

# ***Survey Research Operations***

## ***Monthly Project Report***

### ***Sponsored Projects***

***February 2019***



## Sponsored Projects

(ACL6) *Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6*  
(A-STARRS LS) *Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study*  
(BFY) *Baby's First Years*  
(C.A.R.E.) *Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium*  
(ED-Stars Continuation) *Emergency Department Study to Assess Risk of Suicide - Continuation Project*  
(ECHO) *Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes*  
(HRS 2018) *Health and Retirement Study 2018*  
(HCDC, H&C) *Housing & Children*  
(HRS-Neuro) *HRS Neuroimaging Pilot*  
(MEM) *Making Ends Meet*  
(MTF Web 2018) *Monitoring the Future 2018 Web*  
(NSFG 2010-2020) *National Survey of Family Growth*  
(YRS) *Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department*  
(CDS-19) *PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019)*  
(SWEL) *Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life*  
(SCA 2019) *Surveys of Consumer Attitudes*  
(Variations in Math) *Variations in Math*  
(VCT) *Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection*

<b>Project Name</b>	Americans' Changing Lives - Wave 6 (ACL6)			
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Telephone	Secondary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 2	
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	462,919.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	259,234.00
			<b>Total Budget:</b>	722,153.00
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Margaret Hicken (University of Michigan, SRC - SEH)			
<b>Funding Agency</b>	National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging			
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	HUM00153243	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	Pending
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Terri Ann Ridenour		
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Grace Tison		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Lisa J Carn		
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Shonda R Kruger-Ndiaye		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	_UnAssigned		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	James Koopman		

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:** Led by Dr. Maggie Hicken, ACL6 will build on 5 waves (25 years) of data from the nationally-representative Americans' Changing Lives (ACL) study by adding a 6th wave of data collection (ACL6), along with blood collection for DNA methylation analysis. With ACL6, there will be data for over 30 years of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors experienced cumulatively over adulthood, which the investigators will link to DNA methylation and then examine the impact on healthy aging. The objective of ACL6 is to identify underlying epigenomic mechanisms linking racial residential segregation to key markers of healthy aging, for which there are known inequalities.

The ACL6 project period began in July of 2018, with SRO involvement starting in September of 2018. The data collection period is planned to begin in April of 2019 and expected to conclude in August of 2019.

SRO's work scope includes survey data collection and home visit coordination. SRO will build systems that: 1) equip SSL interviewers (and potential low-level field interviewers at the end of the study) with tools to conduct survey data collection and sample management; 2) provide a basic mechanism (i.e. Weblog) for the Study Coordinator to log written consent received for the home visit, log specimen chain of custody and support SSL follow up efforts (reminder call outcomes); 3) support SRO coordination between the Core Study Team (CST), 3rd party vendor responsible for home visit (health assessment, blood sample collection and health-related measurements) and CLASS lab biorepository; and 4) serve basic reporting needs to provide regular progress updates during the production period.

A broad overlap with the ACL5 study is expected with only about 15% of the questionnaire requiring revisions. But nonetheless, creating specification documentation for the updated instrument, programming specifications in Blaise 4.8, developing SurveyTrak sample management system for use in the SSL, building Weblog interface and creating Reports will be required.

The Core Study Team will conduct locating procedures and update sample records with information about deceased members. All living members of the Americans' Changing Lives cohort longitudinal study who participated in any of the previous 5 waves will be contacted by the Core Study Team for participation in ACL6 data collection. All surviving ACL respondents (currently estimated to be 1,588) are eligible to participate; there will be no screening for participation. In March 2019 the CST will deliver to SRO the panel sample to prepare for loading in sample management system. SRO will mail the pre-interview letter to potential interview participants, along with a prepaid incentive (\$30) to participate in the interview.

A 60-minute telephone interview will be conducted. Respondents will be asked to provide information on various social, economic, psychological, and health matters. SRO assumes 1,262 interviews will be successfully completed. It is also anticipated that approximately 108 interviews may be conducted by proxy. Although the data collection will primarily be conducted by SSL interviewers, SRO's systems will support the possibility of interviews to be conducted face-to-face for respondents who cannot be reached by telephone or prefer an in person visit. As data collection unfolds, SRO will revisit the need for face-to-face work with the PI and prepare a budget estimate for the scope of work based on location of respondents and other factors.

After the survey is completed, the interviewer will discuss the home visit and ask if the R is interested in participating. If R agrees, the interview will obtain oral consent for R to be contacted by a third party [TBD] to schedule this home visit. SRO will send the R a pre-home visit packet by mail, which will include: (a) a letter briefly describing this second part of the study; (b) the same consent brochure that was mailed in the pre-interview packet; (c) two copies of the informed consent brochure, one to sign and provide to the 3rd party vendor health professional and one to keep; and (d) a \$50 incentive for participating in the home visit.

During the home visit, the health professional will collect the signed informed consent document. The signed UM consent form will be mailed by vendor to the Core Study Team, roughly the next business day after completing the interview.

SSL interviewers will be responsible for reminder calls related to the home visit (e.g. for respondents who do not return consent forms or who do not schedule their appointment with vendor). Interviewers will use the Weblog system to record outcomes.

**SRO Project Period** 09/2018 - 09/2019

**Data Col Period** 04/2018 - 08/2019

**Security Plan** NA

**Milestone Dates**

**PreProduction Start:**

**Pretest End:**

**Staffing Completed:**

**SS Train Start:** 04/02/2019

**DC Start:** 04/09/2019

**Pretest Start:**

**Recruitment Start:** 02/25/2019

**GIT Start:** 03/28/2019

**SS Train End:** 04/03/2019

**DC End:** 08/31/2019

**Other Project Team Members:**

Shonda Kruger-Ndiaye: SPA  
Terri Ridenour: SRO Lead/Project Manager  
Jaime Koopman: SSI (Blaise/STrak Specs)  
Gary Hein: SSI (Weblog Specs)  
Debra Heier: SSA (Project Coordination)  
Grace Tison: Financial Analyst  
Lisa Carn: Production Manager  
Russ Stark: SSL STrak systems consultant and lab management  
Jeff Smith: Technical Lead  
Stephanie Windisch (and Chris Greene): Data Managers  
Jeff Smith: SurveyTrak Programmer  
Ashwin Dey: WebTrak, Weblog Programmer  
Dave Dybicki: CAI Programmer-Blaise  
Andrea Pierce: Help Desk

**Other Project Names:** "Racial inequalities in health throughout adulthood: The cumulative impact of neighborhood chemical and non-chemical stressors on epigenomic pathways" (aka, "Social epigenomics of racial health inequalities - SERHI")

**Sample Mgmt Sys** SurveyTrak

**Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

**Hardware** Desktop

**DE Software** NA

**QC Recording Tool** NA

**Incentive** Yes, R

**Administration** SRO Group

**Payment Type** Check, prepaid (\$30 (interview) ); Other (Check, prepaid - \$50 (consent to WBD/making home appointment))

**Payment Method** NA

**Report Period** Feb, 2019 (ACL6) **Project Phase** Implementing

**Risk Level** On Track

**Monthly Update** On 2/19/19 Grace Tison submitted the new ACL 6 budget to Nick Prieur at the Core Study Team. The PI and Nick reviewed our request and allocated the Yr 1 fund to our sub. Grace added the additional cost to CRS for ACL 6 so the total budget is \$722,153, as a result, the overrun is only \$1,073 now.

This updated budget includes: SRO's work for an additional month and the increased incentive cost based on updated sample counts.

However, this new budget does not include expenses that are associated with the sample increase that may occur, such as: mailing, printing, envelopes, postages, resources to locate sample, etc.. Until the sample count is finalized, we are not able to get an accurate calculation on those cost estimates. Although I believe those costs shouldn't be significant, we will keep track and follow up with Nick. If necessary, Nick will allocate additional budget to our sub once they receive Year 2 funds.

**Special Issues**

**Cost**  
**Feb 19, 2019**

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 76,956.09  
**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 723,226.09  
**Total Budget:** 722,153.00  
**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -1,073.09  
**Reason For Variance:**

1) PI's survey methods change: offer pre-incentives (vs. post-iw) to all surviving ACL panel members.

2) Field Operations rate increase (from \$3.21/hour to \$3.41/hour) and Field Hiring & Training rate increase (from \$1.10 to \$1.39) effective December 2018.

3) Data management hours and new employee's training/on-boarding, in addition to effort from a senior data manager to oversee their work.

We will submit our request to the Study Office for a budget reallocation after the sample is delivered (3/1/19). We expect our Total Budget to be increased and the overrun to be reduced at that time. We will continue to monitor & control other direct expense categories (i.e. programming and project management salaries).

See further budget details under Monthly Updates.

**Projections**  
**Feb 19, 2019**

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 31,198.32  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 26,894.68  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 4,303.64  
**Reason For Variance:**

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>		80%	
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>			
<b>Current actual:</b>			
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

<b>Project Name</b>	Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (A-STARRS LS)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Web	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 3										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b> Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 8,280,054.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 4,554,645.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 12,834,699.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	James Wagner (University of Michigan) Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc) Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>	Department of Defense												
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00099203	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	2/18/2016-2/17/2017										
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Meredith A House <b>Budget Analyst:</b> William Lokers <b>Production Manager:</b> Ruth B Philippou <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Mary P Maher <b>Production Manager:</b> Juan Carlos Donoso <b>Production Manager:</b> Leah Marie oberts												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	<p>This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers). For STARRS LS, we will attempt to reinterview all respondents from the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS) and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multi mode study. Each of the approximately 70,000 eligible respondents will be invited to participate once every two years. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS LS will continue to maintain and support the Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as de-identified historical administrative data received from the Army and Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, STARRS LS will continue to receive and link de-identified administrative data to the survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS LS surveys). These data will also be made available in the Research Data Enclave.</p>												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	02/2015 - 11/2019												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	10/2015 - 11/2019												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 02/01/2015</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b> 10/14/2015</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b> 03/31/2016</td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b></td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b> 09/12/2016</td> <td><b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019</td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 02/01/2015	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 10/14/2015	<b>Pretest End:</b> 03/31/2016	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>	<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>	<b>DC Start:</b> 09/12/2016	<b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 02/01/2015	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 10/14/2015												
<b>Pretest End:</b> 03/31/2016	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>												
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>												
<b>DC Start:</b> 09/12/2016	<b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	Heather Schroeder, Paul Burton, Pete Larson, Ryan Yoder, Keith Liebetreu, Kelsey MulkaCheng Zhou, Shanti Suresh, Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, Lamont Manley, Chris Greene, Youhon Liu, Peter Sparks. Pam Swanson, Genise Pattulo, Andrew Hupp												
<b>Other Project Names:</b>													
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	MSMS												
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 5												
<b>Hardware</b>	Desktop												
<b>DE Software</b>	N/A												
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	Live monitoring												
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R												
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group												
<b>Payment Type</b>	Check, post (\$50-\$100); Cash, prepaid (\$2 (or Challenge coin)); Other (Army STARRS challenge coin (provide												
<b>Payment Method</b>	Check through other system (MSMS); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS); Other (Army STA												
<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (A-STARRS LS)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing										
<b>Risk Level</b>	Some Concerns												
<b>Monthly Update</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> We have collected 9,915 Wave 2 main study interviews and 124 end game interviews as of February 26. <input type="checkbox"/> The Year 5 extension (supplemental funding) continues to be in process at USUHS and HJF. We discussed the possibility of receiving a letter of intent to fund from HJF while we await the formal funding. This budget includes funding for releasing the STARRS-LS survey data.												

- ☐ Our recent IRB submissions have been approved and are now under review at USUHS.
- ☐ We completed work on the STARRS-LS Wave 1 sample outcomes flowchart, weights and weights documentation.
- ☐ We made plans to produce the Safety Plan comparison tables on a quarterly basis – by the 5th of March, June, September and December (while in data collection) – for the PIs, the ODUSA and the GSC.
- ☐ We completed the work on creating MASTERIDs and RESPIDs for PPDS survey and STARRS-LS Wave 1 public use data.
- ☐ Enclave user support continued. We continue to work with ODUSA staff on overall security training coordination. All but four persons completed their annual training renewals which we were due to U-M January 31, 2019.
- ☐ We received approval for an IRB amendment for the request to receive NDI data from the Centers for Disease Control. We began work on completing the CDC NDI application.
- ☐ Per ODUSA request, we removed seven tables of raw admin data (ASMIS-R) from the enclave.
- ☐ We carried out a successful test of the process for transferring HADS construct files from AAG to Michigan.
- ☐ The U-M data/security team discussed and developed a plan that will permit the Harvard team to move SHOS-B open ended data to a different secure server and allow access for research assistants to code the open ended data.

## Special Issues

We continue to track areas of risk, and develop mitigation strategies.

- ☐ Enclave Support
  - o We are monitoring priorities for enclave support. We are balancing the primary enclave support work with a number of more complex biomarker data support requests, which are outside of the reduced enclave team scope in the STARRS-LS contract. We prioritize the primary work above other requests in accordance with project goals and to remain within budget/scope. As a result, the biomarker data support requests often take longer to service. As long as requestors are ok with this arrangement, we can continue to manage the work and priorities in this fashion.
- ☐ New technical systems
  - o We continue to work with the development team for our sample management system, and prioritize our requests. The efficiency of our technical systems continues to be strong, but as sample sizes grow, we are working with the technical team to identify improvements, should these larger sample sizes lead to significant slowdowns in system functioning.
- ☐ Scope additions
  - o Knowing whether there will be a third wave of data collection is our biggest area of risk at this time. The timeline is tight assuming we want to launch data collection April 2020. If we do not receive funding by June 2019, we risk not having the available staff to do the work on time. Routine U-M resource planning is typically six to eight months out, so we are starting to get questions from SRO units about our planned scope and resource needs. We continue to keep SRO aware of our tentative plans and timeline.
  - o We are assuming funding will be extended through June 2020; allowing us to continue to support the Enclave, and complete Wave 2 data collection. As we are beginning to work on scope covered in the extension (STARRS-LS Wave 1 public data), we will need to receive the formal funding soon.
  - o There are a few cost estimates for new scope that have yet to be made (Table 5 above). As decisions are made, we will work with the research team to schedule and implement this work.
  - o We have started to receive some information on additional public use data releases, including release to the NIH National Data Archive. There are several unknowns that need to be clarified before we can finalize our scope and cost estimates, and determine what staffing will be needed for this work.

## Cost Feb 18, 2019

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	10,663,549.84
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	12,848,622.54
<b>Total Budget:</b>	12,834,699.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	-13,923.54
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	

We continue to adjust our costs each month, to reflect staffing and non-salary changes. This variance is less than 1% of the total five year budget. We will continue to update and work to reduce the cost variance by the end of this funding period (November 2019)

The decrease in the deficit was greater this month due to December being a short month and because we removed the hours/costs for a projected interviewer training, which we know will not take place.

The Year 5 contract modification was fully executed. Year 5 funding includes the remainder of the five-year award plus the cost of preparing and releasing PPDS survey data and the NSS neurocognitive test scores to ICPSR (these public use funds were added to our budget and reports).

## Projections Feb 18, 2019

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	306,295.87
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	294,835.79
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	11,460.08
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	

Largely due to key salary lines under (Survey Tech II, data security analyst) and lower than projected indirect costs.

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
	<i>Current Goal:</i> <i>Goal at Completion:</i> <i>Current actual:</i> <i>Estimate at Complete:</i> <i>Variance:</i>		

**Other Measures** For this project, we have response rate and interview count goals for each of the five phases in our contact protocol. The sample is released in replicates and we are tracking results by phase and replicate. Tracking information is included in the Monthly update reports to PIs (uploaded as separate files)



<b>Project Name</b>	Baby's First Years (BFY)			
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Face to Face      Total of Modes: 1			
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	3,786,038.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	1,742,658.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 5,528,696.00
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine) Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University) Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)			
<b>Funding Agency</b>	National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)			
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	HUM00137963	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Piotr Dworak		
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Janelle P Cramer		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Barbara Aghababian-Homburg		
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Stephanie A Chardoul		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Margaret Lavanger		
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Anthony Romanowski		
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data			
<b>Description:</b>	<p>University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.</p> <p>The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.</p> <p>The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).</p> <p>SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;</li> <li>• Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;</li> <li>• Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;</li> <li>• Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.</li> </ul> <p>Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:</p> <p>Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019  Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020  Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021  Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022</p>			
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	10/2017 - 12/2020			
<b>Data Col Period</b>	04/2018 - 12/2020			
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA			

**Milestone Dates****PreProduction Start:** 10/01/2017**Pretest End:****Staffing Completed:** 02/07/2018**SS Train Start:** 03/20/2018**DC Start:** 05/07/2018**Pretest Start:****Recruitment Start:** 01/01/2018**GIT Start:** 03/19/2018**SS Train End:** 03/22/2018**DC End:** 05/31/2019**Other Project****Team Members:**

Stephanie Chardoul (SPA)  
 Piotr Dworak (Lead)  
 Tony Romanowski (PM)  
 Daric Thorne (PM/SSA)  
 Barb Homburg (PM)  
 Peggy Lavanger (PM)  
 Jim McClure (DCS)  
 Jeff Smith (tech lead)  
 Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant)  
 Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant)  
 Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer)  
 Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager)  
 Dave Dybicki (Blaise)  
 Colette Keyser (Blaise)  
 Tricia Blanchard (MSMS)  
 Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)  
 HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

**Other Project****Names:****Sample Mgmt Sys**

MSMS

**Data Col Tool**

Blaise 5

**Hardware**

Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

**DE Software**

N/A

**QC Recording Tool**

Other (to be specified)

**Incentive**

Yes, R

**Administration**

SRO Group

**Payment Type**

Cash, prepaid (50)

**Payment Method**

Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

**Report Period**

Feb, 2019 (BFY)

**Project Phase**

Implementing

**Risk Level***Some Concerns***Monthly Update**

Project updates:

BFY is in the final stretch. By 3/19 we will have made final decisions about sample composition and goals through the end of recruitment wave. We are also making final decisions about the training dates. Age 1 preparations are on the way with version 1 of the instrument programmed and being tested. Latest round of revisions.

Data collection / Sample:

Production is exceeding goals in NE and NOLA. NY needs to catch up and we are facing obstacles with onboarding new staff at CUMC due to lag on the part of CUMC administration. There is a prospect of adding 2 new hospitals in MN in March and we need a decision on the final balance across other sites depending on how many Moms can be recruited in MN by mid-June.

	Goal	Recruited
Overall	742	663 +/-
Nebraska	184	204 20
New York	191	166 -25
Minnesota	178	84 -94
Louisiana	189	209 20

Staffing:

NE: 0 OS (1 moving to PSID; 1 diagnosed with West Nile virus and cannot get credentials at hospitals), 1 NH / 1 NH just trained (3 NH quit)  
 MN: 3 NH / 1 NH trained, not credentialed  
 NY: 2OS, 2NH trained, not credentialed

NOLA: 3 NH"

Technical system:

"BFY suffered technical setbacks with a) MSMS release that needed to be rolled back and b) with the delays in launching mid-wave address update follow up. The MSMS outages created ripple effect through production which affected internal teams as well as external reporting and data processing by the PI research team.

We have also began implementation of the Age 1 protocol including survey, EEG, home video recording, and hair sample collection."

Finances:

Revised budget includes addition of Age 1 supplement funded by Perigee foundation.

#### Special Issues

Cost  
Feb 07, 2019

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 1,332,267.06  
**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 6,203,320.12  
**Total Budget:** 5,528,696.00  
**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -140,352.52  
**Reason For Variance:** New budget with Age 1 supplement is: \$6,127,698.00. Variance with the new budget: (\$75,622.12)

Projections  
Feb 07, 2019

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 87,569.87  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 88,583.73  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** -1,013.86  
**Reason For Variance:** Additional training, technical updates related to address update initiatives.

#### Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	742	—	7
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	1000	—	7
<b>Current actual:</b>	663	—	9.14
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	1000	—	10
<b>Variance:</b>			

#### Other Measures

<b>Project Name</b>	Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium (C.A.R.E.)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Telephone	Secondary: Mail	Total of Modes: 2										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 821,295.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 213,538.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 1,034,833.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Dr. Steven Broglio (U of M Kinesiology) Dr. Michael McCrea (Medical College of Wisconsin) Dr. Thomas McAllister (Indiana University School of Medicine)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>													
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>											
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson											
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	William Lokers											
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Kathleen S Ladronka											
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Barbara Lohr Ward											
	<b>Production Manager:</b>												
	<b>Production Manager:</b>												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	<p>This budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 24 months commencing in January 2019 with data collection taking place during a 20-month period, beginning April 2019. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$1,034,833 (\$821,295 direct, \$213,538 indirect), budgeted at the NCAA's published indirect cost recovery rate of 26%.</p> <p>SRO will provide consultation, respondent location activities and data collection for the NCAA-DoD Grand Alliance (Cumulative and persistent effects of concussion and repetitive head impact exposure: An intermediate follow-up study of US Military Service Academy members and NCAA student-athletes in the CARE Consortium) project. Specifically, SRO will provide consultation on respondent locating and panel maintenance procedures and instruments, locate and contact respondents by mail and phone to prompt them to access the online data collection questionnaire, and conduct telephone interviews with participants who fail to respond to invitations to complete follow-up interviews on the web.</p> <p>The estimate assumes that approximately 7,500 (approximately 5,000 in the first year and 2,500 in the second year of the project) respondents are included in the initial outreach/email request to complete the online instrument by your research team, and that approximately 20% comply. The remaining 6,000 respondents will be followed up by SRO with locating, further contacts and prompting to complete the web survey. Finally, we anticipate direct outreach by telephone interviewers with access to the online application. All respondent incentives will be paid by the research staff.</p>												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	12/2018 - 09/2020												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	02/2019 - 06/2020												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b></td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b></td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b></td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b></td> <td><b>DC End:</b></td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b>	<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>	<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>	<b>DC Start:</b>	<b>DC End:</b>
<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b>												
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>												
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>												
<b>DC Start:</b>	<b>DC End:</b>												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kathy LaDronka, William Lokers, Parina Kamdar												

## Other Project

## Names:

**Sample Mgmt Sys** Other (QuesGen)  
**Data Col Tool** Other (QuesGen)  
**Hardware** Laptop  
**DE Software** External vendor (QuesGen)  
**QC Recording Tool** N/A  
**Incentive** Yes, Other (Managed by Consortium)  
**Administration** SRO Group  
**Payment Type** N/A  
**Payment Method** N/A

---

**Report Period** Feb, 2019 (C.A.R.E.) **Project Phase** Planning  
**Risk Level** *Not Rated*  
**Monthly Update** 02/2019  
 The CARE team has been selected and Project Review/Kickoff was held 2/15/2019. Several concerns were presented including working with systems that are completely external to SRO and out of our control.  
  
 SRO has delivered specifications for the Interviewer administered questionnaire to the CARE staff but it has not been programmed as yet. Specifications for reports have also been delivered to CARE but reports also have not been programmed as yet. In addition, the project is still waiting for IRB approval. As a result of these issues, interviewer training will be delayed from mid-late April to mid-late June at the earliest.  
  
**Special Issues**  
**Cost**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	31,357.52
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	929,723.79
<b>Total Budget:</b>	1,034,833.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	105,109.21
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	01/2019 Programming and data management work that was budgeted is no longer a part of SRO's scope.

**Projections**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	17,454.56
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	15,316.18
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	2,138.38
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	

Measures	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b> <b>Goal at Completion:</b> <b>Current actual:</b> <b>Estimate at Complete:</b> <b>Variance:</b>			

## Other Measures

<b>Project Name</b>	Emergency Department Study to Assess Risk of Suicide - Continuation Project (ED-Stars Continuation)																							
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Telephone      Total of Modes: 1																							
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current																				
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	341,436.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	187,848.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 529,284.00																				
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Cheryl King, Ph.D., ABPP (University of Michigan) Jacqueline Grupp-Phelan, M.D., MPH (Children's Hospital Medical Center, I David A. Brent, M.D. (University of Pittsburgh Medical Center)																							
<b>Funding Agency</b>	National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)																							
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	HUM00134293	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	7/31/2017-7/30/2018																				
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Esther H Ullman																						
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Janelle P Cramer																						
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Lisa J Carn																						
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Kirsten Haakan Alcser																						
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Maureen Joan O'Brien																						
	<b>Production Manager:</b>	Maryam N Buageila																						
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data																							
<b>Description:</b>	<p>The study looks at suicide warning signs for the 24 hour period prior to a suicide attempt among adolescents in the United States. The main aim of this study is to gain a better understanding of which adolescents are at imminent risk and when they are at imminent risk for attempting suicide. Warning signs for suicide attempts are determined by examining differences between adolescent cases (suicide attempters) and controls (non-attempters) in emotions, thoughts, behaviors, and life events during the 24-hour period prior to suicide attempts, and by determining which 24-hour warning signs are commonly associated with suicide attempts for subgroups of adolescents. Approximately 1800 of ED STARS Study 2 sample age 12-18 are expected to participate in the ED-Stars Continuation; their parents will also be consented for the project. Ubitrix, an outside software organization under contract, sends text messages to selected respondents every two weeks, for eighteen months, asking mental health questions including if they have attempted suicide in the last two weeks. When a respondent endorses suicide items, SRO is alerted and completes a phone questionnaire with the respondent. SRO attempts to reach these "safety" cases within 24 hours. There are three controls selected for each case by PECARN. The instrument used is the Warning Signs for Suicide Attempt-Adolescent (WSSA-A). All Respondents who endorse items of suicide either by text or by phone interview are transferred to Boys Town National Suicide Hotline at the completion of the phone interview.</p>																							
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	01/2018 - 12/2020																							
<b>Data Col Period</b>	01/2019 - 09/2019																							
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA																							
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b></td> <td>10/15/2017</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b></td> <td></td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> <td>11/01/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b></td> <td>01/22/2018</td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b></td> <td>01/23/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b></td> <td>01/24/2018</td> <td><b>DC End:</b></td> <td>09/30/2019</td> </tr> </table>				<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	10/15/2017	<b>Pretest Start:</b>		<b>Pretest End:</b>		<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	11/01/2017	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>		<b>GIT Start:</b>		<b>SS Train Start:</b>	01/22/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b>	01/23/2018	<b>DC Start:</b>	01/24/2018	<b>DC End:</b>	09/30/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	10/15/2017	<b>Pretest Start:</b>																						
<b>Pretest End:</b>		<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	11/01/2017																					
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>		<b>GIT Start:</b>																						
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	01/22/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b>	01/23/2018																					
<b>DC Start:</b>	01/24/2018	<b>DC End:</b>	09/30/2019																					
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>																								
<b>Other Project Names:</b>	Continuation, Warning Signs, ED-Stars Continuation,																							
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SMS																							
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8																							
<b>Hardware</b>	Desktop																							
<b>DE Software</b>	NA																							
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	NA																							
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, Other (Amazon gift card )																							
<b>Administration</b>	NA																							
<b>Payment Type</b>	NA																							
<b>Payment Method</b>	NA																							

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (ED-Stars Continuation)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	Not Rated		

**Monthly Update**

Consenting continues for ED Stars Study 2 Respondents who are eligible to participate in the Continuation study. Currently 99 Case interviews and 195 Control interviews have been completed, for a total of 294 completed interviews. Overall RR is 81% for closed cases. 1092 Study 2 respondents have agreed to participate out of 1321, for an overall consent rate of 82% (both parents + teen). 139 sample lines have been determined ineligible due to no cell phone access which makes them unable to participate in the txt survey from Ubitrix. We continue to have 12 controls delivered per week. Since ED-Stars Study 2 is now over, we are no longer consenting new sample for Warning Signs. The 4 new interviewers are being integrated into the project. Only one has completed a respondent interview. The PI had requested that they only complete Case interviews, but since we cannot predict when we get a case, they have not all been able to complete one. Since then, the PI has agreed to allow them to complete Control interviews in the absence of Cases. Iwer practice sessions are continuing with one of the UM Researchers, and the SSL PM is disseminating information gleaned from these practice sessions to all Iwers. Practice sessions have resumed since the closure break. Phase 1 Iwers meet with the PI for 2 hours and Phase 2 interviewers meet with her for 1 hour following. QC staff is attending the feedback sessions. Currently there are approximately 2x as many controls as cases, so the match is approximately 2:1 and the goal is to have 3:1. PI's are reviewing case/control matching criteria and reducing the number of criteria needed to match so that there is not as much difficulty matching controls to cases. Regarding project end date, PI has been kept abreast by month of increasing project costs and increasing overrun. We are beginning to discuss options to manage this overrun, with the PI. We have presented a budget to her estimating the lowest amount necessary to carry production into June 2020. She has not yet accepted or secured extra funds to continue past November 2019.

**Special Issues****Cost****Mar 31, 2019**

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	340,345.56
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	574,553.39
<b>Total Budget:</b>	529,284.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	-43,332.39
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	Total budget dollars is \$531,221.00. The reason for variance is increased costs due to increase in programming needs for instrument changes, increase in management time due to extensive time and resources to prepare and implement Iwer feedback sessions with PI's.

**Projections****Mar 31, 2019**

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	25,043.56
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	25,682.26
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	-638.70
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	Variance is due to Iwer hours being spread across 3 ED Stars accounts, and difficulty in projecting hours due to unpredictability of when sample will come in.

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>			
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	1800		
<b>Current actual:</b>	294	82	2.58
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

Project Name	Environmental Influences on Child Health Outcomes (ECHO)				
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face		Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2	
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			Project Status	Current
Budget	Direct Budget:	1,124,161.00	Indirect Budget:	618,287.00	Total Budget: 1,742,448.00
Principal Investigator/Client	Nigel Paneth (Michigan State University) Michael Elliot (University of Michigan )				
Funding Agency	NIH				
IRB	HUM#:	HUM00139050	Period Of Approval:	12/6/2017-12/5/2018	
Project Team	Project Lead:	Evanthia Leissou			
	Budget Analyst:	William Lokers			
	Production Manager:	_UnAssigned			
	Senior Project Advisor:	Kirsten Haakan Alcser			
	Production Manager:	Terri Ann Ridenour			
	Production Manager:				
Proposal #:	no data				

**Description:** The goal of ECHO is to understand pressing childhood health concerns such as autism spectrum disorders, low birth weight and childhood obesity. The project will collect survey data and a series of bio-specimens in order to assess the effects of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals, the maternal nutritional or weight status in pregnancy, and pregnancy infection and inflammation on the health of children.

The project will include three sample cohorts; two cohorts from previous, ongoing data collection efforts as well as new sample. The existing sample cohorts include mothers and children recruited in 2011 or later for the Archive for Research in Child Health (ARCH) study in the Lansing area, and the Michigan Maternal-Infant Pair Study (MMIP) in the Ann Arbor area. The newly recruited sample cohort, MARCH (Michigan Archive for Research in Child Health), will consist of 1,100 pregnant women from 21 clinics associated with 11 hospitals in Michigan; a statewide probability sample of 1,000 women from 20 clinics in Detroit, Saginaw, Traverse City, Grand Rapids, and Sturgis, and 100 women from one clinic in Flint.

SRO's work scope is divided into two phases. During Phase 1, SRO will provide assistance with prenatal questionnaire development, and design technical systems for MARCH cohort recruitment to be conducted by Michigan State University recruiters. During Phase 2, SRO will develop systems and conduct data collection for MARCH, ARCH and MMIP samples, and perform data management and harmonization of MARCH, ARCH, and MMIPS data.

For the MARCH sample, expectant mothers will be recruited during their initial visit to a healthcare provider, and asked to complete an interview about nutrition, levels of physical activity, use of healthcare services, physical and mental health, prescription medications and other substance use. In addition, women will be asked to give blood samples in the first and second trimesters and urine samples in all three trimesters.

The sample recruitment and administration of prenatal interviews will be done by MSU interviewers using SRO's technical systems and laptops. SRO will program all questionnaires to be administered in the study, the sample management system(s), and the system to keep track of the collection and storage of blood and urine samples. When babies are born, the research team will obtain hospital birth records for the mother and child, and a placenta sample. The first MARCH babies are expected to be born in late Fall, 2017.

SRO's involvement in data collection will start after the MARCH babies are born. The first interview with the mother will be done when the baby is 3 months old. Mothers will be interviewed again when the children are 12 months old and yearly after that until the child is 6 years old. When the children are 3 months old, mothers will provide fecal samples, and at age 4 they will provide shed teeth. Children from all three cohorts will be assessed using standardized developmental assessments at age 2, 4, and 6 years old. The assessments will be done during in-home visits. SRO will start interviews and assessments of children from the ARCH and MMIP cohorts in 2018.

**SRO Project Period** 01/2017 - 12/2020

**Data Col Period** 05/2018 - 12/2020

**Security Plan** NA

**Milestone Dates**

**PreProduction Start:**

**Pretest End:**

**Staffing Completed:**

**SS Train Start:**

**DC Start:**

**Pretest Start:**

**Recruitment Start:**

**GIT Start:**

**SS Train End:**

**DC End:**



**Other Project Team Members:**

Ian Ogden: Project Manager  
 Bill Lokers: Financial Analyst  
 Gregg Peterson: Senior Technical Advisor  
 Jeff Smith: Technical Lead  
 Brad Goodwin: Data Manager  
 Jeff Smith: Systems Programmer  
 Ashwin Dey: Systems Programmer  
 Paul Schultz: CAI Programmer-Illume  
 Jim Hagerman: CAI Programmer-Blaise  
 Deb Wilson: Help Desk

**Other Project Names:**

**Sample Mgmt Sys** SurveyTrak; Illume  
**Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8; Illume  
**Hardware** Laptop; Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil  
**DE Software** N/A  
**QC Recording Tool** Camtasia  
**Incentive** Yes, R  
**Administration** SRO Group  
**Payment Type** Check, post (\$100)  
**Payment Method** Check through STRak RPay System

---

**Report Period** Feb, 2019 (ECHO) **Project Phase** Implementing

**Risk Level** *Some Concerns*

**Monthly Update** [Phase 1: Recruitment]

MARCH sample recruitment continues in Detroit, Traverse City, and Ann Arbor. Recruitment is expected to begin at IHA clinics affiliated with St. Joseph Hospital (Canton and Ann Arbor) in December. The next hospitals to come onboard are in Grand Rapids and Saginaw. No dates yet have been set for recruitment in these hospitals. To date 468 women have been recruited.

-----  
 [Phase 2: 3-Month Data Collection]  
 3-Month Interviews Completed: 50  
 Production HPI: 3.16  
 Average Attempts / lw: 7.62  
 lw length: 32.71

**Special Issues** NIH will provide ECHO centers with all licenses required for neuro-developmental assessments in age 2 and 4 protocols and with data collection questionnaires programmed in REDCap. In addition, the PIs decided to take over data collection for the ARCH cohort, and telephone interviews for the MARCH cohort (ages 3 and 5). Funding for MMIP was not approved in Year 3 and beyond. The only data collections that SRO will be responsible for in project years 3-7 are 3 month telephone and age 4 in-person interviews. The decision was based on reduced NIH funds.

**Cost**  
**Feb 28, 2019**

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	1,365,167.00
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	1,336,629.00
<b>Total Budget:</b>	1,742,448.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	405,818.00

**Reason For Variance:**

Year 2 ended Aug 31, 2018 with a significant underrun due to reduction in SRO's workscope.

Background information: In fall 2017, the ECHO Steering Committee leadership engaged in extensive protocol design discussions and it is expected to finalize the designs in fall 2018. The Michigan ECHO team hesitated to move forward with preliminary designs which delayed SRO's tasks and resulted in significant underrun.

In June 2018, the MSU team submitted a 5-year proposal (Sept 2018 - Aug 2023) to NIH which included a reduced work scope for SRO. The funds available for this submission were limited and after lengthy negotiations SRO submitted budgets to: 1) continue supporting the MSU team though the MARCH sample recruitment, 2) continue the 3-month data collection, and 3) develop and conduct the age 4 in-person interviews and assessments.

Year 3 cost information is as follows:

Completion	Expense		Expense Projection		Cost At
	Budget	This Period	YTD	Cumulative	
Total Direct Cost	\$294,340	\$17,550	\$81,862	\$248,471	\$330,334
Indirect Cost	\$164,829	\$9,885	\$45,790	\$139,144	\$184,934
TOTAL	\$459,169	\$27,434	\$127,652	\$387,615	\$515,267
				(\$56,098.05)	

Projections  
Feb 28, 2019

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 0.00  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 0.00  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 0.00  
**Reason For Variance:**

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	See Monthly Updates		
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>			
<b>Current actual:</b>			
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

Other Measures

<b>Project Name</b>	Health and Retirement Study 2018 (HRS 2018)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Mixed	Secondary: Web	Total of Modes: 3										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 0.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 0.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 16,916,872.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	David Weir (ISR-SRC)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>													
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00061128	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>											
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Nicole G Kirgis <b>Budget Analyst:</b> Richard Warren Krause <b>Production Manager:</b> Stephanie Sullivan <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Mary P Maher <b>Production Manager:</b> Rebecca Gatward <b>Production Manager:</b> Jennifer C Arrieta												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	<p>The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992. The study includes a representative sample of people aged 50 years and older in the U.S.. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of people aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security Administration records and Veterans Administration (VA) records is requested. A self-administered "leave behind" paper questionnaire is given to respondents interviewed in person.</p>												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	01/2018 - 06/2018												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	04/2018 - 04/2019												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/01/2018</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b> 02/02/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b> 02/10/2018</td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b> 04/07/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b> 04/09/2018</td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b> 04/14/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b> 04/19/2018</td> <td><b>DC End:</b> 06/01/2019</td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/01/2018	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 02/02/2018	<b>Pretest End:</b> 02/10/2018	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b> 04/07/2018	<b>SS Train Start:</b> 04/09/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b> 04/14/2018	<b>DC Start:</b> 04/19/2018	<b>DC End:</b> 06/01/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/01/2018	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 02/02/2018												
<b>Pretest End:</b> 02/10/2018	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b> 04/07/2018												
<b>SS Train Start:</b> 04/09/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b> 04/14/2018												
<b>DC Start:</b> 04/19/2018	<b>DC End:</b> 06/01/2019												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordinator), Andrea Sims (Production Manager), Derek Dubuque (Production Manager), Russ Stark (SSL Production Manager), Tony Romanoski (Respondent Contact Coordinator), Dan Tomlin (Project Manager), Lisa deRamos (Project Manager), Daniah Buageila (Lead Project Assistant), Janet McBride (Project Assistant), Anna Fuqua-Smith (Project Assistant), Jeannie Baker (Project Assistant).												
<b>Other Project Names:</b>													
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SurveyTrak; MSMS												
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 5; SAQ												
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other												
<b>DE Software</b>	NA												
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	NA												
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R; Yes, INF												
<b>Administration</b>	NA												
<b>Payment Type</b>	Check, prepaid (80.00); Cash, post (20.00)												
<b>Payment Method</b>	Check through STrak RPay System; Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox)												

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (HRS 2018)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	Some Concerns		
<b>Monthly Update</b>	<p>The project team has been working on production monitoring, IRB submissions, and testing of a data model. New production management reports are in development to assist monitoring during the final months of data collection. Given the reduction in the number of hours that are coming due to upcoming projects in the SSL, we have begun moving a portion of the cases currently in MSMS to SurveyTrak to be worked by field interviewers.</p>		
<b>Special Issues</b>			

**Cost**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 15,325,914.42  
**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 18,287,006.92  
**Total Budget:** 16,916,872.00  
**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -1,370,134.92  
**Reason For Variance:** We estimate approximately 26,000 interviewer hours over the budgeted amount will be required (due to the high HPI) in order to attain the target number of completed interviews. The estimated overrun is \$1.3 million.

**Projections**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 679,429.57  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 861,516.73  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** -182,087.16  
**Reason For Variance:** The overrun in January was mostly attributable to higher-than-projected respondent tokens and travel costs. Projections were updated for these line items for the remainder of data collection.

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	19,012	77%	8.0
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	19,012	77%	8.0
<b>Current actual:</b>	16,956*	69%	8.6
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	19,012	77%	8.9
<b>Variance:</b>	0	0	.9

**Other Measures**

\*Including web interviews (1,543 completed so far)

<b>Project Name</b>	Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)				
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Face to Face      Total of Modes: 1				
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current	
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	9,647,688.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	2,195,370.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 11,843,058.00

**Principal Investigator/Client**

**Funding Agency**

**IRB**      **HUM#:** HUM00114794      **Period Of Approval:**

**Project Team**

<b>Project Lead:</b>	Barbara Lohr Ward
<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Parina Kamdar
<b>Production Manager:</b>	Veronica Connors-Burge
<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Grant D Benson
<b>Production Manager:</b>	Becky Kay Scherr
<b>Production Manager:</b>	Katherine McFall Blackburn

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:**

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with ~ 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

**SRO Project Period** 04/2016 - 02/2020

**Data Col Period** 05/2017 - 09/2018

**Security Plan** NA

**Milestone Dates**

<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 04/01/2016	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 10/24/2016
<b>Pretest End:</b> 12/31/2016	<b>Recruitment Start:</b> 06/01/2016
<b>Staffing Completed:</b> 05/02/2017	<b>GIT Start:</b> 04/30/2017
<b>SS Train Start:</b> 05/10/2017	<b>SS Train End:</b> 05/18/2017
<b>DC Start:</b> 05/22/2017	<b>DC End:</b> 05/23/2018

**Other Project**

**Team Members:**

<b>Other Project Names:</b>	Housing & Children's Healthy Development
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8; SAQ
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)
<b>DE Software</b>	Blaise 4.8 BIA ; External vendor (CASO - scanning)
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	DRI-CARI
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group
<b>Payment Type</b>	Cash, prepaid (\$5 for subsample, \$2 prenotification); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir
<b>Payment Method</b>	Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (HCDC, H&C)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	<i>Some Concerns</i>		
<b>Monthly Update</b>	<p>During January 2019, SRC activities included the following:</p> <p>Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Held meetings with the research team to discuss project design, Wave 2 project scope and schedule, funding, production issues.</li> <li>Reviewed/monitored budget. Revised and adjusted monthly projections.</li> <li>Continued work to prepare cost projections for various scenarios related to the delay in data collection: additional locating efforts, attrition training, extended months of management, various scenarios for interviewing effort.</li> <li>Prepared powerpoint presentation slides for March 8 meeting to discuss various options for Wave 2 sample release.</li> <li>Packed study materials to to long-term storage.</li> <li>Packed completed Wave 1 SAQs, physical measurement booklets, neighborhood obs and laser tape measurement forms and shipped to long-term storage.</li> <li>Contacted vendor and UM Procurement to gather information for extension of contract for kitting.</li> </ul> <p>Task 2: Sampling</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completed sample weights for the voucher sample.</li> <li>Began work on documentation for population and voucher sample weights.</li> <li>Delivered sample weights on February 18, 2019</li> </ul> <p>Task 3: Questionnaire Development</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Working with the programmer, edited the specification for the Wave 2 household eligibility screener (also called the cover screen). Prepared flow diagrams for coverscreen question flow.</li> <li>Reviewed revised PCG questionnaire specifications from research team.</li> <li>Began preparation of responses/clarifying questions.</li> <li>Revised the PCG question specifications to separate the specification into out-of-area and in-area questionnaires.</li> <li>Continued assembling data to create the preload data file for Wave 2 questionnaires.</li> <li>Tested preliminary version of the household eligibility screener (coverscreen)</li> </ul> <p>Task 4: CAI Programming</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continued programming/editing of the household eligibility screener (coverscreen).</li> <li>Reviewed and reprogrammed Wave 1 data output routines to correct errors in delivery (missing variables, variables incorrectly classified as PII/non-PII). Prepared data files for review.</li> </ul> <p>Task 5: Systems Programming</p> <p>% Task Spent to date</p> <p>Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment &amp; Hiring, Training</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <p>Task 8: Main Data Collection</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p> <p>Task 9: Post Collection Processing</p> <p>% Task Spent to Date</p>		

Task 10: Weighting  
% Task Spent to Date

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables  
% Task Spent to Date

### Special Issues

Areas of Concern (changes shown in italics):

#### Wave 2

- Questionnaires for Wave 2 were due on September 5, 2018 based on the budgeted Wave 2 data collection start date of May 2019. Questionnaire development for Wave 2 is running significantly behind schedule due to the late delivery of the PCG questionnaire, which in turn impedes development of a coversheet to determine family status. The questionnaire schedule was established to minimize cost and risk by optimizing the use of existing resources, both "fixed" costs that are not easily reallocated without losing them to the project (resources dedicated to project management) and limited resources due to the nature of the work, specifically those related to programming. The schedule change has subsequent cost implications.
- Unresolved IRB issues related to blood spot collection and analysis will negatively impact the project schedule, and may negatively impact project cost due to the need to add personnel to meet existing deadlines.
- Programming hours for fundamental structural changes to the Wave 2 PCG instrument and the addition of a household screener/exit interview may exceed the budget, which assumed only 25% programming change between Waves.
- High interviewer attrition at Wave 1 will require either that the project hire more new interviewers at Wave 2 than planned (24 new hires anticipated at Wave 2) or that we plan for a larger number of traveling on-staff interviewers than originally budgeted. The higher number of new hires will require the addition of one team leader to the field management staff; a higher number of traveling interviewers will increase travel costs.
- A delay in data collection field period will increase fixed costs associated with data collection activities. Delays will also impact hourly rates for all staff including data collection staff, may lead to increased locating costs, and will likely impact the number of new hires needed.

#### Wave 2 Work Scope Changes:

- Questionnaire Development – Budgets assumed that final clean copies of all instruments were delivered by early September to allow for review and programming specification delivery. Initial PCG instrument covering single scenario (in-area, fully intact families) delivered 9/30; self-administered questionnaire markups were delivered on October 25; out-of-area PCG instrument markup was delivered November 5.
- A household screener/exit interview has been developed and will be programmed to facilitate locating children who do not live with the Wave 1 PCG. The instrument will collect contact information for new PCGs.
- Budgets assumed the self-administered questionnaires (SAQ) would be a total of 8-10 pages in length for both household and child SAQs. Current W2 SAQ specifications have the household length at 21 pages and child length at 8 pages. This will have a negative impact on printing and mailing costs.
- The start of Wave 2 is being delayed until April/May 2020. This will impact the cost of pre-production, respondent locating, and likely also impact the cost of interviewer hiring and training.

Cost  
Feb 11, 2019

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 3,157,737.00  
**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 11,883,255.00  
**Total Budget:** 11,843,058.00  
**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -40,197.00

#### Reason For Variance:

The project completed 63 more households than budgeted in Wave 1, leading to the projected overrun. The projected overrun assumes that the project will be completed within the current budget, despite the delay in Wave 2 data collection.

NOTE: the new HUD funding was applied to the project in January 2019, increasing the total budget for the project.

Projections  
Feb 11, 2019

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 68,873.00  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 42,733.00  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 26,140.00

#### Reason For Variance:

A considerable amount of work was deferred due to the unanticipated project delay. That delay combined with vacation/sick time use during January led to lower-than-anticipated project expenses.

### Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>			
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>			
<b>Current actual:</b>			
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**



<b>Project Name</b>	HRS Neuroimaging Pilot (HRS-Neuro)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Telephone	Secondary: Face to Face	Total of Modes: 2										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 169,363.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 16,936.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 186,299.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Professor Kenneth Langa, MD, Ph.D (UM SRC-HRS) Professor Michael Weiner, MD (University of California, San Francisco) Professor David Weir, Ph.D (UM SRC-HRS)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>	Alzheimer's Association												
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00142251	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	04/2018-04/2019										
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Iam Ogden <b>Budget Analyst:</b> Richard Warren Krause <b>Production Manager:</b> Veronica Connors-Burge <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Evanthia Leissou <b>Production Manager:</b> <b>Production Manager:</b>												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	This pilot study will invite HRS-HCAP respondents to undergo medical imaging at one of three selected sites within the continental United States. SRO's role in the pilot is to call HRS/HCAP respondents to ask if they are willing to be contacted by an imaging site team in their region. Respondent contact information will be passed to the appropriate site coordinator for eligibility determination, scheduling of the tests, arrangement of transportation, and completion of the scans. SRO will process a token of appreciation for each respondent and monitor production across the three imaging sites.												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	01/2018 - 12/2019												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	03/2019 - 11/2019												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/15/2018</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b></td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b></td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b> 03/25/2019</td> <td><b>DC End:</b> 12/31/2019</td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/15/2018	<b>Pretest Start:</b>	<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>	<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>	<b>DC Start:</b> 03/25/2019	<b>DC End:</b> 12/31/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/15/2018	<b>Pretest Start:</b>												
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>												
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>												
<b>DC Start:</b> 03/25/2019	<b>DC End:</b> 12/31/2019												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	[TSG] Technical Lead: Pamela Swanson [TSG] Programmer: Ashwin Dey [TSG] Data Manager: Qi Zhu [TSG] Blaise Programmer: Jim Hagerman [DCO] Production Manager: Veronica Connors-Burge [DCO] Field TL: 1 [DCO] Field Interviewers: (n=4, one of whom will be bilingual)												
<b>Other Project Names:</b>	HRS Neuroimaging Study HCAP Neuroimaging Pilot												
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	HRS NPS Survey Trak; Project specific system (Web Logging for Site Sample Management)												
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8												
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop; Other (Optional Laptop for External Site Teams)												
<b>DE Software</b>	Other (Web Logging for Site Data-Entry); N/A												
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	NA												
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R; Yes, Other (Travel Expenses (processed and issued by imaging sites))												
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group; Other (Imaging Site Teams (travel expenses only))												
<b>Payment Type</b>	Check, post (\$200.00)												
<b>Payment Method</b>	Check through STRak RPay System												

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (HRS-Neuro)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	On Track		
<b>Monthly Update</b>	[Protocols + IRB] UM Performance Site application on IRBMED's agenda for 2/28/2019. Discussion also pending regarding whether we will hold production pending receipt of a Certificate of Confidentiality. If so, this may delay the start of production until late-March or early-April.		

[Budget] SRO team meeting with SRC team to discuss current status of SRO budget, summarize project work which has significantly impacted SRO budget and discuss whether what remains is sufficient for scope of work remaining. Key roles which need review: Programmer and Project Manager.

[Production Schedule] Turnaround from IRBMED unknown, but approval expected sometime in early March. Team is targeting production launch in early-mid March, but CoC question and IRBMED turnaround suggest that mid or late-March is more likely.

[External IRB] Both external sites' IRB applications have been submitted; approval expected in advance of site production launch dates.

[SRO Technical Development] SRO systems (SurveyTrak and Web Logging) are nearly final; a ProdTest will take place the week of 3/4 after which all systems should be ready for launch. Systems architecture and data security discussed with external site teams toward ensuring our approach aligns with SRO practices.

[Site Infrastructure / Protocols] Providing support to external sites to ensure they can access our Weblog-based data capture system, as well as transmit files via secure FTP. Two sites are relying on SRO-provided laptops. Have communicated expectations with site teams regarding calculation and issuance of travel expense reimbursements for respondents.

#### Special Issues

- (1) Considerations for interviewer training / communication protocol, given high respondent burden but narrow SRO interviewer role
- (2) Managing complex workflow across multiple external sites with different operational considerations and internal protocols
- (3) Making efficient use of hours of TSG staff, given somewhat high need and low projections

#### Cost Jan 31, 2019

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	71,935.17
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	186,870.00
<b>Total Budget:</b>	186,299.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	-571.00
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	Technical development and implementation have required additional hours beyond original projections, and we are now facing a small overrun. An SRO budget check-in with the SRC team is planned for 3/4/2019 to discuss impacts on original budget and work-scope/budget going forward. It is possible a small number of additional programmer hours may be needed for ongoing maintenance, and management hours are projected to drop significantly from March, 2019 onward.

#### Projections Jan 31, 2019

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	14,738.39
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	12,966.51
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	1,771.78
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	<p>(1) Non-Salary: (a) Respondent Payments projected to begin in January; data collection not expected to begin until March. Will update non-salary projections once schedule more finalized.</p> <p>(2) Salary: (a) Technical development extended through early-March. Programmer and Tech Lead hours higher than projected; (b) Hours for data collection preparation (SSA), and Post-Scan Follow-Up preparation lower than expected.</p> <p>Salary accounts for ~\$600 of variance; Non-Salary accounts for ~\$900; Indirect for ~\$200.</p>

#### Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	105	65%	3.0 (tentative)
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Current actual:</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Variance:</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### Other Measures

[SRO Recruitment Rate - Current] - N/A  
[SRO Recruitment Rate - Goal at Completion] - 90.0%

Project Name	Making Ends Meet (MEM)					
Project Mode	Primary: Face to Face		Total of Modes: 1			
Project Type	Sponsored Projects			Project Status	Current	
Budget	Direct Budget:	1,510,629.00	Indirect Budget:	453,189.00	Total Budget:	1,963,818.00
Principal Investigator/Client	Y Combinator Research Dr. Elizabeth Rhodes (Y Combinator Research) Mr. Alex Nawar (Y Combinator Research)					
Funding Agency						
IRB	HUM#:	HUM00145626	Period Of Approval:			
Project Team	Project Lead:	Karin Schneider				
	Budget Analyst:					
	Production Manager:	Barbara Aghababian-Homburg				
	Senior Project Advisor:	Kirsten Haakan Alcser				
	Production Manager:	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson				
	Production Manager:	Carl S Remmert				

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:** Y Combinator Research (YCR) and affiliated researchers at Stanford University are undertaking this randomized controlled trial as an exploration of an alternative to the traditional social safety net. Basic income is a method of redistributing resources to address economic insecurity. This study will examine individual-level effects of the receipt of monthly unconditional payments.

The budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 22 months commencing in April 2018 with the main data collection taking place during a 9-month period, beginning March 2019. A single-county pilot of 80 cases is expected to take place over a two-month period in the late summer of 2018.

YCR is expected to select counties in two states and census tracts within counties to be included in the study, and sample addresses within these tracts. YCR will then send outreach/enrollment packets to the addresses requesting that households respond online (web-based form) and complete the study screener. After screening, YCR will select approximately 3,500 households that are eligible to be enrolled in the main study by in-person visits. SRO will approach these 3,500 households and conduct the baseline data collection with approximately 3,000 individuals. Following the baseline data collection, YCR will randomize the participants to the treatment and control groups and continue monthly payments and further contacts.

Prior to the main data collection, YCR will implement address selection and outreach within Alameda County and SRO will conduct an 80-case pilot data collection with in-person enrollment interviews. In the pilot, YCR will implement an experimental protocol to test recruitment methods that result in higher response and take-up rates.

**Sampling:**

- YCR is responsible for sample selection and for providing SRO with selected respondent contact information for both the pilot and main data collection.

**Questionnaire Development:**

- YCR will provide SRO with finalized questionnaires to be used for the pilot and baseline enrollment, with approximately 80% overlap between the two instruments.
- The questionnaire will collect demographic information, baseline measures of outcome variables (e.g., subjective wellbeing, financial health, employment, income, self-rated health and healthcare utilization, housing stability, food security, material hardship, etc.) and control variables (e.g., educational attainment).
- SRO will provide Spanish translation of the pilot and baseline enrollment instruments.

**Computer Assisted Interviewing (CAI) Programming:**

- SRO will program and test the 45-minute pilot and baseline instruments in both English and Spanish.

**Sample Control Systems:**

- SRO will customize our sample management system to control all screened sample provided by YCR, and track all appointments, progress, and outcomes at each sampled address for both the pilot and main data collection.

**Training:**

- SRO will hire and train approximately 28 total interviewers (including three interviewers to conduct the pilot) data collection. Separate trainings will be held in each state for the main study and one training will be held for the pilot.
- SRO will develop all study-specific interviewer training materials in consultation with YCR.

- Interviewers new to SRO (approximately 23) will receive approximately two days of training on general interviewing techniques. The entire interviewing staff will then receive approximately two additional days of training on study-specific protocols.
- Approximately 30% of the field staff will be bilingual.

#### Data Collection:

- For the main data collection, SRO will visit approximately 3,500 addresses of screened households up to three times to confirm participation and conduct the baseline data collection with 3,000 participants
  - o Two regions included: 1500 participants in Michigan, 1500 in California
  - o Multi-county area in Michigan (assumed to include Wayne, Oakland, Macomb and Genesee counties) and a multi-county area in California (potentially, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced counties)
- o At each eligible household, SRO will:
  - ☐ Confirm participation consent
  - ☐ Request authorization to access participants' records in administrative data, and contact information for friends and family to help locate the participant if we cannot reach them
  - ☐ Request participants complete a W-9
  - ☐ Determine if the participant has consistent internet access, a smartphone with text capability (confirm number by sending a test text), and an e-mail address
  - ☐ Provide individuals with a basic smartphone if they do not have consistent internet access (hardware costs are not included in the SRO budget) and data plan (costs are not included in the SRO budget) for the duration of the study (determination that the participant has an alternative and no longer wishes to use the phone provided by the study after this point of contact will be the responsibility of YCR)
  - ☐ Give participant a pre-loaded bank/debit card with \$50 and help them register the card online
  - ☐ Administer baseline survey, programmed by SRO, of approximately 45 minutes in length (in total, we have estimated a two-hour in-home interaction with the participant)
  - ☐ Administer consent and collect the following physical measurements:
    - ☐ Blood pressure
    - ☐ Height and weight

- We assume these procedures will be implemented in the single-county pilot, where SRO interviewers will visit households pre-selected by YCR.

- All participant incentive payments are the responsibility of YCR.

#### Post Collection Processing:

- SRO will conduct standard data cleaning for the survey datasets administered by SRO interviewers.
- We have not budgeted for coding any open-ended.

#### Weighting and Estimating:

- SRO will develop survey weights for analysis.

#### Deliverables:

- SRO will provide daily progress reports on baseline data collection to YCR as well as:
  - o A summary of field methods at the end of the baseline data collection
  - o A full dataset with all participant contact information for further follow up halfway through the data collection phase, and again at the close of the baseline phase,

The SRO budget does not include:

- Administrative data collection
- Collection of expenditure data from financial institutions

#### SRO Project Period

04/2018 - 02/2020

#### Data Col Period

03/2019 - 11/2019

#### Security Plan

NA

#### Milestone Dates

**PreProduction Start:**

**Pretest Start:**

**Pretest End:**

**Recruitment Start:**

**Staffing Completed:**

**GIT Start:**

**SS Train Start:**

**SS Train End:**

**DC Start:**

**DC End:**

#### Other Project

#### Team Members:

Kirsten Alscer (SPA), Karin Schneider (Project Lead), Donnalee Grey-Farquharson (Project Manager), Marsha Skoman (Tech Lead), Jeff Smith (Tech Lead backup), Jim Hagerman (Blaise Programmer), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak Programmer), Chris Greene (Data Manager), Barb Homburg (Production manager), Carlos Macuada (Production Manager)

<b>Other Project Names:</b>	YCombinator Research Basic Income Study
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SurveyTrak
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop
<b>DE Software</b>	NA
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	NA
<b>Incentive</b>	NA
<b>Administration</b>	NA
<b>Payment Type</b>	NA
<b>Payment Method</b>	NA

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (MEM)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	<i>Not Rated</i>		
<b>Monthly Update</b>	<p>02/2019 SRO has received some instrument edits from MEM staff and have started to edit the spec document. Training is being further delayed and the earliest we will train is mid-late July. MEM staff has promised to have final selection of Census tracts to SRO by April 5th, 2019.</p> <p>01/2019 Final survey edits from study staff promised by Feb 1st. Full modules will NOT be cut but groups of questions are going to be cut. Cog iws will be shorter. Risk pref questions -- these may shift to the data cubed app (most likely going with this). YCR would like to move in the consent to Admin Data - if R has said Yes to this, they will be OKAY to skip the Expenditures/Consumption section. We are assuming we are able to implement this. Actual census tracts -- coming soon, we will take this into account in cost modeling.</p> <p>Loaner phones -- will be assessing in online screening. If less than 3%, will NOT do loaner phones. SO WILL NOT KNOW THIS UNTIL CLOSE TO TRAINING. What will be that impact?</p> <p>PLAID -- still progressing on this. Still piloting this and will continue to before enrollments begin.</p> <p>No April training. Will know in a week or two about how much of a further delay there will. Late July or later is the most likely. There may be cost impacts on this decision. This is a shift back of the field period. They would still want the same length of field period. But would like the end of field period to be before the beginning of a quarter. SRO will still continue on schedule to get everything ready and will get back to YCR about costs.</p> <p>12/2018 Pilot data collection complete. Data has been delivered. Interviewer materials are being returned. The Study Team has informed SRO that there will be at least a one month delay to the main launch while they ensure that payments to respondents will not affect their (respondents) social benefits. There are changes to payment methods which will need to be programmed in Strak. Changes to questionnaire will not be decided until late January so Blaise spec'ing and programming will be delayed from scheduled dates. However, Study Staff has said that they expect deletions and no additions to the instruments.</p> <p>11/2018 Pilot Data collection is almost complete, we have a few English speaking cases scheduled for late November after Thanksgiving but data collection will wrap up soon after. To date there have been no Spanish cases although we have one scheduled for Dec 1st. YCR will try to find some Spanish speakers to complete the survey for informational purposes only, no Raven's, Arrow Flanker, or Hearts and Flowers will be completed - the data will not be saved and the participants will receive a one time payment.</p> <p>Raw survey data was delivered - the data will be cleaned and redelivered in early December.</p> <p>On-staffers have been hired for 2019 production and posting for new hires will be placed in early January 2019.</p> <p>10/2018 86/150 cases have been successfully screened. Of those, 49 Interviews have been completed, 20 have appts. one was a no show, 6 are resistant, and we are still to schedule 10 Iws.</p> <p>A fair number of interviews are being done at the YCR office - we will not have this luxury for the main production since these will be in Texas and Illinois.</p>		

09/2018

MEM Training was held 9/18-9/21, was attended by 5 Iwers and 5 YCR Team Members and was successful. Originally scheduled intended to be 3 days, the 4th day was needed. IRB ammendments for Main Research Consent was submitted and approved. Ammendments were subsequently submitted for email text, text message text, Physical measures, and Blood pressure card.

07/2018

MEM received IRB approval with contingencies. Some of the contingencies included verbage in the consent forms. Blaise programming is going well as is STRak. STRak programmer is working on implementing SSN collection while keeping it separate from other Respondent PII. Planning has begun for developing training materials.

06/2018

IRB did a full review and has asked for amendments - mostly centered around cell phone use and user agreement and debit card payments. Pls/Study staff have been working well with SRO to write documentation for IRB.

Blaise and STRak screener have been programmed, CTT has been set up and the screener instrument is being tested by the SRO team. Main Strak, Blaise and Webtrak are being spec'd.

Interviewer training is being pushed back about 5 weeks to 17th (GIT), 18th, 19th, 20th (Study Specific days). This is both due to receiving specs later than scheduled from the Pls as well as by PI request to delay a further week so they can be present.

Pls will decide on the locations for the main study by the end of July.

05/2018

Project Review and Kickoff meeting was held on 05/18/2018. Since then the IRB for the pilot study will be housed at University of Michigan (instead of Stanford) but there is still uncertainty as to where the IRB for the main study will be housed. Shortcodes and authcodes have been created and distributed - however, timesheets were already completed and reversals will be done to correct fo MEM work completed in May - MPR will be updated to reflect this.

There is a concern for the length of the instrument - it is currently about 2 hours long and should be reduced to 45 minutes as budgeted. The MEM study staff has missed the initial deadline for delivering a reduced version which has implications for the pilot timeline since the instrument cannot be spec'd properly until we have the final version. There are a few things to be discussed with Study Staff:

- survey length; can they confirm portions that will definitely stay in that we can start spec'ing/programming
- Will we be required to collect SSN
- Delivery/schedule for test and pilot sample
- Plan for giving phones to R; David Bolt (help desk) will join the call to discuss pros/cons of SRO procuring the phones - which is preferable since our Iwers will be distributing to R's
- Will R preferred language be a part of the preload

A detailed schedule will be created and will reflect any known delays.

Blaise and STRak speccing will start early June.

## Special Issues

Final Survey version should have been received May 25, not received from Study Staff. Currently 2 hours and should be reduced to 45 minutes. Also has implications for pilot timeline.

## Cost

Jan 31, 2019

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 400,370.38

**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 2,050,102.83

**Total Budget:** 1,963,818.00

**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** -86,284.83

## Reason For Variance:

01/2019

Production delay has negative impact on cost.

11/2018

The Pilot revealed - HPI higher than predicted, increased training length for main.

09/2018

Training was longer than budgeted, Interview longer than proposed

08/2018

Clients have made some requests that are not a part of the original proposal - we have projected this so we can have an accurate reflection of cost when we talk to the Pls.

07/2018

Not all costs have been fully projected at this time.

06/2018

Account and shortcodes were not set up in time for May payroll. May charges will be reflected in the June payroll.

**Projections**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 19,385.19  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 15,951.74  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 3,433.45  
**Reason For Variance:**

1/2019  
 Work delays not fully adjusted for.

12/2018  
 Hosting charges still have not hit. Work delayed because we did not receive questionnaire spec changes and also because of non-decision on production dates.

11/2018  
 Some hosting/hotel charges did not hit in November so these projections have been moved to December

10/2018  
 Less interviews than projected in October

09/2018  
 Travel and hotel costs have not yet hit the budget - will move those projections to October

07/2018  
 Training was delayed, these costs should hit in September

06/2018  
 Projections will be updated.

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b> <b>Goal at Completion:</b> <b>Current actual:</b> <b>Estimate at Complete:</b> <b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

<b>Project Name</b>	Monitoring the Future 2018 Web (MTF Web 2018)					
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Web      Total of Modes: 1					
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current		
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	250,581.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	137,941.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 388,522.00		
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	John Schulenberg (UM-SRC)					
<b>Funding Agency</b>						
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	HUM00131235	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	In Review		
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson				
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Christine Evanchek				
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung				
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data					
<b>Description:</b>	<p>This project is a continuation of MTF-WPSP Year 3/MTF Illume Web 2017. However, this is no longer a pilot study - 50% of the regular MTF sample is being asked to complete the survey via web. We added \$224,636 to the budget. The new budget includes some development/programming work for 2019.</p> <p>SRO will program and test the same six survey versions as the current (2017) project (which will be updated in December 2017 for changes in 2018) in Illume, assuming no more than one-third of the content is altered. After testing is complete, SRO will launch the 2018 Web survey data collection with an estimated 6,215 cases (the full MTF panel sample) identified by the Principal Investigator who will deliver the contact information including e-mail address to SRO. Web survey data collection will replace aspects of the standard mail-based data collection. Both Winter Location calling effort and Non-Response follow-up calling will include this sample – with the calling effort being integrated with the standard MTF activities.</p> <p>The budget assumes an overall SRO involvement period of 13 months commencing in December 2017 with the data collection taking place during a 5-month period, beginning April of 2018. The total cost for this work is estimated at \$224,636 (\$79,788 direct, \$79,788 indirect), budgeted at the currently negotiated on-campus recovery rate of 55% for year 1 and 56% for year 2.</p>					
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	12/2017 - 11/2019					
<b>Data Col Period</b>	04/2018 - 08/2018					
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA					
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"> <b>PreProduction Start:</b>  <b>Pretest End:</b>  <b>Staffing Completed:</b>  <b>SS Train Start:</b>  <b>DC Start:</b> </td> <td style="padding: 5px;"> <b>Pretest Start:</b>  <b>Recruitment Start:</b>  <b>GIT Start:</b>  <b>SS Train End:</b>  <b>DC End:</b> </td> </tr> </table>				<b>PreProduction Start:</b> <b>Pretest End:</b> <b>Staffing Completed:</b> <b>SS Train Start:</b> <b>DC Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b> <b>Recruitment Start:</b> <b>GIT Start:</b> <b>SS Train End:</b> <b>DC End:</b>
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> <b>Pretest End:</b> <b>Staffing Completed:</b> <b>SS Train Start:</b> <b>DC Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b> <b>Recruitment Start:</b> <b>GIT Start:</b> <b>SS Train End:</b> <b>DC End:</b>					
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	Gina-Qian Yang Cheung, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Lloyd Hemingway, Shaowei Sun, Minako Edgar, Peter Sparks, Ashwin Dey					
<b>Other Project Names:</b>	MTF Illume Web 2018					
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SMS; Web SMS; Illume					
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	NA					
<b>Hardware</b>	NA					
<b>DE Software</b>	NA					
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	NA					
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, Other (Managed by SRC Study Staff)					
<b>Administration</b>	NA					
<b>Payment Type</b>	N/A					
<b>Payment Method</b>	N/A					

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (MTF Web 2018)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Closing
----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	---------



**Risk Level**

Not Rated

**Monthly Update**

01/2019

New budget is being created. MTF Staff will be programming edits to old forms. SRO to program new forms.

12/2018

New budget to be negotiated.

11/2018

Web Survey data was delivered.

10/2018

Web Survey will be at 5:00 p.m. Friday October 26. Programming has started for the 35 and over forms.

09/2018

The web-survey will be closed in mid-October., We are resending reminders to Rs who have started and not completed the survey and Rs who have not started. For the next production Study Staff should draft and schedule more email reminders.

07/2018

Another set of unscheduled emails was sent in July. Response rate for the web sample is currently 34.37%. Another set of unscheduled emails were sent in July.

06/2018

Unscheduled reminder emails were sent in an effort to boost RR.

05/2018

Non-response training was May 16, 2018, certification began May 16th and NR calling started. Web Survey RR is 30.31%. SRO is to meet with Study Staff to discuss programming for 2019.

04/2018

The survey went online April 10th, the day that MTF Staff mailed out their letters. Email invitation went out on April 13th and an email reminder was sent on April 24. We currently have a 19% RR.

03/2018

Migration to the SRO production survey is complete and testing continues. We are now conducting testing in the production server environment. All 6 forms have been signed off and the first round of testing in prod is almost complete.

02/2018

All 6 forms were programmed and are being tested by Study Staff, parallel to this programmers are making edits as reviews come in. RIMS (MTF database) is being migrated to a SRO server. Steps were taken to cleanup and streamline the database prior to migrating it to the SRO test server. Database operations are now taking place in the test server. Once the determination is made that all is clear and working as should - the final migration will be made to the production server. If all goes well migration to the production server is scheduled for February 26th.

**Special Issues****Cost**

Jan 31, 2019

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	361,286.41
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	384,164.54
<b>Total Budget:</b>	388,522.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	4,357.46
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	Some development work for 2019 production, to be completed in 2018, is in the budget - this has not been fully projected.

**Projections**

Jan 31, 2019

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	25,925.82
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	19,896.93
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	6,028.89
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>			
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>			
<b>Current actual:</b>			
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

<b>Project Name</b>	National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)													
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Face to Face      Total of Modes: 1													
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	32,653,126.47	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	8,448,262.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 41,101,388.47										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Joyce Abma (NCHS) Mick Couper (ISR)													
<b>Funding Agency</b>	NCHS, CDC, NICHD													
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	0002716	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	7/17/13 - 7/17/17										
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Heidi Marie Guyer <b>Budget Analyst:</b> Nancy Oeffner <b>Production Manager:</b> Theresa Camelo <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Mary P Maher <b>Production Manager:</b> Maureen Joan O'Brien <b>Production Manager:</b> Rebecca Loomis													
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data													
<b>Description:</b>	<p>The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-49 years of age designed to provide national estimates of factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.</p>													
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	09/2010 - 07/2020													
<b>Data Col Period</b>	09/2011 - 06/2019													
<b>Security Plan</b>	Yes													
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2011</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b></td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b> 06/01/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b> 08/17/2011</td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b> 09/13/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b> 09/15/2011</td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b> 09/19/2011</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b> 09/20/2011</td> <td><b>DC End:</b> 09/07/2019</td> </tr> </table>				<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2011	<b>Pretest Start:</b>	<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b> 06/01/2011	<b>Staffing Completed:</b> 08/17/2011	<b>GIT Start:</b> 09/13/2011	<b>SS Train Start:</b> 09/15/2011	<b>SS Train End:</b> 09/19/2011	<b>DC Start:</b> 09/20/2011	<b>DC End:</b> 09/07/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2011	<b>Pretest Start:</b>													
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b> 06/01/2011													
<b>Staffing Completed:</b> 08/17/2011	<b>GIT Start:</b> 09/13/2011													
<b>SS Train Start:</b> 09/15/2011	<b>SS Train End:</b> 09/19/2011													
<b>DC Start:</b> 09/20/2011	<b>DC End:</b> 09/07/2019													
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>	Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst													
<b>Other Project Names:</b>														
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SurveyTrak													
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8													
<b>Hardware</b>	Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil													
<b>DE Software</b>	NA													
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	N/A													
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R; Yes, Other (babysitting fee)													
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group													
<b>Payment Type</b>	Cash, prepaid (\$5; \$40); Cash, post (\$40; \$60)													
<b>Payment Method</b>	Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office													

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (NSFG 2010-2020)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
----------------------	----------------------------	----------------------	--------------

<b>Risk Level</b>	On Track
-------------------	----------

<b>Monthly Update</b>	<p>We are now in Week 9 of Q30. There are currently 858 main interviews and 3045 screeners completed. The average for this point in the quarter is 993 main and 3213 screeners, and 1040 main and 3227 screeners average since the age range expansion, so we are behind on both main and screeners when compared to this point in the to the average for this quarter and since the age range expansion. The Screener completion rate is low when compared to the average for this quarter and since the age range expansion. The Main completion rate is also lower than the average for the quarter and since the age range expansion. The completions rates are: Screener 75% this quarter, 80.2% average for this quarter, 81% since the age range expansion; Main 50.4% this quarter, 58.6% average for this quarter, 59.6% since the age range expansion. The current HPI is 12.2. It is higher than the average for this point in the</p>
-----------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

quarter, 9.7, and since the age range expansion, 10.1. Severe weather has played a role in lower production numbers this quarter, as well as high interviewer attrition. We are also working closely with interviewers to assure they are working during peak call times. We are training 18 new hires and 1 on-staffer in the March, 2019 interviewer training, to staff 16 areas. Planning for this training is on track. It will be held at the Ypsilanti Marriott from March 8-March 15. Next quarter, Q31, will start with extra funding from NCHS. We will have more interviewers in the field, as well as a larger than usual sample size, expected to exceed 7500. The Paper Screener Experiment has been approved by the NCHS ERB. This sample will be held initially from the Iwers while paper screeners are mailed to HH's. When they are returned to Ann Arbor, sample lines will be reassigned to the Iwers, after entering the screeners into Surveytrak, determining there are eligible HH members, and spawning main lines.

**Special Issues**

Additional funding will be allocated to year 8 data collection by NCHS for the purpose of increasing yield by 20-30% in the last 2 quarters of the final year.

**Cost****Mar 31, 2019**

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	40,125,478.27
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	44,747,043.00
<b>Total Budget:</b>	41,101,388.47
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	-3,645,655.00
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	The total NSFG budget with all approved contract mods is actually \$44,408,774.00. Additional workscope, higher than anticipated HPI, higher yield, higher interviewer attrition, increased travel, increased hiring and training have all led to increased costs. NCHS is providing additional funding in the spring of 2019 to cover the projected overrun as well as to increase interview yield in the final two quarters of the contract. Additionally, new interviewers will be recruited and trained in order to carry out the additional interviewing and to account for increased attrition.

**Projections****Mar 31, 2019**

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	472,584.69
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	428,502.96
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	44,081.73
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	1350	68%	10.0
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	5000	79%	10.0
<b>Current actual:</b>	858	50.4	12.2
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	4972	61.8%	10.3
<b>Variance:</b>	28	18.2%	.3

**Other Measures**

The goals represent Q30 goals and actuals. We are currently in Week 5 of Quarter 30. The HPI goal has changed to 10.0. The completion goals above are the annual goals. The current goal is for the current quarter.

**Project Name** Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department (YRS)

**Project Mode** Primary: Telephone      Total of Modes: 1

**Project Type** Sponsored Projects      **Project Status** Current

**Budget** **Direct Budget:** 1,276,181.00      **Indirect Budget:** 703,064.00      **Total Budget:** 1,979,245.00

**Principal Investigator/Client** Cheryl King (Professor of Psychiatry, University of Michigan)

**Funding Agency**

**IRB** **HUM#:**      **Period Of Approval:**

**Project Team** **Project Lead:** Esther H Ullman  
**Budget Analyst:** Janelle P Cramer  
**Production Manager:**  
**Senior Project Advisor:** Kirsten Haakan Alcser  
**Production Manager:**  
**Production Manager:**

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:** This multi-site collaborative project proposes to implement a “universal suicide risk screen” strategy with eligible youths, ages 12-17, who present at one of 14 emergency departments across the country. The research team will conduct initial screening of approximately 9,090 youths randomly chosen in these emergency departments (ED), over a period of two years. Based on the results of the screening, youths will be contacted for follow-up (youths who present with an actual suicide or self-injury concern, youths who present with at least two suicide risk factors, and youths at low/no risk for suicide) by the Survey Research Center’s (SRC) interviewing staff in Survey Research Operations (SRO). SRO will receive electronic files with contact information for the selected youths on a flow basis, with the expectation of receiving approximately 4,360 in total. Using computer-assisted interviewing techniques from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) on the Ann Arbor campus, we will attempt contact with each selected respondent’s parent and then the respondent, with the goal of completing brief (10-minute) interviews with ~85% of the respondents 3 months after their ED screening, and ~80% of these same respondents 6 months after their ED screening

**SRO Project Period** 03/2015 - 06/2019

**Data Col Period** 07/2015 - 12/2018

**Security Plan** NA

**Milestone Dates**

<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b>
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>
<b>SS Train Start:</b> 09/21/2015	<b>SS Train End:</b> 09/24/2015
<b>DC Start:</b> 09/28/2015	<b>DC End:</b>

**Other Project****Team Members:****Other Project****Names:**

**Sample Mgmt Sys** SMS

**Data Col Tool** NA

**Hardware** Desktop

**DE Software** NA

**QC Recording Tool** NA

**Incentive** Yes, Other (Amazon gift card (Project staff))

**Administration** NA

**Payment Type** NA

**Payment Method** NA

**Report Period** Feb, 2019 (YRS)

**Project Phase** Closing

**Risk Level** On Track

**Monthly Update** Data collection ended early February. Close-out activities for the project will occur in Feb and March. Final report, Project Review and archiving activities are scheduled for March.

**Special Issues**

**Cost**  
**Mar 31, 2019**

<i>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</i>	1,939,119.57
<i>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</i>	1,974,855.80
<i>Total Budget:</i>	1,979,245.00
<i>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</i>	4,389.20
<i>Reason For Variance:</i>	

**Projections**  
**Mar 31, 2019**

<i>Dollars Projected For Month:</i>	25,148.33
<i>Actual Dollars Used:</i>	31,662.84
<i>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</i>	-6,514.51
<i>Reason For Variance:</i>	New data ops staff charged project for training activities and programmer charged higher than projected to complete sample close-out activities

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i>Current Goal:</i>	3831	75%	
<i>Goal at Completion:</i>	4800		
<i>Current actual:</i>	3625	62%	1.45
<i>Estimate at Complete:</i>	3700		
<i>Variance:</i>			

**Other Measures**

There will actually be two surveys in phase 1 (at 3 months and 6 months)...and then a second phase survey.

<b>Project Name</b>	PSID Child Development Supplement V (2019) (CDS-19)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 2										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 5,600,000.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 3,100,000.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 8,700,000.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Narayan Sastry (SRC) Paula Fomby (SRC)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>	NICHD, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation												
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b> HUM00075944	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	6/11/18 - 6/10/19										
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Rachel Anne Orłowski <b>Budget Analyst:</b> Megan Gomez-Mesquita <b>Production Manager:</b> Dianne G Casey <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Stephanie A Chardoul <b>Production Manager:</b> <b>Production Manager:</b>												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	<p>A 2019 wave of the Childhood Development Supplement (CDS) is planned for September 2019 – May 2020. The sample for CDS is comprised of the PSID-eligible children (ages 0 -17) from the Core 2019 families we interview and their primary caregivers. Approximately 3,700 families will be included, with some Core families containing several CDS children. As part of the CDS, families are asked to complete multiple interviews (i.e., coverscreen, PCG interview, Child interview--including an IVR component) and data collections (i.e., physical measurements, educational assessments, saliva collection, time diaries, school and birth record linkage forms), several of which are completed in-person. CDS interviewing will be conducted by Field interviewers, with the SSL supporting follow-up efforts. SurveyTrak and Blaise will be the primary technical systems employed.</p>												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	09/2018 - 08/2020												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	09/2019 - 05/2020												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/07/2019</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b></td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b></td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b></td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b></td> <td><b>DC End:</b></td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/07/2019	<b>Pretest Start:</b>	<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>	<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>	<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>	<b>DC Start:</b>	<b>DC End:</b>
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 01/07/2019	<b>Pretest Start:</b>												
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>												
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>												
<b>DC Start:</b>	<b>DC End:</b>												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>													
<b>Other Project Names:</b>													
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	SurveyTrak												
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8												
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop; [UM cell] Phone												
<b>DE Software</b>	Other												
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	Camtasia												
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R												
<b>Administration</b>	ISR Group (PSID)												
<b>Payment Type</b>	Check, post; Cash, post												
<b>Payment Method</b>	Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (PS)												
<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (CDS-19)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Planning										
<b>Risk Level</b>	Not Rated												
<b>Monthly Update</b>	<p>Identified DCO QC role but still need to identify SSL manager. Scheduled Pretest training: June 3 - 7 (TTT on 6/2). Still waiting for guidance from PSID on how to manage finances; full project budget has not been loaded in CRS yet. Began development on CDS split-off program. Spec writing and programming of Woodcock Johnson assessments began. Still waiting for Blaise specs from study staff - expected delivery was February. Submitted a RFP for IVR vendors; two bids were received. Met w/ PIs and study staff to review proposed saliva protocol on 2/11.</p>												
<b>Special Issues</b>													

**Cost**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

***Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):*** 218,937.90  
***Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):*** 8,695,634.03  
***Total Budget:*** 8,700,000.00  
***Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):*** 55,322.97  
***Reason For Variance:*** Waiting for more actuals/updated estimates to project out the balance

**Projections**  
**Jan 31, 2019**

***Dollars Projected For Month:*** 163,473.56  
***Actual Dollars Used:*** 152,217.66  
***Variance (Projected minus Actual):*** 11,255.90  
***Reason For Variance:*** Waiting for Blaise specs from study staff; less manager availability than expected (managers also involved in Core training/launch)

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<i><b>Current Goal:</b></i> <i><b>Goal at Completion:</b></i> <i><b>Current actual:</b></i> <i><b>Estimate at Complete:</b></i> <i><b>Variance:</b></i>			

**Other Measures**



<b>Project Name</b>	Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life (SWEL)												
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Face to Face	Secondary: Observation	Total of Modes: 2										
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current										
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 490,424.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 269,734.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 760,158.00										
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Kira Birditt (UM ISR Life Course Development) Toni Antonucci (UM ISR Life Course Development)												
<b>Funding Agency</b>													
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b> TBD	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	TBD										
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b> Piotr Dworak <b>Budget Analyst:</b> Janelle P Cramer <b>Production Manager:</b> Derek Dubuque <b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Kirsten Haakan Alcser <b>Production Manager:</b> <b>Production Manager:</b>												
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data												
<b>Description:</b>	<p>SWEL is a study to assess the role of cardiovascular stress in daily lives among matched test and control groups of ethnic minority and white respondents. Data collected via an interviewer-administered 30-min instrument, followed by a 4-day measurement of cardiovascular activity using a wearable biometric device, and 6-per-day self-administered momentary assessments.</p> <p>Data collection goal: 300 CAPI interviews (79% RR on sample of ~380), revised to test/control setup in which 150 interviews are needed from 173 test subjects (87% RR) and 150 interviews from the 307 control subjects (48% RR).</p> <p>Sample: Participants in Wave 3 of Social Relations (2014) from the Detroit tri-county area.</p> <p>Data collection period: estimated for 13 weeks but both the staffing levels and the proposed data collection pace is being discussed with the client given the availability of the wereable devices.</p>												
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	12/2016 - 10/2017												
<b>Data Col Period</b>	06/2017 - 09/2016												
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA												
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td><b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2017</td> <td><b>Pretest Start:</b> 01/22/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Pretest End:</b> 02/02/2018</td> <td><b>Recruitment Start:</b> 11/01/2017</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Staffing Completed:</b> 01/02/2018</td> <td><b>GIT Start:</b> 03/07/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>SS Train Start:</b> 03/07/2018</td> <td><b>SS Train End:</b> 03/11/2018</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>DC Start:</b> 04/04/2018</td> <td><b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019</td> </tr> </table>			<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2017	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 01/22/2018	<b>Pretest End:</b> 02/02/2018	<b>Recruitment Start:</b> 11/01/2017	<b>Staffing Completed:</b> 01/02/2018	<b>GIT Start:</b> 03/07/2018	<b>SS Train Start:</b> 03/07/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b> 03/11/2018	<b>DC Start:</b> 04/04/2018	<b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> 03/01/2017	<b>Pretest Start:</b> 01/22/2018												
<b>Pretest End:</b> 02/02/2018	<b>Recruitment Start:</b> 11/01/2017												
<b>Staffing Completed:</b> 01/02/2018	<b>GIT Start:</b> 03/07/2018												
<b>SS Train Start:</b> 03/07/2018	<b>SS Train End:</b> 03/11/2018												
<b>DC Start:</b> 04/04/2018	<b>DC End:</b> 09/30/2019												
<b>Other Project Team Members:</b>													
<b>Other Project Names:</b>	Racial Disparities in Health: The Roles of Stress, Social Relations, and the Cardiovascular System												
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	MSMS												
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5												
<b>Hardware</b>	Laptop												
<b>DE Software</b>	NA												
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	Camtasia												
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R												
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group												
<b>Payment Type</b>	Cash, prepaid (2); Cash, post (30); Other (Cash post biomarker)												
<b>Payment Method</b>	Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)												

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (SWEL)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Implementing
<b>Risk Level</b>	Some Concerns		
<b>Monthly Update</b>	Project updates:		

"Additional funding for SWEL (\$288K in direct) has been added to the budget allowing data collection to continue through September 2019 (support through October 2019). Respondents were mailed letters announcing higher levels of TOA. We are also ready to send email to addresses used by SR Wave 3. Production picked up in the last few weeks but not to the levels anticipated / goal for in request for supplemental funding. This information is conveyed in monthly reports to the PI and PIs communicate it with the SRC.

"

Data collection / Sample:

"Stats: 105 completes of 325 required. Respondents received updated PCL letters offering \$200. We hope pace of interviewing will pick up to 6 - 8 completes per week. SWEL has 467 available local sample to meet the goals. Project staff is encouraged to think of other options to provide sample.

SSL continues to call on behalf of SWEL to increase chance of contact and cooperation. SSL has attempted 90% of released cases but 81% still have no contact.

SWEL is also engaging an SRO tracker to see if we can find some of the respondents whose contact information has been extinguished.

Data collection is expected to continue through September 2019."

Staffing:

5 + 1 interviewers working part time. The + 1 on-staffer was trained 1/31 and expected to start soon. We also picked up a TL who worked on DEWS to help with coordinating R setup and other tasks. One interviewer continues working phone only due to health issues. Locator is also engaged in trying to find new contacts.

Technical system:

"SWEL will be sending PCL emails as one-off on-demand tasks. TSG is also updating SWEL DRI to the system rolled out for BFY.

TSG implemented changes to EDU to reflect a higher payment of \$200."

Finances:

Additional funding has been added to the budget.

#### Special Issues

##### Cost

Feb 07, 2019

<b>Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):</b>	737,974.32
<b>Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):</b>	1,206,510.56
<b>Total Budget:</b>	760,158.00
<b>Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):</b>	0.00
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	Revised total budget is: \$1,206,680.00. Variance accounting for additional funding is + \$169.44

##### Projections

Feb 07, 2019

<b>Dollars Projected For Month:</b>	41,498.50
<b>Actual Dollars Used:</b>	31,137.50
<b>Variance (Projected minus Actual):</b>	10,361.00
<b>Reason For Variance:</b>	We aimed to reduce running cost by being judicious in tasks performed. Also helped by holidays, snow days, interviewer time came below expected as interviewers were waiting for additional incentive to be announced.

#### Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	325	63%	11
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	325	63%	20
<b>Current actual:</b>	105	22%	30
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	325	63%	20
<b>Variance:</b>	0		0

#### Other Measures

<b>Project Name</b>	Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2019)		
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Telephone	Total of Modes: 1	
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects	<b>Project Status</b>	Current
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b> 0.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b> 0.00	<b>Total Budget:</b> 0.00
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Richard Curtin (SCA) Tuba Suzer-Gurtekin (SCA)		

<b>Funding Agency</b>	
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>
	<b>Project Lead:</b> Theresa Camelo
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b> Dean E Stevens
	<b>Production Manager:</b>
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b> Heidi Marie Guyer
	<b>Production Manager:</b>
	<b>Production Manager:</b>

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:** The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing staff obtains 600 interviews.

**SRO Project Period** 12/2018 - 12/2019

**Data Col Period** 01/2019 - 12/2019

**Security Plan** NA

**Milestone Dates**

<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b>
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>
<b>DC Start:</b> 02/01/2019	<b>DC End:</b> 02/25/2019

**Other Project Team Members:** SSAs: Steven Sonoras, Nancy Walker, Ann Munster  
Programmer: Dave Dybicki, Max Malhotra  
TSG: Pamela Swanson, Tim Wright

**Other Project Names:**

**Sample Mgmt Sys** SMS

**Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

**Hardware** Desktop

**DE Software** NA

**QC Recording Tool** DRI-CXM; Live monitoring

**Incentive** Not used

**Administration** NA

**Payment Type** N/A

**Payment Method** N/A

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (SCA 2019)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Initiation
----------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------

**Risk Level** On Track

**Monthly Update** The February SCA did not begin as scheduled on 1/30/2019 due to severe weather which closed the University (as well as the SSL); it began instead on Friday, 2/1/2019 the first day the lab and the University re-opened. Despite the 2 day closure and several other days of inclement weather the February SCA ended as scheduled on Monday, 2/25/2019.

A total of 600 interviews are expected to be completed each month, with a 400/200 split between RDD and ReCon cases; this goal was met in February when we completed 601 interviews with a 401/200 split. HPI, though still above goal (3.45) was lower in February (3.7) than it had been in January (4.0).

**Special Issues****Cost****Feb 22, 2019****Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 50,524.72**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 1,041,365.61**Total Budget:** 0.00**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** 730.39**Reason For Variance:**

Projections related to training and hours will be updated. At this point, it is more likely that an overrun will occur than an underrun.

**Projections****Feb 22, 2019****Dollars Projected For Month:** 69,128.73**Actual Dollars Used:** 49,785.04**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 19,343.69**Reason For Variance:**

Not all expenses were incurred on the correct account and some expenses will be incurred next month due to the time lag.

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	600		3.45
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	600		3.45
<b>Current actual:</b>	601		3.7
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

**Project Name** Variations in Math (Variations in Math)

**Project Mode** Primary: Observation

**Project Type** Sponsored Projects **Project Status** Current

**Budget** **Direct Budget:** 333,781.00 **Indirect Budget:** 50,068.00 **Total Budget:** 383,849.00

**Principal Investigator/Client** Robin Jacob (Youth Policy Lab - SRC)

**Funding Agency**

**IRB** **HUM#:** **Period Of Approval:**

**Project Team** **Project Lead:** Peter Rakesh Batra  
**Budget Analyst:** Dean E Stevens  
**Production Manager:** Dianne G Casey  
**Senior Project Advisor:** Meredith A House  
**Production Manager:**  
**Production Manager:**

**Proposal #:** no data

**Description:** The project will observe classrooms in 10 New York City schools that participated in the High 5s program in the 2015-2016 academic year. Observation data of kindergarten and third-grade classrooms will be collected using the Classroom Observation of Early Mathematics--Environment and Teaching (COEMET) method (as modified by the research team for third grade classrooms). The project will also include observations of kindergarten classrooms in 10 non-High 5s NYC schools.

**SRO Project Period** 08/2018 - 05/2019

**Data Col Period** 10/2018 - 04/2019

**Security Plan** No

**Milestone Dates**

<b>PreProduction Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b>
<b>Pretest End:</b>	<b>Recruitment Start:</b>
<b>Staffing Completed:</b>	<b>GIT Start:</b>
<b>SS Train Start:</b>	<b>SS Train End:</b>
<b>DC Start:</b>	<b>DC End:</b>

**Other Project Team Members:** Peter Batra (Proj Mgr.), Dianne Casey (Prod. Mgr), SSI (Unassigned), Dean Stevens (Financial Analyst)

**Other Project Names:** Variation in Early Math Instruction

**Sample Mgmt Sys** NA

**Data Col Tool** NA

**Hardware** NA

**DE Software** NA

**QC Recording Tool** NA

**Incentive** NA

**Administration** NA

**Payment Type** NA

**Payment Method** NA

**Report Period** Feb, 2019 (Variations in Math) **Project Phase** Implementing

**Risk Level** Not Rated

**Monthly Update** This past month we have been preparing for the Spring observations (data collection) for the Variation sin Math project which will begin during this last week of February.

In the middle of the month we had a refresher training over the phone with our 5 returning observers (SRO interviewers), TL, and other SRO project staff and were joined by two from the PI's office. Prior to the training we mailed paper observation forms to help assist our interviewers in completing practice observations based on videos that were made available to them for review prior to the training (pre-work) and between our two training sessions. Even though we sent out paper forms, the observers also had electronic copies that they then transferred their notes from the paper copy to be able to quickly email those documents to the PI's team for evaluation. This worked out very well for the most part--one of our observers had her internet service down during the video. At the end of training

feedback was sent to individual observers and some were asked to complete another video observation to reinforce some of the concepts on coding Specific Math Activities (SMAs) or on the Narrative Record.  
We're off to a rocky start during this first week of the observations--two teachers need to reschedule due to illness.

**Special Issues****Cost**

Feb 28, 2019

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 154,943.81  
**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 259,775.72  
**Total Budget:** 383,849.00  
**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** 124,073.28

**Reason For Variance:**

This large variance is due to the fact that there were some highly variable costs associated with travelling to and training in NYC. We also were not sure if we will need to train additional observers for Spring. Since currently all of our existing interviewer/observers have returned (and passed certification), then we will have a fairly significant project budget under-run. Note that the under run has remained at a consistent level over time, indicating that our projections have been accurate as we have moved through the project.

**Projections**

Feb 28, 2019

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 0.00  
**Actual Dollars Used:** 0.00  
**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 0.00  
**Reason For Variance:**

**Measures**

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>			
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>			
<b>Current actual:</b>			
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>			
<b>Variance:</b>			

**Other Measures**

<b>Project Name</b>	Video Communication Technologies in Survey Data Collection (VCT)					
<b>Project Mode</b>	Primary: Mixed      Total of Modes: 3					
<b>Project Type</b>	Sponsored Projects		<b>Project Status</b>	Current		
<b>Budget</b>	<b>Direct Budget:</b>	241,958.00	<b>Indirect Budget:</b>	135,497.00 <b>Total Budget:</b> 377,455.00		
<b>Principal Investigator/Client</b>	Dr. Fred Conrad (University of Michigan) Dr. Michael Schober (The New School)					
<b>Funding Agency</b>	National Science Foundation					
<b>IRB</b>	<b>HUM#:</b>	HUM00149810	<b>Period Of Approval:</b>	7/13/18-7/12/19		
<b>Project Team</b>	<b>Project Lead:</b>	Andrew L Hupp				
	<b>Budget Analyst:</b>	Dean E Stevens				
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
	<b>Senior Project Advisor:</b>	Nicole G Kirgis				
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
	<b>Production Manager:</b>					
<b>Proposal #:</b>	no data					
<b>Description:</b>	<p>This research project will examine data quality, participation, respondent experience, and costs in two promising but not yet widely deployed survey modes that use off-the-shelf video technology and are less costly than face to face (FTF) interviews: video-mediated (VM) interviews (live two-way communication via platforms like Skype) and video self-administered (VS) interviews, in which video-recorded interviewers ask the questions and respondents answer by typing or clicking. This project will compare these measures of data quality and costs in VM and VS interviews carried out by the same professional interviewers and in conventional online (textual) self-administered questionnaires, asking the same survey questions to members of a representative sample who are randomly assigned to one of these three modes. Because VM is synchronous and "live" like face-to-face (FTF) interviewing, and VS is asynchronous and recorded but still projects a human face, the project's comparisons will provide new insights regarding how these decomposable aspects of human contact affect behavior and experience in surveys. The project's results will reveal the extent to which, and for whom, less costly interaction (live but remote vs. recorded) with an interviewer promotes engagement and data quality comparable to what is found in similar FTF interviews. More generally, the findings will address when and in what ways modern communication modes that reduce social presence and are less personal might be equal to or even more effective than FTF interaction. Findings from this project will provide valuable information relevant to the future of survey measurement and will be of interest to survey researchers in the Federal statistical system and other survey organizations.</p> <p>Even as survey data continue to be central to public policy and decision-making, survey measurement is challenged by declining response rates, increasing costs, declining trust in survey organizations, and rapidly changing communication habits among the public. Understanding how video technologies could fit into the future of survey data collection is important both because it may meet potential respondents "where they live" and because it may provide a significantly lower cost alternative to FTF interviewing. There is even the potential to reach some members of the public whose location makes FTF interviewing difficult or expensive, but who may well be able to participate in a video interview (e.g., people who live in remote rural areas or members of the military deployed overseas). In comparing data quality across these three survey modes, the project will quantify participation rates, connectivity problems, respondent compliance with the video interviewing protocol, conscientious responding (giving precise answers to numerical questions, thoughtfully differentiating answers), and disclosure of sensitive information. The project will measure the potential impact of individual interviewers, feelings of engagement with the interview, rapport with the interviewer, and respondent satisfaction. The project also will allow assessment of data collection costs across these modes. Access to and use of video technologies are not universal, and even among those with access some are willing to engage in video interaction while others are reluctant. The project will begin to address whether and how the effects of video technologies on survey data collection differ for participants with different levels of prior experience and preference for using the technologies.</p>					
<b>SRO Project Period</b>	09/2018 - 06/2020					
<b>Data Col Period</b>	05/2019 - 10/2019					
<b>Security Plan</b>	NA					
<b>Milestone Dates</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <b>PreProduction Start:</b>  <b>Pretest End:</b>  <b>Staffing Completed:</b>  <b>SS Train Start:</b>  <b>DC Start:</b> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding: 5px;"> <b>Pretest Start:</b>  <b>Recruitment Start:</b>  <b>GIT Start:</b>  <b>SS Train End:</b>  <b>DC End:</b> </td> </tr> </table>				<b>PreProduction Start:</b> <b>Pretest End:</b> <b>Staffing Completed:</b> <b>SS Train Start:</b> <b>DC Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b> <b>Recruitment Start:</b> <b>GIT Start:</b> <b>SS Train End:</b> <b>DC End:</b>
<b>PreProduction Start:</b> <b>Pretest End:</b> <b>Staffing Completed:</b> <b>SS Train Start:</b> <b>DC Start:</b>	<b>Pretest Start:</b> <b>Recruitment Start:</b> <b>GIT Start:</b> <b>SS Train End:</b> <b>DC End:</b>					

<b>Other Project</b>	Kallan Larsen - PSM MS Student
<b>Team Members:</b>	Ai Rene Ong - PSM PhD Student Kevin Jensen (TSG) - portal/Blaise programming Shanti Suresh, Matt Hanger, & Laura Yoder (TSG) - data management Kyle Goodman - MSMS set-up programming Jim Rodgers - MSMS consultation Hueichun Peng - appointment setting module Brady West - Research Associate Professor, Survey Research Center Video Communication Technologies
<b>Other Project Names:</b>	
<b>Sample Mgmt Sys</b>	MSMS
<b>Data Col Tool</b>	Blaise 5
<b>Hardware</b>	Desktop; Other (Webcams)
<b>DE Software</b>	N/A
<b>QC Recording Tool</b>	N/A
<b>Incentive</b>	Yes, R
<b>Administration</b>	SRO Group; Other
<b>Payment Type</b>	Cash, prepaid (\$2); Other (\$2 giftcode, prepaid; \$20 giftcode, post)
<b>Payment Method</b>	Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office; Other (HSIP)

---

<b>Report Period</b>	Feb, 2019 (VCT)	<b>Project Phase</b>	Planning
----------------------	-----------------	----------------------	----------

<b>Risk Level</b>	<i>On Track</i>
-------------------	-----------------

<b>Monthly Update</b>	February 2018 Both teams continue to meet regularly. The ratings for the sensitivity analysis was conducted with TurkPrime. The analysis of the results is underway to determine if the bank of questions meets the needs of the project. This may ultimately turn into output (paper) from the project. TurkPrime has been paid via a PO for their services.
-----------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Kallan used Colectica to create a basic survey (with 2 questions) to provide to Kevin so he could begin working on the integration of videos for the VS condition. Kevin was able to integrate and provide a demonstration. Kallan went ahead and used Colectica to enter the balance of questions that were used in the sensitivity analysis so Kevin could continue integrating the videos for the rest of the questions. A few questions may be dropped, but we are taking advantage of time available to make progress. Kallan will work on the screening and debriefing instruments in March. At the conclusion of creating the instruments we will provide feedback to Colectica about what worked, what didn't, and what future enhancements we would like to see.

Andrew started the IRB application for the main study. Work on that will continue into March. Andrew and Kallan continue to work through the technical specifications.

Andrew, Kallan, Jim R. and Hueichun met to discuss the new appointment setting tool and how best we can incorporate into our design (since it is being built to work with SurveyTrak). We know we are unable to intergrate with the application as we would like. Hueichun has provided a demo to Andrew and Kallan. A test project was created so Andrew and Kallan can work into VCT the best we can with minimal programming changes.

We have a plan for how we will handle the video "handshake" (test call). We will have the user try and join the meeting that will ultimately be used for the interview. UM IT has a way to generate Blue Jeans codes in bulk (since we need so many). They also have an administrative dashboard that we will have access to that provides additional technical details/information about the connections.

Kevin provided a demo of the portal. The next step is to incorporate into a testing project to test the underlying logic.

We are awaiting to hear back from the SRC Director's Office on the possibility of having an automatic payment via an API call (to Amazon, TangoCard, etc.) or via someone mechanism. The Director's Office was receptive to the idea. Another project had approached them with a similar idea (transferring money directly to a bank account). The meeting that was scheduled at the end of January between the SRC Director's Office, HSIP, and UM-Treasury had to be reschedule since the U was closed for inclement weather. Kallan has scheduled meetings with two providers to understand how their services work and if they would meet our needs/criteria if we are given the go-ahead by the SRC Director's Office. In the interim, we have an alternative plan of how we could still issue giftcode incentives in an automated fashion that will allow us to be smart with our incentives (especially for the \$2 pre-pay).

Andrew and Fred (with the assistance of PDG) developed and submitted the full proposal for additional funding from MiCDA. That was submitted on February 20. We should hear in March if we win additional funding.

January 2018  
 Both teams continue to meet regularly. The items group has come up with the items to conduct the sensitivity analysis.



Andrew, Kallan, and Fred submitted the IRB application for the sensitivity analysis. It was determined to be exempt. Qualtrics never replied to the emails we sent, so we will be going with TurkPrime to conduct the sensitivity analysis. Kallan has programmed the instrument (in Qualtrics) that will be used. Kallan and Andrew met with SRO Finance (Amy B.) to make sure everything was in place to pay TurkPrime. We will begin the sensitivity ratings in the next week or so. In the meantime, the items group is working on the set of items to be asked as part of the debriefing interview.

We have been waiting for Colectica to be delivered so we can test whether it will work for our needs. Gina brought up the issue at the B-Club meeting. Due to an apparent mis-communication it was not delivered with the latest version of Blaise 5 in December. An email was received from Colectica on 1/22 with a download. Andrew and Kallan will download and begin working with the tool. The plan is to have Kallan enter the survey content and have a Blaise programmer put the finishing touches on the instrument. We will also provide feedback to Colectica about what worked, what didn't, and what future enhancements we would like to see.

Andrew and Kallan will begin working on the IRB application for the main study in February, now that the design is coming into clearer focus from the weekly design meetings. The sampling and contact strategies are (mostly) settled. Andrew and Kallan are working through the technical specifications.

Andrew, Kallan, Jim R. and Hueichun will be meeting to discuss the new appointment setting tool and how best we can incorporate into our design (since it is being built to work with SurveyTrak).

AiRene is working with Blue Jeans (via UM-IT) to figure out a way to conduct an automated test during the screening process. It looks like there may be a way currently, but the user would need to download something (which is what we are trying to avoid).

Andrew, Kallan, Kevin, and Jim R. met to discuss creating a true portal (rather than just a login page) for the project that will route the respondent to the task they need to do next. The respondent will be provided with one URL to use through the survey flow. When the respondent "hits" the portal, it will check MSMS data to determine whether to route them to the screener, interview, debriefing, or block them.

Andrew and Fred initiated discussions with the SRC Director's Office on the possibility of having an automatic payment via an API call (to Amazon, TangoCard, etc.) or via someone mechanism. The Director's Office was receptive to the idea. Another project had approached them with a similar idea (transferring money directly to a bank account). A meeting was to happen the week of 1/28 with the SRC Director's Office, HSIP, and UM-Treasury to discuss.

Andrew and Kallan worked through (with assistance from Jim R. on the technical front) to rework the projections now that we have a better sense of the design and a thought about how data collection will work in practice. The data collections projections have been "smoothed" over time. Given that respondents in the video-mediated interview condition will self-schedule when they want to do the interview, we don't have the traditional interviewer effort model of making contact attempts to get the respondent to do the interview. Andrew has spoken with Margaret in the SSL about how this might work in practice. Interviewers are likely going to need to be assigned to other projects, with few (if any) dedicated shifts to the project. We'll need to keep track of when appointments are scheduled and make sure they are covered. We will be able to control some of that with the appointment setting tool. We plan on allowing respondents to only set appointments in the future (they wouldn't be allowed to set a same day appointment (at least via the tool)).

A pre-proposal was submitted to MiCDA for supplemental funding for the project to conduct additional interviews. We were invited to submit a full proposal. Andrew and Fred are working on the materials for the full proposal (due Feb. 20). If the funding is awarded we will conduct an additional 125 interviews in each of the three conditions (375 iws total). Andrew is working with the PDG to come up with a budget to include since the entire budget would be a supplement to the SRO budget.

=====

December 2018

Both teams continue to meet regularly. The focus of the items group is currently a sensitivity analysis. Kallan has mocked up several versions of questions we might ask a panel (Qualtrics or TurkPrime) to rate. The group met and decided on the format and how the questions should be asked (what the response scale should be). The sensitivity analysis is expected to be done in the first part of 2019 (Jan or early Feb). The design team has had discussions and is leaning towards supporting one video platform (for various reasons). Andrew and Kallan met with Dean to rework the projections now that we have been assigned TSG resources. Projections will need to be reworked in the new year. Data collection is expected to be at a lower level for a longer period. The projections assume a more normal project cycle. The group may apply to MiCDA for some additional funding to do additional interviews that would be of benefit to the project and MiCDA. A mini proposal is due in early January. If accepted a formal proposal needs to be submitted by March 1.

=====

November 2018

Both teams continue to meet. The design group has settled on the sample design. The team asked Raphael (from SMU) to come to one of the meetings to discuss ABS options. The items group is focusing in on a set of items to ask. We want to make sure to ask items that are sensitive or might have sensitive responses. We are discussing doing a sensitivity rating exercise similar to the one two New School graduate students did as part of their research.

=====

October 2018

The project team meets regularly once a week to discuss design issues. A subset of the team (Kallan, Andrew, Fred, Michael and Ai Rene) meet once a week to discuss the items to be included in the questionnaire. Kallan has mocked up the questionnaire used as part of the iPhone project in Illume. She has also mocked up items with embedded videos to give a sense how that might work.

#### Special Issues

**Cost**

**Feb 28, 2019**

**Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):** 22,247.79

**Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):** 377,178.94

**Total Budget:** 377,455.00

**Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):** 276.06

**Reason For Variance:**

**Projections**

**Feb 28, 2019**

**Dollars Projected For Month:** 15,091.87

**Actual Dollars Used:** 5,263.78

**Variance (Projected minus Actual):** 6,173.95

**Reason For Variance:** Neither of Kallan's timesheets from January came through as January expenses. The January projections for her have been pushed to February when they hopefully will come through. Unused programming resources have been pushed forward.

#### Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
<b>Current Goal:</b>	375	10%	
<b>Goal at Completion:</b>	375		
<b>Current actual:</b>	0		
<b>Estimate at Complete:</b>	375		
<b>Variance:</b>			

#### Other Measures