Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

November 2017



Sponsored Projects

(ABCD) Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

(A-STARRS LS) Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(BFY) Baby's First Years

(CogEcon Web) CogEcon 2016 Web

(DMACS) Detroit Metropolitan Area Survey

(HCAP 2016) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol

(HRS 2016) Health and Retirement Study

(HVBP) Hospital Effectiveness

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(CAMS 2017) HRS 2017 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(LHMS) Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) Fall 2017

(MTTS) Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study

(MTF Illume Web 2017) Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot

(NSFG 2010-2020) National Survey of Family Growth

(AHRB) Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior

(YRS) Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department

(PSID TAS 2017) Panel Study of Income Dynamics - Transition to Adulthood Study 2017

(PSID-Imm) PSID Immigrant Refresher Screening Project

(PSID MULT) PSID Multiplicity Screening

(SWEL) Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life

(SCA 2017) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(SCIP-2017) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2017

Project Name Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD)

Primary: Mixed Secondary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 277,805.00 Total Budget: 430,596.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Mary Heitzeg (UM Dept of Psychiatry)

Funding Agency

NIH

HUM#: HUM00106316 Period Of Approval: 9/10/2015-1/7/2017 **IRB**

Karin Schneider **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer Production Manager: UnAssigned

> Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: _UnAssigned Production Manager: UnAssigned

no data Proposal #:

Description: ABCD is a longitudinal study of about 10,000 children from ages 9-10 through early adulthood to assess factors

that influence individual brain development trajectories and functional outcomes. UM Dept of Psychiatry is one of

19 research sites across the country.

Sampling statisticians from our Stat and Methods Unit identified all public and private schools with children aged 9-10 within the geographic catchment area for each site. This activity was under a separate contract and the initial selection of four replicates has been distributed to all research sites. SRO received an electronic data file listing all

selected schools in the UM catchment area.

SRO will target the recruitment of 54 schools from Michigan, who will consent to distribute recruitment letters to parents for participation in the ABCD study. Respondent contact information will be returned directly to the Michigan research team for additional activities, including screening for eligibility. (Parents return cards with their contact

information directly to the PI's staff.)

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

05/2016 - 03/2018 05/2016 - 02/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/15/2016 Pretest Start:

> Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 05/20/2016

Staffing Completed: 05/20/2016 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 05/20/2016 DC End: 02/28/2018

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA

Administration Payment Type **Payment Method**

NA NA NA

Nov, 2017 (ABCD) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Same as last month, clinic response is doing okay, but concern is that we have differential response (more A2 schools, for example, than schools that have greater diversity). Our emphasis through the end of the calendar year will be on promoting response with select schools. So, we are shifting from recruiting more new schools to hosting information tables at parent-teacher conference nights and similar activities.

Special Issues

NONE

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 211,371.00 Nov 30, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 430,596.00 Total Budget: 430,596.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 22,000.00 Actual Dollars Used: 15,000.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance: Mainly did parent info nights rather than recruiting new schools to send

parent packets

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	54			
Goal at Completion:	TBD			
Current actual:	78			
Estimate at Complete: Variance:	88			

Project Name Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (A-STARRS LS)

Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

4,520,018.00 Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 8,218,215.00 InDirect Budget: 12,738,233.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency Department of Defense

IRB ним#: HUM00099203 Period Of Approval: 2/18/2016-2/17/2017

Nancy J Gebler **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: William Lokers

02/2015 - 11/2019

Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Production Manager: Meredith A House Production Manager: Margaret Lee Hudson

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in

> Servicemembers). For STARRS LS, we will attempt to reinterview all respondents form the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS) and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multi mode study. Each of the approximately 70,000 eligible respondents will be invited to participate once every two years. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS LS will continue to maintain and support the Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as de-identified historical administrative data received from the Army and Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, STARRS LS will continue to receive and link de-identified administrative data to the survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS LS surveys). These data will also

be made available in the Research Data Enclave.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

10/2015 - 11/2019 NA

Security Plan

PreProduction Start: 02/01/2015 Pretest Start: 10/14/2015

Pretest End: 03/31/2016 Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 09/12/2016 DC End: 09/30/2019

Other Project Team Members: Andrew Hupp, Heather Schroeder, Leah Roberts, Ryan Yoder, Andrew Piskowrowski, Lisa Lewandowski-Romps,

Lamont Manley, Emily Blaczyk, Genise Pattulo, Derek Dubuque, Keith Liebetreu

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 5 Hardware Desktop

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Live monitoring

Incentive Yes. R

Administration **SRO Group Payment Type**

Check, post (\$50-\$100); Cash, prepaid (\$2 (or Challenge coin)); Other (Army STARRS challenge coin (provide **Payment Method** Check through other system (MSMS); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS); Other (Army STA

Report Period Nov, 2017 (A-STARRS LS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update Below is a summary of activities for this month. The full report is uploaded as a separate file for those needing

additional detail.

Production data collection continues. We released sample replicates 29 and 30 this month, bringing the total number of released sample lines to 47,973.

A total of 12,656 main Wave 1 interviews and 330 Phase 5 (end game) interviews have been completed as of

				Page 6 of 50
	November 30. Production updates are bein collection results is included in this report.	g provided weekly to the resea	arch team via email, and a summary of data	
	•	age in November, and received	a letter of intent to fund Year 4 from HJF	
	☐ Preparation for Wave 2 data collection	-	worked on programming the Wave 2 tocol and sample release plan, and outlining	
	 We submitted an IRB amendment cont 	_	materials and contact protocol. h the PIs to adjust the safety plan for Wave	
		•	Refresher study for internal review. It should	
	 Enclave user support continues. The opushed back to January. 	completion date for the replace	ment server on the Enclave has been	
Special Issues	Areas of Risk, Mitigation Strategies:			
	We continue to track areas of risk, and deve	elop mitigation strategies.		
	 Respondent contact and participation. We continue to wait for the Army to obt Wave 1, it will be helpful for Wave 2. 	tain the address updates from	the IRS system. While this is coming late for	
	•	•	We will monitor results closely in the first d/or projections where necessary.	
	 New technical systems. 	·		
	o We decided not to use the latest version	•		
	version we are currently using for Wave 1 (E switch to that version to take advantage of s			
			agement system, and prioritize our requests	
	to ensure that development of the most imp		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	 Addition of public use datasets. 			
	<u> </u>	•	atasets will be made available to the wider	
	research community. Once the decisions at and costs, and will prepare budgets and tim		ate the impact this will have on our staffing	
Cost	Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):	6,698,737.00		
Nov 15, 2017	Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):	12,794,362.00		
	Total Budget:	12,738,233.00		
	Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):	-56,129.00		
	Reason For Variance:	We continue to adjust our of	costs each month, to reflect staffing and	
		• •	variance is less than 1% of the total five year	
			istments in future months to ensure that we e	nd
Projections		the project with as close to	a zero variance as possible.	
Nov 15, 2017	Dollars Projected For Month:	282,354.00		
,	Actual Dollars Used:	286,147.00		
	Variance (Projected minus Actual):	-3,793.00		
	Reason For Variance:		s 1% of this month's projected cost. We had lent payments this month which was balanced alary costs.	
Measures	Units Co	mplete RR	HPI	
		-		
	Current Goal:			
	Goal at Completion: Current actual:			
	Estimate at Complete:			
	Variance:			
	Failaile.			

Other Measures

For this project, we have response rate and interview count goals for each of the five phases in our contact protocol. The sample is released in replicates and we are tracking results by phase and replicate. Tracking information is included in the Monthly update reports to PIs (uploaded as separate files)

Project Name Baby's First Years (BFY)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 3,786,038.00 InDirect Budget: 2,113,179.00 Total Budget: 5,899,217.00

Principal Dr. Greg Duncan (University of California - Irvine)

Investigator/Client Dr. Kimberly Noble (Teachers College Columbia University)

Dr. Katherine Magnuson (University of Wisconsin)

Funding Agency

IRB

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)

HUM#: HUM00137963 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: University of Michigan Survey Research Center (U-M SRC) is contracted to recruit and interview participants for Baby's First Years -- a longitudinal randomized control trial study.

The study's full name, listed on sub-contract documents, is Household Income and Child Development in Early Years. The study will draw on a convenience sample of mothers and their newborns in four US metropolitan areas: New York City; Omaha/Lincoln; New Orleans; and Minneapolis. One or two hospitals, listed in this application, will be used as recruiting sites in each area. The study uses a randomized control trial design in which low-income mothers and newborns will be randomly assigned to a treatment group that receives an unconditional income enhancement -- cash payments of \$333 per month—an amount roughly comparable to a variety of income assistance policies in the U.S. and shown to be associated with meaningful improvements for poor children in prior studies—or to a control condition that receives \$20 per month. In both groups, the payments will be made for the first 40 months of the child's life. To understand the impacts of added income on children's development, researchers will assess treatment/control group differences at ages 1, 2 and 3 on measures of cognitive, language, memory, self-regulation and socio-emotional development. Recruitment is scheduled to start in April of 2018, and each follow-up interview will be conducted 12 months later -- close in time to the child's 1st, 2nd, and 3rd birthday. The feasibility of the approach has been established in a one-year pilot conducted in 2014 at the New York Presbyterian Hospital/Columbia University Medical Center.

The Principal Investigators are Dr. Greg Duncan from University of California Irvine (UCI), Dr. Kimberly Noble from Teacher's College Columbia University (TCCU), and Katherine Magnuson from University of Wisconsin-Madison (UWM). UCI (Dr. Duncan) and TCCU (Dr. Noble) are the institutions and signatories funding the U-M SRC subcontract from various sources including the National Institute for Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and private foundations listed in this application. The study research team also includes co-investigators Lisa Gennetian (New York University), and Hiro Yoshikawa (New York University).

SRO will be responsible for four interactions with the selected mothers/infants:

- Baseline will occur immediately (within 24-48 hours) after birth, in the hospital;
- Wave 1 will be a telephone interview with the mother when the child is 12 months old;
- Wave 2 will be an in-person interview in the family's home that includes survey, developmental assessment, biomarker collection, and video recorded behavioral interaction when the child is 24 months old;
- Wave 3 will be an in-person survey done while the mother and child are visiting a lab for other clinical tests when the child is 36 months old.

Each data collection phase/wave will be a full 12 months, with Baseline starting in April 2018:

Recruitment/Baseline: 04/01/2018 - 03/31/2019

Wave 1: 04/01/2019 - 03/31/2020 Wave 2: 04/01/2020 - 03/31/2021 Wave 3: 04/01/2021 - 03/31/2022

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 10/2017 - 12/2020 04/2018 - 12/2020

NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2017 Pretest Start:

Recruitment Start: 01/01/2018 Pretest End: Staffing Completed: 02/07/2018 GIT Start: 03/19/2018 SS Train Start: 03/20/2018 SS Train End: 03/22/2018 DC Start: 04/01/2018 DC End: 03/31/2019

Other Project Team Members:

Stephanie Chardoul (SPA) Piotr Dworak (Lead) Tony Romanowski (PM) Daric Thorne (PM/SSA) Barb Homburg (PM) Peggy Lavanger (PM) Jim McClure (DCS) Jeff Smith (tech lead)

Jim Rodgers (MSMS consultant) Andrew Hupp (MSMS consultant) Pam Swanson (MSMS programmer) Kyle Kwaiser (Data Manager) Dave Dybicki (Blaise)

Colette Keyser (Blaise) Tricia Blanchard (MSMS) Kyle Goodman (Help Desk)

Other Project Names:

HHICD Household Income and Childhood Development

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Other (to be specified)

Incentive Yes, R

Administration **SRO Group** Payment Type Cash, prepaid (50)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period

Nov, 2017 (BFY)

Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level

Not Rated

Monthly Update

October and November were spent laying groundwork for implementation:

- identified SRO teams: project management, data collection, and technical teams
- established workflow with the research team/PIs who coordinate development of study documents and the local sites
- clarified data collection protocols
- set up tentative recruitment plans, training schedule, etc. to secure staff necessary for the project.

The key goals for end of 2017 are to get programming on the way and to submit study to the IRB. Quick IRB submission was needed to clarify any issues surrounding the complicated multi-site research design involving PIs from UCI and TCCU as well as hospitals where data collection tasks will be conducted.

Staffing: We also identified 5-6 available on-staff interviewers to fill the staff roster of 12 interviewers (3 per study location).

Systems: Work began on programming MSMS. First draft of screener tasks have been submitted to the tech team and the test of the MSMS screener tasks is scheduled for the week of 12/4

Instrument: Short 3-5 min screener has been programmed and baseline is being specc'ed out based on the final document sent 11/28.

IRB: IRB application was submitted on December 1st for the full board meeting on January 10, 2018. Separate conversation will be held between U-M IRB and UCI IRB to discuss and settle the question of IRB of record for the

Special Issues

We are awaiting IRB agreement between U-M and UCI (meeting on 12/8) to see which IRB will become the IRB of record for the project.

Cost Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 0.00 Dec 31, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 5,899,217.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00 Reason For Variance: **Projections** Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Dec 31, 2017 0.00 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1000		7	
Goal at Completion:	1000		7	
Current actual:	0			
Estimate at Complete: Variance:	0			

0.00

Project Name CogEcon 2016 Web (CogEcon Web)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 78,347.00 InDirect Budget: 28,205.00 Total Budget: 106,552.00

Principal Matthew Shapiro (SRC)
Investigator/Client Brooke Helppie-McFall (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Esther H Ullman

Budget Analyst: Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Invite 600 past CogEcon respondents who have indicated internet access to complete a 15 minute on-line

instrument. Participating Respondents will be asked to sign up for financial management tools and link their own accounts in return for token of appreciation. Instrument and sample management will be in Illume. There will be

mail and email reminders. Survey will be fielded Oct-Dec 2017.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 07/2017 - 01/2018 10/2017 - 01/2018

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SITrain Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Hueichun Peng will program Illume sample management and complex Illume sections. Donnalee Gray will help with Illume programming for the VRI section, other programming will be completed by project staff. Suzanne Hodge will be

SSA and Minako Edgar is Data Ops manager

Other Project

CogEcon 2017 Web

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Illume
Data Col Tool Illume
Hardware NA
DE Software Illume
QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (variable)

Payment Method Check through other system (Illume)

Report Period Nov, 2017 (CogEcon Web) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level Not Rated

Not Nate

Monthly Update

The beginning of November brought the official approval of a key Respondent task in this study: The FeeX linkage. This allows a Respondent to enter their username and password information for their financial institutions (after they have consented to this) and allows the researchers direct access to an R's "live" financial account balances and other information. We met the project goal of mailing out Respondent contact letters on Nov 10 as well as emailing all R's (for whom we had an email address) on Nov 15. Additionally we mailed out reminder post cards on Nov 17 and an email reminder on Nov 28.

It's been a very busy month with managing the mailing and email address changes, over 120 calls to the 8000 line and approximately 50 email messages received to date (11/30). Many of these are from R's who want to opt out or have mailing or email address changes, but several had issues with being able to log in to the portal, mis-keying a character

in their username or password, or questions about the usefulness of their data.

The study had to submit two ORIO's due to mistakenly sending out study invitation letters to 9 members of the larger Cog USA panel, who were not the intended recipients. This was due to an error in the matching of Respondent information (name, email and mailing address) prior to SRO receiving the Cog Econ sample file. Two ORIO's needed to be filed since if affected respondents in both samples—the larger Cog USA sample and the Cog Econ sample. The ORIO was accepted by the RB on 11/28, so we are now preparing replacement letters and ordering checks for these 9 Respondents with their 'corrected' information.

Special Issues

Any study activity that proceeds beyond the end of the calendar year will be covered by a fund the PI will make available to us for that purpose. We anticipate some money for 800 calls, respondent payments and some of my time for closing out the project.

Cost Nov 30, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):28,105.30Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):106,553.00Total Budget:106,552.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):10,228.51

Reason For Variance:

The delay of this project has led to trying to fit in all tasks before the end of

2017

Projections Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Detroit Metropolitan Area Survey (DMACS)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 233,426.00 InDirect Budget: 23,343.00 Total Budget: 256,769.00

Principal Jeff Morenoff (Population Studies)

Investigator/Client Elisabeth Gerber

Funding Agency

Kresge Foundation

IRB HUM#: 00112364 Period Of Approval: 2/25/2017

Project Team Project Lead: Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Budget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Bridgitte Wyche McGeeSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan AlcserProduction Manager:Joseph Matthew MatuzakProduction Manager:Bridgitte Wyche McGee

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The Detroit Metropolitan Area Communities Study (DMACS) seeks to provide an information and innovation platform for conducting research and supporting evidence-based decisions about community investments and public policy. DMACS will be built around a representative web-based panel survey of adult residents of the four-county Metro Detroit region of Southeast Michigan, including Macomb, Oakland, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, and the City of Detroit. Panel members are to be drawn from diverse communities and will reflect the region's full range of population characteristics, including respondents from traditionally underserved and/or underrepresented groups such as: people with low incomes, education or literacy; those with physical or cognitive disabilities; recent migrants; the elderly; and young adults. When fully implemented, the survey sample will include approximately 2,000 adult residents, selected and recruited based on best scientific practices (ie a probability sample), including representative subsamples of approximately 1,000 Detroit residents and 1,000 adults living throughout the metropolitan area. It is envisioned that panel members will complete a 15-20 minute web-based survey each quarter (i.e., four per year) plus additional short surveys as situations and opportunities arise. The core content on the quarterly DMACS surveys will include questions that ask citizens to prioritize the needs of their community and aspects they would most like to see change (e.g., with regard to crime, business development, jobs, education, housing, transportation, health care, and the environment). It will also monitor trends in citizens' views of changes to their community and the wider region, which groups are benefitting (or being hurt) the most from those changes, views on inequality and its sources and consequences, and the degree of civic engagement in local communities. This core content will provide a clear, nuanced and unprecedented portrait of the people and communities that make up our changing region.

DMACS will also provide the infrastructure to allow shorter surveys on specific questions as they arise, as well as to investigate in greater depth specific issues that affect a particular neighborhood, municipality or portion of the region. In the case of short topical surveys, the web-based survey platform, coupled with a pre-existing panel of survey respondents, means that the study team can put surveys in the field almost immediately, without each time incurring the financial and time-related costs of recruiting and training a whole new sample, training interviewers, and collecting background information on respondents; this work is completed when the panel is initiated. In the case of community deep-dives, we can recruit an "oversample" of participants from a specific geographic area into the panel and use the web platform to administer specialized questionnaires. DMACS also plans to identify audio-visual materials, such as maps, video clips and other items, to gather information. In all cases, DMACS' design will allow the study team to merge detailed information about the survey respondent's local social, economic, physical and political context.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2017 07/2016 - 03/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2016 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/01/2016

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/17/2016 SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/03/2016 **DC End:** 07/31/2017

Other Project Team Members:

Joe Matuzak - Project Manager; Dan Zahs - Sampling; Sue Hodge - SSA; Kirsten Alcser - SPA; Paul Schultz - programmer; Brad Goodwin - data manager; J. Smith - Surveytrak programmer.

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Illume

Data Col Tool Illume; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Illume QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$20 or \$10); Cash, prepaid (\$2)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Export from Illume); Imprest Cash Fund from

Report Period Nov, 2017 (DMACS) **Project Phase** Closing

Some Concerns Risk Level

Data collection and delivery is complete on DMACS. Final reports are nearing completion. **Monthly Update**

Special Issues

Cost

288,647.90 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): Dec 31, 2017

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 274,431.71 Total Budget: 256,769.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -17,662.71

Reason For Variance: The cost estimate projects an overrun, due to inadvertent under-budgeting

of interviewer hours and other expenses. This overrun has been reviewed by SRC, and will continue to be carefully monitored as the project progresses. The expected overrun was estimated to be \$17,000, but we have also added Illume costs, which were not budgeted at the time the

project began.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Dec 31, 2017 Actual Dollars Used: 0.00

0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance: Data collection costs were pushed forward since the project continues to

operate on an extended timeline.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	712		1.0	
Goal at Completion:	712		1.0	
Current actual:	714			
Estimate at Complete:	714			
Variance:	2			

Other Measures

Wave 2 goal: 460 completes. Currently: 439 completes.

Project Name Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (HCAP 2016)

Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 3,291,705.00 1,185,014.00 Total Budget: 4,476,719.00

Principal David Weir (SRC-ISR) Investigator/Client Ken Langa (SRC-ISR)

Lindsay Ryan (SRC-ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB

HUM#: HUM00099822 Period Of Approval: 3/17/2015 - 3/16/201

Evanthia Leissou **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Dianne G Casey

Mary P Maher Senior Project Advisor:

Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson Production Manager:

Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project will involve the completion of a face-to-face CAPI interview, designed to provide a dementia

assessment of HRS respondents. A sample of 5000 respondents (one per household) who are 65 years of age or older will be selected for this effort. The questionnaire will be administered to respondents after the HRS 2016 interview has been completed. The sample will not be clustered geographically; it will be selected randomly. It is expected that the field team will carry out well-planned regional trips in order to complete the 3000 in-person

interviews. An informant interview will also be completed for each of the respondents interviewed.

The respondent questionnaire length is expected to be 60 minutes. The informant questionnaire is expected to be 20 minutes and can be administered by telephone when the interviewer calls to set up an appointment with the

respondent for the face-to-face interview.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates** 01/2015 - 12/2017 05/2016 - 02/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Applications Programmers: Jeff Smith (STrak), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak, Weblog)

CAI Programmer: Jim Hagerman Team Members: Data Manager: Brad Goodwin

Help Desk: Deb Wilson

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil Hardware

DE Software Excel

QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$50); Check, post (\$25) **Payment Method** Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2017 (HCAP 2016) **Project Phase** Closing

Risk Level Some Concerns **Monthly Update** November 2017

SRO is continuing quality control checks and trying to tie up loose ends. SRO is also in the process of getting PI reduced level access to Blaise survey data display and audio via the OLIVE system in order for them to do some recoding based on post survey analysis/perusal of the data. All financial accounts are still open.

As of October 30th, there are 3,347 completed respondent interviews and another 3,184 informant interviews. The scheduled date to end production was 10\24 - a few lines with appointments and promise stayed open for several more days. Currently, all lines closed. Post production activity is underway and final data will be delivered the first week of November.

Current Response/Completion Rates

Sample Response Rate Completion Rate
Priority Sample 64.2% 99%
Total Sample (all) 75% 100%

Some licensed tests will be re-scored - the approach to be decided by the PIs. SRO will need to secure resources to carry out the scoring s tasks.

Special Issues

Cost

Oct 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 4,700,504.33

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 4,685,635.61

 Total Budget:
 4,476,719.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 77,776.80

Reason For Variance: Several workscope changes have been implemented including additional cognitive tests for the Respondent interview, length of interviewer training,

interviewer retention bonus, project management staff hours, and

respondent incentives.

In addition, actual interviewer rates are higher than the rates used on the

budget. All interviewers working on the project are on-staff.

Projections Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:56,406.46Actual Dollars Used:41,540.05Variance (Projected minus Actual):14,866.41

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Health and Retirement Study (HRS 2016)

Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

Budget 27,772,567.27 Direct Budget: InDirect Budget: 9,998,123.36 Total Budget: 37,770,690.63

Principal David Weir (SRC)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

Ken Langa (SRC)

Funding Agency NIA

IRB HUM#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 1/15/2015 - 1/14/201

Nicole G Kirgis **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause Production Manager: Stephanie Sullivan Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Production Manager: Jennifer C Arrieta Production Manager: Piotr Dworak

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

> The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. In 2016, the late baby boomer cohort will be added. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security

Administration records and Veterans Administration (VA) records is requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

04/2015 - 06/2017 02/2016 - 04/2017 NA

Security Plan

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2015 Pretest Start: 10/16/2015 Pretest End: 11/07/2015 Recruitment Start: 06/01/2015 Staffing Completed: 03/15/2016 GIT Start: 02/10/2016

SS Train Start: 02/12/2016 SS Train End: 04/24/2016 DC Start: 02/22/2016 DC End: 04/29/2017

Other Project Team Members:

Rebecca Gatward (Survey Director), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordinator), Frost Hubbard (New Cohort), Jennifer Kelley (Respondent Contact Coordinator), Jaime Koopman (Project Manager), Russ Stark (SSL Production Manager), Ian Ogden (Project Assistant), Dan Tomlin (Project Assistant), Lisa deRamos (Project

Assistant), Daniah Buageila (Project Assistant)

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Laptop Hardware **DE Software** NA **QC Recording Tool** DRI-CXM Incentive Yes. R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2017 (HRS 2016) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

During the month of November, data collection for the new cohort and panel components continued. The final panel **Monthly Update**

interview was completed on November 28, 2017 with a final response rate goal of 83%. The majority of field and SSL interviewers continue to be focused on new cohort, which includes screening and baseline interviewing. New screening sample is being selected and prepared to release to field in early December for the new cohort continuation.

Preproduction efforts for 2018 pretest include training preparation, material review, recruitment, programming and

testing.

Technical Development: Minimal development in production systems continues (including SurveyTrak, WebTrak and WebLog).

2018 Systems Development Milestones: (full report uploaded)

Web pilot - MSMS/Blaise 5 - Launched 28 August. Ended October 13. n=306.

CAPI test - SurveyTrak/Blaise 5 - October 2017 with field interviewers (internal test has been completed).

Key decision – We are planning to use SurveyTrak with Blaise 5 for field/SSL CAPI/CATI sample. MSMS with Blaise 5 will be used for web/non-response followup work (n=~2500).

Special Issues

Cost

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 40,409,519.15

 Total Budget:
 37,770,690.63

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -2,638,828.52

Reason For Variance: Projected cost to complete reflects the continuation of New Cohort data

collection into 2018 in order to meet production targets.

Projections Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:735,751.72Actual Dollars Used:722,499.33Variance (Projected minus Actual):-13,252.30

Reason For Variance: Overall, the expenses for October came within 2% of projections.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	23,569	85%	7.45	
Goal at Completion:	23,569	85	7.45	
Current actual:	21,418	72%	8.9	
Estimate at Complete:	22,907	83	9.0	
Variance:	-662	-2	-1.55	

Other Measures

Goal for New Cohort is 5,228 interviews (expected: 4,800) Goal for Panel is 18,341 interviews, 85% (expected: 17,923, 83%) Project Name Hospital Effectiveness (HVBP)

Project Mode Primary: Web Secondary: Mail Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 225,806.00 InDirect Budget: 124,193.00 Total Budget: 349,999.00

Principal

Dr. Andrew Ryan (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency Nation

National Institute of Health (NIH)

IRB HUM#:

HUM00122291 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead:

Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:Russell W StarkSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The purpose of this project is to contact approximately 1,233 pre-identified hospital administrators by email, mail,

and telephone, to invite them to participate in a short survey. This will begin with a brief screener that looks to identify the most appropriate person in the hospital to be the respondent, who will then be invited to participate. SRC's involvement with this project includes programming the short screener and web-based questionnaire, printing and mailing paper invitations, reminders and questionnaires, and following up with screened respondents

by email, telephone, and mail.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 02/2016 - 09/2018 01/2018 - 07/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:
DC Start: DC End:

Other Project

Joseph Matuzak, Project Manager, Illume Programming

Team Members: Kirsten Alcser, Senior Project Advisor

Russell Stark, Production Manager Megan Gomez-Mesquita, Budget Analyst Hueichun Peng, Systems Technical Lead

Dave Dybicki, Programmer Brad Goodwin, Data Manager Dan Zahs, Statistics/Sampling Sue Hodge, Production Assistant

Other Project

Hospital Value Based Purchasing, Hospital Administrator Perceptions of Value Based Purchasing (HAPVBP)

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS; Web SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool Illume
Hardware Desktop
DE Software Illume
QC Recording Tool N/A

QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Yes, R
Administration NA

Payment Type Check, post (\$80.00)

Tayment Type Oncok, post (\$00.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2017 (HVBP) Project Phase Initiation

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update The study revised its budget based on the addition of cognitive interviewing and questionnaire development efforts

and the addition of a screening component to the main data collection process. These were reviewed and approved by

study staff.

The study also went through an initial project review, and completed formation of its project team.

IRB review was also completed, and the study was approved as exempt from IRB oversight.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 54,838.00 Nov 15, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 349,168.00

Total Budget: 349,999.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 831.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Nov 15, 2017

Actual Dollars Used: 0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:

Goal at Completion:

Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 8,774,925.00 InDirect Budget: 1,968,094.00 Total Budget: 10,743,019.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00114794 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Grant D Benson
Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Barbara Lohr Ward
Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila

Proposal #: no data

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with \sim 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 05/2018

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2016
 Pretest Start:
 10/24/2016

 Pretest End:
 12/31/2016
 Recruitment Start:
 06/01/2016

 Staffing Completed:
 05/02/2017
 GIT Start:
 04/30/2017

 SS Train Start:
 05/10/2017
 SS Train End:
 05/18/2017

 DC Start:
 05/22/2017
 DC End:
 05/23/2018

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$5 for subsample, \$2 prenotification); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period

Nov, 2017 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

During November, SRO activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Held regular meetings with the research team to discuss design, deliverables, schedule, funding, production issues, including responding to PI requests for item-level analyses.
- Invoicing
- Reviewed/monitored budget. Revised monthly projections.
- Prepared weekly production reports.
- Updated child DBS consent forms to reflect change in collection protocol
- Created and submitted an IRB amendment for new DBS collection protocol
- Prepared wording change to blood spot results letters
- Refined quality control protocol for DBS collection
- Reviewed data entry from Child Time Diaries
- Supervised SSL loggers on document and DBS logging
- · Monitored respondent mailbox, responded to inquiries

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

- · Monitored sample production and updated dashboards
- Reviewed, cleaned and delivered address updates to data managers
- Parsed and cleaned Quarter 3 voucher sample for Cleveland and Dallas
- o Subsampled Dallas Voucher sample
- o Cleaned and checked Voucher sample addresses through the use of off-line update software (Maptitude).
- o Checked Voucher sample against off-line mapping software (HereWeGo)
- Ran checks on previously selected Q3 population sample to ensure proper selection

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

% Task Spent to Date

- Updated all specifications to comply with most recent IRB approvals. Prepared programming instructions.
- Modified child instrument specifications to reflect the removal of the metals card
- · Tested updates to screening instrument

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

- · Programmed changes in child instrument to reflect the removal of the metals card
- Changed the range for responses in the household income question of the PCG instrument
- · Removed word "investigacion" from Spanish Screener

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to date

- · Sample Management System Programming
- o Updated standard production monitoring reports and produced ad hoc reports as needed
- Updated exportable reports to monitor completion of child activities and physical measures
- Updated weekly DBS collection reports
- o Updated comprehensive log of contact data updates and email contacts
- SurveyTrak
- Added new contact modes (email, text)
- o Updated result codes for new contact modes

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

% Task Spent to Date

- Finalized dates for January training (1/11 to 1/18)
- Secured location for January training
- · Started recruitment process for On-staffer interviewers
- Held weekly meeting to review and update training agenda
- Set timeline for training manual updates

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

- Reviewed/monitored performance of interviewers. Created performance improvement plans where necessary.
- Conducted weekly Team Leader (TL) conference calls, weekly interviewing-team conference calls, and weekly
 one-on-one TL-Interviewer conference calls.
- · Conducted reminder calling for Daily Diaries.
- Logged completed interview materials and dried blood spots. Reconciled incorrectly labeled or missing items.
- · Distributed email notifications to voucher cases with email addresses.
- Reviewed all consent documents and respondent payment receipts.
- Cumulative production as of 11/30/2017:
- o Completed
- □ 1051 Screener interviews (with eligible respondents), identified 1909 ineligible households, 818 non-sample addresses, 329 refusals
- □ 656 PCG interviews
- 860 Child interviews
- Implemented new DBS collection protocol beginning 11/17/2017

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

• 127 HH SAQs and 186 Child SAQs delivered for data entry

Task 10: Weighting

% Task Spent to Date

N/A

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

- · Investigated duplicate entries, resolve data issue resulting from mapping caseid, revised deliverables
- Reviewed and updated deliverable files for December 2017

Special Issues

Areas of Concern:

- Hours per screener for the Voucher Sample are running significantly higher than budgeted due to sample quality issues. This is particularly true in Cleveland, where the sample addresses are much older, but even Dallas is affected by relatively low eligibility rates. This will negatively impact our ability to meet our interview goals as we won't have sufficient interviewer hours to do the work. We will continue to monitor the Voucher sample carefully. However, without a respondent locating budget and work authorization, we are concerned that we will be unable to either adequately follow up with voucher sample, or that we will need to complete more of the voucher screeners in person (as opposed to by telephone) than budgeted for.
- The frame for the population sample was determined in early March in order to have sufficient time to develop and select the population sample. Voucher sample zip codes provided to SRC by JHU in January was used to determine the Population sample frame. There is a risk of a mismatch between the Population sample and the Voucher sample, given the late arrival of the Voucher Sample.
- Coming out of the Pilot, SRC's cost analysis indicated that having an adult (PCG) interview approximately 13 minutes longer than originally projected (for voucher sample, we had projected 85 minutes) could be accommodated within our hours per interview (HPI) projections. However, early Main study timings indicate that the changed consent procedures have added significantly to the overall timings, with an average population interview length of 94.9 minutes (26.4 minutes over budgeted length) and an average voucher interview length of 108.3 minutes (29.8 minutes over the budgeted length). This includes adding about 8 minutes to the consenting and receipt management, and another 5-8 minutes primarily for revised social security forms. Early indicators are that this is contributing to interviewers having to make multiple visits to households to complete even just PCG interviews, but especially for PCG and child interviews.
- The rate of return for the Child Time Diary is better than it was in the Pilot, however it is still lower than desired for the project, despite reminder calling. SRC worked with the research team to develop a strategy to increase the return rate for this component. We incorporated changes from the research team which reduced the complexity of the diary. In addition, we are providing envelopes for each diary to encourage immediate return, which may help to improve return rates of individual diaries. SRC is emphasizing the importance of the diary in the July refresher trainings with interviewers.
- PCG dried blood spot collection is adequate, and participation rates are high. Child consent/assent rates are far higher than in the Pilot. However interviewers (even experienced interviewers) are unable to completely fill spots on the collection cards. SRC is conducting multiple retrainings on DBS. DBS spots are being monitored daily, and interviewers are being requested to send photos of DBS cards in order to facilitate early intervention with interviewers submitting inadequate samples.

Work Scope Changes:

- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that questionnaires would be final at project initiation except for the Household Listing and Household Confirmation protocol. Questionnaires required extensive editing. SRC reviewed all questionnaires for question wording issues (especially problems created by moving questions to SAQ), create and insert transitions, review and suggest changes to module and/or question ordering. (Approved)
- Questionnaire Development Additional (and unanticipated) programming was needed for Hearts and Flowers due to a timing specification change received from research team. (Approved)
- Worked with ICPSR to prepare scope and budget for production of public use datasets. (Pending; Not Approved at this time)
- At the request of the research team, SRC developed a locating program and recruited locating staff due to expectations that a much higher proportion of phone numbers for the Voucher sample will be unusable. (Approved)
- Reprinted the Social Security booklets to eliminate the earnings and benefits pages. Rekitted all existing PCG and Child bags, and shipped new supplies to interviewers. Altered programming to eliminate the Social Security consent administration, and then reinstate administration for one page only. (Approved)

Cost Dec 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 4,618,628.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 10,809,174.00

 Total Budget:
 10,743,019.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 66,155.00

Reason For Variance: The variance is due to ongoing work-scope changes.

Projections Dec 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:454,887.00Actual Dollars Used:366,717.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):88,169.00

Reason For Variance: Interviewer travel is running lower than expected, as are respondent

incentives, both due to lower than anticipated production in the Voucher

sample.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name HRS 2017 Consumption and Activity Mail Study (CAMS 2017)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 294,117.00 InDirect Budget: 105,883.00 Total Budget: 400,000.00

Principal David Weir (SRC)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00079949 Period Of Approval: 4/13/2017 - 4/12/201

Project Team Project Lead: Daniel Tomlin

Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: CAMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of CAMS is to gather additional data on

household consumption and activities of daily living from participants in the HRS. In 2017, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 8,000 respondents of which 6,000 will receive the full questionnaire and 2,000

spouse/partners will receive a brief questionnaire.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period

06/2017 - 05/2018 09/2017 - 04/2018

Security Plan Yes Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start: 05/22/2017

Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 09/27/2017 **DC End:** 04/30/2018

Other Project

Team Members:

Actual budget analyst is Grace Tison but she is not available in the drop-down list.

Data Manager: Qi Zhu

Project Assistant: Jeannie Baker Programmer: Holly Ackerman Assembly Coordinator: Vicki Wagner Project Manager: Jennifer Arrieta

CAMS

Names:

Other Project

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool SAQ

Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (HRS study staff is responsible for data entry)

QC Recording Tool

N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (spouse)

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25 to main R and \$10 to spouse R)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2017 (CAMS 2017) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update November has been a busy month in regards to logging and address updates. The logging team, Jeannie Baker, and

myself have been busy making these updates as they appear and I've been working with data ops to streamline the process as much as possible. Postcard mailings began at the beginning of November and will continue weekly until the production is complete. Additionally, the final CAMS mailing went out on Wednesday, November 22 and required a good deal of preparation due to the frequent address changes, returned questionnaires, and the mail out date falling

on an SRO closure day.

Special Issues

No special issues to report.

Cost

 Cost
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 313,811.45

 Oct 31, 2017
 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 395,481.87

 Total Budget:
 400,000.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 4,518.13

Reason For Variance: Adjustments to projections based on staff assigned and work in October.

Projections Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:78,703.46Actual Dollars Used:50,378.79Variance (Projected minus Actual):28,324.67

Reason For Variance: We are still waiting on the invoice for mailing materials to post (due to a

billing issue with our printing vendor). These costs were pushed forward to November and have not yet hit the budget, thus they did not appear in the

October totals.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal: 70%

Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Life History Mail Survey (LHMS) Fall 2017 (LHMS)

Primary: Mail **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 482,353.00 InDirect Budget: 173,647.00 Total Budget: 656,000.00

Principal David Weir (SRC, University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC, University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

The Social Security Administration (SSA), the National Institute on Aging (NIA)

ним#: HUM00106904 Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Lisa deRamos **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Grace Tison

Production Manager:

Mary P Maher Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: LHMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of LHMS is to give participants an opportunity to

> share significant events that shaped their lives, reflect on their life history and share where they have lived, went to school, and what important events occurred in their lives. Information like this allows researchers to understand

how individuals' pasts shape their health and economic situations today.

In 2017, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 12,000 respondents. Respondents who completed the survey in 2015 will receive a shortened English version of the survey for 2017 with new content. Respondents who did not complete the survey in 2015 will receive a full English version of the survey. Respondents who are

assigned to receive a Spanish version of the survey will receive a full Spanish version of the survey.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

08/2017 - 03/2018 11/2017 - 03/2018

Security Plan Yes **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: 08/01/2017 Pretest Start: 08/01/2017 Pretest End: 08/01/2017 Recruitment Start: 08/01/2017 GIT Start: 08/01/2017 Staffing Completed: 12/04/2017

SS Train Start: 12/04/2017 SS Train End: 12/04/2017 DC Start: 12/06/2017 DC End: 02/06/2017

Other Project Team Members: Lisa deRamos, Lead Project Manager; Nicole Kirgis, HRS Survey Director; Patty Maher, SPA; Jeannie Baker, Project

Assistant; Grace Tison, Budget Analyst; Qi Zhu, Data Manager; Holly Ackerman, Weblog Programmer; Names TBD

for SSL Logging LHMS Fall 2017

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (Excel and reports from MJT)

Data Col Tool SAQ

Paper and Pencil Hardware External vendor (MJT) **DE Software**

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes. R

Administration SRO Group; ISR Group Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period Nov, 2017 (LHMS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Production Questionnaire Mailout #1 is scheduled to go out 12/6/17 and will be mailed out in 5 batches (e.g. US ENG **Monthly Update**

Full, US SPN Full, US ENG Supp., INT ENG Full, INT SPN Full. Questionnaire Mailout #2 will be mailed out approximately 4 weeks after the first Qnaire mailed, around 1/3/18. Thank you cards and reminder postcards will be mailed out approximately 6 weeks after the first Qnaire, around 1/17/18. Questionnaire Mailout #3, the final mailout, will be mailed out approximately 8 weeks after the first Qnaire, around 3/28/18. Weblog should be in production by

12/6/17.

Special Issues

Mailing dates are coming up alongside the holidays, which we'd originally hoped to avoid.

Cost

Oct 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 120,524.45

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 629,157.22

 Total Budget:
 656,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 26,842.78

Reason For Variance: Reasons for variance include postage and salary vs. non-salary hours.

Projections Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:423,807.95Actual Dollars Used:31,771.33Variance (Projected minus Actual):26,842.78

Reason For Variance: Reasons for variance include postage and salary vs. non-salary hours.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:
Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study (MTTS)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 656,787.81 InDirect Budget: 362,629.19 Total Budget: 1,019,417.00

Principal Heather Hill (Harvard Graduate School of Education)

Investigator/Client Patty Maher (ISR PI)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM90379 Period Of Approval: 6/25/2014-6/25/2015

Project TeamProject Lead:Barbara Lohr WardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Russell W Stark

Production Manager: Russell W Stark
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: For the last 25 years, three major goals have animated the U.S. mathematics education community: the need for

more knowledgeable teachers, more challenging curricula for students, and more ambitious instruction in classrooms. And yet despite volumes of policy guidance, on-the-ground effort and research over the past decades, few comprehensive and representative portraits of teacher and teaching quality in U.S. mathematics classrooms exist. Instead, most research into these topics has been conducted with small samples or non-representative

samples (e.g., Kane & Staiger, 2012), with the result that it is difficult to

ascertain what, if any, progress has been made toward the three goals. To provide information on such progress, we will collect data on teacher content knowledge, curriculum use, and instruction from a nationally representative

sample of U.S. middle school

mathematics teachers. A written survey will build on a similar study conducted in 2005 – 06 (Hill, 2007), allowing for the comparison of teachers' curriculum use and content knowledge – and more specifically, their mathematical knowledge for teaching (MKT) –across time periods. An observational component will record and score videotapes of instruction, allowing for a

description of current instruction as well as a comparison of current instruction to that observed during the TIMSS video study (Heibert et al., 2005). The new video dataset will also serve as a baseline for future studies of instruction, for instance ones comparing current instruction to that in 2025, to assess whether Common Core State Standards have been met.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2014 - 06/2016 01/2015 - 12/2015

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2014 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 01/26/2015

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 03/02/2015 DC End: 05/31/2016

Other Project

Barb Ward - Lead

Team Members: Russ Stark - Production Lead

Judi Clemens, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson - District IRB

Dan Zahs, Paul Burton - Sampling Hueichun Peng - Technical Lead, SRIS

Jim Hagerman - Blaise Shaowei Sun- SRIS Laura Yoder - Data Mgt Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS: Project specific system (SRIS) Data Col Tool SAQ; Other (video recorded on tablet)

Hardware Desktop; Tablet; Other (Tablets, Swivls, Tripods provided by research team)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA

QC Recording Tool N/A Incentive NA Administration NA

> **Payment Type** Check, post (\$50 for SAQ, \$200 video); Cash, prepaid (5)

Payment Method Check through other system (ISR Business Office); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (ISR Business

Nov, 2017 (MTTS) **Report Period Project Phase** Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update November 2017

SRO staff worked on documentation of deliverables, and preparation for weights. SRO staff participated in some

management meetings with the research team, and worked to finalize the record of school approvals.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 989,687.00 Dec 31, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,013,289.00 Total Budget: 1,019,417.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 6,127.00

Reason For Variance: Work scope changes led to substantially lower respondent payments and

SRO workload.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 3,457.00 Dec 31, 2017 Actual Dollars Used: 3,199.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 258.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot (MTF Illume Web 2017)

Total of Modes: 2 Primary: Mixed **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Total Budget: Direct Budget: 105,732.94 InDirect Budget: 58,153.12 163,886.06

Principal

Investigator/Client

Megan Patrick (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB** Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson **Project Team** Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway Gina-Qian Yang Cheung Senior Project Advisor:

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of MTF-WPSP Year 2/MTF Illume Web 2016. A new project is being created in MPR

because the Project required a new PG.

For this round of data collection we have 2 conditions:

1. Paper - URL with credential provided if not complete after 1 month, or URL provided if requested

2. Web - Paper provided if requested or if not complete after 1 month Note - Both conditions are eventually given each option if not completed

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

05/2017 - 12/2017 05/2017 - 09/2017

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Lloyd Hemingway, Shaowei Sun (year 3

only), Jennie Williams, Peter Sparks, Dave Dybicki, Ashwin Dey

Sample Mgmt Sys

MTF Web **Other Project**

Names:

SMS; Web SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool

NA

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by SRC Study Staff)

Administration NA **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

Report Period Risk Level

Nov, 2017 (MTF Illume Web 2017)

Project Phase

Closing

Not Rated

Monthly Update

11/2017

Study staff requires the data delivered in a different format (text file) versus the usual SAS file. A meeting was held to clarify requirements and to go over data delivery specs. The new date for data delivery is January 12, 2018. A SAS file will be delivered first so that a quality check can be done - once the SAS data has been signed off the text file will be created and delivered.

The draft budget was redone to exclude Winter location and Non-response calling efforts from the Illume budget. This study is now responsible only for the programming all systems, fielding the web survey, and delivering the Illume data

and paradata.

10/2017

None response calling has ended and all web surveys were closed on 10/27. Data will be delivered by November 30th, 2018.

A ballpark budget for 2018 was delivered to the PIs - no response from them as yet.

Special Issues

Cost

Oct 31, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):116,897.91Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):153,465.68Total Budget:163,886.06Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):10,420.32Reason For Variance:

Projections

Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:13,039.19Actual Dollars Used:9,612.46Variance (Projected minus Actual):3,426.73

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)

Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status Current **Project Type** Sponsored Projects

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 32,653,126.47 8,448,262.00 Total Budget: 41,101,388.47

Principal Joyce Abma (NCHS) Investigator/Client Mick Couper (ISR)

Funding Agency

NCHS, CDC, NICHD

IRB ним#: 0002716 Period Of Approval: 7/17/13 - 7/17/17

Heidi Marie Guyer **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Nancy Oeffner Production Manager: Theresa Camelo

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Maureen Joan O'Brien Production Manager: Production Manager: Rebecca Loomis

no data Proposal #:

Description: The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-49 years of age designed to provide national estimates of

> factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire

data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

09/2010 - 07/2020 09/2011 - 06/2019

Yes

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2011 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 06/01/2011 Staffing Completed: 08/17/2011 GIT Start: 09/13/2011 SS Train Start: 09/15/2011 SS Train End: 09/19/2011 DC Start: 09/20/2011 DC End: 09/07/2019

Other Project Team Members: Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak Blaise 4.8 **Data Col Tool**

Hardware Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software NA QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (babysitting fee)

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$5; \$40); Cash, post (\$40; \$60)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Nov, 2017 (NSFG 2010-2020) **Project Phase** Implementing Report Period

On Track Risk Level

Monthly Update We are now in Week 10 of Q25. We are still on track to end this quarter with the highest yield of Cycle 8. There are

currently 1424 main interviews and 3952 screeners completed. The average for this point in the guarter is 1162 main and 3560 screeners, and 1069 main and 3234 screeners average since the age range expansion. Main and Screener completion rates are falling slightly for this point in the quarter and since the age range expansion.
The completions rates are: Screener 83.8% this quarter, 86.3% average for this quarter, 83.8% since the age range expansion; Main 64.1% this quarter, 64.0% average for this quarter, 63.3% since the age range expansion. HPI is at 10.5, average for this point in the quarter is 10.0. Due to high productivity, 5,917 lines were released for screening this quarter. The average is 5,070, and 4,852 since the age range expansion. The average number of lines released for this Quarter in

the year is 5,070. The current production focus is on main interviewing, screening around main interviews. We prioritized teen and without kids HH subgroups as RR's were low in those groups. We will turn off the prioritizations at the end of Phase 1, before double sample. Double sample begins next week, week 11. 590 total sample lines have been selected (310 main, 280 screener), and letters went out Wednesday to that sample. One more liver has attritted since last month, totaling 6 New Hires. She has been active by FEMA and sent to Puerto Rico. She may come back so she has been put on hold rather than termination. We have not made a final decision regarding a March attrition training. There are still only 2 areas unstaffed, and we are training a previous NSFG liver to cover those two areas. She will be trained in January, 2018 and begin work right away after that. Until the new liver starts, the 2 unstaffed areas are being travelled. The Phase Boundary experiment is on track to start in Q26. Double sample will begin in week 9 for 5 areas.

Special Issues

UM received the year 7 funding from NCHS in August 2017. The funding includes the \$5,000,000 base funding as well as additional funding of \$870,559 for increased data collection costs. Additionally, a no cost time extension has been granted for the remaining funds of the methodological research account. This extension is through August 2018.

Cost Nov 08, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 5,901,573.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 5,993,673.87

 Total Budget:
 41,101,388.47

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -92,100.87

Reason For Variance:

Additional workscope, higher than anticipated HPI, higher yield, higher interviewer attrition, increased travel, increased hiring and training

Projections Nov 08, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:491,289.94Actual Dollars Used:506,645.80Variance (Projected minus Actual):-15,355.86

Reason For Variance: The variance is due to JE reversal for erroneous Hosting charges that

actually hit in September, not in October as projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	1500	68%	10.0	
Goal at Completion:	5000	79%	10.0	
Current actual:	1424	59.8%	10.5	
Estimate at Complete:	4972	61.8%	10.3	
Variance:	28	18.2%	.3	

Other Measures

The goals represent Q25 goals and actuals. We are now in Week 10 of Quarter 25. The HPI goal has changed to 10.0. The completion goals above are the annual goals. The current goal is for the current quarter.

Project Name

Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior (AHRB)

Project Mode

Primary: Class SAQ

Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

2/3/2016 - 2/2/2017

Budget

Direct Budget:

InDirect Budget: 507,595.00 Total Budget: 1,427,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Daniel Keating (U-M SRC)

Funding Agency

Health and Human Services (HHS), Department of-National Institutes of Health

IRB Project Team ним#: HUM00084650 Period Of Approval: Peter Rakesh Batra Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens

919,405.00

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Peter Rakesh Batra Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

During early adolescence systems in the brain that are characterized by heightened reactivity to motivational stimuli and rewards mature rapidly, while systems that enable more effective cognitive control and judgment mature more slowly. This "developmental maturity mismatch" has been proposed as a key contributor to health risk behavior among adolescents, which is of critical importance because: (1) risk behaviors are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in this age group, including diseases arising from unprotected sexual activity and casualties arising from reckless behavior (including driving fatalities and serious injuries); (2) it is the peak age for the onset of a wide range of risk behavior patterns with potential long-term consequences, including substance use and abuse, and delinquency. The "developmental maturity mismatch" hypothesis, however, has not been directly tested in relation to risk behavior at a level sufficient to inform this critical health area. The primary aim of the ANDH study is to understand the behavioral, cognitive, and neural bases of risk taking, through integrated analyses of age differences, developmental trajectories, and individual differences in psychosocial, neurocognitive and neural imaging assessments.

The study will involve data collection from 10th and 12th grade students (~2000 students total) in 7-8 local high schools (approximately 150 students from each age group per school), with group administration in the schools using laptops in a baseline data collection to be completed over a 3-month period in the fall of 2014. Each respondent will attend 2 ~45 minute sessions: one survey and one neurocognitive tests. After the baseline data collection, SRO will modify the survey questionnaire to operate as a web-based survey, and will administer the web survey to all 2,000 respondents in years 2, 3, and 4 of the project (in the fall of 2015, 2016 and 2017). A small number of respondents (150-160) will be sub-selected to undergo neural imaging at U-M facilities in Ann Arbor (SRO will not be directly involved in this portion of the study).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

04/2014 - 03/2018 03/2015 - 01/2016

Yes

PreProduction Start:

Staffing Completed: SS Train Start:

Pretest Start: 12/21/2016 Pretest End: 01/03/2017 Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 09/01/2016 DC End: 05/31/2018

Other Project Team Members: Wave 2 Team: Kyle Kwaiser (tech lead, data manager), Kathy LaDronka, Becky Loomis, Dolorence Okullo (data management), Hueichun Peng, Shaowei Sun

Wave 1 Team: Larry Daher, Emmanuel Ellis, David Bolt, Kyle Goodman, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kyle Kwaiser (tech lead, data manager), Becky Loomis, Max Malhotra, Shaowei Sun, Laura Yoder (data management)

Other Project Adolescent Neurodevelopmental Health (ANDH) (Internal)

Names: Adolescent Health Risk Behavior Study (Public)
Sample Mgmt Sys Illume: Project specific system (SRIS)

Data Col Tool Illume; SAQ; Other (Inquisit neurocognitive task software; NC helper app)

Hardware Laptop
DE Software Other (SRIS)

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (School)

Administration SRO Group; ISR Group (Dan Keating, PNG Group)

Payment Type Check, post (Rs, \$50 year 1, \$20 years 2-4; schools, \$1000); Cash, post (Ypsilanti Rs, \$50 year 1)

Payment Method Check through other system (RPay not through STrak (R payments)); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Of

Report Period Nov, 2017 (AHRB) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update In November we got approval for drafted participant and parent contact letters as well as the invitations, reminders (3)

and final email messages that will be sent to R's during Wave 3. We are still waiting for changes from the PI's team to the Wave 3 questionnaire and a small change to the text in one of the neurocognitive study tasks and a newsletter that will be included with the contact letters. Once received, I will submit an IRB amendment that will incorporate

changes for Wave 3.

This month I submitted the SCR (Continuing review) in time for the 12/21 IRB meeting.

Since the Wave 2 data collection period closed on Nov 1, we have now also delivered the data for both parts of Wave

2: The Illume questionnaire data and the Inqusit task data.

Special Issues

Nov 30, 2017

Cost

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,262,864.96

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,453,970.61

 Total Budget:
 1,427,000.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -26,970.61

Reason For Variance: At this point we have not been fully reimbursed from the project for work

that went beyond the original scope in preparation for Wave 2. Nick is

HPI

aware of this and in agreement.

RR

0.00

Projections
Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:

Actual Dollars Used: 0.00
Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures Units Complete

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion:
Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department (YRS)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,276,181.00 InDirect Budget: 703,064.00 Total Budget: 1,979,245.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Cheryl King (Professor of Psychiatry, University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Esther H Ullman
Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This multi-site collaborative project proposes to implement a "universal suicide risk screen" strategy with eligible

youths, ages 12-17, who present at one of 14 emergency departments across the country. The research team will conduct initial screening of approximately 9,090 youths randomly chosen in these emergency departments (ED), over a period of two years. Based on the results of the screening, youths will be contacted for follow-up (youths who present with an actual suicide or self-injury concern, youths who present with at least two suicide risk factors, and youths at low/no risk for suicide) by the Survey Research Center's (SRC) interviewing staff in Survey Research Operations (SRO). SRO will receive electronic files with contact information for the selected youths on a flow basis, with the expectation of receiving approximately 4,360 in total. Using computer-assisted interviewing techniques from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) on the Ann Arbor campus, we will attempt contact with each selected respondent's parent and then the respondent, with the goal of completing brief (10-minute) interviews with ~85% of the respondents 3 months after their ED screening, and ~80% of these same

respondents 6 months after their ED screening

SRO Project Period Data Col Period 03/2015 - 12/2017 07/2015 - 07/2017

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

 Staffing Completed:
 GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 09/21/2015

 SS Train End:
 09/24/2015

DC Start: 09/28/2015 DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS
Data Col Tool NA
Hardware Desktop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, Other (Amazon gift card (Project staff))

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period Nov, 2017 (YRS) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

isk Level Some Some Some

Monthly Update

Production is now picking up, although well behind original goals due to requirement for additional IRB approval by each site. Now most sites have obtained IRB approval (Texas is still outstanding). This rolling eligibility as sites obtain approval has led to additional programming for mail pulls to catch respondents as they can be contacted and of

course shortened the amount of time available to call the respondents.

Focus now is on changes required for ED STARS Continuation activities (add consents, communications with PECARN, etc) with the plan to launch in Jan.

Special Issues

Concerns that data ops has provided adequate resources to the project, not sure that reports used in Study 1 can also be created for Study 2. Although a transition plan has been discussed for at least 6 months the new data manager has too many other conflicting assignments to fulfill the requirements of the project.

Cost Nov 30, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,171,405.29

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,976,323.27

 Total Budget:
 1,979,245.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 2,921.73

Reason For Variance:

Projections Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:41,020.17Actual Dollars Used:57,420.15Variance (Projected minus Actual):-16,399.98

Reason For Variance: With the start of production being complicated by requiring each site to

obtain IRB approval additional production management, data ops time and

mailing protocols were needed.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	666			
Goal at Completion:	5040			
Current actual:	162			
Estimate at Complete:	4599			
Variance:				
Variance:				

Other Measures

There will actually be two surveys in phase 1 (at 3 months and 6 months)...and then a second phase survey.

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics - Transition to Adulthood Study 2017 (PSID TAS 2017)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,222,666.00 InDirect Budget: 682,169.00 Total Budget: 1,904,835.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC-PSID)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00112629 Period Of Approval: 12/9/2016-12/8/2017

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne LeClere

Budget Analyst: Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Transition to Adulthood Study (TAS) is a supplemental study of the PSID, a national, longitudinal study of

families started in 1968.

The TAS study began in 2005 and has been conducted every 2 years. The sample for PSID-TAS is comprised of a sample of participants from PSID Core in between the ages of 18 and 28, including Heads/Spouses/Partners and

OFUMs. The sample size is approximately 3,014. The study is interviewer administered and phone only.

Respondents are invited to complete the phone survey after they have completed the PSID Main interview. The interview content includes questions about education, wealth, health, income and other topics related to the traditional markers of the transition into adulthood – mainly entering the labor market, completing schooling, and

DC End:

planning one's own family formation.

DC Start:

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 05/2017 - 06/2018 10/2007 - 05/2018

Plan NA

Milestone Dates

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
SS Train End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

 DE Software
 N/A

 QC Recording Tool
 N/A

 Incentive
 Yes, R

 Administration
 NA

Payment Type Check, post (70)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID RAPS)

Report Period Nov, 2017 (PSID TAS 2017) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update We are in the second month of production, with 1,146 IWs and an HPI of 4.06.

We announced a \$500 interviewer incentive retention bonus to be paid out at the end of data collection.

Regular meetings continue with technical staff, project team and TA Pls.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 291,113.04 Nov 08, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,860,810.59 Total Budget: 1,904,835.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 44,024.41

Reason For Variance:

Projections Nov 08, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 0.00 Actual Dollars Used: 0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name PSID Immigrant Refresher Screening Project (PSID-Imm)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,226,546.00 InDirect Budget: 674,666.00 Total Budget: 1,901,212.00

Principal

Narayan Sastry (SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency NICHD

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval:** 3/13/17-3/12/18

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:Sara D FreelandSenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Screening Housing Units (that HRS-2016 determined were ineligible for their study and had at least one household

member born outside of the U.S. and came to the U.S. in the past 20 years) to determine whether either the Head or Spouse/Partner of each Family Unit moved to the U.S. after 1997. Eligible Family Units are invited to participate

in PSID Core 2017.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2016 - 12/2017 06/2016 - 09/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/04/2016 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 03/24/2016

Staffing Completed: 06/23/2017 GIT Start:

 SS Train Start:
 06/01/2016
 SS Train End:
 06/30/2017

 DC Start:
 06/06/2016
 DC End:
 09/19/2017

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI
Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post (\$10, \$40 End Game); Cash, prepaid (\$5 End Game); Cash, post (\$10); Other (Non-monetary ince

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period Nov, 2017 (PSID-Imm) Project Phase Closing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Wen Chang presented the PSID 2016 New Immigrant Screening Response Rate memo to the PIs at the 11/8

meeting. Pls asked her to use other estimates to calculate the eligibility rate of unknown cases. This work will extend

into December.

Began drafting final report.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,571,395.23 Nov 30, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,578,526.21

Total Budget: 1,901,212.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 322,685.79

Reason For Variance: Less programming, no new-hire recruitment, smaller in-person training,

fewer lines--in fewer areas, fewer iwers

Projections Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 7,685.63 Actual Dollars Used: 7,597.95 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 87.68

Reason For Variance: minor variance

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:	920	63%	6.5	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Project Name PSID Multiplicity Screening (PSID MULT)

Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 340,754.00 InDirect Budget: 188,063.00 Total Budget: 528,817.00

Principal

IRB

Narayan Sastry (SRC)

Investigator/Client
Funding Agency

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval:** 3/13/17 - 3/12/18

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager: Margaret Lavanger
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Continuation of the PSID (post-1997) New Immigrant Sample Refresher. Screening Family Units (that contain a

parent, sibling, and/or child of New Immigrant Head or Spouse/Partner who completed Core 2017) to determine whether either the Head or Spouse/Partner is an immigrant born between 1960-1971 (i.e., HRS-2016 screening eligible age range) and moved to the U.S. after 1997. Eligible Family Units are invited to participate in PSID Core

2019.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

06/2017 - 05/2017 10/2017 - 04/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 06/01/2017 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/05/2017

Staffing Completed: 08/17/2017 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 10/16/2017 **SS Train End:** 10/18/2017

DC Start: 10/26/2017 **DC End:**

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (Locator)
Administration SRO Group; ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post (\$40); Cash, prepaid (\$10); Cash, post (\$40); Other (Non-monetary incentive)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (PSID-RAPS); Interviewer payment of cash (re

Report Period Nov, 2017 (PSID MULT) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update NOTE: Measures are from Release 1 only; they also correct for miscoding and a case on hold.

On 11/8, sent new bci to the field to correct programming error that allowed recordings to occur without consent to record.

On 11/16, sent new dm and pbd to the field. Updated Blaise to include more probes when Potential Nominator birth year is unknown, to remove skip logic when answering 2016 Potential Nominator questions, to correct Spanish translation, and to include/reference additional QxQ's. Updated SurveyTrak to require more Call Wizard fields, to fix call window alert, to fix locator payment address, and to stop the PSID17 language from being overwritten. On 11/21, one iwer asked to have a month off; PM told iwer that we could not guarantee work when he returned.

On 11/27, began locating on Release 2 (9 lines).

Identified 3 MULT lines as non-sample. Data entry error in PSID17. Reviewed all Release 1 and 2 lines and do not anticipate any more non-sample.

Began discussion with PIs about New Imm Recons in 2019 and continuation of MULT screening effort. Also discussed likely need for additional New Imm sample--potentially recruiting family members of the 1997 Immigrants.

Special Issues

Submitted an ORIO to the IRB on 11/10; acknowledged on 11/18. An interview was recorded when there was not

consent to record.

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 273,105.59 Nov 30, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 524,294.81 Total Budget: 528,817.00

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 4,522.19

Reason For Variance:

Uncertainty with production projections

Projections Nov 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 61,243.49 Actual Dollars Used: 72,788.18 Variance (Projected minus Actual): -11,544.69

Reason For Variance: Training travel was higher than expected (and hit sooner).

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:	10	32%	6.8	
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life (SWEL)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Observation Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 441,062.00 InDirect Budget: 242,585.00 Total Budget: 683,647.00

Principal Kira Birditt (UM ISR Life Course Development)
Investigator/Client Toni Antonucci (UM ISR Life Course Development)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: TBD Period Of Approval: TBD

Project Team Project Lead: Piotr Dworak

Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Managers: Desployers

Production Manager:Derek DubuqueSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: SWEL is a study to assess the role of cardiovascular stress in daily lives among matched test and control groups of

ethnic minority and white respondents. Data collected via an interviewer-administered 30-min instrument, followed

by a 4-day measurement of cardiovascular activity using a wearable biometric device, and 6-per-day

self-administered momentary assessments.

Data collection goal: 300 CAPI interviews (79% RR on sample of ~380), revised to test/control setup in which 150 interviews are needed from 173 test subjects (87% RR) and 150 interviews from the 307 control subjects (48%

RR).

Sample: Participants in Wave 3 of Social Relations (2014) from the Detroit tri-county area.

Data collection period: estimated for 13 weeks but both the staffing levels and the proposed data collection pace is

being discussed with the client given the availability of the wereable devices.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan 12/2016 - 10/2017 06/2017 - 09/2016

Security Plan NA Milestone Dates

 PreProduction Start:
 03/01/2017
 Pretest Start:
 01/22/2018

 Pretest End:
 02/02/2018
 Recruitment Start:
 11/01/2017

 Staffing Completed:
 01/02/2018
 GIT Start:
 02/05/2018

 SS Train Start:
 02/07/2018
 SS Train End:
 02/09/2018

 DC Start:
 02/11/2018
 DC End:
 07/29/2018

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Racial Disparities in Health: The Roles of Stress, Social Relations, and the Cardiovascular System

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (2); Cash, post (30); Other (Cash post biomarker)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period Nov, 2017 (SWEL) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update

The SWEL Pilot, carried out by the LCD project team has restarted on 10/25 - the PIs have recruited 10 of the 20 participants needed but all of them are White and researchers have a hard time finding control Black participants. The

pilot is likely to continue through December.

The 2nd phase of the pilot is critical in determining whether Caretaker works as a device to collect ongoing blood pressure readings. No final decisions have been made.

Other parts / devices of the study's data collection protocol (periodic surveys, BodyGuardian Heart) are set and will require only small adjustments for production.

Protocol: we are considering adding texting as an option for delivering surveys and to check in with respondents. Currently exploring options with Gregg and SRC director.

Staffing: We plan to staff 7 on-staffers. DCO is confirming options.

Systems: In December the SWEL team will demo MSMS to the LCD project team. Final specs are in place. First draft of Baseline (CAPI) was delivered and is being reveiwed by the client.

IRB: SWEL IRB application was submitted by the LCD project team in October but the decision was deferred after the full board meeting. Clarifications were submitted on 11/27 and the next review is scheduled for Dec 6th. Production Amendment will be submitted in January.

Per SWEL Tech lead: SRO is assuming cost for running HollrIT messaging app (TSG has more updates on this topic). This solves licensing issues with the app and clears SWEL for using the app for the study in 2018. However, the details of the arrangement are held up in Finances.

Re-budget memo has been accepted by the client -- new project budget will be reflected shortly in CRS.

Special Issues

Cost

Nov 08, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):

Total Budget:

Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):

Reason For Variance:

163,563.55

683,647.00

0.00

Note: project has been re-budgeted but changes are not yet applied in CRS

Projections Nov 08, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:38,220.11Actual Dollars Used:21,117.89Variance (Projected minus Actual):17,102.22

Reason For Variance:

Budget needs to be re-adjusted in December to account for different data collection schedule (we were projecting R payments to hit in October while DC was moved to February. Actual hours are projected fairly accurately. We bill slightly more hours than projected in total but more hours at lower rates

In addition, Pilot was on hold to reconfigure the devices used to measure blood pressure which affected the billing schedule.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	300	79%		
Goal at Completion:	300	87% / 48%		
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2017)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 859,872.00 Total Budget: 859,872.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Dr. Richard T. Curtin (SRC)

Funding Agency

Bloomberg, others for Riders.

IRB

HUM#: exempt Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Budget Analyst:

Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Mary P Maher

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates** 12/2016 - 12/2017 12/2016 - 12/2017

NA

Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Recruitment Start: Pretest End: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Dave Dybicki Ann Munster Kelley Popielarz Pamela Swanson Jennie Williams LaVelvet Harrison Paul Burton Nancy Walker Tim Wright

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **SMS** Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8 Hardware Desktop **DE Software** Blaise 4.8 BIA QC Recording Tool **DRI-CXM** Incentive Not used Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type **Payment Method**

NA

NA

Report Period

Nov, 2017 (SCA 2017)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Attention!

Monthly Update

SCA completed its Novber study a day early, finishing with 606 completed interviews with 405 RDDs and 201 Recons. This month the instrument length was 30.0 minutes in length, and while the HPI was still higher than desired, it dropped by 0.16 from the previous month to 3.64. The study used 2204.9 interviewer hours, and our overall attempts per interview fell in line with the 2017 average, at 3.49. SCA delivered an excellent prelim total of 438 completes, getting a boost in hours from our newest group of recently trained interviewers. This month our recon dials average jumped back up to an high level, and our dials per hour with the addition of new interviewers, was also lower than desired. Unfortunately, we continue to suffer attrition in our interviewing staff, and while we had sufficient hours for November, we lost several interviewers as the month moved on, to the point where planning for December indicated we would once again be short on hours.

Special Issues

SCA continues to run higher than expected on HPI and on interviewer attrition. This keeps pushing up costs, and we have already spent more in training costs and QC than was anticipated in the annual budget, and each additional training only increases this disparity. At this point we expect that we have done our last local training for the year.

Cost Dec 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 897,673.80

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,059,018.71

 Total Budget:
 859,872.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -199,146.71

Projections Dec 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:77,463.00Actual Dollars Used:78,603.71Variance (Projected minus Actual):-1,140.71

Reason For Variance:

Reason For Variance:

Higher training costs this month and additional interviewer hours. Total

deficit for the month is estimated to be \$-13,450.28.

Interviewer hours continue to run much higher than expected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	600	8	3.50	
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:	606	7	3.64	
Variance:	6	-1	0.14	

Project Name Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2017 (SCIP-2017)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 73,274.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 73,274.00

Principal John Callewart (UM-Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute)

Investigator/Client Robert Marans (UM-Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

 IRB
 HUM#:
 00068573
 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Andrew L Hupp

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The goal of the overall Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP), a joint project of the Institute for Social

Research (ISR) and the Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute (Graham), is to measure changes in sustainability-related knowledge, commitments, and practices in the University of Michigan (U-M) community over time. The principle component of SCIP is a large-scale annual survey, to be conducted with U-M students, faculty,

and staff from 2012 to 2018.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period 07/2017 - 06/2018

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: 07/01/2017

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 01/16/2018 **DC End:** 02/22/2018

Pretest Start:

Other Project

 $\label{lem:constraint} \mbox{Donnalee Grey-Farquharson - instrument revisions/project management}$

Team Members: Andrew Hupp - methodological experimental design

Carl Remmert - financial support and analysis

Hueichun Peng - programming for dissertation research Minako Edgar - sample prep, dataset creation, GIS analysis

Andrew Piskorowski - Paradata design Dan Zahs - weighting and sampling support

Felicitas Mittereder - analysis (PhD student implementing experiment for dissertation)

Other Project

Campus Sustainability

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 5

Hardware NA

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (A portion of R's (a raffle))

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Other (Amazon gift code)

Payment Method Other (Amazon gift code sent via e-mail)

Report Period Nov, 2017 (SCIP-2017) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update 2017-11

Final questionnaires were received at SRO and programming has started. SRO is aiming to finish programming at the end of the first week in December and testing before the UM holiday days. IRB will be submitted in early December. Also, the Registrars office will be contacted in December to inform them of the data request (faculty, staff and student

data) to come in early January 2018. SRO continues to work with PSM dissertation student to provide paradata for analysis and will continue to do so for the 2018 data collection.

2017-10

Final figures will be updated once October business is closed. SRO (Andrew and Donnalee) attended two meetings with Pls and one other stakeholder to discuss questionnaire content, initial respondent contact strategy, delivery date for survey changes/specifications, and production start date. The UM President's message/letter will now have an embedded link to the survey. As well, the "celebrity" video will be embedded in the invitation. Specifications delivery date was set for the end of October and that has been met - both Faculty/Staff and Student surveys specifications have been delivered. Option 1 below was chosen in terms of questionnaire content. Production is set to begin Wednesday January 17th, 2018 and run until spring break. Three reminders will be sent.

2017-09

SRO (Andrew H. and Donnalee) attending a meeting with the PIs and various stakeholders from across the university to discuss questionnaire items to be added and dropped for the coming data collection cycle. No decision have been made yet. Options are: 1) Drop content on items that have not seen little to any change over the prior 4 years data collection and add (fewer) new content or 2) Keep the existing content and add new along with randomizing content to keep length down (most likely will go with option #1). It is planned that the questionnaire content will be finalized by mid-October so programming can begin.

The team continues to meet with PSM student Felicitas Mittereder on predicting breakoffs. This work is being funded by awards from the Cannell Fund and not SCIP.

Regular meetings with the PIs will begin in October. Donnalee is going to manage this wave of data collection with assistance from AH on the methodology and implementation aspects. She will be completing the monthly report beginning in October.

2017-08

No work was done in August. Donnalee will be coming on to the project as the manager. Andrew H. will provide oversight. They have a meeting scheduled to discuss the project when he returns from vacation. There is a meeting scheduled with the various UM stakeholders that have interest in the content of the SCIP on September 22. Andrew H. and Donnalee will attend. They will meet with the Pls (unscheduled) after that to discuss the 2017 design. Andrew H. will work with Donnalee to enter CRS projections in September.

2017-07

No work was done in July. Planning meetings with the PI will begin in September. The survey will be conducted in January rather than the usual fall. The university is planning a DE&I survey in the fall. This year, a PhD student is planning on conducting an experiment for her dissertation. The SRO SCIP team has met with her a couple of times over the summer. Another meeting is planned in early August. She has funding for the TSG to implement her design. Those costs are not reflected in the SCIP budget in CRS.

Special Issues

Cos	τ	
Oct	31,	2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):2,114.47Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):63,887.68Total Budget:73,274.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):9,386.32

Reason For Variance: The BA has been out. Ryan has entered the initial projections. We are

currently projecting an underrun. There is additional funding for Feli'sd (PSM) dissertation work (for Hueichun and Andrew P.) that is not part of

SCIP funding (and not reflected in the cost projections).

Projections Oct 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:6,392.82Actual Dollars Used:1,595.09Variance (Projected minus Actual):4,797.73

Reason For Variance: Only minimal work and meetings occurred in September. Most of the work

was related to Feli's dissertation work which is charged on another account.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	6,000	30%	NA	
Goal at Completion:			NA	
Current actual:	NA	NA	NA	
Estimate at Complete:			NA	
Variance:			NA	