Survey Research Operations

Monthly Project Report

Sponsored Projects

July 2017



Sponsored Projects

(ABCD) Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

(A-STARRS LS) Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study

(DMACS) Detroit Metropolitan Area Survey

(EARDS) Empirical Assessment of Respondent Driven Sampling

(HCAP 2016) Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol

(HRS 2016) Health and Retirement Study

(HCDC, H&C) Housing & Children

(CAMS 2017) HRS 2017 Consumption and Activity Mail Study

(HRS LHMS 2017) Life History Mail Survey

(MTTS) Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study

(MTF Illume Web 2017) Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot

(MTF Tablet Pilot) MTF Base Year Tablet Pilot

(NSFG 2010-2020) National Survey of Family Growth

(AHRB) Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior

(YRS) Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department

(PSID TAS 2017) Panel Study of Income Dynamics - Transition to Adulthood Study 2017

(PSID-Imm) PSID Immigrant Refresher Screening Project

(SWEL) Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life

(SCA 2017) Surveys of Consumer Attitudes

(SCIP-2017) Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2017

Project Name Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD)

Primary: Mixed Secondary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

InDirect Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 277,805.00 Total Budget: 430,596.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Mary Heitzeg (UM Dept of Psychiatry)

Funding Agency

NIH

IRB HUM#: HUM00106316 Period Of Approval: 9/10/2015-1/7/2017

Karin Schneider **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer Production Manager: UnAssigned

> Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: _UnAssigned Production Manager: UnAssigned

no data Proposal #:

Description: ABCD is a longitudinal study of about 10,000 children from ages 9-10 through early adulthood to assess factors

that influence individual brain development trajectories and functional outcomes. UM Dept of Psychiatry is one of

19 research sites across the country.

Sampling statisticians from our Stat and Methods Unit identified all public and private schools with children aged 9-10 within the geographic catchment area for each site. This activity was under a separate contract and the initial selection of four replicates has been distributed to all research sites. SRO received an electronic data file listing all

selected schools in the UM catchment area.

SRO will target the recruitment of 54 schools from Michigan, who will consent to distribute recruitment letters to parents for participation in the ABCD study. Respondent contact information will be returned directly to the Michigan research team for additional activities, including screening for eligibility. (Parents return cards with their contact

information directly to the PI's staff.)

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

05/2016 - 03/2018 05/2016 - 02/2018

NA

PreProduction Start: 05/15/2016 Pretest Start:

> Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 05/20/2016

Staffing Completed: 05/20/2016 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 05/20/2016 DC End: 02/28/2018

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project

Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys NA **Data Col Tool** NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA Incentive NA

Administration NA Payment Type NA

Payment Method NA

July, 2017 (ABCD) Implementing Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update UM Clinic is likely to have recruitment goal increased, so we will need to recruit additional schools. We are tentatively

projecting this will not overrun the budget.

Special Issues

NONE

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 162,664.00 Jul 24, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 430,596.00

> Total Budget: 430,596.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 24, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 8,877.00 5,642.00 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00 Reason For Variance: Low activity due to summer

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	54			
Goal at Completion:	TBD			
Current actual:	68			
Estimate at Complete:	70			
Variance:				

Project Name Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers-Longitudinal Study (A-STARRS LS)

Primary: Web Secondary: Telephone **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 3

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

4,520,018.00 Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 8,218,215.00 InDirect Budget: 12,738,233.00

Principal James Wagner (University of Michigan)

Investigator/Client Robert Ursano (Uniformed Services University of the Health Scienc)

Murray Stein (University of California San Diego)

Funding Agency Department of Defense

IRB ним#: HUM00099203 Period Of Approval: 2/18/2016-2/17/2017

Nancy J Gebler **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: William Lokers

02/2015 - 11/2019

Production Manager: Ruth B Philippou Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher Production Manager: Meredith A House Production Manager: Margaret Lee Hudson

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project is a continuation of the Army STARRS study (Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in

> Servicemembers). For STARRS LS, we will attempt to reinterview all respondents form the All Army Study (AAS), New Soldier Study (NSS) and Pre-Post Deployment Study (PPDS) samples using a web-phone multi mode study. Each of the approximately 70,000 eligible respondents will be invited to participate once every two years. In addition to reinterviewing the AAS, NSS and PPDS samples; STARRS LS will continue to maintain and support the Research Data Enclave, allowing members of the research team and collaborators to analyze primary Army STARRS data as well as de-identified historical administrative data received from the Army and Department of Defense (DoD). Additionally, STARRS LS will continue to receive and link de-identified administrative data to the survey data (from the original Army STARRS data collection as well as STARRS LS surveys). These data will also

be made available in the Research Data Enclave.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

10/2015 - 11/2019 NA

Security Plan

PreProduction Start: 02/01/2015 Pretest Start: 10/14/2015

Pretest End: 03/31/2016 Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start:

> DC Start: 09/12/2016 DC End: 09/30/2019

Other Project **Team Members:** Andrew Hupp, Heather Schroeder, Leah Roberts, Ryan Yoder, Andrew Piskowrowski, Lisa Lewandowski-Romps,

Lamont Manley, Emily Blaczyk, Genise Pattulo, Derek Dubuque, Keith Liebetreu

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys **MSMS Data Col Tool** Blaise 5 Hardware Desktop

DE Software N/A

QC Recording Tool Live monitoring

Incentive Yes. R

Administration **SRO Group**

Check, post (\$50-\$100); Cash, prepaid (\$2 (or Challenge coin)); Other (Army STARRS challenge coin (provide **Payment Type Payment Method** Check through other system (MSMS); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (MSMS); Other (Army STA

Report Period July, 2017 (A-STARRS LS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update This report provides a summary of the July activities of the Michigan team for the STARRS LS project, as well as our monthly expenses for June 2017 and estimated cost to complete for Years 1-5 of the project. The cost estimates are not commitments to our final cost or scope, but are intended for planning purposes to give the Principal Investigators

our current and best estimates of Michigan activities and costs through November 2019.

Tables have been removed from this document. The full report has been uploaded.

BLUF:

- □ Production data collection continues. We released sample replicates 20 and 21 this month, bringing the total number of released sample lines to 37,078.
- □ A total of 9,375 main Wave 1 interviews and 153 Phase 5 (end game) interviews have been completed as of July 27. Production updates are being provided weekly to the research team via email, and a summary of data collection results is included in this report.
- □ We received IRB approval for modification #11 (final contact protocol and end game updates) from the Michigan IRB and submitted it to USUHS for secondary review.
- ☐ Michigan staff attended a teleconference with the ODUSA and Chaplain points of contact for the Army, Guard and Reserve on July 26, to provide an update on safety plan activities.
- Dr. Wagner presented an update on survey data collection at the July 27 Scientific Advisory Board meeting.
- □ We updated our interview projections based on our recent response rates by phase.
- □ Enclave user support continues. The date for bringing the replacement server on line has been moved back to mid-September.

Activities for July 2017 include:

Project Management and Planning:

- We continued production data collection through the month. We are sending weekly production updates to the Pls, and report on production progress on the call with the Army/ODUSA.
- We continued to meet weekly to coordinate safety plan and address lookup activities with the ODUSA. In July, Michigan staff participated in a telephone briefing with Army Chaplain POCs to review progress and provide an update on the study.
- We received IRB approval for annual reviews for SHOS-A and the umbrella protocols. We also received IRB approval for modification #11 outlining the decisions on subsampling rates and final contact protocols to be used for the remainder of Wave 1 data collection, as well as the final end game plans.
- We began working on staffing plans for Wave 2 data collection pre-production activities and upcoming modifications to our technical systems.
- Dr. Wagner presented an update on data collection progress at the Scientific Advisory Board meeting.
- We are tracking a few requests to ICPSR that have been placed on hold due to staff absences on the ICPSR team.
- ICPSR created an informational flyer to be distributed at an upcoming conference, to publicize the Army STARRS
 public data holdings.
- We were alerted to a problem that held up invoices for April and May. The problem was corrected, and the Michigan financial offices will resume monthly invoicing.
- We are working on providing additional cost detail for our December 2011 invoice, in response to an HJF audit.

Enclave and User Support:

- · Members of the Enclave IT team continued to maintain security requirements for the Enclave hardware.
- We continued work on setting up the replacement server. We had several key members of the team pulled off
 this project to work on a security audit for another project. As a result, we are moving the estimated date of
 completion back about two weeks (from the end of August, to mid-September).
- Background check and Flux user access requests have been processed throughout the month.
- The enclave team continues to answer user questions and process data transfer requests and ID swaps as needed; and continues to receive, track and process requests for new software and license renewals as needed.
- We continue to support the analysis teams using the Army STARRS data.
- The team is preparing for the next load of STARRS-LS survey data, scheduled for mid-August.

Data Collection Progress and Plans:

- · As of July 27, the production statistics are as follows:
- o Replicates released: 1-21, with a total of 37,078 sample lines
- o Completed Web main interviews: 7,909
- Completed CATI main interviews: 1,466
- Completed End Game interviews: 23 (19 Web, 4 IVR) from Replicates 1-2
- o Completed End Game interviews: 130 (91 Web, 39 CATI) from Replicates 11-15.
- Main data collection for Replicates 17-18 was completed this month. We completed end game data collection for Replicates 11-13.
- · We put in place some adjustments to the end game protocol, in an effort to increase our calling coverage.
- We have been somewhat under-staffed in our interviewing team. We are in the process of hiring additional interviewers, and have a training scheduled for August.
- We released a new build of our sample management system, which was designed to improve our calling
 efficiency. We experienced a few issues with the launch of the new version, resulting in some of the email message
 reminders being sent later than scheduled. All emails have been sent, and we are closely monitoring email releases
 to ensure that the system is working correctly.

• Table 1 below provides the timeline for sample replicates 12-22, including main data collection (Phases 1-4) and end game (Phase 5) activities. [table in uploaded file]

Data Collection Results:

• Table 2 below show response rates by phase as of July 27. Replicates 11-13 are complete through Phase 5. Replicates 14-17 are complete through Phase 4, and Phase 5 is in process. Replicates 18-21 are still in process. [table in uploaded file]

Projections:

• Table 3 below provides our projections of total main and end game interviews for Waves 1 and 2, using our cost and response rate projections in April 2017. The table was updated this month using completion rates by phase from the past three months. This resulted in a small increase in the projected number of main interviews (N=124) and end game interviews (N=20). We continue to monitor cost and response rate assumptions, and will update this table quarterly.

[table in uploaded file]

Cost Report:

Our estimate of current costs, and a preliminary cost-to-complete projection by task and project year is shown in Table 5 below. We spent a total of \$252,459 in June 2017 on data collection, production support, project management, data management and reporting, and enclave support. We are currently projecting a surplus of \$116,902 for the total project (0.9% of the total budget), increasing our total cost estimate by \$130,338 from last month's report. We will continue to evaluate the cost and production assumptions and will update cost projections on a monthly basis. [table in uploaded file]

Special Issues

Areas of Risk, Mitigation Strategies:

We continue to track several areas of risk, and develop mitigation strategies.

- Respondent contact and participation.
- o Our rates of confirming respondent information, and cooperation rates remain stable for the main interview. We have some indication that we have correct contact information for 54% of the sample ("Confirm R" rate); and 61% of those with "confirmed R" information have completed the interview ("Cooperation" rate).
- o We have added individual lookups from Accurint to our end game protocol to see if that can help improve our contact rate. Our Confirm R rate for the first three end game replicates is 37%, and the Cooperation rate is 72%.
- We continue to wait for the Army to determine if they can provide any additional address information for the STARRS-LS sample.
- New technical systems.

Reason For Variance:

- o Our technical systems are performing well overall, although this month we experienced issues which led to a delay in sending some emails. We are assessing the problems and the team continues to work to improve our technical systems.
- o A new version of the Blaise software has been released, and we are evaluating the cost/quality tradeoffs of moving to the latest version of Blaise for Wave 2 data collection.
- Addition of public use datasets.
- o We are waiting for Army and PI decisions on which Army STARRS datasets will be made available to the wider research community. Once the decisions are made, we will need to evaluate the impact this will have on our staffing and costs, and will prepare budgets and timelines for these activities.
- o We have prepared a ballpark budget for preparing STARRS-LS survey data for inclusion in the ICPSR holdings (as this was not in our original scope or budget). We will need to get approval for funding later this year so we can implement any necessary contract modifications and schedule staff time for this work to be completed in spring 2018 for Wave 1.

Cost Jul 18, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 5,529,038.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 12,621,331.00

 Total Budget:
 12,738,233.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 116,902.00

We continue to adjust our costs each month, to reflect staffing and non-salary changes. This variance is less than 1% of the total five year budget. We will make adjustments in future months to ensure that we end the project with as close to a zero variance as possible.

Projections Jul 18, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:298,409.00Actual Dollars Used:252,459.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):45,950.00Reason For Variance:The under-run is primari

The under-run is primarily due to fewer interviewer and team leader hours worked than projected. we are in the process of hiring additional staff to make up the short fall in interviewer hours.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI
Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:			

Other Measures

For this project, we have response rate and interview count goals for each of the five phases in our contact protocol. The sample is released in replicates and we are tracking results by phase and replicate. Tracking information is included in the Monthly updates panel above.

Project Name Detroit Metropolitan Area Survey (DMACS)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 233,426.00 InDirect Budget: 23,343.00 Total Budget: 256,769.00

Principal Jeff Morenoff (Population Studies)

Investigator/Client Elisabeth Gerber

Funding Agency

Kresge Foundation

IRB HUM#: 00112364 Period Of Approval: 2/25/2017

Project Team Project Lead: Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Budget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Bridgitte Wyche McGeeSenior Project Advisor:Kirsten Haakan AlcserProduction Manager:Joseph Matthew MatuzakProduction Manager:Bridgitte Wyche McGee

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The Detroit Metropolitan Area Communities Study (DMACS) seeks to provide an information and innovation platform for conducting research and supporting evidence-based decisions about community investments and public policy. DMACS will be built around a representative web-based panel survey of adult residents of the four-county Metro Detroit region of Southeast Michigan, including Macomb, Oakland, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, and the City of Detroit. Panel members are to be drawn from diverse communities and will reflect the region's full range of population characteristics, including respondents from traditionally underserved and/or underrepresented groups such as: people with low incomes, education or literacy; those with physical or cognitive disabilities; recent migrants; the elderly; and young adults. When fully implemented, the survey sample will include approximately 2,000 adult residents, selected and recruited based on best scientific practices (ie a probability sample), including representative subsamples of approximately 1,000 Detroit residents and 1,000 adults living throughout the metropolitan area. It is envisioned that panel members will complete a 15-20 minute web-based survey each quarter (i.e., four per year) plus additional short surveys as situations and opportunities arise. The core content on the quarterly DMACS surveys will include questions that ask citizens to prioritize the needs of their community and aspects they would most like to see change (e.g., with regard to crime, business development, jobs, education, housing, transportation, health care, and the environment). It will also monitor trends in citizens' views of changes to their community and the wider region, which groups are benefitting (or being hurt) the most from those changes, views on inequality and its sources and consequences, and the degree of civic engagement in local communities. This core content will provide a clear, nuanced and unprecedented portrait of the people and communities that make up our changing region.

DMACS will also provide the infrastructure to allow shorter surveys on specific questions as they arise, as well as to investigate in greater depth specific issues that affect a particular neighborhood, municipality or portion of the region. In the case of short topical surveys, the web-based survey platform, coupled with a pre-existing panel of survey respondents, means that the study team can put surveys in the field almost immediately, without each time incurring the financial and time-related costs of recruiting and training a whole new sample, training interviewers, and collecting background information on respondents; this work is completed when the panel is initiated. In the case of community deep-dives, we can recruit an "oversample" of participants from a specific geographic area into the panel and use the web platform to administer specialized questionnaires. DMACS also plans to identify audio-visual materials, such as maps, video clips and other items, to gather information. In all cases, DMACS' design will allow the study team to merge detailed information about the survey respondent's local social, economic, physical and political context.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2017 07/2016 - 03/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 04/01/2016 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 07/01/2016

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: 10/17/2016 SS Train End:

DC Start: 10/03/2016 **DC End:** 07/31/2017

Other Project Team Members:

Joe Matuzak - Project Manager; Dan Zahs - Sampling; Sue Hodge - SSA; Kirsten Alcser - SPA; Paul Schultz - programmer; Brad Goodwin - data manager; J. Smith - Surveytrak programmer.

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; Illume
Data Col Tool Illume; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Illume
QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, post (\$20 or \$10); Cash, prepaid (\$2)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Check through other system (Export from Illume); Imprest Cash Fund from

Report Period

July, 2017 (DMACS)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

During July 2017, SRO activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

- · Budget expenses updated
- QC performed on Wave 2 PAPI data entry
- Follow-up QC done on Wave 1 question 8b data

Task 2: Sampling

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

Task 4: CAI Programming

Task 5: Systems Programming

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

Task 8: Main Data Collection

- Data collection efforts completed.
- Final Respondent Incentive payments
- Two late Wave 1 responses required payment
- o Two additional Wave 2 PAPI entered and paid
- · Data entry completed
- Wave 2 has 439 completed interviews 303 web and 135 PAPI

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

· Preliminary Wave 2 data file delivered

Task 10: Weighting

- Discussion with PIs about adjustment of Wave 1 weighting, bringing education back in
- Discussion of Wave 2 weighting begun

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

Final report begun

Cost information: Kresge Foundation funding

Total survey funding awarded: \$ 256,770

Total Expended as of 6/31/2017 \$ 288,648

Expected cost at complete \$ 274,432

Expected Variance: \$ (17,663)

Cost explanation: The cost estimate reflects survey funding awarded to Michigan (SRO) for data collection activities, current expenditures, and estimated expenses to the end of the award. The cost estimate projected an overrun, principally due to inadvertent under-budgeting of interviewer hours and other expenses at the proposal stage. SRO and SRC reviewed and approved an estimated overrun up to \$17,000. In addition, charges for Illume submissions were not included in the budget, and these add another \$1000 in cost.

Special Issues

Areas of Concern:

- Budget/Expenses The data collection budget proved to be challenging, both because we fell well behind schedule and because Wave 1 had substantially higher PAPI response than was anticipated. Wave 2 has more web completes than PAPI, so that has helped keep data entry costs down.
- This was considered to be a feasibility study. The design of the study is intended to determine if the proposed sampling and contact plan is a feasible way of developing a web survey panel; but while we surpassed our Wave 1 goal, we did so with an imbalance in the expected response by mode, with a much higher PAPI response than was desired. The adjustment to the Wave 2 data collection process to try to stimulate more web response proved successful, as 68% of the Wave 2 responses came via web, compared to a 43% rate in Wave 1. Because it is a feasibility study, there was concern that protocol prescriptions (and budgeted costs) might negatively affect the overall (traditional) response rate for the study, especially since a decision was made with the PIs that for Wave 2 we would seek to maximize the number of people who did the study online versus PAPI. However, this concern turned out not to be a substantial issue, as we hit 95% of our Wave 2 goal, and we were also able to move 100 respondents from PAPI to web with an extra incentive offer.
- We expect final reports and data delivery mid-August.

Cost Aug 01, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 288,647.90

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 274,431.71

 Total Budget:
 256,769.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -17,662.71

Reason For Variance: The cost estimate projects an overrun, due to inadvertent under-budgeting of interviewer hours and other expenses. This overrun has been reviewed

by SRC, and will continue to be carefully monitored as the project progresses. The expected overrun was estimated to be \$17,000, but we have also added Illume costs, which were not budgeted at the time the

project began.

Projections Aug 01, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance: Data collection costs were pushed forward since the project continues to

operate on an extended timeline.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	712		1.0	
Goal at Completion:	712		1.0	
Current actual:	714			
Estimate at Complete:	714			
Variance:	2			

Other Measures

Wave 2 goal: 460 completes. Currently: 439 completes.

Project Name Empirical Assessment of Respondent Driven Sampling (EARDS)

Primary: Face to Face **Project Mode** Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 151,337.00 InDirect Budget: 83,234.00 234,871.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Sunghee Lee (ISR)

Funding Agency

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB**

Sara D Freeland **Project Team** Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens

Production Manager: Sara D Freeland Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser Production Manager: James Koopman

Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: This project has been funded to assess the feasibility of a Respondent Driven Sampling plan involving Korean

> Americans and Intravenous Drug Users (IDU). The effort focusing on Korean Americans is being done in Los Angeles and overseen by the PI and her staff. SRO's involvement centers on the IDU sample, which will take place in the Great Detroit area. The IDU sample portion of the project is expected to start in the fall of 2016, beginning with focus groups. This part of the project will also include staffing field interviewers to manage in person ACASI

survey data collection at 3 different sites in the Greater Detroit area.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

10/2016 - 10/2017 05/2017 - 08/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/16/2017 Pretest Start:

> Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 02/15/2017

Staffing Completed: 04/21/2017 GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 05/01/2017 DC End: 10/30/2017

Other Project **Team Members:**

Other Project

Names:

PATH (Positive Assessment Towards Health)

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8 Hardware Laptop Blaise 4.8 BIA **DE Software**

QC Recording Tool

N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, post (\$30/Main interview \$10/coupon interview(up to 3)\$5 if ineligible)

Payment Method NA

Report Period July, 2017 (EARDS) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

The new phone protocol is fully out and functioning in the field. We've also noticed an increase in productivity with **Monthly Update**

more scheduled interviews and fewer cancellations. We've added a new site in Macomb county (Biomed) and this

seems to be working out well.

We've had some very high production days, expecially in Detroit. We added 2 new 'Seed' interviews in each of the

three locations.

Special Issues

There was a flaw in the main instrument that was not allowing a small number of respondents to answer 'No' to one question. The issue has been identified and the fix is being tested now. This fix should be in place by the start of July.

- Fixed now

Cost

Jul 12, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):122,234.32Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):231,420.98Total Budget:234,871.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):3,150.02

Reason For Variance: We've paid less in respondent incentives than we expected so far. It looks

like this situation will be corrected as our production has picked up in the

last few weeks.

Projections Jul 12, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:56,140.49Actual Dollars Used:37,915.64Variance (Projected minus Actual):18,224.85

Reason For Variance: Most of the money in the budget is tied up in unused respondent incentives.

This money will have to be allocated towards other project costs and should

even out once that is done.

Measures

Units Complete RR HPI

Current Goal:
Goal at Completion: 400
Current actual: 189

Estimate at Complete:
Variance:

Project Name Harmonized Cognitive Assessment Protocol (HCAP 2016)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 3,291,705.00 InDirect Budget: 1,185,014.00 Total Budget: 4,476,719.00

Principal David Weir (SRC-ISR)
Investigator/Client Ken Langa (SRC-ISR)
Lindsay Ryan (SRC-ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00099822 Period Of Approval: 3/17/2015 - 3/16/201

Project TeamProject Lead:Evanthia LeissouBudget Analyst:Richard Warren Krause

Budget Analyst:Richard Warren KrauseProduction Manager:Dianne G CaseySenior Project Advisor:Mary P Maher

Production Manager: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Proposal #: no data

Description: This project will involve the completion of a face-to-face CAPI interview, designed to provide a dementia

assessment of HRS respondents. A sample of 5000 respondents (one per household) who are 65 years of age or older will be selected for this effort. The questionnaire will be administered to respondents after the HRS 2016 interview has been completed. The sample will not be clustered geographically; it will be selected randomly. It is expected that the field team will carry out well-planned regional trips in order to complete the 3000 in-person interviews. An informant interview will also be completed for each of the respondents interviewed.

The respondent questionnaire length is expected to be 60 minutes. The informant questionnaire is expected to be 20 minutes and can be administered by telephone when the interviewer calls to set up an appointment with the

respondent for the face-to-face interview.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2015 - 12/2017 05/2016 - 02/2017

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:
Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:
Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Applications Programmers: Jeff Smith (STrak), Holly Ackerman (Webtrak, Weblog)

Team Members: CAI Programmer: Jim Hagerman Data Manager: Brad Goodwin

Help Desk: Deb Wilson

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak
Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software Excel

QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI; Camtasia Incentive Yes, R; Yes, INF

Administration NA

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$50); Check, post (\$25)
Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period July, 2017 (HCAP 2016) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update As of July 23rd, there are 3,289 completed respondent interviews and another 3,065 informant interviews.

Current Response/Completion Rates

Sample Response Rate Completion Rate
Priority Sample 59% 89%
Total Sample (all) 77% 91%

We expect that there will be one final sample release in August, and that release will include only HRS Proxy cases.

We held interviewer debriefing meetings this month - but we continue to work the remaining sample.

Special Issues

Cost

Jul 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 4,464,866.88

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 4,684,977.64

 Total Budget:
 4,476,719.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -208,255.64

Reason For Variance: Several workscope changes have been implemented including additional

cognitive tests for the Respondent interview, length of interviewer training,

interviewer retention bonus, project management staff hours, and

respondent incentives.

In addition, actual interviewer rates are higher than the rates used on the

budget. All interviewers working on the project are on-staff.

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:133,132.95Actual Dollars Used:120,410.06Variance (Projected minus Actual):12,722.89Reason For Variance:12,722.89

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Health and Retirement Study (HRS 2016)

Project Mode Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 24,690,534.00 InDirect Budget: 8,888,593.00 Total Budget: 33,579,127.00

Principal David Weir (SRC)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

NIA

Ken Langa (SRC)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00061128 Period Of Approval: 1/15/2015 - 1/14/201

Project Team Project Lead: Nicole G Kirgis

Budget Analyst:Richard Warren KrauseProduction Manager:Stephanie SullivanSenior Project Advisor:Mary P MaherProduction Manager:Jennifer C ArrietaProduction Manager:Piotr Dworak

Proposal #: no data

Description: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS) is a national, longitudinal study conducted every two years since 1992.

The study includes a representative sample of US residents aged 50 years and older. Every six years (three waves) a new cohort of US residents aged 50 to 55 are screened in to the study to maintain representativeness. In 2004, the early baby boomers were screened in and completed a baseline interview. In 2010, the mid baby boomer cohort was added as well as a minority oversample of both early and mid-baby boomers. In 2016, the late baby boomer cohort will be added. A series of physical measures and biomarkers are collected with half of all living respondents each wave as well as a self-administered questionnaire. Additionally, permission to link to Social Security

Administration records and Veterans Administration (VA) records is requested.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

04/2015 - 06/2017 02/2016 - 04/2017

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2015
 Pretest Start:
 10/16/2015

 Pretest End:
 11/07/2015
 Recruitment Start:
 06/01/2015

 Staffing Completed:
 03/15/2016
 GIT Start:
 02/10/2016

 SS Train Start:
 02/12/2016
 SS Train End:
 04/24/2016

DC Start: 02/22/2016 **DC End:** 04/29/2017

Other Project Team Members: Rebecca Gatward (Survey Director), Sharon Parker (Production Management Coordinator), Frost Hubbard (New Cohort), Jennifer Kelley (Respondent Contact Coordinator), Jaime Koopman (Project Manager), Russ Stark (SSL Production Manager), Ian Ogden (Project Assistant), Dan Tomlin (Project Assistant), Lisa deRamos (Project

Assistant), Daniah Buageila (Project Assistant)

Other Project Names:

Cample M

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak; MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8
Hardware Laptop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool DRI-CXM
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Check, prepaid (80.00)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period July, 2017 (HRS 2016) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level Some Concerns

Monthly Update During the month of July, data collection for the new cohort and panel components continued. A group of

approximately 50 interviewers continued to work the majority of the panel sample. With rate of panel production, panel

production will continue through mid-August until a minimum 83% response rate is achieved. The remaining interviewers will continue screening and baseline interviewing with goal to finish new cohort data collection mid-December. Additional strategies have been discussed and implemented in effort to boost data collection.

Technical Development: Minimal development in production systems continues (including SurveyTrak, WebTrak and WebLog).

2018 Systems Development Milestones:

Web pilot – 'online' (MSMS + Blaise 5) – week beginning 7 August (original target date - 24 July 2017)

First CAPI test – 'offline' – possibly early September 2017 (this is later than expected, final date TBD) (local field interviewers)

Key decision point – September 2017 – systems and modes for 2018 (MSMS/B5/B4.8/ST)

Special Issues

Cost

Total Budget: 33,579,127.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -4,800,959.00

Reason For Variance: Projections have been refined to reflect additional training costs for June

and to extend data collection for panel into mid-August and New Cohort into

December 2017.

Projections Jun 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:927,211.11Actual Dollars Used:1,152,635.58Variance (Projected minus Actual):225,424.39

Reason For Variance: Actual dollars for the month of June came in over projections mostly due to

hours coming in 25% more than projected. In terms of non-salary costs,

respondent payments and travel were higher than projected.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	23,569	85%	7.45	
Goal at Completion:	23,569	85	7.45	
Current actual:	20,317	71%	8.5	
Estimate at Complete:	22,907	83	8.5	
Variance:	-662	-2	-1.05	

Other Measures

Goal for New Cohort is 5,228 interviews (expected: 5000)

Goal for Panel is 18,341 interviews, 85% (expected: 17,907, 83%)

Project Name Housing & Children (HCDC, H&C)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 8,774,925.00 InDirect Budget: 1,968,094.00 Total Budget: 10,743,019.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM00114794 Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Grant D Benson
Budget Analyst: William Lokers

Production Manager: Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Barbara Lohr Ward
Production Manager: Maryam N Buageila

Proposal #: no data

Description:

Low-income parents face serious constraints when they seek housing, and these constraints may undermine their childrens' development. In many cases, low-income parents will face tradeoffs between dwelling unit quality, neighborhood quality, and school quality. This project has four main aims: (1) to learn how parents negotiate these tradeoffs and make choices about where to live; (2) to assess how features of the child's social contexts--home, neighborhood, and school-- combine to influence key cognitive socio-emotional and health outcomes among parents and their children; (3) to examine how the quality of housing affects parenting practices and outcomes for children and their caregivers; and (4) to enhance the study of child development through theoretical and methodological advances in the study of housing and the other social contexts related to housing.

The project proposes to conduct two waves of data collection, separated by about 12 months, with families in Seattle, Dallas and Cleveland. In-person interviews will be completed with \sim 1686 parents and 2328 children aged 3-10 (at Wave 1). One-half of the sample will be an experimental sample consisting of applicants for a federal housing voucher. This experiment sample will include both voucher winners (treatment group) and voucher losers (control group). The other half of the sample will be generated through a random selection and screening process in census blocks that vary by household income weighted toward lower-income blocks. Each interview with an adult will last about 90 minutes, and will include the collection of anthropometric measures from all sample persons (including children), administration of Woodcock-Johnson tests to children. Adult Voucher sample participants will be asked for three blood pressure measurements, and blood spots will be collected from Voucher sample adults and children. The data collection also includes collecting laser tape measurement of all rooms in a household, 8 block face neighborhood observations, a four-day leave-behind child time diary, and post-interview observations.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 04/2016 - 02/2020 05/2017 - 05/2018

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 04/01/2016
 Pretest Start:
 10/24/2016

 Pretest End:
 12/31/2016
 Recruitment Start:
 06/01/2016

 Staffing Completed:
 05/02/2017
 GIT Start:
 04/30/2017

 SS Train Start:
 05/10/2017
 SS Train End:
 05/18/2017

 DC Start:
 05/22/2017
 DC End:
 05/23/2018

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Housing & Children's Healthy Development

Sample Mgmt Sys

SurveyTrak; SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; SAQ

Hardware Laptop; Desktop; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil; Other (laser measurement device)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA; External vendor (CASO - scanning)

QC Recording Tool

DRI-CARI

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, INF; Yes, Other (screening households)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type Payment Method

Cash, prepaid (\$5 for subsample, \$2 prenotification); Cash, post (\$75 adult, \$50 child); Other (child gift <\$5, Fir Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period

July, 2017 (HCDC, H&C)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

Some Concerns

Monthly Update

During July 2017, SRO activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

% Task Spent to Date

- Held regular meetings with the research team to discuss design, deliverables, schedule, funding, production issues
- Invoicing
- Prepared and issued revised invoices for Feb 2017 through May 2017. Prepare early invoice for June 2017. O
- Worked with JHU to revise language in DUA to allow modification to expense distribution across funding agencies in order to meet invoicing deadlines related to funding availability.
- Reviewed/monitored spending compared to budget. Revised monthly projections.
- Prepared weekly production reports.
- Modified production monitoring dashboards .
- Conducted reverse matching batch search to obtain phone numbers for Population Sample.
- Drafted preliminary "locked building/gated community" protocol for review.
- Created new administration booklet for PCG Records-Matching consent form.
- Began creating formal Pilot report.
- Set up email handling protocol for Dallas Voucher sample. Created draft html email in survey software; sent test emails to research team.

Task 2: Sampling

% Task Spent to Date

- Monitored production progress versus sampling assumptions
- Finalized number of sample lines required for Q2 population and Voucher sample
- Conducted reverse match of phone numbers for Population Sample.

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

% Task Spent to Date

- Updated specification for pulling completion variables/indicators from Blaise into SurveyTrak
- Iterative testing for Spanish-language programming, including Spanish consent launch.
- Iterative testing for Spanish version of Woodcock-Johnson tests.
- Updated specifications for collection of email address, cell phone number (with permission to text).
- Updated interviewer instructions regarding collection of HH SAQs (collect only one HH SAQ per household).

Task 4: CAI Programming

% Task Spent to Date

- Programmed data pulls into SurveyTrak (for monitoring completion rates)
- Programmed interviewer instructions in Child interview
- Programmed data entry programs for Household SAQs and Child SAQ.

Task 5: Systems Programming

% Task Spent to date

- SurveyTrak Programming
- Updated programming of field reports
- Web Loa
- Added enhanced search fields (for various documents) 0
- Fixed minor bugs
- Triaged/managed interviewer technical issues

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

% Task Spent to Date

- Conducted Spanish-language training on July 10, 2017
- Conducted refresher training in Dallas July 11-12, 2017
- Conducted refresher training in Cleveland July 18-19, 2017
- · Prepare and conducted interviewer training on non-standard block observations during the week of July 24.

Task 8: Main Data Collection

% Task Spent to Date

- Reviewed/monitored performance of interviewers. Created performance improvement plans where necessary.
- Conducted weekly Team Leader (TL) conference calls, weekly interviewing-team conference calls, and weekly one-on-one TL-Interviewer conference calls.
- · Conducted reminder calling for Daily Diaries.
- Logged completed interview materials, dried blood spots. Reconciled incorrectly labeled or missing items.
- · Reviewed all consent documents and respondent payment receipts.
- Cumulative production as of 7/30/2017:
- o Completed

□ 415 Screener interviews (with eligible respondents), identified 820 ineligible households, 311 non-sample addresses

□ 222 PCG interviews

258 Child interviews

- Ran quality-checking reports on physical measures and biomarkers.
- Prepared blood spots for shipment to Northwestern University laboratory.
- · Worked with vendor to specify materials and implement shipment of Spanish-language materials to interviewers.
- Worked with vendor to specify materials for Quarter 2 mailing. Provided updated forms as necessary.

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

% Task Spent to Date

Entered data for first 50 cases for household and child SAQs.

Task 10: Weighting

% Task Spent to Date

N/A

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

% Task Spent to Date

- Reviewed initial data deliverables. Updated specification for deliverables as necessary to properly handle PII variables.
- Delivered data for first 100 cases for research team review

Special Issues

Areas of Concern:

- Hours per screener for the Voucher Sample are running higher than budgeted. This is particularly true in Cleveland, where the sample addresses are much older. We received permission to complete batch locating on the voucher cases, and released 167 phone number for the CMHA sample and 151 numbers for the DHA sample. We will continue to monitor the Voucher sample carefully. However, without a respondent locating budget and work authorization, we are concerned that we will be unable to either adequately follow up with voucher sample, or that we will need to complete more of the voucher screeners in person (as opposed to by telephone) than budgeted for.
- The frame for the population sample was determined in early March in order to have sufficient time to develop and select the population sample. Voucher sample zip codes provided to SRC by JHU in January was used to determine the Population sample frame. There is a risk of a mismatch between the Population sample and the Voucher sample, given the late arrival of the Voucher Sample.
- Coming out of the Pilot, SRC's cost analysis indicated that having an adult (PCG) interview approximately 13 minutes longer than originally projected (for voucher sample, we had projected 85 minutes) could be accommodated within our hours per interview (HPI) projections. However, early Main study timings indicate that the changed consent procedures have added significantly to the overall timings, above and beyond the 13 minutes. This includes adding about 8 minutes to the consenting and receipt management, and another 5-8 minutes primarily for revised social security forms. It is unclear what the impact of this additional respondent burden will be on cooperation rates and cost per interview.
- The rate of return for the Child Time Diary is better than it was in the Pilot, however it is still lower than desired for the project, despite reminder calling. SRC worked with the research team to develop a strategy to increase the return rate for this component. We incorporated changes from the research team which reduced the complexity of the diary. In addition, we are providing envelopes for each diary to encourage immediate return, which may help to improve return rates of individual diaries. SRC is emphasizing the importance of the diary in the July refresher trainings with interviewers.
- Rates of return of the Household SAQ are lower than in the Pilot, and are lower than desired for the study overall. SRC is emphasizing the importance of the SAQs to interviewers in the July refreshers trainings, and is also emphasizing proper document shipping protocols.
- PCG dried blood spot collection is adequate, and participation rates are high. Child consent/assent rates are far
 higher than in the Pilot. However interviewers (even experienced interviewers) are unable to completely fill spots on
 the collection cards. A refresher on DBS collection is included in the July refresher training. Trainers will gather
 information from interviewers on respondent reactions, procedures, and possible future protocol improvements.

Work Scope Changes:

- Questionnaire Development Budgets assumed that questionnaires would be final at project initiation except for the Household Listing and Household Confirmation protocol. Questionnaires required extensive editing. SRC to review all questionnaires for question wording issues (especially problems created by moving questions to SAQ), create and insert transitions, review and suggest changes to module and/or question ordering.
- Questionnaire Development Additional (and unanticipated) programming was needed for Hearts and Flowers due to a timing specification change received from research team.
- Worked with ICPSR to prepare scope and budget for production of public use datasets.
- At the request of the research team, SRC developed a locating program and recruited locating staff due to expectations that a much higher proportion of phone numbers for the Voucher sample will be unusable.

Cost Jul 17, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 3,013,807.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 10,936,899.00

 Total Budget:
 10,743,019.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 193,880.00

Reason For Variance: The variance is due to higher costs due to a higher than projected cost of

screening. We also have much higher than anticipated programming costs (more changes between Pilot and Main than anticipated). We anticipate that the work scope will be adjusted to bring the costs in line with available

funding.

Projections Jul 17, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:543,046.28Actual Dollars Used:530,196.30Variance (Projected minus Actual):12,850.00

Reason For Variance: Interviewer travel is lower than projected, likely due to the holiday,

interviewer vacations, and also interviewer training, which lowered

production for two weeks.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				
i.				

Project Name HRS 2017 Consumption and Activity Mail Study (CAMS 2017)

Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status Project Type Sponsored Projects Current

Budget Direct Budget: 292,414.00 InDirect Budget: 105,269.00 Total Budget: 397,683.00

Principal David Weir (SRC)

Investigator/Client Mary Beth Ofstedal (SRC)

Funding Agency

HUM#: HUM00079949 Period Of Approval: 4/13/2017 - 4/12/201 **IRB**

Daniel Tomlin Project Team Project Lead:

> Budget Analyst: Richard Warren Krause

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: CAMS is part of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). The goal of CAMS is to gather additional data on

> household consumption and activities of daily living from participants in the HRS. In 2017, a paper questionnaire will be mailed to approximately 8,000 respondents of which 6,000 will receive the full questionnaire and 2,000

spouse/partners will receive a brief questionnaire.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

06/2017 - 05/2018 09/2017 - 04/2018

Security Plan Yes **Milestone Dates**

PreProduction Start: 05/22/2017 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: GIT Start: Staffing Completed: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

> DC Start: 09/20/2017 DC End: 04/30/2018

Other Project

Team Members:

Actual budget analyst is Grace Tison but she is not available in the drop-down list.

Data Manager: Qi Zhu

Project Assistant: Jeannie Baker Programmer: Holly Ackerman Assembly Coordinator: Vicki Wagner Project Manager: Jennifer Arrieta

Other Project CAMS

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys Other (Weblog)

Data Col Tool SAQ

Hardware Paper and Pencil

DE Software Other (HRS study staff is responsible for data entry)

QC Recording Tool

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (spouse)

Administration **SRO Group**

Payment Type Check, prepaid (\$25 to main R and \$10 to spouse R)

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period July, 2017 (CAMS 2017) **Project Phase** Planning

Risk Level On Track

CAMS preproduction continues through July. Work has been primarily focused on readying all materials for IRB **Monthly Update**

submission, which has included the creation of a new production material and coordination with the HRS study staff. With materials as the primary focus some work has begun testing the technical systems for production but will pick up

entering August.

Special Issues

The budget is based on a sample of HRS Panel respondents but study staff has yet to decide whether to include New

Cohort respondents.

Cost Jun 30, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,445.21
Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 398,084.47
Total Budget: 397,683.00
Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -401.47

Reason For Variance: Adjustments to projections based on staff assigned and work in June.

Projections Jun 30, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:5,686.17Actual Dollars Used:999.51Variance (Projected minus Actual):4,686.66

Reason For Variance: Work in June was not as extensive as initially projected. Projections have

been pushed forward.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:		70%		
Goal at Completion:		70%		
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Life History Mail Survey (HRS LHMS 2017)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 338,063.61 InDirect Budget: 185,933.94 Total Budget: 523,997.55

Principal Mary Beth Ofstedal (ISR)
Investigator/Client Jacqui Smith (ISR)

David Weir (ISR)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project TeamProject Lead:James KoopmanBudget Analyst:Janelle P CramerProduction Manager:James Koopman

Senior Project Advisor: Evanthia Leissou

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description:

LHMS is a principal investigator (Jacqui Smith) led research which started in 2015. The research was conducted within the context of the Health and Retirement Study off-year surveys. In 2015, HRS respondents were invited to participate in LHMS survey which included life history questions. The LHMS 2017 study will mail self-administered questionnaires to approximately 5,000 HRS respondents. The response rate expected is 70%, estimating 3,500

questionnaires will be returned.

The HRS will continue this effort during its 'off year' from main data collection, and the goal is to have every HRS respondent complete this questionnaire. SRO's goal is to create a stable and successful platform for the

continuation of this effort.

All contact attempts with the respondents will be via US Mail and there is no pretest for this survey. There are two parts to the questionnaire. The first part is a life history calendar and the second is a traditional questionnaire asking about the respondent's life before the age of 50. These questions are mainly focused on housing, school and work history.

An initial mailing of the questionnaire will be done in late April. The mailing will include a check for \$25 as token of appreciation. There will be 3 follow up mailings:

- Four weeks after the original questionnaire mailing, a second questionnaire will be sent to persons who have not returned the original mail survey.
- Approximately six weeks after the original questionnaire mailing, a thank you postcard will be sent to those
 respondents who have returned a completed questionnaire and a reminder postcard will be sent to those
 respondents who have not responded either by returning a completed questionnaire or by refusing to participate.
 The reminder postcard will include both a thank you to those who have already responded, and a reminder to those
 who have not yet done so.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2017 - 06/2017 04/2017 - 06/2017

NA

PreProduction Start:Pretest Start:Pretest End:Recruitment Start:Staffing Completed:GIT Start:SS Train Start:SS Train End:DC Start:DC End:

Other Project Team Members: James Koopman, Eva Leissou and Ann Vernier

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys

Other (Excel and reports from CASO)

Data Col Tool

Hardware

Other (Mail Survey)

DE Software External vendor (CASO)

QC Recording Tool Incentive

N/A Yes, R

Administration **Payment Type**

SRO Group; ISR Group Check, prepaid (\$25.00)

Payment Method

Check through STrak RPay System

Report Period

July, 2017 (HRS LHMS 2017)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

We are wrapping up data collection and have had a very good response. We've exceeded our response rate expectations and continue to receive a handful of surveys every week. I expect to end the project with a 73% response rate, which is 3% higher than our goal at the start of production.

SAQ

Complete

Refused

55

Deceased

3652

207

total sample: 5174

We have received good data back from CASO and we expect biweekly data deliveries until the end of production.

Schedule:

English Spanish

05/24/2017 06/21/2017 Invitation letters 06/21/2017 07/12/2017 First Reminder letters

Thank you/Reminder Postcards 07/12/2017 08/03/2017

08/03/2017 08/31/2017 Final Reminder letter

Special Issues

Cost

Jun 12, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 242,737.37 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 523,997.00 Total Budget: 523,997.55 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 281,259.63

Reason For Variance:

We have a few outstanding CASO charges that haven't hit the account. After looking at salary needed for SRO logging staff and the amount of time the project manager spends on the project we think we'll be right on target.

Projections

Jun 12, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month: 71,330.95 Actual Dollars Used: 36,359.37 Variance (Projected minus Actual): 34,971.58

Reason For Variance:

We're a little of schedule for when we expected CASO charges to come in and hit the account. There were two mailings that will be reflected in next

months cost report.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	3500	70		
Goal at Completion:	3500	70		
Current actual:	3652	71		
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name Mathematics Teachers & Teaching Study (MTTS)

Project Mode Primary: Mail Secondary: Telephone Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 656,787.81 InDirect Budget: 362,629.19 Total Budget: 1,019,417.00

Principal Heather Hill (Harvard Graduate School of Education)

Investigator/Client Patty Maher (ISR PI)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: HUM90379 Period Of Approval: 6/25/2014-6/25/2015

Project TeamProject Lead:Barbara Lohr WardBudget Analyst:Dean E StevensProduction Manager:Russell W Stark

Production Manager: Russell W Stark
Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul
Production Manager: Anthony Romanowski

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: For the last 25 years, three major goals have animated the U.S. mathematics education community: the need for more knowledgeable teachers, more challenging curricula for students, and more ambitious instruction in

classrooms. And yet despite volumes of policy guidance, on-the-ground effort and research over the past decades, few comprehensive and representative portraits of teacher and teaching quality in U.S. mathematics classrooms exist. Instead, most research into these topics has been conducted with small samples or non-representative

samples (e.g., Kane & Staiger, 2012), with the result that it is difficult to

ascertain what, if any, progress has been made toward the three goals. To provide information on such progress, we will collect data on teacher content knowledge, curriculum use, and instruction from a nationally representative

sample of U.S. middle school

mathematics teachers. A written survey will build on a similar study conducted in 2005 - 06 (Hill, 2007), allowing for the comparison of teachers' curriculum use and content knowledge – and more specifically, their mathematical knowledge for teaching (MKT) –across time periods. An observational component will record and score videotapes

of instruction, allowing for a

description of current instruction as well as a comparison of current instruction to that observed during the TIMSS video study (Heibert et al., 2005). The new video dataset will also serve as a baseline for future studies of instruction, for instance ones comparing current instruction to that in 2025, to assess whether Common Core State

Standards have been met.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2014 - 06/2016 01/2015 - 12/2015

NA

PreProduction Start: 10/01/2014 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 01/26/2015

Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 03/02/2015 DC End: 05/31/2016

Other Project

Barb Ward - Lead

Team Members: Russ Stark - Production Lead

Judi Clemens, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson - District IRB

Dan Zahs, Paul Burton - Sampling Hueichun Peng - Technical Lead, SRIS

Jim Hagerman - Blaise Shaowei Sun- SRIS Laura Yoder - Data Mgt Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS; Project specific system (SRIS)

Data Col Tool SAQ; Other (video recorded on tablet)

Hardware Desktop; Tablet; Other (Tablets, Swivls, Tripods provided by research team)

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA

QC Recording Tool N/A
Incentive NA
Administration NA

Payment Type Check, post (\$50 for SAQ, \$200 video); Cash, prepaid (5)

Payment Method Check through other system (ISR Business Office); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (ISR Business

Report Period

July, 2017 (MTTS)

Project Phase

Closing

Risk Level

On Track

Monthly Update

During July, 2017, SRO activities included the following:

Task 1: Management, Budget and Work Plan

- · Revised monthly projections
- Prepared monthly report
- · Evaluated underrun status. Coordinated with EWB regarding disposition of underrun.

Task 2: Sampling

Task 3: Questionnaire Development

Task 4: CAI Programming

Task 5: Systems Programming

Tasks 6, 7: Interviewer Recruitment & Hiring, Training

Task 8: Main Data Collection

Task 9: Post Collection Processing

Task 10: Weighting

•

Task 11: Final Data Deliverables

- Updated result dates on IRB completed by Harvard.

Task 12: Video Storage Systems (EWB)

Cost explanation:

The cost estimate reflects survey funding awarded to Michigan (SRO) for data collection activities, current expenditures, and estimated expenses to the end of the award.

We have permission from Barb Gilbert at Harvard to use the overrun for SRO and EWB expenses. EWB will overrun its part of the budget allocation.

Special Notes:

District Recruitment

- · District recruitment ended in mid-December.
- · Principal recruitment ended in mid-February.

MQI Teacher Recruitment

• Teacher recruitment ended on March 18, 2016.

Special Issues

Cost Jul 17, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 967,835.00 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 992,269.00 Total Budget: 1,019,417.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 27,147.00

Reason For Variance:

Very low response rates to the video data collection resulted in lower than anticipated costs. We have negotiated with Harvard to spend down the

overrun as much as possible, funding work by EWB.

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: Jul 17, 2017 Actual Dollars Used: Variance (Projected minus Actual): 3,541.00 4,895.00 1,354.00

Reason For Variance: EWB charged more than projected.

Measures

Units Complete HPI RR Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual: Estimate at Complete: Variance:

Project Name Monitoring the Future Web Programming and Survey Pilot (MTF Illume Web 2017)

Primary: Mixed Total of Modes: 2 **Project Mode**

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 105,732.94 InDirect Budget: 58,153.12 Total Budget: 163,886.06

Principal

Megan Patrick (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

Investigator/Client

HUM#: Period Of Approval: **IRB** Project Lead: Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson **Project Team**

> Budget Analyst: Christine Evanchek Production Manager: Lloyd Fate Hemingway Senior Project Advisor: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung

Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

This project is a continuation of MTF-WPSP Year 2/MTF Illume Web 2016. A new project is being created in MPR Description:

because the Project required a new PG.

For this round of data collection we have 2 conditions:

1. Paper - URL with credential provided if not complete after 1 month, or URL provided if requested

2. Web - Paper provided if requested or if not complete after 1 month Note - Both conditions are eventually given each option if not completed

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates

05/2017 - 12/2017 05/2017 - 09/2017 NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train Start: SS Train End: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Gina-Qian Yang Cheung, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Hueichun Peng, Lloyd Hemingway, Shaowei Sun (year 3

only), Jennie Williams, Peter Sparks, Dave Dybicki, Ashwin Dey

Other Project

MTF Web

Names: Sample Mgmt Sys

SMS; Web SMS; Illume

Data Col Tool NA Hardware NA **DE Software** NA QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, Other (Managed by SRC Study Staff)

Administration NA **Payment Type** N/A **Payment Method** N/A

July, 2017 (MTF Illume Web 2017) Report Period **Project Phase** Implementing

Not Rated Risk Level

Monthly Update Budget not finalized/uploaded- MPR will be updated when the budget is finalized.

> 16% Web completes 15% SAQ received

Special Issues

Cost Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 36,230.19 Jun 30, 2017 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 154,198.80 Total Budget: 163,886.06 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 9,687.26 Reason For Variance: **Projections** Dollars Projected For Month: 30,165.10 Jun 30, 2017 20,765.12 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
	Units Complete	Units Complete RR	Units Complete RR HPI

9,399.98

Project Name MTF Base Year Tablet Pilot (MTF Tablet Pilot)

Project Mode Primary: Class SAQ Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Direct Budget: Total Budget: 780,547.00 **Budget** 503,578.00 InDirect Budget: 276,969.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Richard Miech (UM-SRC)

Funding Agency

National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). Fall 2015-only budget, direct: \$67,163.00; Indir:\$36,940.00; Total:\$104,103.00

ним#: **IRB**

HUM00112493 Period Of Approval: 3/1/2017 - 2/28/2018

Project Team Project Lead: Meredith A House Christine Evanchek

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:

Barbara Aghababian-Homburg

Senior Project Advisor:

Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

Description:

The fall 2015 and spring 2016 tablet pilots will test the feasibility of moving from paper Scantron forms to a tablet-based application for the administration of MTF Base Year data collection. Two forms of 8th/10th grade MTF survey and two forms of the 12th grade MTF survey will be administered in two schools in the fall pilot and in eight

schools in the spring pilot.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

06/2015 - 08/2017 10/2015 - 05/2017

Yes

PreProduction Start: 02/16/2017 Pretest End:

Pretest Start: Recruitment Start:

Staffing Completed:

GIT Start: SS Train End: 04/06/2017

SS Train Start: 03/30/2017 DC Start: 04/04/2017

DC End: 05/23/2017

Other Project Team Members: David Bolt (Technical Systems/Help desk), Lawrence Daher (Technical Systems/Help desk), Minako Edgar (Data Manager), Kyle Kwaiser (Technical Systems Lead/Data Manager), Paul Schulz (Survey Programmer), Marsha Skoman

(App programmer), Pam Swanson (Survey Programmer), Daric Thorne (SSA).

Note: Mike Nugent (SSL) is the field researcher for fall 2015. 2016-2017, MTF field staff will serve as FRs.

Other Project

Names:

MTF Fall 2015 Tablet Pilot MTF Spring 2016 Tablet Pilot MJF Spring 201 Tablet Pilot Other (SurveyCTO; custom)

Sample Mgmt Sys **Data Col Tool** Hardware

Laptop: Tablet Other (Google Form)

DE Software

QC Recording Tool

N/A

Incentive

Yes, R; Yes, Other (Schools)

Administration

SRO Group

Payment Type Payment Method Check, prepaid (\$1,000 (fall 2015 schools only)); Check, post (\$500 or \$1000 (2016-2017 schools)); Cash, post Check through other system (Rpay spreadsheet); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office (Rpay spreadsh

Report Period

July, 2017 (MTF Tablet Pilot)

Project Phase

Implementing

Risk Level

On Track

In July: **Monthly Update**

> Spring 2017 data was delivered except for paradata and ID map files - a change in the underlying SurveyCTO audit structure leads us to do some additional work on this before delivery.

On July 13, we heard from the PI that the MTF Investigators decided they'd like to proceed with half-tablets for all grades next year. They requested a "smoothed" budget from SRO for the next five years of data collection to know the extent to which the deficit from purchasing the tablets will remain or shrink over the course of the project's five-year

cycle.

Internal to SRO, some negotiation work for space for the tablets was carried out.

Special Issues

Cost Jul 31, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,021,979.26

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,037,496.91

 Total Budget:
 780,547.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 -256,949.91

5-year grant ended 4/30/2017 and a new grant effective 5/1/2017 was established. For the remainder of the Tablet project for 2017, Nick P. will fund us based on the estimated cost we provide to him. Once we agree on that, Chrissy will add the budget to the CRS under the old 4/30/2017 grant to resolve the overrun and then will add the remaining funds that are needed to complete the project to the new PG that is effective 5/1/2017 (\$64,724 of budget was added to the 2017 PG in July, so the overall overrun is now lower).

The numbers above combine the amounts from the old and new PGs

PG ending 4/30/17: Budget: \$715,823.00

Total cost to date: \$979,976.56 Cost at completion: \$979,976.56

Projections: \$0 Variance: \$-264,153.56

PG starting 5/1/17: Budget: \$64,724.00

Total cost to date: \$42,002.70 Cost at completion: \$57,520.35 Projections: \$15,517.65 Variance: \$7,203.65

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:810.00Actual Dollars Used:850.19Variance (Projected minus Actual):-40.19

Reason For Variance:

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance:				

Project Name National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG 2010-2020)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 32,653,126.47 InDirect Budget: 8,448,262.00 Total Budget: 41,101,388.47

Principal Joyce Abma (NCHS)
Investigator/Client Mick Couper (ISR)

Funding Agency

NCHS, CDC, NICHD

IRB HUM#: 0002716 Period Of Approval: 7/17/13 - 7/17/17

Project Team Project Lead: Heidi Marie Guyer
Budget Analyst: Nancy Oeffner

Production Manager: Theresa Camelo
Senior Project Advisor: Mary P Maher
Production Manager: Maureen Joan O'Brien
Production Manager: Rebecca Loomis

Proposal #: no data

Description: The NSFG is a national survey of women and men 15-49 years of age designed to provide national estimates of

factors affecting pregnancy and birth rates, including sexual activity, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, contraceptive use, miscarriage and stillbirth, infertility, and use of medical services for family planning and infertility. NSFG 2010-2020 includes eight years of continuous data collection starting in September 2011 and ending in 2019. Every year, new PSUs will be selected to replace last year's non-self representing PSUs and self-representing PSUs, and the project will continue to collect data from a set of major self representing PSUs throughout the entire

data collection period. Target number of interviews is approximately 5000 per year.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 09/2010 - 07/2020 09/2011 - 06/2019

Yes

PreProduction Start: 03/01/2011 Pretest Start:

 Pretest End:
 Recruitment Start:
 06/01/2011

 Staffing Completed:
 08/17/2011
 GIT Start:
 09/13/2011

 SS Train Start:
 09/15/2011
 SS Train End:
 09/19/2011

 DC Start:
 09/20/2011
 DC End:
 09/07/2019

Other Project Team Members: Chrissy Evanchek--Budget Analyst

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Tablet; [UM cell] Phone; Paper and Pencil

DE Software NA

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (babysitting fee)

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (\$5; \$40); Cash, post (\$40; \$60)

Payment Method Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period July, 2017 (NSFG 2010-2020) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update We are now in Week 6 of Q24. The Screener Completion Rate remains low. Production goals are tailored to

individual Interviewers' areas. Interviewers who have met screener completion rate goals can now shift their focus to main interviewing and Interviewers who have not met their screener completion rate goal will continue to focus on screening. Response Rates for households 'without kids' are low, so those sample lines have been prioritized in SurveyTrak. NCHS and Michigan continue to prepare for the late August 2017 Interviewer training in which 36 Interviewers will be trained. GIT begins Friday, August 25th and Study Specific training beings Sunday, August 27th. Recruitment has ended, and we were able to identify 2 Interviewers per area in all but one. There will be 26 New Hires and 10 On Staffers at training. University of Michigan has signed the fingerprinting vendor's contract, so that a

fingerprinting technician from Fieldprint will travel to the NSFG Training and digitally fingerprint all trainees. We have received approval to move to Android phones for all NSFG field staff. All trainees will receive their android phones at training and Interviewers staying on will receive their phones in the mail and be trained over the phone. The phase boundary experiment still has not been submitted by NCHS to their ERB. We continue to test and fine tune the Electronic Document Utility (EDU) in SurveyTrak for signing receipt forms and consent forms. These changes will be implemented for Y7 and will be included in the August training. Interviewers who are staying from Y6 will be trained over the phone. , but this has been pushed to Y7 due to the time needed to program, test, and train. NCHS received approvals from their ERB for changes to project materials, including brochures, letters and the Family Fact sheet. These changed forms will be included in the August training materials.

Special Issues

UM received the contract modification from NCHS to allocate \$800,535 in additional funding for the current year (through August 2017). An additional mod was submitted in July to allocate additional funding for year 7 at the onset of the year.

Cost Jul 20, 2017

 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 30,401,251.00

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 43,146,817.00

 Total Budget:
 41,101,388.47

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 2,034,947.00

Reason For Variance:

Additional workscope, higher than anticipated HPI, higher yield, higher interviewer attrition, increased travel, increased hiring and training

Projections Jul 20, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:512,596.77Actual Dollars Used:492,539.44Variance (Projected minus Actual):20,057.00

Reason For Variance:

Fewer interviewer hours than projected, delay in travel expenses and

respondent payments.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	115	68%	9.0	
Goal at Completion:	1300	79%	9.0	
Current actual:	106	58.3%	10.5	
Estimate at Complete:	1260	61.8%	10.2	
Variance:	70	18.2%	1.2	

Other Measures

The goals represent Q24 goals and actuals. We are now in Week 2 of Quarter 24. HPI is slightly elevated due to Q23 extention hours being included in Q24 statistics.

Project Name

Neurodevelopmental Pathways in Adolescent Health Risk Behavior (AHRB)

Project Mode

Primary: Class SAQ

Secondary: Web Total of Modes: 2

Project Type

Sponsored Projects

Project Status Current

Budget

Direct Budget:

919,405.00 InDirect Budget: 507,595.00 Total Budget: 1,427,000.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Daniel Keating (U-M SRC)

Funding Agency

Health and Human Services (HHS), Department of-National Institutes of Health

IRB

HUM00084650

Period Of Approval: 2/3/2016 - 2/2/2017

Project Team

Peter Rakesh Batra Project Lead: Budget Analyst: Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Peter Rakesh Batra Production Manager:

Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

ним#:

Description:

During early adolescence systems in the brain that are characterized by heightened reactivity to motivational stimuli and rewards mature rapidly, while systems that enable more effective cognitive control and judgment mature more slowly. This "developmental maturity mismatch" has been proposed as a key contributor to health risk behavior among adolescents, which is of critical importance because: (1) risk behaviors are the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in this age group, including diseases arising from unprotected sexual activity and casualties arising from reckless behavior (including driving fatalities and serious injuries); (2) it is the peak age for the onset of a wide range of risk behavior patterns with potential long-term consequences, including substance use and abuse, and delinquency. The "developmental maturity mismatch" hypothesis, however, has not been directly tested in relation to risk behavior at a level sufficient to inform this critical health area. The primary aim of the ANDH study is to understand the behavioral, cognitive, and neural bases of risk taking, through integrated analyses of age differences, developmental trajectories, and individual differences in psychosocial, neurocognitive and neural imaging assessments.

The study will involve data collection from 10th and 12th grade students (~2000 students total) in 7-8 local high schools (approximately 150 students from each age group per school), with group administration in the schools using laptops in a baseline data collection to be completed over a 3-month period in the fall of 2014. Each respondent will attend 2 ~45 minute sessions: one survey and one neurocognitive tests. After the baseline data collection, SRO will modify the survey questionnaire to operate as a web-based survey, and will administer the web survey to all 2,000 respondents in years 2, 3, and 4 of the project (in the fall of 2015, 2016 and 2017). A small number of respondents (150-160) will be sub-selected to undergo neural imaging at U-M facilities in Ann Arbor (SRO will not be directly involved in this portion of the study).

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan **Milestone Dates**

04/2014 - 03/2018 03/2015 - 01/2016

Yes

PreProduction Start:

Staffing Completed: SS Train Start:

Pretest Start: 12/21/2016 Pretest End: 01/03/2017 Recruitment Start: GIT Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 09/01/2016 DC End: 05/31/2018

Other Project Team Members: Wave 2 Team: Kyle Kwaiser (tech lead, data manager), Kathy LaDronka, Becky Loomis, Dolorence Okullo (data management), Hueichun Peng, Shaowei Sun

Wave 1 Team: Larry Daher, Emmanuel Ellis, David Bolt, Kyle Goodman, Donnalee Grey-Farquharson, Kyle Kwaiser (tech lead, data manager), Becky Loomis, Max Malhotra, Shaowei Sun, Laura Yoder (data management)

Other Project Adolescent Neurodevelopmental Health (ANDH) (Internal)

Adolescent Health Risk Behavior Study (Public) Names: Sample Mgmt Sys Illume: Project specific system (SRIS)

Illume; SAQ; Other (Inquisit neurocognitive task software; NC helper app) Data Col Tool

Hardware Laptop **DE Software** Other (SRIS)

QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, R; Yes, Other (School)

SRO Group; ISR Group (Dan Keating, PNG Group) Administration

Payment Type Check, post (Rs, \$50 year 1, \$20 years 2-4; schools, \$1000); Cash, post (Ypsilanti Rs, \$50 year 1)

Payment Method Check through other system (RPay not through STrak (R payments)); Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Of

Report Period July, 2017 (AHRB) **Project Phase** Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update In July the AHRB project continued phoning R2/R3 respondents who had either not started or had not completed both sessions of the study. We have not received any (yellow) contact forms in several months and there have been few inquiries in the AHRB email address or calls to the toll free SSL 800 number. So far we have had no respondent

questions--phone or otherwise--on how to access the portal or surveys. We had prepared for this by producing trouble

shooting documentation just in case.

Phoning of R2/R3 respondents will continue into the early part of August.

This month the SRO AHRB team presented at an SRO Project Review Meeting. The progress of AHRB was well

received with interested around the use of Facebook in making contact with otherwise lost respondents.

I have continued to informally discuss procedures for Wave 3 with Ed and we will have a more formal meeting to set up a time with the larger group (including Dan and Nick) in mid-September. The plan is to agree on a solution for Wave 3 that not only stays within the budget but is also finalized and tested before the end of the year.

Special Issues

Cost Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 1,193,941.35 Jul 31, 2017

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 1,467,110.42 Total Budget: 1,427,000.00 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): -40,110.42

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Jul 31, 2017 0.00 Actual Dollars Used:

Variance (Projected minus Actual): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures **Units Complete** RR HPI

> Current Goal: Goal at Completion: Current actual:

Estimate at Complete:

Variance:

Project Name Optimizing Youth Suicide Risk Screening and Triage In the Emergency Department (YRS)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,276,181.00 InDirect Budget: 703,064.00 Total Budget: 1,979,245.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Cheryl King (Professor of Psychiatry, University of Michigan)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead: Esther H Ullman
Budget Analyst: Janelle P Cramer

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Kirsten Haakan Alcser

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: This multi-site collaborative project proposes to implement a "universal suicide risk screen" strategy with eligible

youths, ages 12-17, who present at one of 14 emergency departments across the country. The research team will conduct initial screening of approximately 9,090 youths randomly chosen in these emergency departments (ED), over a period of two years. Based on the results of the screening, youths will be contacted for follow-up (youths who present with an actual suicide or self-injury concern, youths who present with at least two suicide risk factors, and youths at low/no risk for suicide) by the Survey Research Center's (SRC) interviewing staff in Survey Research Operations (SRO). SRO will receive electronic files with contact information for the selected youths on a flow basis, with the expectation of receiving approximately 4,360 in total. Using computer-assisted interviewing techniques from our centralized telephone facility (Survey Services Lab, or SSL) on the Ann Arbor campus, we will attempt contact with each selected respondent's parent and then the respondent, with the goal of completing brief (10-minute) interviews with ~85% of the respondents 3 months after their ED screening, and ~80% of these same

respondents 6 months after their ED screening

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 03/2015 - 12/2017 07/2015 - 07/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: Pretest Start:
Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 09/21/2015 **SS Train End:** 09/24/2015

DC Start: 09/28/2015 DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt SysSMSData Col ToolNAHardwareDesktopDE SoftwareNA

QC Recording Tool NA

Incentive Yes, Other (Amazon gift card (Project staff))

Administration NA
Payment Type NA
Payment Method NA

Report Period July, 2017 (YRS) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Recruitment and hiring are underway for Study 2. Technical systems and programming are being modified and

tested. Plans for training in September are underway.

Special Issues

Cost

 Jul 31, 2017
 Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):
 1,016,443.39

 Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):
 1,959,620.13

 Total Budget:
 1,979,245.00

 Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):
 19,624.87

Reason For Variance: We have not yet started Study 2 which we expect will be higher volume than

Study 1 so anticipate there may be some additional labor costs that will be

easier to project when data collection starts

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:29,823.73Actual Dollars Used:23,621.53Variance (Projected minus Actual):6,202.20

Reason For Variance: We are in a "pause" between Study 1 and 2 and staff have been careful to

minimize charges to the project during this phase

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	3331	85%	3.0	
Goal at Completion:	4200	85%	3.0	
Current actual:	3847	69%	1.3	
Estimate at Complete:		70%		
Variance:				

Other Measures

There will actually be two surveys in phase 1 (at 3 months and 6 months)...and then a second phase survey.

Project Name Panel Study of Income Dynamics - Transition to Adulthood Study 2017 (PSID TAS 2017)

Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1 **Project Mode**

Project Status **Project Type** Sponsored Projects Current

Total Budget: **Budget** Direct Budget: 1,222,666.00 InDirect Budget: 682,169.00 1,904,835.00

Principal Narayan Sastry (SRC-PSID)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency

HUM#: HUM00112629 Period Of Approval: 12/9/2016-12/8/2017 **IRB**

Project Team Project Lead:

Budget Analyst: Production Manager: Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

no data Proposal #:

Description: The Transition to Adulthood Study (TAS) is a supplemental study of the PSID, a national, longitudinal study of

families started in 1968.

The TAS study began in 2005 and has been conducted every 2 years. The sample for PSID-TAS is comprised of a sample of participants from PSID Core in between the ages of 18 and 28, including Heads/Spouses/Partners and

OFUMs. The sample size is approximately 3,014. The study is interviewer administered and phone only.

Respondents are invited to complete the phone survey after they have completed the PSID Main interview. The interview content includes questions about education, wealth, health, income and other topics related to the traditional markers of the transition into adulthood - mainly entering the labor market, completing schooling, and

planning one's own family formation.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period

Milestone Dates

05/2017 - 06/2018 10/2007 - 05/2018

Security Plan NA

> Pretest Start: PreProduction Start: Pretest End: Recruitment Start: Staffing Completed: GIT Start: SS Train End: SS Train Start: DC Start: DC End:

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak **Data Col Tool** Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A **QC Recording Tool** N/A Incentive Yes, R Administration NA

Payment Type Check, post (70)

Payment Method Check through other system (PSID RAPS)

July, 2017 (PSID TAS 2017) Planning Report Period **Project Phase**

Risk Level Not Rated

Monthly Update TAS 17 does not yet have a final budget. SRO is still working to approve final budgets for the TAS17, TAS19 and TAS

Mixed Mode pilot for 2018.

Work in July has included technical programming of the questionnaire and development of systems. SRO has also begun the TAS17 pretest of 75 convenience sample respondents, using 6 experienced PSID/TAS interviewers.

Posting for the TAS17 staff also went up in July, expected to yield a total of 32 hired iwers.

Special Issues

Cost

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect): 41,169.41 Jul 13, 2017

Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC): 0.00 1,904,835.00 Total Budget: Variance (Budget minus E\$AC): 0.00

Reason For Variance:

Projections

Dollars Projected For Month: 0.00 Jul 13, 2017

Actual Dollars Used: 0.00 0.00 Variance (Projected minus Actual):

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:				
Current actual:				
Estimate at Complete:				
Variance [.]				

Project Name PSID Immigrant Refresher Screening Project (PSID-Imm)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Secondary: Face to Face Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 1,226,546.00 InDirect Budget: 674,666.00 Total Budget: 1,901,212.00

Principal

Narayan Sastry (SRC)

Investigator/Client

Funding Agency NICHD

IRB HUM#:

HUM#: HUM00062417 **Period Of Approval:** 3/13/17-3/12/18

Project Team Project Lead: Rachel Anne Orlowski

Budget Analyst:

Production Manager:Sara D FreelandSenior Project Advisor:Stephanie A Chardoul

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: Screening Housing Units (that HRS-2016 determined were ineligible for their study and had at least one household

member born outside of the U.S. and came to the U.S. in the past 20 years) to determine whether either the Head or Spouse/Partner of each Family Unit moved to the U.S. after 1997. Eligible Family Units are invited to participate

in PSID Core 2017.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period Security Plan Milestone Dates 01/2016 - 09/2017 06/2016 - 09/2017

NA

PreProduction Start: 01/04/2016 Pretest Start:

Pretest End: Recruitment Start: 03/24/2016

Staffing Completed: 06/23/2017 GIT Start:

SS Train Start: 06/01/2016 **SS Train End**: 06/30/2017

DC Start: 06/06/2016 **DC End**:

Other Project Team Members: Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SurveyTrak

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Laptop; [UM cell] Phone

DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool DRI-CARI
Incentive Yes, R

Administration ISR Group (PSID)

Payment Type Check, post (\$10, \$40 End Game); Cash, prepaid (\$5 End Game); Cash, post (\$10); Other (Non-monetary ince

Payment Method Check through STrak RPay System; Imprest Cash Fund from ISR Business Office

Report Period July, 2017 (PSID-Imm) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update Continued FTF effort and overnight travel; confirmed which outstanding small PSUs would not receive (additional) FTF

effort. Continued End Game. Exceeded goal of 584 eligible completes; set new goal of 600 eligible completes and

exceeded that goal too. Exceeded goal of 60% RR.

Special Issues

Cost Jul 31, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):1,445,165.74Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):1,567,413.64Total Budget:1,901,212.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):333,798.36

Reason For Variance:

Less programming, no new-hire recruitment, smaller in-person training,

fewer lines--in fewer areas, fewer iwers

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:81,964.79Actual Dollars Used:81,894.59Variance (Projected minus Actual):70.20Reason For Variance:very minor estimation error

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal: Goal at Completion:	896	60		
Current actual:	906	62	6.4	
Estimate at Complete: Variance:				

Project Name Stress and Wellbeing in Everyday Life (SWEL)

Project Mode Primary: Face to Face Secondary: Observation Total of Modes: 2

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 441,062.00 InDirect Budget: 242,585.00 Total Budget: 683,647.00

Principal Kira Birditt (UM ISR Life Course Development)
Investigator/Client Toni Antonucci (UM ISR Life Course Development)

Funding Agency

IRB HUM#: TBD Period Of Approval: TBD

Project TeamProject Lead:Piotr DworakBudget Analyst:Janelle P CramerProduction Manager:Derek Dubuque

Senior Project Advisor: Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: SWEL is a study to assess the role of cardiovascular stress in daily lives among matched test and control groups of

Kirsten Haakan Alcser

ethnic minority and white respondents. Data collected via an interviewer-administered 30-min instrument, followed

by a 4-day measurement of cardiovascular activity using a wearable biometric device, and 6-per-day

self-administered momentary assessments.

Data collection goal: 300 CAPI interviews (79% RR on sample of ~380), revised to test/control setup in which 150 interviews are needed from 173 test subjects (87% RR) and 150 interviews from the 307 control subjects (48%

RR).

Sample: Participants in Wave 3 of Social Relations (2014) from the Detroit tri-county area.

Data collection period: estimated for 13 weeks but both the staffing levels and the proposed data collection pace is

being discussed with the client given the availability of the wereable devices.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period Security Plan

Milestone Dates

12/2016 - 10/2017 06/2017 - 09/2016

NA

 PreProduction Start:
 03/01/2017
 Pretest Start:
 06/27/2017

 Pretest End:
 09/28/2017
 Recruitment Start:
 11/01/2017

 Staffing Completed:
 12/21/2017
 GIT Start:
 07/10/2017

 SS Train Start:
 01/29/2018
 SS Train End:
 02/02/2018

 DC Start:
 02/04/2018
 DC End:
 07/29/2018

Other Project Team Members:

Other Project Racial Disparities in Health: The Roles of Stress, Social Relations, and the Cardiovascular System

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8; Blaise 5

Hardware Laptop
DE Software NA
QC Recording Tool Camtasia
Incentive Yes, R
Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Cash, prepaid (2); Cash, post (30); Other (Cash post biomarker)

Payment Method Check through other system (MSMS); Interviewer payment of cash (reimbursed/reconciled via Tenrox) (MSMS)

Report Period July, 2017 (SWEL) Project Phase Implementing

Risk Level On Track

Monthly Update SWEL Pilot launched on 6/20. So far 13 participants completed the protocol. So far, most respondents followed instructions, delivered good quality biosensor data, and completed the EMA survey. EMA survey response is close to

80% but the two book-end surveys (morning and end of day) received lower response rate on average (in the 60 - 70% range). Pilot issues: HollerIT bug affected two respondents. The bug was fixed by the developer. Two participants decided not to continue on day 1. One participant expressed frustration with BodyGuardian sensor and developed a rash after shaving.

The goal of Pilot Phase 1 is to interview 20 - 25 participants. Pilot Phase 2 will continue in mid-late August with a goal of additional 20 - 25 participants and to test different way of measuring the blood pressure (the CareTaker device).

Currently, we are working on:

- production changes to EMA including preload of social networks
- CAPI implementation and
- offline interviewer MSMS
- reporting and data warehouse

The start date for main data collection is being discussed with the client -- there are two upcoming IRB dates 8/11 submission for 9/6 review (Maize) and 8/25 with a review on 9/21 (Blue). The latter is more realistic but chances are the pilot will not yet be finalized.

Final decision on production start is still pending but DCO has announced a posting for SWEL on the DCO recruiting website to gauge interest of on-staffers.

Special Issues

None at the moment.

Cost

Jul 31, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):103,931.00Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):683,648.00Total Budget:683,647.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):0.00Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:27,237.22Actual Dollars Used:17,905.55Variance (Projected minus Actual):9,331.67

Reason For Variance: System was brought up to the spec for the Pilot. Tech team was able to

switch from system development to pilot system maintenance which

reduced the burn rate.

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:				
Goal at Completion:	300	87% / 48%	5.8	
Current actual:	0			
Estimate at Complete:			8.2	
Variance:				

Other Measures

Test: 87%RR = 150 / 173 blacks Control: 48% = 150 / 307 match 1 or 2 Project Name Surveys of Consumer Attitudes (SCA 2017)

Project Mode Primary: Telephone Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 859,872.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 859,872.00

Principal

Investigator/Client

Dr. Richard T. Curtin (SRC)

Funding Agency

Bloomberg, others for Riders.

IRB

exempt Period Of Approval:

Project Team Project Lead:

Budget Analyst:

Joseph Matthew Matuzak

Budget Analyst.

Dean E Stevens

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor:

Mary P Maher

Production Manager: Production Manager:

Proposal #:

no data

ним#:

Description: The mont

The monthly Surveys of Consumers are a series of nationally representative surveys with households in the contiguous United States. The SCA is designed to measure changes in consumer attitudes and expectations.

The objectives of the surveys are to learn what consumers think about economic events under varying circumstances and to determine why they think and behave as they do. Since changes in attitudes and expectations occur in advance of behavior, measures of consumer attitudes and expectations can act as leading indicators of aggregate economic activity. The survey measures are not intended to establish the absolute level of consumer sentiment at any given time. The SCA is intended to measure change. Each month the SSL interviewing staff obtains 600 interviews.

SRO Project Period

Data Col Period
Security Plan
Milestone Dates

12/2016 - 12/2017 12/2016 - 12/2017

NA

PreProduction Start:
Pretest End:

Staffing Completed:
SS Train Start:
DC Start:

Pretest Start:
Recruitment Start:
SI GIT Start:
SS Train End:
DC End:

Other Project Team Members: Dave Dybicki
Ann Munster
Kelley Popielarz
Pamela Swanson
Jennie Williams
LaVelvet Harrison
Paul Burton
Nancy Walker
Tim Wright

Other Project

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys SMS

Data Col Tool Blaise 4.8

Hardware Desktop

DE Software Blaise 4.8 BIA

QC Recording Tool DRI-CXM

Incentive Not used

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type
Payment Method

NA NA

July, 2017 (SCA 2017)

Project Phase

Implementing

Report Period

Risk Level

Attention!

Monthly Update

SCA completed its July study a day early, finishing with 603 completed interviews with the desired split: 401 RDDs and 202 Recons. This was done with a longer instrument of 36.2 minutes in length. We ended up using 2171 interviewer hours and an HPI of 3.60, an improvement of 0.22 over June, and only 0.05 higher than last July, when the instrument was about half a minute longer. SCA delivered a prelim total of 391 completes, and managed to stay fairly close to study goals for the majority of the month, making July one of the less stressful production cycles in a while. This month had the lowest number of attempts per interview overall and for RDDs since February 2016, but we also ended up having no contact on 54% of our RDD sample lines, and the highest non-sample rate we have seen since moving to all cell sample. SCA worked on integrating its latest group of new interviewers into the study, and focused on improving skills through workshops.

Special Issues

SCA continues to run higher than expected on HPI and on interviewer attrition. This is pushing up costs, and we have already spent more in training costs than was anticipated in the annual budget.

Cost

Reason For Variance: Interviewer hours are overall running much higher than expected.

Projections Jul 21, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:75,204.00Actual Dollars Used:73,633.71Variance (Projected minus Actual):-12,103.15

Reason For Variance: Higher than anticipated HPI and additional interviewer hours.

Measures

Units Complete	RR	HPI	
600	9	3.50	
603	7	3.6	
3	-2	0.10	
	600	600 9 603 7	600 9 3.50 603 7 3.6

Project Name Sustainability Cultural Indicators Program-2017 (SCIP-2017)

Project Mode Primary: Web Total of Modes: 1

Project Type Sponsored Projects Project Status Current

Budget Direct Budget: 0.00 InDirect Budget: 0.00 Total Budget: 0.00

Principal John Callewart (UM-Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute)

Investigator/Client Robert Marans (UM-Survey Research Center)

Funding Agency

 IRB
 HUM#:
 00068573
 Period Of Approval:

 Project Team
 Project Lead:
 Donnalee Ann Grey-Farquharson

Budget Analyst: Carl S Remmert

Production Manager:

Senior Project Advisor: Stephanie A Chardoul Production Manager: Andrew L Hupp

Production Manager:

Proposal #: no data

Description: The goal of the overall Sustainability Cultural Indicators Project (SCIP), a joint project of the Institute for Social

Research (ISR) and the Graham Environmental Sustainability Institute (Graham), is to measure changes in sustainability-related knowledge, commitments, and practices in the University of Michigan (U-M) community over time. The principle component of SCIP is a large-scale annual survey, to be conducted with U-M students, faculty,

and staff from 2012 to 2018.

SRO Project Period Data Col Period 07/2017 - 06/2018

Security Plan
Milestone Dates

NA

PreProduction Start: 07/01/2017

Pretest End: Recruitment Start:
Staffing Completed: GIT Start:
SS Train Start: SS Train End:

DC Start: 01/16/2018 **DC End:** 02/22/2018

Pretest Start:

Other Project

Donnalee Grey-Farguharson - instrument revisions/project management

Team Members: Andrew Hupp - methodological experimental design

Carl Remmert - financial support and analysis

Hueichun Peng - programming for dissertation research Minako Edgar - sample prep, dataset creation, GIS analysis

Andrew Piskorowski - Paradata design Dan Zahs - weighting and sampling support

Felicitas Mittereder - analysis (PhD student implementing experiment for dissertation)

Other Project

Project Campus Sustainability

Names:

Sample Mgmt Sys MSMS
Data Col Tool Blaise 5
Hardware NA
DE Software N/A
QC Recording Tool N/A

Incentive Yes, Other (A portion of R's (a raffle))

Administration SRO Group

Payment Type Other (Amazon gift code)

Payment Method Other (Amazon gift code sent via e-mail)

Report Period July, 2017 (SCIP-2017) Project Phase Planning

Risk Level On Track
Monthly Update 2017-07

No work was done in July. Planning meetings with the PI will begin in September. The survey will be conducted in January rather than the usual fall. The university is planning a DE&I survey in the fall. This year, a PhD student is planning on conducting an experiment for her dissertation. The SRO SCIP team has met with her a couple of times

over the summer. Another meeting is planned in early August. She has funding for the TSG to implement her design. Those costs are not reflected in the SCIP budget in CRS.

Special Issues

Cost Jul 31, 2017

Total Cost to Date (Direct + Indirect):0.00Estimated Cost at Completion (E\$AC):0.00Total Budget:0.00Variance (Budget minus E\$AC):0.00Reason For Variance:

Projections Jul 31, 2017

Dollars Projected For Month:0.00Actual Dollars Used:0.00Variance (Projected minus Actual):0.00

Reason For Variance:

Measures

	Units Complete	RR	HPI	
Current Goal:	6,000	30%	NA	
Goal at Completion:			NA	
Current actual:	NA	NA	NA	
Estimate at Complete:			NA	
Variance:			NA	