, and Survey datasets

SRO involvement will commence in the Fall of 2012 and will continue through April of 2017.

Project Period 08/2012 - 04/2017 **Proposal No:** 12-0003R04

Data Collection 01/2014 - 08/2017

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:***
Staffing Completed:	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:	***SS Train End:***
DC Start:	***DC End:***

Other Project Team Members Gina-Qian Yang Cheung, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Andrew Piskorowski, Aaron Pearson, Max Malhotra, Lloyd Hemingway

---

Report Period July, 2013 (MTF-WPSP) **Project Phase** Initiation

Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	127,214.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name MTF Non-response 2013 (MTF NR 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Tracking Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 189,430.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 105,133.00 ***Total Budget:*** 294,563.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Lloyd Johnston (SRC)
Gerald Bachman (SRC)
Patrick O'Malley (SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Lloyd Fate Hemingway
Budget Analyst:	Christine Evanchek
Production Manager:	Bonnie C Andree
Senior Project Advisor:	Jody Dougherty

	Production Manager:
	Production Manager:

Description: The main purpose of MTF Non-response is to remind members of the MTF follow-up panels to complete and return their self-administered questionnaires. The respondents in these panels originally completed self-administered questionnaires dealing with attitudes toward social issues and behaviors such as alcohol, drug, and tobacco use when they were in the 12th grade. As a sub-sample of the MTF in-school administrations, they receive follow-up self-administered questionnaires at regular intervals well into adulthood. Some tracking is also involved, along with updating contact information.

Project Period 09/2012 - 08/2013 **Proposal No:** 11-0051R01

Data Collection 05/2013 - 08/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 03/20/2013	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:*** 03/20/2013
Staffing Completed: 04/12/2013	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start: 05/10/2013	***SS Train End:*** 05/14/2013
DC Start: 05/15/2013	***DC End:*** 08/12/2013

Other Project Team Members

Marsha Skoman
Peter Sparks
Dave Dybicki
Jennie Williams
Holly Ackerman

Report Period July, 2013 (MTF NR 2013) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update MTF Non-response stayed on track through the month of July. By the end of the month, 4,173 respondents had either returned their questionnaire or agreed to do so. Additionally, 2,156 cases had been referred to MTF for internet tracking. This made for a 63% completion rate. In order to encourage interviewer effort, we implemented an incentive based on finds per hour, and 15 interviewers earned a bonus for their performance.

Special Issues None

Cost Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	220,537.28
Estimated Cost at Completion	284,975.54
Total Budget:	294,563.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	9,587.46

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			1.2 Finds/hr
Goal at Completion:			1.2 Finds/Hr
Current actual:			1.2 Finds/hr
Estimate at Complete:			1.2 Finds/Hr
Variance:			

Other Measures Completion rate: Current goal--60%, current actual 63%, GaC--70%, EaC--70%

Project Name National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 29,643,043.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 10,415,123.00 ***Total Budget:*** 40,058,166.00

Principal Investigator/Client

William Mosher (NCHS)
Mick Couper (ISR)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Nicole G Kirgis
Budget Analyst:	Nancy Oeffner
Production Manager:	Sharon K Parker
Senior Project Advisor:	Mary P Maher
Production Manager:	Jennifer M Kelley
Production Manager:	Sarrah Ahmed Buageila

Description: The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-44 years of age designed to provide national estimates of factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.

Project Period 09/2010 - 07/2020 **Proposal No:**

Data Collection 09/2011 - 09/2019

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2011	***Pretest Start:***
Pretest End:	***Recruitment Start:*** 06/01/2011
Staffing Completed: 08/17/2011	***GIT Start:*** 09/13/2011
SS Train Start: 09/15/2011	***SS Train End:*** 09/19/2011
DC Start: 09/20/2011	***DC End:*** 07/01/2019

Other Project Team Members Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst, Dan Tomlin--Project Support

---

Report Period July, 2013 (NSFG 2010-2020) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update In April, May, and June, data collection for the third quarter of Year 2 continued (Quarter 7). The quarter ended on June 15. In this quarter, we continued with experimental work on model-based listing reduction (not listing in some segments for the next quarter). In April, feasibility testing (with four interviewers) was conducted with data entry of interview observations on a smart phone application. The test went well and areas for improvement were identified for future improvement. Low eligibility was an issue in Quarter 7, but while eligibility ran low, it was quite similar to the predicted eligibility on the Quarter 3 segments as measured by eligibility models (it was 47% actual and the models predicted 48%).

Special Issues Contract modification 6 is in progress to restructure the contract so that contract line items are in yearly increments. This should help with the flow of funds and monitoring the contract. The contract amount remains at 40,058,166.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	9,251,685.14
Estimated Cost at Completion	40,058,166.00
Total Budget:	40,058,166.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	1300	75%	9.1
Goal at Completion:	1300	75%	9.1
Current actual:	1247 (final Q7)	76% (final Q7)	9.7 (cumulative)
Estimate at Complete:	1247	76%	9.7
Variance:	-53	1	.6

Other Measures

Project Name NGCS Supplement to Cognition and Aging in the USA (CogUSA Supp)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 98,863.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 53,880.00 ***Total Budget:*** 152,743.00

Principal Investigator/Client

Project Team

Project Lead:	Zoanne Blackburn
Budget Analyst:	Dean E Stevens
Production Manager:	Esther H Ullman
Senior Project Advisor:	Kirsten Haakan Alcser
Production Manager:	Bonnie C Andree
Production Manager:	

Description: The purpose of this study is to continue the work done for CogUSA, Waves 4 & 5 – exploring the ways to best collect cognitive ability information from respondents across various modes. The sample for this effort will consist of a group of respondents who participated in the NGCS study with your collaborator Jack McArdle ("cogNGCS").

Interviews will be conducted using both telephone and web modes. The telephone interviews will be completed from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) in Ann Arbor, using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) technology and our own electronic sample management system (SMS). The web survey component will be managed by SRO's Ann Arbor-based staff. Content of both telephone and web questionnaires will be taken from the questionnaires administered for CogUSA Waves 4 & 5.

Project Period 03/2014 - 07/2014 **Proposal No:**

Data Collection 04/2014 - 07/2014

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	03/01/2013	***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:	04/22/2013	***DC End:***	07/14/2013

Other Project Team Members ZoAnne Blackburn, Kirsten Alcser, Esther Ullman, Hueichun Peng, Youhong Liu, Dave Dybicki, Aaron Pearson, Bonnie Andree, Joel Devonshire, Emily Blasczyk, Jeannie Baker, Dean Stevens

---

Report Period July, 2013 (CogUSA Supp) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update Data collection closed on July 31. data delivery scheduled for early August. Under-run funds will be cycled into funds for CogUSA Waves 6/7.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	116,217.79
Estimated Cost at Completion	122,246.67
Total Budget:	152,743.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	30,496.33

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics 2013 (Family Economics Study) (PSID 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 3,238,350.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 1,797,280.00 ***Total Budget:*** 5,035,630.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Charles Brown (Director) (ISR-SRC)
Vicki Freedman & Narayan Sastry (Associate Dirs) (ISR-SRC)
Katherine McGonagle (Assistant Dir) (ISR-SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye
Budget Analyst:	William Lokers
Production Manager:	Sara D Freeland
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	_UnAssigned
Production Manager:	Jennifer C Arrieta

Description:

PSID (known to Respondents as the Family Economics Study or FES) is a longitudinal survey of several thousand individuals and their families, carried out since 1968 and conducted every two years. The sample is comprised of respondents from the 4,800 original families as well as new (immigrant) sample added in 1997 and 1999. The total 2013 sample size will be approx. 10,500, with approx. 9,650 completed interviews expected. Most of the information collected is about family composition and changes (marriages, divorces, births, deaths, people moving in and out), income sources and amounts, employment and pensions and wealth. There are also questions about housing, education, vehicles, health, and money spent on food, healthcare, and school. The main focus is on how these family composition and financial factors interact with each other and how they change over time.

The 2013 wave features substantial questionnaire changes, including both content additions and more extensive use of preload. The increased preload is intended to reduce interview length and respondent/interviewer burden by permitting the interview to be streamlined based upon information already known. Those efficiencies are hoped to off-set the increase in length due to content additions.

Additionally, the DUST and TA ancillary studies will follow PSID Core data collection, interviewing eligible PSID sample members via telephone. In an additional ancillary effort, PSID Heads and spouses may be contacted via mail and asked to consent to Social Security Administration record linkage.

The project is also in the midst of an SRO leadership transition, with Shonda Kruger Ndiaye transitioning to the role of SRO Project Lead after data collection is launched.

Project Period 04/2012 - 03/2014 **Proposal No:** SO # 10-0056

Data Collection 03/2013 - 12/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:06/14/2012	***Pretest Start:***10/31/2012
Pretest End:11/13/2012	***Recruitment Start:***09/28/2012
Staffing Completed:01/01/2013	***GIT Start:***
SS Train Start:02/23/2013	***SS Train End:***03/08/2013
DC Start:03/11/2013	***DC End:***12/06/2013

Other Project Team Members

2011 Study Director/Advisor--Eva Leissou
Tech Lead--Jeff Smith
Blaise Programming--Youhong Liu
STrak Programming--Brant Zhang
Data Ops--Brad Goodwin, Minako Edgar, and Emily Blasczyk
WTrak/WLog Programming--Holly Ackerman
Help Desk Lead--Andrea Pierce
Production Manager Support--Peggy Lavanger

Report Period July, 2013 (PSID 2013) **Project Phase** Implementing

Monthly Update

July work included:

- On-going Production Monitoring
  - o Analysis of iw length
  - o Evaluation of progress against goals (on-going challenges meeting both hours and production goals)
  - o Consolidation of Iwers and reassignment of sample to keep strongest iwers efficient
- On-going Budget Monitoring
- Discuss possible protocol adjustments to address Recon RR challenges
  - o e.g. use of e-mail contact? (Note: Approved in late August!)

Special Issues

Determining how many iwer hours are needed to work the sample fully continues to be challenging. The number of hours worked to-date is significantly lower than originally planned, as is our iw output. However, sample-based calculations suggest that we are either sufficiently staffed or over-staffed. Iwers indicate that they do not have enough sample to support their 25 hour/week commitment. Sample-based staffing calculations substantiate this observation.

The "Some Concerns" status indicator reflects the fact that the weekly HPI is outpacing projections and we have not been able to achieve the target weekly iw yield for many weeks. It's likely that we will conclude with a lower yield and RR. Project Staff are aware of this concern.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	3,430,211.39
Estimated Cost at Completion	5,009,747.70
Total Budget:	5,035,630.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	25,881.30

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	8,143		5.05
Goal at Completion:	9,470	94% overall	5.8
Current actual:	7,742	79%	5.02
Estimate at Complete:	9,470	94% overall	5.8
Variance:	0	0	0

Other Measures Note: Completes, RR and HPI are through week 21 (8/03/2013). HPI is Cumulative Production HPI.

Project Name Responding to Surveys on Mobile Multimodal Devices (iPhone)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 143,675.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 78,301.00 ***Total Budget:*** 221,976.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Dr. Fred Conrad (University of Michigan)
Dr. Michael Schober (The New School for Social Research)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Andrew L Hupp
Budget Analyst:	Mary D Hopper
Production Manager:	Lloyd Fate Hemingway
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description: The primary objectives are to (1) begin the empirical assessment of collecting survey data with multimodal, mobile devices; (2) evaluate the impact of new modes such as automated voice and human text interviews on participation, completion, data quality and user satisfaction, especially in comparison to familiar modes like human voice interviews; and (3) explore how this might differ when it is possible for respondents to choose a response mode – one that is potentially different from the mode in which they are invited. Ultimately, these data will add to basic understanding of human dynamics: when and how people are willing to disclose information to interlocutors (human and computer) with different communicative attributes.

Project Period 01/2011 - 06/2012 **Proposal No:** 10-0003R01

Data Collection 03/2012 - 06/2012

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members
Hemant Kannan - Management system programming consultant
Yanna Yan - SMP MA Student
Chris Antoun - SMP PhD Student
Chan Zhang - SMP PhD Student
Heather Schroeder - Stat Unit (Sampling and Reporting)

Report Period July, 2013 (iPhone) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update The interface development at Parsons continues. The interviewing interface looks pretty good. There are a few small things that Parsons needs to address. Parsons is focusing more heavily on the automated side. A good portion of the work there is done. They are refining the grammars and the recognition to make the system operate better.

Parsons has provided some output from the system to the analysts so they can see the structure and look to see if there is anything else we would like to capture/add.

There have been some revisions to the questionnaire. I have spoken to the PI to make sure they are going to submit all of the little changes that have been made along the way to various pieces of text (questionnaires, recruiting text, etc.) Rhonda MH is looking into the iTunes incentives that we want to use. Parsons made the initial contact and UM has taken that over.

A training is planned for the SSL staff in March. Development of training materials will occur in February. With HRS starting in the lab in May we need to get started soon to get data collected.

A usability test was conducted in January. A pilot is planned for early March (hopefully rolling into production). We continue to push the developers.

The Michigan team also met to work on the recruiting items around Craigslist. SMP meets regularly to discuss the items in teh questionnaire, the screener and the post-survey.

March '12
The interviewers were trained in March. A brief pilot of the system followed the training. 40 interviews (10 in each mode) were conducted to test to make sure everything was working correctly. The feeling thermometer questions were dropped after the pilot due to them being problematic in IVR. There were other recognitions issues identified in IVR. Production began at the very end of March in three modes (human-voice, human-text, and automated-text). Refinement of the IVR will continue and will data collection will resume with that once things have been addressed.

Recruiting has been done using Facebook, Craigslist and GoogleAds. Facebook proved to not be very production so it was dropped. Recruiting continues via Craigslist and GoogleAds. There have been days were recruitment has not kept up with production and interviewing has finished early several days or has not occurred at all due to lack of sample. Various things are being tried to coordinate the availability of sample (including increasing the call limit on cases that are now out of the contact pool).

Apr. '12
The study has progressed well. There were initial problems with IVR which have been fixed. The human interviewing is almost complete. It is expected to finish in early May. Human text interviews will be the first group to finish. Recruiting has been a bit of a hinderance. We have continued to recruit due to not being able to recruit a large enough sample to keep the interviewers busy (between the size of the sample and the calling rules). For the second experiment we will need to recruit a larger group before getting started to keep the group more efficient. The overall HPU has been low but could be lower due to the previous explained ineffiency. There have been some sporadic payment problems but is seems to be due to the user and technical issues rather than the codes provided not being good. The first experiement is expected to be finished prior to AAPOR. The second experiment will start at some point after that (in June or July).

May '12
The first experiment concluded data collection in early May. The goal was 600 interviews. We ended with 642 across all four modes Human text interviews was the first group to finish with the IVR group the last to finish. The IVR group started later due to technical issues. They did finish quite rapidly due to it being an automated system.

We swtiched much of the recruiting to Mechanical Turk. Over the coming month the recruiting will be analyzed to determine the best way forward for the recruitment of participants for Experiment 2. The results of the first experiment have received a bit of press and a presentation at AAPOR and a panel at IFDTC were given about the project. Plans are underway to present at next years conferences with the results of Experiment 2.

A few modifications to the system will be done in May and June to prepare for Experiment 2 (mode switching). Once those have been made and tested the interviewers will received a brief refresher and data collection will begin. It is estimated that will occur in late June.

June '12
The one developer left at Parsons has made most of the changes to the system for the second experiment. The New School is in contact with one of the developers on the project who has graduated and been unresponsive about fixing the few items he programmed. Once that has happened we can move forward with further testing and a pilot.

Testing to date shows the most of the fixes and development for the second experiment seem to be in place. Once the last few items and the other programmer fixes items related to the interview UI we can do some final testing and begin the pilot with production (hopefully) to follow shortly thereafter. We will begin recruiting a little before production to build up the pool of respondents.

July '12
Two trainings for interviewers were held. A refresher for those continuing on the project along with what changed and a separate training for those who were experienced in interviewing but new to the project. A test of the system was done with cases for a week which rolled into data collection. We started recruiting to build up a larger pool of cases so we can avoid the issue of running out of cases that we had during the first experiment.

August '12
Data collection for experiment two began in August. So far things have gone smoothly. We will need to interview into September. We will continue recruiting to try and keep the available pool up to keep the staff busy. We are in a better position at the start then we were when experiment 1 began. More iTunes codes will need to be ordered at some point. There is some uncertainty about how many people will switch modes and to which modes they will switch. We will adjust staffing accordingly to go with the flow.

September '12
Data collection for experiment two concluded in September. Things went smoothly. A debriefing was held with the PIs and the interviewing staff at the end of the month. The interviewing staff provided insight to how this worked and things the researchers needed to think about.

We had trouble purchasing more iTunes codes towards the end. The business office had purchased them directly from Apple with no problems previously. Apple changed the way that you could purchase codes (at least for educational institutions (which the contract with UM further complicated). The few remaining codes needed were procured by purchasing iTunes gifts cards at a local store and getting the code from that card and loading it into the system.

Towards the end we tried to balance the sample composition to get close to what we had for experiment one. That was achieved. Analysis will begin on the experiment two data.

October '12
Due to the busy schedule of the PIs, Andrew is helping the research team with the analysis moving forward. Most work in SRO is done. Andrew is working on getting the accounts extended out so he can continue working on the project through the analysis phase. The team is working on deciding the presentations that will be given for AAPOR.

November/December '12
We purchased two Mac laptops with the PI approval on the SRO accounts. Andrew has one and one of the grad students (Chris Antoun) has the other. The laptops are needed to do the interaction coding. The software for the interaction coding only runs on the Mac. The accounts have been extended through the end of the project period (Sept. '13). The project will likely apply for a no cost extension. The work has turned towards the analysis. Andrew will be assisting the research staff with this task moving forward.

January '13
Andrew has created a coding application to code the open ended answers related to mode choice. Andrew and two graduate students will code the open-ended answers (and calculate inter-rater reliability). Andrew spoke with the PI and budgeted some travel on the SRO budget. This will cover the PIs, two graduate students and two SRO staff members to go to presentations related to the project at the Cannell Interviewer Respondent Interaction Workshop, AAPOR and IFDTC. The remainder of the funds will be going back to the PI. Work continues on the analysis of the data collected for the Cannell Interviewer Respondent Interaction workshop, AAPOR, IFDTC and ESRA.

February '13
Andrew and two gradutate students have been working through the coding scheme and have coded a few cases. We met after those few cases to calibrate how we were coding particular items and adjusted/added codes as necessary. Coding will continue and then a reliability calculated. The project will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC).

March '13
Andrew and two gradutate students coded the open ended data on why participants selected the mode they chose for experiment 2. Analysis work on the three AAPOR presentations and two IFDTC presentations continues. The project will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC) and for others on the project team to travel to conferences (AAPOR/IFDTC/ESRA).

April '13
Analysis work on the three AAPOR presentations and two IFDTC presentations continues. The remaining SRO project funds will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC) and for others on the project team to travel to conferences (AAPOR/IFDTC/ESRA).

May '13
The remaining SRO project funds will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC) and for others on the project team to travel to conferences (AAPOR/IFDTC/ESRA). Project team members gave presentations at the following conferences in May: Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop (1), AAPOR (4), IFDTC (2). Beginning in June work will focus on writing the first set of paper manuscripts.

June '13
The remaining SRO project funds will be covering conference expenses for Lloyd (IFDTC) and Andrew (Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop/AAPOR/IFDTC) and for others on the project team to travel to conferences (AAPOR/IFDTC/ESRA). Project team members gave presentations at the following conferences in May: Interviewer/Respondent Interaction Workshop (1), AAPOR (4), IFDTC (2). Work this month focused on reconciliation of the May travel expenses and work began to focus on writing the first set of paper manuscripts. In July travel arrangements will be made for the members of the team going to SIGdial in Metz, France.

July '13

The remaining SRO project funds will be covering conference expenses for travel arrangements for the members of the team going to SIGdial in Metz, France. Work over the summer has been light since the graduate students working on the project are out of town. The focus will move back to writing the first set of paper manuscripts once everyone is back from travel/vacations/etc. in September.

Special Issues

Issues related to privacy have come up due to some recent pubilicity around the iPhone collecting GPS data and storing it in an unencrypted file. We will need to make sure that people are in a safe place and may need to tell them to delete the text conversations (if in that mode) before synching, otherwise the history will be kept on the users computer and could potentially be subpoened.

Working on how to recruitn participants and pay the via iTunes.

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	191,344.98
Estimated Cost at Completion	213,771.44
Total Budget:	221,976.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	8,204.56

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:	300/600		
Goal at Completion:	300/600		
Current actual:	341/625		
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 855,961.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 0.00 ***Total Budget:*** 855,961.00

Principal Investigator/Client Dr. Richard T. Curtin (SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Joseph Matthew
Budget Analyst:	
Production Manager:	Bonnie C Andree
Senior Project Advisor:	Mary P Maher
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description:

The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing staff obtains 500 interviews.

Project Period 01/2013 - 12/2013 **Proposal No:** 13-0036
Data Collection 01/2013 - 12/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members

Dave Dybicki
Pamela Swanson
Bonnie C Andree
Ann Munster

Report Period July, 2013 (SCA 2013) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	855,961.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 426,980.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 0.00 ***Total Budget:*** 426,980.00

Principal Investigator/Client
John Callewaert (Graham Environmental Sustaiability Institute)
Robert Marans (ISR)
Michael Schriberg (LSA UG: Environment)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Cheryl Wiese
Budget Analyst:	Rhonda R McCammon
Production Manager:	
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description:

The goal of the overall Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP), a joint project of the Institute for Social Research (ISR) and the Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute (Graham), is to measure changes in sustainability-related knowledge, commitments, and practices in the University of Michigan (U-M) community over time. The principle component of SCIP is a large-scale annual survey, to be conducted with U-M students, faculty, and staff from 2012 to 2018. In the current IRB application, we are requesting approval only for the 2012 survey questionnaire. Amendments will be submitted each year in order to re-approve each wave of the survey.

The survey component of this project conducted in the Fall 2012 builds on the previously-approved Focus Group Initiative, which resulted in 15 focus groups being conducted in the Spring 2012.

Project Period 07/2012 - 06/2017 **Proposal No:** 11-0042R03
Data Collection 10/2012 - 12/2016

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:	01/01/2012	***Pretest Start:***	09/04/2012
Pretest End:	09/12/2012	***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:	10/23/2012	***DC End:***	11/26/2012

Other Project Team Members
Dave Dybicki & Meredith House providing Illume programming support.
Becky Loomis providing some administrative assistance.
Heather Schroeder providing weighting and data prep-to-analysis.

Report Period July, 2013 (SCIP) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	426,980.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name Transition to Adulthood (2013) (TA 2013)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Upcoming

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 441,640.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 245,109.00 ***Total Budget:*** 686,749.00

Principal Investigator/Client
Narayan Sastry (SRC)
Kate McGonagle (SRC)

Project Team

Project Lead:	Piotr Dworak
Budget Analyst:	William Lokers
Production Manager:	_UnAssigned
Senior Project Advisor:	Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager:	
Production Manager:	

Description:

Transition to Adulthood is part of the PSID suite of projects. The purpose of this survey is to collect data from 18 – 27 years old, whose families participate in 2013 PSID. The goal of the project is to collect variety of information during these critical transition years when major investments are made in education and when carriers are planned and initiated.

This is the 5th wave of TA. SRO provided data collection services for four waves Transition to Adulthood (TA; in 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011) involving CAI and systems programming, managing national data collection. This wave (TA 2013) will be conducted using centralized SROs Survey Services Lab. The TA project provides SRO with the opportunity to continue its collaboration with the PSID research program and expand competencies (in particular in targeting younger Respondents (18 – 27)).

Project Period 06/2013 - 08/2014 **Proposal No:**
Data Collection 09/2013 - 04/2014

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members TBD

Report Period July, 2013 (TA 2013) **Project Phase** Initiation
Monthly Update No update information available.

Special Issues

Cost
Aug 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	0.00
Estimated Cost at Completion	0.00
Total Budget:	686,749.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	0.00

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures

Project Name UM College of Pharmacy Alumni Study (UMCOP Alum Study)

Project Mode Primary: Web

Project Type Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

Budget ***Direct Budget:*** 47,765.00 ***InDirect Budget:*** 0.00 ***Total Budget:*** 47,765.00

Principal Investigator/Client Mr. Peter Niedbala (Director of Pharmacy Advancement U of M)

Project Team
Project Lead: Esther H Ullman
Budget Analyst:
Production Manager:
Senior Project Advisor: Zoanne Blackburn
Production Manager:
Production Manager:

Description: The purpose of this project is to contact approximately 4,000 U-M College of Pharmacy alumni, primarily via email, to invite them to participate in a short web survey. SRC's involvement with this project includes programming the short web-based questionnaire including biographical items as well as approximately 15 survey questions, inviting pre-identified respondents by email, mail, and telephone, and tracking non-respondents, as necessary.

Project Period 12/2012 - 06/2013 **Proposal No:** 13-0005R01
Data Collection 02/2013 - 05/2013

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:		***Pretest Start:***	
Pretest End:		***Recruitment Start:***	
Staffing Completed:		***GIT Start:***	
SS Train Start:		***SS Train End:***	
DC Start:		***DC End:***	

Other Project Team Members

Report Period July, 2013 (UMCOP Alum Study) **Project Phase** Closing

Monthly Update In July closing activities for the College of Pharmacy Study were underway. This includes preparation of final reports, data delivery, archiving, etc. This will continue into August.

Special Issues

Cost
Jul 31, 2013

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	46,401.77
Estimated Cost at Completion	46,401.77
Total Budget:	47,765.00
Variance (Budget minus E$AC):	1,363.23

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal:			
Goal at Completion:			
Current actual:			
Estimate at Complete:			
Variance:			

Other Measures